1	State of Alaska
2	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
3	DIVISION OF CORPORATION, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
4	
5	BOARD OF SOCIAL WORK EXAMINERS
6	Minutes of the meeting September 11, 2023
7	
8	By the authority of AS 08.01.070(2) and AS 08.86.030, and in compliance with the provisions of 8 AS 44.62, Article
9	6, a scheduled meeting of the Board of Social Work Examiners originated from Juneau, 333 Willoughby Ave.; this
10	meeting was held via Zoom.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Monday, September 11, 2023
16	
17	The Chair brought the meeting to order at 9:00 am.
18	
19	Board Members Present Constituting a Quorum
20	Ann Applebee, Licensed Clinical Social Worker
21	Anne Wells, Licensed Clinical Social Worker
22	Amber Taylor, Licensed Master Social Worker
23	Sharon Woodward, Licensed Baccalaureate Social Worker
24	Stacey Stone, Public Member
25	
26	Board Members Absent
27	None
27	Chaff Manahaya Duagant
28	Staff Members Present Renee Carabajal, Program Coordinator II
29 30	Jeanne Pace, Program Coordinator I
30 31	Rebecca Clay, Occupational Licensing Examiner
32	Jasmin Bautista, Investigator
33	Stefanie Davis, Regulations Specialist II
34	Marylin Zimmerman, Paralegal II
32	
33	Members of the Public Present
34	Tennille Limer
35	
36	Agenda Item - Call to Order/Roll Call/Introductions
37	Ann Applebee, board chair, called the meeting to order and did roll call with everyone present except Amber Taylo
38	who arrived at 9:15 am. The chair welcomed the newest member, Anne Wells and asked that the other board
39	members introduce themselves. After introductions were made the chair moved that they approve the agenda.
40	
41	Agenda Item - Review/Approve Agenda
42	There were no objections to the agenda.

43

In a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Anne Wells with unanimous consent, it was resolved to approve the September 11, 2023, agenda as presented.

Agenda Item - Ethics Disclosures

All members said they had no potential ethical conflicts to disclose.

Agenda Item - Administrative Business / Correspondence

Three email correspondences from the public were presented to the board for discussion. The first correspondence related to insurance and S. Stone voiced that insurance questions could not be answered by the board and the individual would need to ask the insurance company. A. Applebee asked if anyone had any further insights. It was concluded that the question is not something the board would be able to answer.

The second correspondence related to LCSWs who provide developmental disability testing with some additional training in testing practices. A. Applebee reasoned that if the LCSW were trained and approved by the employer to conduct such a test, it would have board support. S. Woodward affirmed the statement by noting the individual was only administering the test and not diagnosing. A. Wells stated that if the testing was done in their scope of practice, and they had the proper training, and the agency supports the testing, it would be fine. It was determined by the board that if the LCSW had the appropriate training and approval from their place of employment, they could provide the developmental disability testing.

The third correspondence related to obtaining supervision hours with a temporary LMSW working as a 1099 contractor. A. Applebee stated that practicing clinical level care as their own business entity would be inappropriate should anything go south. S. Stone confirmed the comment by stating the individual should not be operating on their own while being supervised. The board decided that would not be allowed.

Agenda Item - Supervisor Questions

A question was submitted to A. Applebee about an inappropriate comment made by a LPC who supervises LCSW candidates, which led to a formal complaint within their place of employment. The LPC self-reported the situation and wanted to ask the board if they believed she is capable to continue supervising after the comment. The board concluded that with the LPC's employer additional supervision, ability to self-report, and the frustration a mental health provider may experience, it was decided to allow the LPC to continue supervising LCSWs.

Agenda Item - Public Comment

There were no members of the public present for public comment. The board moved forward with the agenda.

Agenda Item - Tabled Application

 In a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Sharon Woodward with unanimous consent, it was resolved to move the Tabled Application discussion from 2:00 pm to 9:32 am

 Discussion regarding an application, related to having more than one supervisor listed on the application, but only one supervisor submitted a reference. It was determined that due to how the statutes and regulations were written, the applicant's submission was sufficient. No further references were needed.

In a motion duly made by Sharon Woodward, seconded by Stacey Stone with unanimous consent, it was resolved to approve application for, License #210785.

64 65

66

Agenda Item - Teletherapy

The board discussed the definition of teletherapy and how it is written under 12 AAC 18.210 Continuing Education requirement. Board members tabled the discussion until old business.

67 68 74

75

76 77

78

79

80

81 82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89 90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103104

105

106

Agenda Item – Compact Presentation

Kaitlyn Bison, policy analyst and Dan Logsdon, Director, from the Council of State Government's National Center Interstate compacts presented about the social work licensure compact. K. Bison went over the compact's history, use by other professions, and the specifics of the social work compact. D. Logsdon gave an overview of the nonpartisan, non-profit organization stationed in Lexington, KY. He noted, the organization does not lobby, rather, they provide technical assistance to the States. He stated that the compact was to support regulatory certainty, especially among military personnel and their spouses. K. Bison, resumed the presentation and explained the compact was defined as "a legal contract between states." Much like a driver's license. The compact would not take away existing licensing systems, rather, provide an additional pathway. The compact does not govern scope of practice and the State would maintain control over a single state licensing process. A. Applebee inquired about accreditation among universities and D. Logsdon stated they would need guidance from the Department of Education. He elaborated on accreditation stating states in the compact would be required to take the Association of Social Work Board exam. Next, K. Bison explained the process for disciplinary actions taken against a license and the rules for when an applicant agrees to a compact. The rest of the presentation went over requirements, exam exemptions, accredited social work degrees, 3000 hours of post graduate clinical practice, 2 years experience. Any actions taken against a license would mean the licensee is prohibited from the compact until they are reinstated. The states would set the fees for the compact and K. Bison mentioned there would be continuing education requirements but only that for the home state and the licensee does not have to meet the requirements across states. K. Bison continued the presentation highlighting areas of investigations, how to change your home state, taking adverse actions, the compact commission, and state withdrawal of the compact. A. Applebee expressed concern about licensees who have joined the compact practicing in the State of Alaska without any registration. She also wondered how the compact may affect licensing fees for the department. K. Bison further explained that agreeing to a compact would need to go through the legislature, not through the board. The Board of Social Work Examiners should reach out to their legislator, should they want to be part of the compact. Currently, only the state of Missouri has enacted the compact and it will take six more states in order for it to be recognized nationally. The presentation ended. A. Applebee thanked K. Bison and D. Logsdon for giving the presentation. The board discussed the effects the compact would have on the State of Alaska, such as fees, federal licensing, and military personnel and spouses. S. Stone pointed out that this seemed to be trending nationally to have a compact and A. Applebee agreed. S. Woodward asked how the fees worked within the compact. A. Applebee explained Alaska may take a hit financially because of all the out-of-state licenses issued. A. Wells mentioned the federal system and its similarities to the compact. A. Applebee elaborated stating that even some agencies would allow a licensee to work across states. A. Taylor mentioned the burden of not having a compact has on military spouses, stating it is very difficult to plan for a career. The board decided to take a ten minute break and return to revisit the teletherapy discussion before investigations.

107108109

Off the record at 10:35

110 On the record at 10:46

111112

113114

115

116

117

Agenda Item – Teletherapy

Discussions on teletherapy resumed about the definition and its clarity. During the break the board chair, A. Applebee looked up another agency's definition. National Association of Social Workers defined teletherapy as 'Telemental health is the practice of delivering clinical health care services via technology assisted media or other electronic means between a practitioner and a client who are located in two different locations.' A. Applebee asked how they could align the State of Alaska's definition with the NASW. Division staff R. Clay stated the board

would need a regulation's project in order to update the regulation. S. Woodward wanted clarification on which regulation would need revision. A. Applebee stated the actual definition of teletherapy would need revision and that would help when interpreting which CEU to take. The discussion of teletherapy was determined to go into December's meeting where regulation updates would be discussed.

Discussion turned to the definition of nonmedical social worker. A question was asked by a member of the public about the definition of nonmedical and its meaning. A. Applebee read Sec. 08.95.990. Definitions (2) "clinical social work" means the diagnosis of psychiatric disorders and the use of techniques of applied psychotherapy of a nonmedical nature while practicing social work. The chair researched its meaning and stated that nonmedical means clinical social workers do not prescribe medications. The board conducted conversation about the meeting of nonmedical and resolved to discuss further at a future meeting.

Agenda Item - Investigations

In accordance with the provisions of Alaska Statute 44.62.310(c) and Alaska Constitutional Right to Privacy Provisions, Stacey Stone moved that the State Board of Social Work Examiners go into executive session for the purpose of discussing matters which by law, municipal charter, or ordinance are required to be confidential. Board staff excused for the session. Sharon Woodward seconded the motion. Motion passed via unanimous consent.

Off record at 11:01 am.

On the record at 11:34 am.

On a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Sharon Woodward, via roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to accept the surrender of license as discussed in case # 2021 - 000751. Motion passed.

Board Member	Approve	Deny	Recuse	Absent
Ann Applebee	X			
Ann Wells	X			
Amber Taylor	Х			
Sharon Woodward		Х		
Stacey Stone		Х		

Agenda Item- Regulations Discussion

S. Davis, Regulations Specialist II joined the meeting to answer any questions from the board about a regulations project. J. Pace informed the board about the process for starting a regulations project. She stated the board would need to take a look at all the items they wanted to potentially change in the regulations and earmark those changes. She discussed the frequently asked questions worksheet which highlights the reasons for the change and if there may be unintended consequences to anyone and what the board is hoping to accomplish or if it is simply a clarification of language. Further, she let the board know that the position statements on the website did not hold any legal weight and identifying the topics in a regulations project would help clean up the questionable wording and project what the board really intended the regulations to mean. She mentioned it would be a good idea to address as many ideas as possible because regulation projects have a cost attached, so it would be cost effective to have as many ideas as possible addressed before completing the project. A. Applebee thanked J. Pace and asked about the status of adding the ASWB exam date to the application and suicide education. S. Davis remarked the suicide education had already been added to the regulations and the applications should have been updated at the same time. She went on further to say that when the board adopts new regulations, it is sent to the Lieutenant Governor and then once signed it goes to a 30-day waiting period. During that period, it is a time for the

104 board to have clarification and clean-up any misinterpreted information. She mentioned they would not need a 105 new regulations project for that. She also mentioned the frequently asked questions worksheet had been updated, 106 so that it will look a little different. A. Applebee stated they did not have any questions. R. Clay stated that all the 107 applications and forms were in the process of being updated to reflect the suicide education update as well as the 108 ASWB exam date added to the online form. A. Applebee proposed the board break for lunch at 11:45 until 12:30. 109 There were no objections. 110 111 Off the record at 11:45 112 On the record at 12:30 113 114 115 <u>Agenda Item – Executive Session Consent Agreements</u> 116 M. Zimmerman with the legal joined the meeting to discuss consent agreements. 117 118 In accordance with the provisions of Alaska Statute 44.62.310(c) and Alaska Constitutional Right to Privacy 119 Provisions, Amber Taylor moved that the State Board of Social Work Examiners go into executive session for 120 the purpose of discussing consent agreements. The following subjects may be considered in executive session: • 121 matters, the immediate knowledge of which would clearly have an adverse effect upon the finances of the public 122 entity; • subjects that tend to prejudice the reputation and character of any person, provided the person may 123 request a public discussion; • matters which by law, municipal charter, or ordinance are required to be confidential; • matters involving consideration of government records that by law are not subject to public 124 125 disclosure. Sharon Woodward seconded the motion. Motion passed via unanimous consent. 126 127 Off the record at 12:32 128 On the record at 12:44 129 130 In a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Amber Taylor with unanimous consent, it was 131 resolved to approve consent agreement for case number 2022-001037 132 133 In a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Amber Taylor with unanimous consent, it was 134 resolved to approve consent agreement for case number 2022-001068 135 136 In a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Amber Taylor with unanimous consent, it was 137 resolved to approve consent agreement for case number 2022-000956 138 139 In a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Amber Taylor with unanimous consent, it was 140 resolved to approve consent agreement for case number 2022-000950 141 142 In a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Amber Taylor with unanimous consent, it was 143 resolved to approve consent agreement for case number 2022-000959 144 In a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Amber Taylor with unanimous consent, it was 145 146 resolved to approve consent agreement for case number 2022-000954 147 148 In a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Amber Taylor with unanimous consent, it was 149 resolved to approve consent agreement for case number 2022-001038 150

In a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Amber Taylor with unanimous consent, it was

Page **5** of **7**

151

152 resolved to approve consent agreement for case number 2022-000949 153 154 In a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Amber Taylor with unanimous consent, it was 155 resolved to approve consent agreement for case number 2022-001026 156 157 In a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Amber Taylor with unanimous consent, it was resolved to approve consent agreement for case number 2022-001039 158 159 160 In a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Amber Taylor with unanimous consent, it was resolved to approve consent agreement for case number 2022-001073 161 162 163 Agenda Item – Application Review 164 S. Stone wanted to review a supervision request that was incomplete. The board went into executive session to 165 discuss the supervision request. 166 167 In accordance with the provisions of Alaska Statute 44.62.310(c) and Alaska Constitutional Right to Privacy Provisions, Stacey Stone moved that the State Board of Social Work Examiners go into executive session for 168 169 the purpose of discussing a supervision request. The following subjects may be considered in executive session: 170 Subjects that tend to prejudice the reputation and character of any person, provided the person may request a 171 public discussion. Amber Taylor seconded the motion. Motion passed via unanimous consent. 172 173 Off record at 12:55 174 On record at 13:15 175 Agenda Item – Old Business 176 A. Applebee highlighted old business items to discuss. First was the approval of the May 8th, 2023 Board of 177 178 Social Work Examiners meeting minutes and mission statements. 179 180 In a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Amber Taylor with unanimous consent, it was resolved to approve the May 8th, 2023, Board of Social Work Examiners meeting minutes. 181 182 183 A. Applebee discussed the mission statement for the Board of Social Work Examiners. Other board members were in agreement that a mission statement would be a good idea to clarify for the public the board's purpose. 184 185 S. Stone stated it would be beneficial for the lay person. S. Woodward asked if other boards had mission 186 statements. A. Applebee stated she believed other boards did and asked R. Clay if she could reach out to other 187 boards for mission statements. 188 189 Agenda Item – New Business 190 A. Applebee announced the dates for the next Board of Social Work Examiners meeting scheduled for December 191 11-12, 2023. They discussed approval for having the meeting in person due to the board's desire to discuss 192 regulations changes and fill out the division worksheets. Everyone agreed that an in-person meeting would be 193 beneficial to the board and everyone would be able to make those dates. Next, A. Applebee inquired about the 194 ASWB New Board Member training. S. Stone stated she would attend the October meeting. A. Applebee wanted A. 195 Wells to attend the next available meeting and R. Clay stated she would make the board aware when those dates 196 were available. A. Applebee drew attention to the position statements for revision and S. Stone agreed that the

important to make it easy for the applicant to provide what is needed, but to not oversimplify, but to make sure all

regulations project could help address some of the confusion around them. S. Stone stated the position statements

were meant to clarify the regulations, but it is the regulations that need clarification. She went on to say its

197 198

199

the boxes are check and everyone is aware. A. Taylor brought up issues with continuing education certificates and how they are verified as valid. S. Stone agreed that it needs to be very clear on what is being approved. She stated that because of the Open Meetings Act, they were limited on what they could talk about, but that they needed to come to an agreement on what they are wanting and how that could be approved. A. Applebee valued S. Stone's comment as she is the public member and sometimes being in the field were more familiar with the requirements as opposed to someone who may not be. She also stated that familiarization with centralized regulations would also help clarify intent. With that it was decided to open a regulations project.

A motion made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Anne Wells, and approved by unanimous consent, was: open a regulatory project to address the 8 position statements of the board, to discern where regulatory changes are reasonable or necessary, address the definition of tele-therapy, and clarify the definition of nonmedical.

<u>Agenda Item – Adjourn</u>

There was no further business to discuss, and the meeting adjourned.

n a motion duly made by Stacey Stone, seconded by Amber Taylor with unanimous consent, it wa esolved to adjourn the meeting.			
Off the record at 14:00			
Respectfully submitted,			

Rebecca Clay, Licensing Examiner

227228 Ann Applebee, Board Chair

Date:

Date: