

## Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development

DIVISION OF INSURANCE

550 West Seventh Avenue, Suite 1560 Anchorage, Alaska 99501-3567 Main: 907.269.7900

Fax: 907.269.7910

Regulatory Order Number R 15-01

# ORDER EXEMPTING MULTIPLE FILINGS BY PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURERS WHEN THE SAME CHANGE IS BEING PROPOSED FOR MORE THAN ONE TYPE OF INSURANCE

Under AS 21.42.120(d), the director of the division of insurance may, by order, exempt a form or document from the filing requirements of AS 21.42.120 for a time determined by the director when, in the opinion of the director, the filing or approval of the form or document is not desirable or necessary for the protection of the public. Under AS 21.39.040(f), the director may, by order, exempt a rate or rule from the filing requirements of AS 21.39 for a time determined by the director when, in the opinion of the director, the rule is not desirable or necessary for the protection of the public. This order exempts from the filing requirements of 3 AAC 31.210(b), a regulation implementing AS 21.42.120 and AS 21.39.040, a separate filing by property and casualty insurers for each type of insurance when the same change is being proposed for more than one type of insurance.

#### **FINDINGS:**

### The director finds that:

- 1. The requirement in 3 AAC 31.210(b) for a separate filing for each type of insurance when the same change is being proposed for more than one type of insurance creates an unnecessary burden on property and casualty insurers and division staff given the large number of policies that may require the same change. As an example, the division anticipates that many property and casualty insurers may seek to propose the same change to multiple types of insurance due to the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act not being reauthorized in the last Congress.
- 2. Allowing property and casualty insurers to submit a single filing when the same change is being proposed for multiple types of insurance is not necessary for the protection of the public as the division will continue to provide the same review and oversight of the filing for each type of insurance in which the change is being proposed.
- 3. Insurance coverage provided to state residents under property and casualty policies must continue to comply with state laws and regulations even if property and casualty insurers are exempted from the requirement of a separate filing for each type of insurance. Similarly, an exemption from the separate filing requirement does not limit or in any way prevent the division from enforcing the insurance laws and regulations relative to property and casualty insurance issued to state residents.

- 4. Under AS 21.42.130 and AS 21.39, the director has authority to disapprove a policy form, including a certificate or other evidence of insurance, delivered or issued for delivery in this state, or a rate or rule regardless of whether the filing is exempt from the separate filing requirement of 3 AAC 31.210(b).
- 5. Based on the above, filing a separate filing for each type of insurance by property and casualty insurers when the same change is being proposed for more than one type of insurance is not desirable or necessary for the protection of the public.

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

As allowed under AS 21.42.120(d) and AS 21.39.040(f), property and casualty insurers desiring to propose the same change for more than one type of insurance are exempt from the separate filing requirement under 3 AAC 31.210(b). To the extent that Bulletin B 05-07 is inconsistent with this order, this order will prevail.

Notwithstanding any provision of this order, property and casualty insurers must continue to comply with 3 AAC 31.210(c) which requires forms to be submitted separately from rates and rules.

In this order, the term "same change" means a filing having the same purpose and effect.

This order is effective January 6th, 2015 and remains in effect 180 days unless superseded by a regulation change to 3 AAC 31.210.

Lori Wing-Heier

Director