

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY, AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
 DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
BOARD OF REGISTRATION FOR ARCHITECTS, ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS
 CONDENSED MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD *MAY 10-11TH, 2023*

Date:	May 10-11 th , 2023
Time:	9am-4:30pm
Location:	University of Alaska Anchorage – EIB 413
Attending:	<p>Board members: Catherine Fritz, Jeff Garness, Ed Leonetti, Brent Cole, Bob Bell, Elizabeth Johnston, Loren Leman, Jake Maxwell, Randall Rozier, Sterling Strait, Fred Wallis Board staff: 5/10 -11- Sara Neal, Kelly Johnson, 5/10 - Melissa Dumas, Sara Chambers. 5/11 - Patrick Kase, Stefanie Davis, Alison Osborne. 5/10 - In person public: Colin Maynard, Amy Mestas. Via Zoom public: Matt Barusch (NCIDQ), Jesse Escamilla, Chris Pletnikoff, Charity Bear, Roy Robertson, David Parish, Dana Nunn, Mary Knopf, Barbara Cash, Larry Cash, Amy Herbst, Marcus Curley, JM Walsh, Taylor Gress, Lauren Earley, Kory Strom 12-1pm - SE Outreach Event: In person - Forest Bishop, Eric Blackmon, Eric Adams, Amy Mestas, Everet Megli, David Hoisington, Scott Hamel, Aaron Morse, Colin Maynard. Virtual – Laurence Treabley, KJ Tindall, Dale McCoy, Mike Quimby, Sharon Smith, Mathew Stielstra, Nikolai Petrov, Eric Rosendale, DV, Nick Choromanski, Laura Becia 5/11 – In person public: Colin Maynard, Lloyd Nakano (State Fire Marshal). Virtual – Roy Robertson, Jesse Escamilla, Leslie Daugherty, Kurt Sahl, Taylor Gress, Darrell Cooper*</p> <p>*Darrell Cooper joined the meeting via zoom on 5/11 at 12:30pm and proceeded to inappropriately interrupt the meeting. He was muted and removed from the meeting.</p>
Absent:	May 10 – Elizabeth Johnston – 9:00-10:40am, Brent Cole 9:00am - 1:00pm

1. 9:00am – Call to Order/Roll Call/Virtual Notice Catherine Fritz, Jeff Garness, Ed Leonetti, Bob Bell, Loren Leman, Jake Maxwell, Randall Rozier, Sterling Strait, Fred Wallis	
2. 9:05am – Review / Amend / Approve Agenda	
Motion: Agenda	<p>On a Motion made by Ed Leonetti, seconded by Jake Maxwell and approved unanimously, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve the agenda for May 10-11th, 2023, as amended by adding agenda items 3 D and 16 B – E and moving item 26 B to 26 E.</i></p>
3. 9:07am – General Information Items (No Discussion)	
	A Mission Statement
	B Virtual Meeting Code of Conduct
	C National Organizations Updates
	D Outreach Reports <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. March 2, 2023 – UAA Capstone – Leman ii. May 1, 2023 – Dimond High School EACC Mtg - Leman

4. Consent Agenda	
Item #1: Meeting Minutes	A. February 8-9, 2023, Minutes
	B. February 24, 2023, Minutes
	C. March 16, 2023, Minutes
Item #2: Outgoing Correspondence:	A. February 24, 2023 – Senator Bjorkman RE: Issues of Concern with SB73
	B. March 23, 2023 -Keith Merrick RE: Use of title “Engineer
	C. April 14, 2023 – Representative Sumner RE: HB159
	D. April 27, 2023 – Senator Wielechowski RE: SB126 – Architect/Engineer Registration Board-Section 9
	E. May 1, 2023 – UA Graduate Letter
Item #3: Outreach Reports	A. February 2023 – AIA Board Update – Fritz / Rozier
	B. March 22, 2023 – SEAAK Meeting - Strait
Item #4: General Board Business	A. NCEES – Kelly Johnson Associate Member
	B. CE Audit Update
	C. Continuing Education audit example
Item #5: Upcoming Meeting Dates	A. NCARB Annual Business Meeting – June 15-17 th , Tampa, FL – Fritz/Neal
	B. NCEES Annual Business Meeting – August 15-18 th , Boston, MA – Fritz / Johnston / Maxwell / Strait / Neal
	C. CLARB Annual Business Meeting – September 20-22 nd , Henderson, NV – Leonetti / Neal
Motion: Consent Agenda	On a Motion made by Bob Bell, seconded by Jake Maxwell and approved unanimously, it was: <i>RESOLVED to approve the consent agenda as presented in the May 10-11th, 2023, AELS board meeting.</i>
5. Ethics Reporting	
Brief Discussion:	Garness, as an individual, met with Representative Mears and Alaska Policy Forum regarding SB73. Bell had a conversation about the AELS board configuration with Representative McKay. Fritz met with Representative Gray concerning HB159 at his and AIA’s request. Fritz did speak for the AELS board and only shared the issues of concern the board had agreed to. She has also been working on the ID Working Group as an individual and not as a representative of the AELS board.
6. 9:30 am – Division Update – FY23 3rd Qtr Financial Report - Dumas	

7. 9:45 am – Old Business	
Motion: Policy for staff approval of comity applications	<p>A Create new policy for 36.010 j staff approval – Fritz / Neal It was decided that staff will review the applicants that have met the requirements as stated in the policy. All applications that meet the requirements will be uploaded to Onboard by the 10th of each month. The board will have two weeks to review those applications. A board member can pull any applicant they have questions and concerns about, and that application would be reviewed at the next board meeting. Staff will license the applicants the board does not have questions or concerns about. –</p> <p>On a Motion made by Bob Bell, seconded by Sterling Strait and approved unanimously, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve the Staff Delegation Policy for comity applications.</i></p> <p>Task: Fritz / Neal finalize and implement policy</p>
	<p>B Update Continuing Education links on website – Neal This task has been completed</p>
	<p>C Review AELS Regulations to remove any barriers to licensure due to foreign transcripts – Fritz / Rozier NCARB is considering letting the general education credits Europeans earn in high school count towards their college degree, thus making their degree acceptable when applying for the AREs and/or an NCARB Council Certificate.</p>
	<p>D ADEC Working Group Update – Garness / Leman Leman wrote a memo to DEC on February 22, but has not received a response. Garness sent an email to DEC Director Randy Bates to check the status of the proposed DEC regulation changes that conflicted with AELS’s regulations. There was also language in the proposed regulations that required tasks of the engineer that are not typically under the purview of an engineer.</p> <p>i. ADEC RE: Comments on Sealing of Record Drawings Leman and Garness provided caution about resealing a record document with information prepared by others, want registrants to have the option of doing this, but not requiring or prohibiting that action.</p>
	<p>E 10:15am - Break</p>
Motion: To approve adding ID’s to the AELS Board	<p>F 10:30am - Interior Design Regulation Update:</p> <p>i. ID Working Group Update During the May 2022 board meeting, the board asked the interior designers to form a working group and address the five concerns that the board had with HB61 and recommend possible changes in a report for the Nov 2022 AELS board meeting. Once SB73 was introduced the focus of the ID working group shifted as they worked together to improve the bill. HB159 was introduced in March 2023 which is identical to SB73 when it was introduced.</p> <p>ii. SB73 / HB159 Status: SB73 CS D is scheduled for a hearing in the Senate Labor and Commerce Committee today (May 10th) and HB159 has a scheduled hearing on May 12th.</p> <p>iii. Board Discussion (amended version of SB73)</p> <p>A motion was made by Bob Bell, seconded by Ed Leonetti to recommend approval to add the profession of interior design to the AELS Board per 08.48. The motion failed via roll call vote. Yes: Ed Leonetti, Randall Rozier, Sterling Strait, Elizabeth Johnston No: Jake Maxwell, Fred Wallis, Bob Bell, Jeff Garness, Catherine Fritz Abstain: Loren Leman</p>

<p>Motions for Recommended modifications to SB73 CS D:</p>	<p>The Board identified the areas of concern in SB 73 CS D and made the following recommendations:</p> <p>A motion was made by Ed Leonetti and seconded by Bob Bell to recommend changes to SB73 CS D</p> <p>Jeff Garness, seconded by Bob Bell, amended the motion to recommend removing all references to changing the AELS board composition in SB73 CS D. It was approved via roll call vote. Yes: Bell, Fritz, Garness, Leman, Leonetti, Maxwell, Rozier, Wallis. No: Strait (Johnston was absent)</p> <p>Sterling Strait, seconded by Jeff Garness, amended the motion to recommend removing #15 from Sec 27. It was approved unanimously via roll call vote.</p> <p>Sterling Strait, seconded by Ed Leonetti, amended the motion to recommend removing 24 (B) and modifying 24 (D) per the ID Working Group’s suggestions to Sec 30. It was approved unanimously via roll call vote.</p> <p>Sterling Strait, seconded by Jeff Garness, amended the motion to recommend changing Sec 37 by removing “July 1, 2025” and adding “90 days after the effective date of regulations established in 12 AAC 36 or two years after the effective date of the act whichever comes first at which time an interior designer must register if they want to practice registered interior design as described in AS 08.48.341.” It was approved unanimously via roll call vote. (Garness was absent)</p> <p>The main motion made by Ed Leonetti, seconded by Bob Bell, to recommend changes to SB73 CS D was approved unanimously via roll call vote. Therefore, it was,</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve all recommended modifications to SB73 CS D and forward the modifications to the Senate Labor and Commerce Committee as soon as possible.</i></p>
<p>8. 11:30 am – Public Comment</p>	
<p>Brief Discussion:</p>	<p>Roy Robertson expressed his thanks to the board for working on the Record Drawings paragraph in the Guidance Manual. He also shared his concerns about the need for an engineer to perform a post-construction inspection to which Leman asked for him to provide his concerns and possible suggestions in writing.</p>
<p>9. Noon – Lunch – Outreach Event</p>	
<p>SEAAK - In Person/Virtual:</p>	<p>Sterling Strait gave a presentation on the proposed AELS structural engineering regulation changes and the upcoming changes to the NCEES PE Structural Exam. He also took questions from participants regarding both topics. There was a total of 20 participants: 9 in person and 11 virtually. The AELS Board members provided lunch for the in-person participants.</p>
<p>10. Annual Report – Fritz / Garness Roll call after lunch: Bell, Cole Garness, Johnston, Leman, Leonetti, Maxwell, Rozier, Strait, and Wallis.</p>	
	<p>The board reviewed the annual report for FY 2024 as written by Fritz and Garness. It was suggested to add information on SB126. The Outreach Reports and the Strategic Plan will be added as appendices.</p>
<p>Motion (5/11): Annual Report</p>	<p>On a Motion made by Jeff Garness, seconded by Bob Bell and approved unanimously, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve the approve the FY2023 Annual Report as presented and edited per the review during the May 10-11th, 2023, AELS board meeting.</i></p>
<p>11. 2:15pm - Strategic Plan – Planning and Implementation Committee</p>	

	<p>Leonetti presented the proposed FY2024 Strategic Plan Tasks/Actions. Leonetti offered to head up looking into the DEI data available through NCEES, NCARB and CLARB. Strait suggesting also using ANSEP as a resource for DEI data within Alaska. Strait suggested that the Outreach Committee create build infographics for how to get licensed in each profession.</p> <p>Task: Leonetti and Johnston gather DEI data from the national organizations. Task: Neal volunteered to build the infographics with the Outreach Committee.</p>
Motion: Strategic Plan	<p>On a Motion made by Elizabeth Johnston, seconded by Ed Leonetti and approved unanimously, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve the FY2024 Strategic Plan as presented and as amended in the May 10-11th, 2023, AELS board meeting</i></p>
12. 3:00pm - Break	
13. 3:15pm – Correspondence	
	<p>A Incoming</p> <p>i. Industrial Exemption and Enforcement of PE Licensure Requirements Nolan Willis asked the board how he would go about repealing the Industrial Exemption. The board concluded that he would need to contact a legislator so the statute could be changed. Task: Fritz will write a letter to him explaining the legislative process because the exemption is in statute.</p> <p>ii. Use of seal as art – Garness / Fritz John Sorenson, a retired engineer, is writing a fiction book and would like to use his stamp in the book as part of his introduction. It was decided that while stamps are not meant to be used in this way, because he is not signing it this would be permissible. Task: Fritz will respond with a formal written response.</p> <p>iii. Public Testimony – SB73 4/12/2023 – Lucas Smith – Oppose 5/8/2023 – Colin Maynard – Support 5/8/2023 – Brian Meissner - Support 5/9/2023 – Matt Barusch/CIDQ – Support</p> <p>iv. National Organization’s support letter for SB126</p> <p>v. Ethics Question on Signing for Surveys Robert Lumpkin asked if it would violate statute or regulation if he hired and reviewed an imaging company’s work and put his stamp on it. The Board discussed that it would depend on whether he was in responsible charge or responsible control of the work. Another question Maxwell asked was whether scanning should be done without a supervising registered land surveyor to vet the data. Task: Maxwell will write a response for Bell to review that states that AELS Statutes and Regulations say a registrant must be in responsible control of the work he or she seals. The issue is not the employee/employee relationship or ownership of the company hiring the imaging company, but rather the question of if he is in responsible control of the work. When a registrant seals the work, he or she is claiming responsibility for all of the work and data used for the work.</p>
14. 3:30pm – New Business	
Motion: For NCEES testing location in SE Alaska	<p>A. Engineering Testing Center Locations – Strait Strait would like the AELS board to request that NCEES look into opening a testing center in southeast Alaska.</p> <p>On a Motion made by Sterling Strait, seconded by Jake Maxwell and approved unanimously, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve that the AELS Board request that NCEES work with their vendor to open a testing center in Juneau, Alaska.</i></p>

	<p>B. Sealing Plans / Possible FAQs – Johnston A question was posed to her at the State Fire Marshal forum about the overlap of the scope of practice of the different disciplines. The building official from Palmer said that he will send the board FAQs for the board to address. Fritz suggested sending him the Guidance Manual. Task: Guidance Manual Committee consider sending all building officials a copy of the Guidance Manual.</p>
	<p>C. Direct Supervision: Reviewing and stamping another person’s work – Garness This topic was discussed in the incoming correspondence and will be discussed under the responsible charge discussion tomorrow.</p>
	<p>D. Definition of Office – Johnston AELS has not stated what they define as “office.” 12 AAC36.145 states that offices must have at least one registrant in the office who is “regularly employed” and define that as “in the office at least 20 hours per week.” Due to teleworking and registrants who are person(s) in responsible charge for multiple firms, this regulation needs to be reviewed. Task: Ad hoc committee was formed of Elizabeth Johnston, Fred Wallis, and Jeff Garness to review 12AAC36.145.</p>
15. 4:00pm – License Examiner’s Report – Johnson	
16. 4:10pm – Application Review Questions	
	<p>A. Sean O’Neill Applicant was found incomplete during the February board meeting due to not having a bachelor’s degree. He has asked the board to consider his two AA’s as equivalent to a bachelor’s degree to meet the education requirements to sit for the PS and the AKLS. 12AAC 36.065 TABLE B and (h) require a bachelor’s degree. Task: Neal will write a letter for Maxwell to review informing the applicant that to be eligible to sit for the PS and the AKLS he must have a bachelor’s degree.</p>
	<p>B. Anthony Hafner – Garness & Strait Applicant is coming in SE by Exam. His experience was signed off by non-SEs. Because his verifier is from a non-discipline specific state, the board approved his application.</p>
	<p>C. Clay Willis – Garness & Strait This applicant is coming in SE by Exam. He passed both his SE I and SE II exams in 2009 and 2010. The new 12AAC36.105 states that an applicant must have passed the SE I and the SE II exams in 2006. This date was set because Washington decided the SE I and the SE II did not offer enough seismic questions, so it started offering the SE III. Alaska chose to match Washington, Oregon and California’s regulations. What that means is applicants who passed the SE I and the SEII between 2007-2011, are not eligible for an SE license in Alaska. Because of the year of his exams, the board must find him incomplete.</p>
	<p>D. Evan Sheesley – Garness & Strait Applicant is coming in SE by Comity. His letters of reference were from non SEs in a state that does license SEs. The letters offer explanations that show the overlap between civil and structural experience, so the board approved his application.</p>
	<p>E. Jarah Redwine -Garness & Strait Applicant is coming in EV by Comity that has an ABET degree and a Master’s degree in a discipline in which he is not applying for. After seeing that there is a pathway for licensure with this degree in 12AAC36.063, the board approved this applicant for licensure.</p>
17. 4:30pm – Recess for the Day	
18. 9:00am – Reconvene – Roll Call – Bob Bell, Catherine Fritz, Jeff Garness, Elizabeth Johnston, Loren Leman, Ed Leonetti, Jake Maxwell, Randall Rozier, Sterling Strait, and Fred Wallis / Brent Cole joined at 9:34am	

19. 9:05am – Regulation Projects	
<p>Motion: 36.075 2 years of SE experience not needed before sitting for SE exam.</p>	<p>A. SE Regulations – Strait</p> <p>The SE regulations were added to a regulation project that was already underway with the Department of Law. (Mentoring (36.063), Date of Experience (36.067), Required Examinations (36.100). Land Surveyor by Comity (36.107), Definitions #42 – structural engineering and #46 – mentoring program (36.990)</p> <p>On a Motion made by Sterling Strait, seconded by Jeff Garness and approved unanimously via roll call vote, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve to amend 36.075 to allow SE by exam applicants to sit for the exam without the additional two years of structural experience.</i></p> <p>Strait then asked the board to consider removing the requirement of having to pass an NCEES PE exam for the SE by comity applicants in the proposed 12AAC36.104. He also recommended taking off the date requirements of the eligible SE exams listed in 12AAC36.104 (c) 2-4. Johnston has concerns that it is easier to get an SE license by comity than SE by exam. She stated that the requirements for applicants by exam and by comity should be the same. NCEES sees structural engineering as a branch of engineering but the Western States (WA, OR, CA and AK) see it as a professional of engineering.</p>
<p>Motion to remove PE exam requirement for the SE and the date requirements for the eligible SE exams</p>	<p>On a Motion made by Sterling Strait, seconded by Jeff Garness and approved via roll call vote, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve amending 12AAC 36.104 by removing the requirement to pass a PE exam in (b) and removing the date requirements for the eligible SE exams listed in (c)2-4.</i></p> <p>Yes: Cole, Garness, Leman, Leonetti, Strait, Wallis, Fritz No: Bell, Johnston, Maxwell, Rozier</p>
<p>Motion to approve minor changes made to SE regulations verbiage</p>	<p>On a Motion made by Sterling Strait, seconded by Jeff Garness and unanimously approved via roll call vote, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve amend 12AAC 36.075 by adding (a)3, (a)4 (C) iii and (b) and amend 12AAC 36.104 by adding (d) and (e) and removing “in a jurisdiction without separate licensure requirements for structural engineers” from (h) 2.</i></p>
<p>Motion: approve SE regulations</p>	<p>On a Motion made by Sterling Strait, seconded by Elizabeth Johnston and unanimously approved via roll call vote, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve the regulation changes to 12AAC 36.063(k), 36.075, 36.104, 36.105(h), and 12AAC 36.990(a)(42) & (47) as presented and amended in the May 10-11th board meeting for public notice pending approval by the Department of Law.</i></p>
	B.
	<p>C. Responsible Charge – Leman / Garness</p> <p>Garness made a motion seconded by Bell for the board to adopt a new definition for responsible charge and to adopt a definition of progressive experience. Because there is already a definition for responsible charge in statute and regulations cannot be used to change statute, Garness withdrew the motion. The Board considered expounding on the definition of responsible charge in the Guidance Manual.</p> <p>Garness also made a motion to pursue statutory changes to modify Sec 08.48.341 (21) as well as remove the term “direct supervision.” The motion did not get a second.</p>

**Chapter 36. State Board of Registration for Architects,
Engineers, and Land Surveyors.**

12 AAC 36.063(c)(1) is amended to read:

(1) two years of responsible charge experience or **the equivalent experience gained through a** [SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF A FOUR-YEAR RESPONSIBLE CHARGE] mentoring **program, within the meaning given in 12 AAC 36.990(a)** and this section; **to** [. TO] receive full credit for responsible charge experience, an applicant must gain responsible charge experience while under the responsible control of a professional engineer registered in the United States in the branch of engineering for which the applicant has applied; **the** [THE] board will determine the amount of credit given for responsible charge experience gained under the responsible control of a professional engineer registered in the United States in another branch of engineering based on the comparability with the branch of engineering to which the applicant has applied;

12 AAC 36.063(c)(2) is amended to read:

(2) [RESPONSIBLE CHARGE] experience **gained under (j) of this section** [OR SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF FOUR YEARS OF RESPONSIBLE CHARGE OBTAINED WITHIN A MENTORING SYSTEM] will be considered for credit only if it is gained after the applicant has completed education or work experience or both that are equivalent to the requirements to be eligible for the fundamentals of engineering examination.

The introductory language of 12 AAC 36.063(j) is amended to read:

(j) To meet the mentoring requirements of this section, an applicant must complete [FOUR YEARS OF] quarterly face-to-face meetings with a professional engineer **who is** registered in the United States and registered in the same discipline that the applicant is applying for. **One quarter of responsible charge experience will be credited for every two quarters of a documented mentoring program.** An applicant shall

• • •

12 AAC 36.063(k) is repealed:

(k) Repealed ____ / ____ / ____ [TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR THE STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING EXAMINATION, AN APPLICANT MUST

(1) BE CURRENTLY REGISTERED AS A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER IN THIS STATE; AND

(2) HAVE AT LEAST TWO YEARS OF PROGRESSIVE STRUCTURAL EXPERIENCE, IN ADDITION TO THE EIGHT YEARS OF EDUCATION AND WORK EXPERIENCE OR BOTH THAT ARE EQUIVALENT TO THE REQUIREMENTS SET OUT IN THE APPLICABLE TABLE OF EDUCATION AND WORK EXPERIENCE

REQUIREMENTS FOR A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING EXAMINATION IN THIS SECTION.] (Eff. 9/30/78, Register 67; am 6/29/84, Register 90; am 8/13/87, Register 103; am 6/3/89, Register 110; am 3/16/96, Register 137; am 7/26/97, Register 143; am 8/26/98, Register 147; am 11/20/99, Register 152; am 3/8/2001, Register 157; am 6/13/2003, Register 166; am 7/22/2004, Register 171; am 9/11/2004, Register 171; am 10/29/2009, Register 192; am 9/9/2016, Register 219; am 5/25/2017, Register 222; am 5/5/2023, Register 246; am ____ / ____ / _____, Register _____)

Authority: AS 08.48.101 AS 08.48.171 AS 08.48.181

12 AAC 36.067 is repealed and readopted to read:

12 AAC 36.067. Date of experience. An applicant for registration as an engineer by examination who meets the requirements of 12 AAC 36.063(c)(1), or an applicant for registration as a land surveyor by examination who meets the requirements of 12 AAC 36.065(b) may project up to three months of professional or subprofessional work within the meaning given in 12 AAC 36.990(a) from the time of application to the date of the examination. (Eff. 9/30/78, Register 67; am 11/13/99, Register 152; am ____/____/_____, Register _____)

Authority: AS 08.48.101 AS 08.48.171

12 AAC 36 is amended by adding a new section to read:

12 AAC 36.075. Eligibility for structural engineering registration by examination.

(a) To be eligible for registration as a structural engineer, an applicant must

- (1) submit a completed application on a form provided by the department;
- (2) be currently registered as a professional engineer in this state;
- (3) have successfully passed the examination required in 12 AAC 36.100(g);
- (4) have at least two years of structural engineering work experience that must

(A) be gained after obtaining a professional engineering license;

(B) demonstrate progressive experience, knowledge, and project

responsibilities relating to the design of structural systems;

(C) be obtained under the responsible charge of

(i) a registered professional structural engineer;

(ii) a registered professional engineer practicing structural design to the standard of a professional structural engineer in a jurisdiction without separate licensure requirements for structural engineers; or

(iii) a mentoring registered professional structural engineer engaged with the applicant through a mentoring program;

(D) include practical design experience in one or more of the following areas:

(i) buildings or structures of at least 45 feet, or two stories, in height, located in a region of moderate or high seismic risk;

(ii) seismic rehabilitation or retrofitting of an existing building or structure located in a region of moderate or high seismic risk; or

(iii) structural design of any other structure of comparable structural complexity as set out in (i) or (ii) of this subparagraph; and

(5) submit evidence to the board's satisfaction that the applicant's experience meets the requirements as set out in (4) of this subsection.

(b) to be eligible for the structural engineering examination required in 12 AAC 36.100(g), an applicant must be currently registered as a professional engineer in this state. (Eff. ____/____/____, Register _____)

Authority: AS 08.48.101 AS 08.48.171 AS 08.48.181

The introductory language of 12 AAC 36.100(d) is amended to read:

(d) An [UNLESS AN APPLICANT IS REGISTERED BY COMITY UNDER AS 08.48.191(c), AN] applicant for registration as a land surveyor must pass the professional land surveyor examination, that includes

• • •

12 AAC 36.100 is amended by adding a new subsection to read:

(g) An applicant for registration as a structural engineer must pass the NCEES Principles and Practices of Engineering Structural Examination unless applying for registration by comity under 12 AAC 36.108. (Eff. 5/23/74, Register 50; am 6/3/89, Register 110; am 10/20/90, Register 116; am 3/16/96, Register 137; am 11/13/99, Register 152; am 8/19/2006, Register 179; am 7/12/2008, Register 187; am 7/19/2009, Register 191; am 5/5/2023, Register 246; am ___/___/_____, Register _____)

Authority: AS 08.48.101 AS 08.48.181 AS 08.48.191
AS 08.48.171

12 AAC 36 is amended by adding a new section to read :

12 AAC 36.104. Structural engineer registration by comity. (a) An applicant for registration as a structural engineer by comity must

(1) submit verification of current registration to practice structural engineering in a state, territory, or possession of the United States, the District of Columbia, or a foreign country, that is based upon education, experience, and examination requirements that, in the opinion of the board, are at least equivalent to the requirements as set out in AS 08.48 and this chapter at the time the applicant's registration was issued in the other jurisdiction;

(2) have passed one of the following structural engineering examinations:

(A) the NCEES PE Structural Examination;

(B) the NCEES Structural Engineering II and Washington or California Structural III examinations;

(C) the NCEES Structural Engineering I and NCEES Structural Engineering II; or

(D) the Western States 16-hour Structural Examination;

(3) have completed the cold regions design requirements of 12 AAC 36.110;

(4) have completed a jurisprudence questionnaire prepared by the board, covering the provisions of AS 08.01 - 08.03, 12 AAC 02, AS 08.48 and this chapter;

(5) meet the requirements for a professional engineering registration set out in 12 AAC 36.063(a)(3) plus an additional two years of experience working under the supervision of a registered professional engineer practicing structural engineering; and

(6) submit evidence of work experience by means of

(A) a letter bearing the signature and seal of the engineer responsible for the supervision of the applicant, verifying that applicant has been practicing structural engineering for two years; or

(B) two current letters of reference bearing the signatures and seals of professional engineers who meet the requirements of (b)(1) or (2) of this section, verifying that applicant has been practicing structural engineering as a registered professional engineer for at least five years before submitting an application under this section.

(b) Evidence of work experience and letters of references required under (a)(6)(A) and (B) of this section must be provided by

(1) a registered professional structural engineer in a state, territory, or possession of the United States, the District of Columbia, or a foreign country; or

(2) a registered professional engineer practicing structural design to the standard of a professional structural engineer.

(c) If the letter provided by an engineer to meet the requirements of (a)(6)(A) or (B) of this section does not possess a seal, the engineer must provide an explanation to the board, providing the engineer's registration number and certifying that the registration of that engineer is current.

(d) An applicant for registration as a structural engineer by comity may submit a council record issued by NCEES to verify the applicant's qualifications, including

- (1) the applicant's examination results;
- (2) records of the applicant's education; and
- (3) verification of the applicant's registration in another licensing jurisdiction.

(Eff. __/__/__, Register __)

Authority: AS 08.48.101 AS 08.48.201

12 AAC 36.105(h) is repealed and readopted to read:

(h) An applicant who has passed the NCEES Structural Engineering I Examination, NCEES Structural Engineering II Examination, or the NCEES PE Structural Examination may be granted a civil engineering registration. (Eff. 9/30/78, Register 67; am 8/13/87, Register 103; am 6/3/89, Register 110; am 10/20/90, Register 116; am 3/16/96, Register 137; am 7/26/97, Register 143; am 8/26/98, Register 147; am 11/13/99, Register 152; am 3/9/2001, Register 157; am 6/11/2005, Register 174; am 7/25/2008, Register 187; am 7/19/2009, Register 191; am

Register _____, _____ 2023 PROFESSIONAL REGULATIONS

5/25/2017, Register 222; am 3/28/2019, Register 229; am 5/5/2023, Register 246; am
_____/_____/_____, Register _____)

Authority: AS 08.48.101 AS 08.48.181 AS 08.48.191
AS 08.48.171

12 AAC 36.107(a)(3) is amended to read.

(3) has passed the [STATE LAND SURVEYOR] examination identified in
12 AAC 36.100(d) [12 AAC 36.100(d)(3)].
(Eff. 6/3/89, Register 110; am 10/20/90, Register 116; am 3/16/96, Register 137; am 5/5/2023,
Register 246; am ____/____/_____, Register _____)

Authority: AS 08.48.101 AS 08.48.181 AS 08.48.191
AS 08.48.171

12 AAC 36.990(a)(17)(O) is repealed:

(O) repealed ____/____/____ [(O) STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING;]

12 AAC 36.990(a)(42) is amended to read:

(42) "structural engineering" means the **practice of** [BRANCH OF
PROFESSIONAL] engineering that embraces the studies and activities relating to the
investigation, evaluation, analysis, design and construction of buildings, bridges, and other
structures such as walls, columns, slabs, beams, trusses, or similar members requiring force-
resisting and load bearing members and their connections, or similar members used singly or as a

part of a larger structure, and the organizational and economic aspects of these studies and activities;

12 AAC 36.990(a)(46) is repealed

(46) repealed ____ / ____ / ____ [(47) "PROGRESSIVE STRUCTURAL EXPERIENCE" MEANS THE EXPERIENCE GAINED BY AN APPLICANT AS A STRUCTURAL ENGINEER WHILE DESIGNING ONE OR MORE SIGNIFICANT STRUCTURES UNDER THE RESPONSIBLE CHARGE OF A REGISTERED STRUCTURAL ENGINEER;]

12 AAC 36.990(a) is amended by adding a new paragraph to read:

(47) "mentoring program" means a program in which a corresponding licensee reviews a mentee's work on a regular basis to ensure that the work meets the standard of care of that discipline and confirms that the mentee is developing experience and responsible charge experience.

(Eff. 5/23/74, Register 50; am 9/30/78, Register 67; am 6/29/84, Register 90; am 8/29/87, Register 103; am 10/20/90, Register 116; am 3/16/96, Register 137; am 7/26/97, Register 143; am 8/26/98, Register 147; am 11/13/99, Register 152; am 3/9/2001, Register 157; am 1/26/2012, Register 201; am 3/11/2012, Register 201; am 10/4/2015, Register 216; am 9/9/2016, Register 219; am 5/25/2017, Register 222; am 5/5/2023, Register 246; am ____ / ____ / _____, Register _____)

Authority: AS 08.48.101 AS 08.48.181 AS 08.48.331

AS 08.48.171

AS 08.48.191

<p>Motion to clarify the term of responsible charge in GM</p> <p>Motion to review and define term “responsible charge experience”</p>	<p>On a Motion made by Loren Leman, seconded by Randall Rozier and approved unanimously, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve clarifying and expounding on the definition of responsible charge in the Guidance Manual.</i></p> <p>Task: Guidance Manual Committee – clarify and expound on the definition of responsible charge.</p> <p>On a Motion made by Loren Leman, seconded by Elizabeth Johnston and approved via roll call vote, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve reviewing the term “responsible charge experience” in regulation and develop a definition for the term.</i></p> <p>Yes: Johnston, Leman, Leonetti, Maxwell, Rozier, Strait, Wallis, and Fritz No: Bell and Garness</p> <p>Task: Leman and Garness – review term “responsible charge experience” in regulation and develop a definition</p>
<p>Main Motion to approve CE Regulations</p> <p>Amendment: Change “licensee” to “registrant”</p> <p>Amendments: Modify 12AAC 36.530(e)</p> <p>Amendment to add reference AS 08.01.100(d) to 12AAC 36.510 (d) and (j)</p> <p>Approval of main motion</p>	<p>D. CE Regulations Changes</p> <p>Johnston made a motion, seconded by Strait to approve the regulation changes to Article V – 12AAC 36.500 – 550 as presented in the May 10-11th board meeting for public notice pending approval by the Department of Law.</p> <p>Leman, seconded by Leonetti, amended the motion to change the term licensee to registrant and remove the definition of licensee. It was approved via a roll call vote. Yes: Garness, Leman, Leonetti, Maxwell, Rozier, Bell, Cole, and Fritz No: Johnston, Strait, and Wallis</p> <p>Leman, seconded by Maxwell, amended the motion to modify 12AAC 36.530 (e) to say “if they have been registered as a professional architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect for a duration of 30 or more years.” It was approved via a roll call vote Yes: Strait, Wallis, Rozier, Maxwell, Leonetti, Leman, Johnston, Garness, Cole and Fritz. No: Bell</p> <p>Johnston, seconded by Strait, amended the motion to modify 12AAC 36.530 (e) by replacing “renewal cycle” with “biennial licensing period.” It was approved unanimously via roll call vote.</p> <p>Johnston, seconded by Bell, amended the motion to add “except as provided in AS 08.01.100(d)” to the end of 12AAC 36.510 (d) and (j). It was approved unanimously via roll call vote.</p> <p>The main motion was approved unanimously via roll call vote.</p>
<p>Motion: Approve regulation changes to 12AAC36.068 to comply with CLARB’s uniform standard</p>	<p>E. 12AAC 36.068 – LA by Exam – Leonetti</p> <p>On a Motion made by Ed Leonetti, seconded by Bob Bell and approved unanimously via roll call vote, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve the regulation changes to 12AAC 36.068 as presented in the May 10-11th board meeting for public notice pending approval by the Department of Law</i></p>

**Chapter 36. State Board of Registration for Architects,
Engineers, and Land Surveyors.**

(Words in **boldface and underlined** indicate language being added; words [CAPITALIZED AND BRACKETED] indicate language being deleted.)

12 AAC 36.510 is amended to read:

12 AAC 36.510. Continuing education requirements. (a) Unless exempted under 12 AAC 36.530, a **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] must meet the continuing education requirements of 12 AAC 36.510 – 12 AAC 36.550 as a condition of the renewal, reinstatement, or reactivation of **the registrant's** [THE PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] registration.

(b) Unless exempted under 12 AAC 36.530, a **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] seeking renewal, reinstatement, or reactivation of **the registrant's** [A PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] registration shall submit, on a form provided by the department, a certification that the **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] has met the continuing education requirements of 12 AAC 36.510 – 12 AAC 36.550.

(c) To renew a professional architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect registration, a **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] must obtain a minimum of 24 professional development hours during the 24 months immediately preceding that registration period.

(d) To reinstate a lapsed professional architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect registration, a **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] seeking reinstatement must obtain a minimum

of 24 professional development hours during the 24 months immediately preceding the application for reinstatement of **the registrant's** [THE PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] registration **unless the registrant meets the requirements of 12 AAC 36.530(e) and obtain eight professional development hours during the 24 months immediately preceding the application for reinstatement of the registrant's registration except as provided in AS 08.01.100(d)**

(e) **Repealed** ____ / ____ / ____ [A PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT WHO OBTAINS MORE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT HOURS DURING A BIENNIAL REGISTRATION PERIOD THAN NEEDED TO QUALIFY FOR RENEWAL OR REINSTATEMENT OF THE PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT REGISTRATION MAY APPLY UP TO 12 OF THE EXCESS PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT HOURS TO THE CONTINUING EDUCATION REQUIREMENT FOR THE SUBSEQUENT BIENNIAL PERIOD FOR PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT REGISTRATION].

(f) A professional architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect holding multiple registrations in the state is required to earn at least the minimum number of professional development hours of continuing education as those required for a single registration holder. [HOWEVER, AT LEAST EIGHT HOURS OF THE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT HOURS MUST BE IN EACH REGISTRATION HELD.]

(g) Continuing education courses or activities are not pre-approved by the board, but must **be in technical and professional subjects related to the scope of practice of the registrant** [MEET THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA:

(1) THE SUBJECT MATTER MUST ADDRESS THE PUBLIC'S HEALTH,

SAFETY, AND WELFARE BY INSTRUCTING IN THE PROPER PLANNING AND DESIGN IN THE AREA OF THE REGISTRANT'S REGISTRATION OR DISCIPLINE, FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF BUILDINGS, STRUCTURES, INFRASTRUCTURE, OR THE SPACES WITHIN AND SURROUNDING SUCH FACILITIES, PRESERVATION AND ENHANCEMENT OF LAND USE AND NATURAL LAND FEATURES, MEASURING AND LOCATING LAND FOR PROPERTY BOUNDARIES, PLATTING, PLANNING AND DESIGN OF SUBDIVISIONS, OR THE PREPARATION AND PERPETUATION OF MAPS OR RECORD PLATS SO THAT GENERALLY

(A) RISK OF INJURY TO PERSONS OR PROPERTY IS MINIMIZED;

(B) THE RESULTS ARE DURABLE AND ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY;

(C) THE RESULTS FUNCTION PROPERLY IN ALL RELEVANT RESPECTS; OR

(D) THE RESULTS ENHANCE THE GENERAL WELFARE OF THE PUBLIC;

(2) THE COURSE OR ACTIVITY MUST BE RELEVANT TO THE PRACTICE OF PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECTURE, ENGINEERING, LAND SURVEYING, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, AND MAY INCLUDE TECHNICAL, ETHICAL, OR MANAGERIAL CONTENT;

(3) THE COURSE OR ACTIVITY MUST BE DESIGNED TO MAINTAIN, IMPROVE, OR EXPAND PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT SKILLS AND KNOWLEDGE;

(4) EACH COURSE OR ACTIVITY MUST BE WELL ORGANIZED AND THE CONTENT PRESENTED IN A SEQUENTIAL MANNER; AND

(5) THE PRESENTATION MUST BE MADE BY PERSONS WHO ARE WELL QUALIFIED IN THE SUBJECT BY EDUCATION OR EXPERIENCE IN THE SUBJECT].

(h) **Repealed** ____ / ____ / ____ [THE SPONSORING ORGANIZATIONS THAT PROVIDE CONTINUING EDUCATION MAY BE AN EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION, A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION, OR A BUSINESS OR GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION’S CONTINUING EDUCATION THAT SATISFIES THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SECTION INCLUDES THE PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT’S, ENGINEER’S, LAND SURVEYOR’S OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT’S

(1) SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF COLLEGE COURSES;

(2) SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF CONTINUING EDUCATION COURSES;

(3) SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION OF SHORT COURSES, TUTORIALS, CORRESPONDENCE, WEB-BASED COURSES, AND TELEVISED OR VIDEOTAPED COURSES;

(4) ATTENDING SEMINARS, IN-HOUSE WORKSHOPS, OR PROFESSIONAL OR TECHNICAL PRESENTATIONS AT MEETINGS, CONVENTIONS, OR CONFERENCES;

(5) AUTHORIZING PUBLISHED PAPERS, ARTICLES, OR BOOKS;

(6) SERVING AS AN OFFICER OR ACTIVELY PARTICIPATING IN A COMMITTEE OF PROFESSIONAL OR TECHNICAL SOCIETIES; AND

(7) TEACHING OR INSTRUCTING THE ACTIVITIES LISTED IN (1) – (4) OF THIS SUBSECTION].

(i) A **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] who also holds a registration as a professional architect,

engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect in another licensing jurisdiction may meet the requirements of 12 AAC 36.510 – 12 AAC 36.550 by establishing that the **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] has met the mandatory continuing education requirements for renewal of the professional architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect registration in the other licensing jurisdiction, if the mandatory continuing education requirements of the other jurisdiction are substantially similar to or exceed those of 12 AAC 36.510 – 12 AAC 36.550 at the time that continuing education credit is claimed.

(j) To reactivate a retired professional architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect registration, a professional architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect seeking reactivation must obtain 24 professional development hours during the 24 months immediately preceding the application for reactivation of the professional architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect registration **unless the registrant meets the requirements of 12 AAC 36.530(e) and obtain eight professional development hours during the 24 months immediately preceding the application for reinstatement of the registrant's registration except as provided in AS 08.01.100(d).**

(k) If an applicant for renewal of an architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect registration fails to meet the continuing education requirements of (c) of this section on or before December 31st of the current biennium, the applicant may request an extension to complete the required professional development hours. This extension does not function as a license extension and the registrant cannot practice during this period. The applicant must

(1) submit a completed application for biennial registration renewal on a form provided by the department;

(2) pay the biennial registration renewal and continuing education extension fees

established in 12 AAC 02.110;

(3) complete the required professional development hours before the first January 31st of the new biennium; and

(4) submit a continuing education audit form with documentation, acceptable to the board, showing completion of the required continuing education. (Eff. 10/18/2007, Register 184; am 7/12/2008, Register 187; am 7/13/2011, Register 199; am 9/11/2015, Register 215; am 10/31/2019, Register 232; am ____ / ____ / _____, Register _____)

Authority: AS 08.48.071 AS 08.48.101 AS 08.48.341

12 AAC 36.520 is amended to read:

12 AAC 36.520. Computation of continuing education credit. (a) The board has final authority with respect to the acceptance of courses, activities, credits, professional development hour values, and other methods of earning continuing education credits. Continuing education credit is computed as follows:

(1) credit for college approved courses is based upon course credit established by the college **and contingent upon receipt of a passing grade. For college approved courses,**

(A) one unit of college semester credit equals 45 professional development hours;

(B) one unit of college quarter credit equals 30 professional development hours;

(2) credit for qualifying **courses or activities is based on one professional development hour for each hour of attendance** [SEMINARS, IN-HOUSE WORKSHOPS, AND PROFESSIONAL OR TECHNICAL PRESENTATIONS IS BASED ON ONE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT HOUR FOR EACH HOUR OF ATTENDANCE AT THE

SEMINAR, IN-HOUSE WORKSHOP, OR PROFESSIONAL OR TECHNICAL PRESENTATION];

(3) **for teaching under (a)(1) and (2) of this section, apply a multiple of two, full time faculty may not claim teaching credit associated with the registrant's regular duties** [ATTENDANCE AT QUALIFYING PROGRAMS PRESENTED AT PROFESSIONAL AND TECHNICAL SOCIETY MEETINGS, CONVENTIONS, OR CONFERENCES EARNS ONE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT HOUR FOR EACH HOUR OF ATTENDANCE AT THE PROGRAM];

(4) credit for published papers, articles, or books is

(A) based on one professional development hour for each hour of professional preparation of the paper, article, or book;

(B) **based on one professional development hour for each hour of technical or peer review of the paper, article, or book** [DETERMINED BY THE PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT; AND]

(C) **repealed** ____/____/____ [SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND APPROVAL BY THE BOARD UNDER THE STANDARDS SET OUT IN 12 AAC 36.510 – 12 AAC 36.550];

(5) **up to eight hours annually may be credited** [CREDIT] for **participation** [PARTICIPATING] in **each** professional and technical **organization** [SOCIETIES]. **Credit** may be claimed for a year of service as an officer or in active participation in a committee of the **organization** [SOCIETY], based on one professional development hour for every two hours of service or participation **in each organization**; [PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT HOUR CREDITS UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH ARE EARNED AT THE END OF EACH FULL

YEAR OF SERVICE OR PARTICIPATION.]

(6) up to 10 professional development hours for each patent awarded;

(7) up to 8 professional development hours annually for successfully completing a course or activity that has content areas focusing on ethics or business-related activity, improving a registrant's business practice or operations, or advancing professionally related skills and practices as applicable to the practice of the registrant. An ethics or business-related course or activity earns one professional development hour for each hour of attendance;

(8) credit for outreach activities earns one professional development hour for each hour of activity the registrant completes;

(9) up to four hours annually may be credited for structured self-study in the subject for which the registrant holds a registration;

(10) no more than 12 professional development hours may be claimed on a single calendar day;

(11) fractions of hours are rounded down to the nearest half hour.

(b) **Repealed** ____/____/____ [THE COMPUTATION OF CREDITS OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT HOURS IS AS FOLLOWS:

(1) ONE UNIT OF COLLEGE SEMESTER CREDIT EQUALS 45 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT HOURS;

(2) ONE UNIT OF COLLEGE QUARTER CREDIT EQUALS 30 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT HOURS;

(3) ONE CONTINUING EDUCATION UNIT OF PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT CONTINUING EDUCATION EQUALS 10 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT HOURS;

(4) ONE HOUR OF A SEMINAR, IN-HOUSE WORKSHOP, OR PROFESSIONAL OR TECHNICAL PRESENTATION ATTENDED AT MEETINGS, CONVENTIONS, OR CONFERENCES EQUALS ONE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT HOUR;

(5) ONE HOUR OF INITIAL INSTRUCTION OF THE SUBJECT MATTER WHEN TEACHING PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT COURSES, SEMINARS, OR PROFESSIONAL OR TECHNICAL PRESENTATIONS EQUALS TWO PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT HOURS; THIS PROVISION DOES NOT APPLY TO FULL-TIME FACULTY;

(6) UP TO 10 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT HOURS PER BIENNIAL REGISTRATION PERIOD MAY BE CLAIMED FOR A PUBLISHED PAPER, ARTICLE, OR BOOK, BASED ON THE AMOUNT OF TIME AND EFFORT REQUIRED TO PRODUCE THE PAPER, ARTICLE, OR BOOK;

(7) FOR SERVING AS AN OFFICER OR ACTIVELY PARTICIPATING IN A COMMITTEE OF PROFESSIONAL AND TECHNICAL SOCIETIES, UP TO EIGHT PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT HOURS PER YEAR MAY BE CLAIMED FOR EACH PROFESSIONAL OR TECHNICAL SOCIETY.] (Eff. 10/18/2007, Register 184; am 7/12/2008, Register 187; am 7/13/2011, Register 199; am ____/____/_____, Register _____)

Authority: AS 08.48.071 AS 08.48.101

12 AAC 36.530 is amended to read:

12 AAC 36.530. Exemptions. (a) A professional architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect is exempt from the continuing education requirements of 12 AAC 36.510 – 12 AAC 36.550 for the first biennial registration renewal period following initial issuance of the

professional architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect registration.

(b) A **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] is exempt from the continuing education requirements of 12 AAC 36.510 – 12 AAC 36.550 for renewal of the professional architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect registration for the biennial registration period immediately following a period of service by the **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] on active duty in the armed forces of the United States exceeding 120 consecutive days within a 12-month period.

(c) A **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] who is in retired status under 12 AAC 36.115 is exempt from the continuing education requirements of 12 AAC 36.510 – 12 AAC 36.550 during the time the **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] is retired, **except that a** [. A] retired **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] who wishes to return to active practice as a professional architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect must meet the requirements of 12 AAC 36.115, including continuing education requirements applicable under 12 AAC 36.510(j).

(d) A **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] may request an exemption from the continuing education requirements of 12 AAC 36.510 – 12 AAC 36.550 by submitting a written request to the board that describes the reasons for the request and includes supporting documentation. If the board finds good cause, the board will grant an exemption under this subsection to a **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] who is experiencing a physical disability, serious illness, family emergency, or

other extenuating circumstance.

(e) A professional architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect is partially exempt from the continuing education requirements of 12 AAC 36.510 – 12 AAC 36.550 if they have been registered as a professional architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect for a duration of 30 or more years in a NCEES, NCARB, or CLARB recognized jurisdiction. They must complete eight hours per biennial licensing period. (Eff.

10/18/2007, Register 184; am 7/12/2008, Register 187; am 7/13/2011, Register 199; am _____ / _____ / _____, Register _____)

Authority: AS 08.48.071 AS 08.48.101

12 AAC 36.540 is amended to read:

12 AAC 36.540. Record keeping and review of records. (a) A professional architect, engineer, land surveyor, or landscape architect shall maintain records that may be used to verify professional development hours claimed under 12 AAC 36.510 – 12 AAC 36.550. These required records include

(1) a log showing the course or activity claimed, the sponsoring organization, the [LOCATION AND] duration of the course or activity, the **activity title and description of content** [NAME OF THE SPEAKER OR INSTRUCTOR, AND THE UNIT OF CREDIT OR NUMBER OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT HOURS EARNED]; and

(2) **a board-approved continuing education structured report for publishing, teaching, presenting, active participation in professional and technical societies, in-house programs, patents, outreach activities, and structured self-study** [ATTENDANCE VERIFICATION RECORDS IN THE FORM OF COMPLETION CERTIFICATIONS, SIGNED ATTENDANCE RECEIPTS, RECEIPTS FOR THE PAYMENT OF TUITION OR

FEES, A COPY OF A LIST OF PARTICIPANTS SIGNED BY THE SPEAKER OR INSTRUCTOR, OR SIMILAR DOCUMENTS SHOWING EVIDENCE OF ATTENDANCE].

(b) Records required under (a) of this section must include sufficient detail to permit verification during an audit, and must be maintained for at least four years from the date that the course or activity was completed.

(c) The board may request at any time that a **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] provide proof of compliance with the continuing education requirements of 12 AAC 36.510 – 12 AAC 36.550. A **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] must provide a copy of the records required under (a) of this section to the board **not** [NO] later than 30 days after receipt of a request for the records.

(d) Audits of compliance of **registrant** [PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT] continuing education requirements will be conducted in accordance with 12 AAC 02.960. (Eff. 10/18/2007, Register 184; am 7/13/2011, Register 199; am ___ / ___ / _____, Register _____)

Authority: AS 08.48.071 AS 08.48.101

12 AAC 36.550 is amended to read:

12 AAC 36.550. Definitions. In 12 AAC 36.500 – 12 AAC 36.550, unless the context requires otherwise,

(1) “college” includes a community college and a university;

(2) “continuing education unit” means a **unit of credit customarily used for**

continuing education courses. One continuing education unit equals 10 contact hours in an approved continuing education course [UNIFORM UNIT OF MEASURE FOR

CONTINUING EDUCATION AND TRAINING ESTABLISHED BY A NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED PROFESSIONAL OR TECHNICAL SOCIETY ACCEPTABLE TO THE BOARD];

(3) “**qualifying** course or activity” means a **course or activity with a clear purpose and objective which will maintain, improve, or expand the skills and knowledge relevant to the registrant’s field of practice. Regular duties are not considered qualified activities** [UNIT OF INSTRUCTION

(A) WITH A CLEAR PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVE TO MAINTAIN, IMPROVE, OR EXPAND THE SKILLS AND KNOWLEDGE RELEVANT TO THE PRACTICE OF A PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT, ENGINEER, LAND SURVEYOR, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT; AND

(B) THAT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF 12 AAC 36.510];

(4) **repealed** ___ / ___ / ___ [“IN-HOUSE WORKSHOP” MEANS A SEMINAR, PROGRAM, OR TRAINING SESSION PRESENTED BY A PROFESSIONAL WITH EXPERTISE IN THE FIELD OF ARCHITECTURE, ENGINEERING, LAND SURVEYING, OR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE];

(5) “professional development hour” means not less than 50 minutes of instruction or presentation in a continuing education course or activity that meets the requirements of 12 AAC 36.510;

(6) “**ethics or business-related course or activity**” means a **qualifying course or activity with content areas related to**

(A) awareness of ethical concerns and conflicts;

(B) familiarity with the codes of conduct;

(C) understanding of standards of practice;

(D) project management and risk-management; or

(E) other similar topics aimed at maintaining, improving, or expanding the skills set and knowledge relevant to the registrant’s field and methods of practice;

(7) “outreach activity” includes active participation in educational outreach activities pertaining to professional licensure or the architecture, engineering, land surveying, or landscape architecture professions that involve K-12 or higher education students;

(9) “structured self-study” means time spent engaging in self-guided professional development. (Eff. 10/18/2007, Register 184; am 7/12/2008, Register 187; am 7/13/2011, Register 199; am ____/____/_____, Register _____)

Authority: AS 08.48.101 AS 08.48.341

Chapter 36. State Board of Registration for Architects, Engineers, and Land Surveyors.

(Words in **boldface and underlined** indicate language being added; words [CAPITALIZED AND BRACKETED] indicate language being deleted.)

12 AAC 36.068 is repealed and readopted to read:

12 AAC 36.068. Eligibility for landscape architect registration by examination. (a)

To be eligible for registration by examination as a landscape architect in the state, an applicant must

(1) submit an application in compliance with 12 AAC 36.010;

(2) successfully pass the examination requirements of 12 AAC 36.100 which must include the Landscape Architectural Registration Examination (LARE) required by 12 AAC 36.100(e);

(3) submit satisfactory evidence as verification that the applicant meets the education and work experience requirements set out in the table of education, work experience and exam requirements for professional landscape architects by one of the following options

(A) LAAB accredited education requirements and associated experience;

or

(B) education through practical experience requirements; and

(4) satisfy the cold regions design requirement of 12 AAC 36.110.

TABLE OF EDUCATION, WORK EXPERIENCE AND EXAM
REQUIREMENTS FOR PROFESSIONAL LANDSCAPE
ARCHITECTS

<u>Education</u>	<u>Work Experience</u>	<u>Exam</u>
(A) LAAB -accredited Landscape Architecture Degree	2 years	Pass the L.A.R.E

(B) Education thru Practical experience only 8 years Pass the L.A.R.E

(b) In lieu of a degree in landscape architecture accredited by LAAB, LAAC, or the international equivalent, an applicant seeking licensure under (a)(3)(B) of this section may earn credit toward the work experience requirements set out in table of education, work experience and exam requirements for professional landscape architects through one of the following alternative education options:

(1) a non-accredited degree or certification in landscape architecture for which the applicant may be credited with one year of diversified work experience for each year of school up to a maximum of four years of credited work experience; or

(2) a post-secondary degree or certificate in another subject for which the applicant may be credited with six months of diversified work experience for each year of schooling up to a maximum of two years of credited work experience.

(c) To be eligible for registration to take the L.A.R.E. an applicant for registration by examination under (a)(3)(A) or (a)(3)(B) of this section, must apply to the board for approval to register for the examination and submit a completed application, on a form provided by the department.

(d) An applicant with a foreign degree shall submit

(1) a transcript of the applicant's education and if the transcript is not in English, submit a translation into English and a signed and notarized affidavit by the translator of the accuracy of the translation; and

(2) an evaluation of the applicant's education from an agency approved by the board unless the applicant's education has been earned at a school accredited by an accreditation agency recognized by the board.

(e) To receive full credit for work experience, an applicant must

(1) gain experience while under the responsible control of a professional landscape architect registered in the United States; or

(2) successfully complete a mentoring program that meets the requirements of (h) - (j) of this section.

(f) Education and work experience may not be accumulated concurrently. A maximum of 12 months' credit may be claimed for a calendar year.

(g) Work experience for initial examination must be in the field of landscape architecture for full credit to be given. If the work experience is not in the field of landscape architecture, the board will determine the amount of the credit to be given based on comparability within the field of landscape architecture practice.

(h) To meet the mentoring requirements of this section, an applicant who holds a LAAB accredited professional degree in landscape architecture must complete two years of quarterly face-to-face meetings with a professional landscape architect registered in the United States;

(i) On a form provided by the department, an applicant shall submit a report for each meeting under (h) of this section, including

(1) a description of the applicant's work experience and topics reviewed during the meeting;

(2) a statement indicating whether

(A) the work experience reviewed was responsible charge experience,

(B) the work experience was directly applicable to professional landscape architectural work; and

(C) the work was performed according to industry standards; and

(3) the signature, date and seal of the professional landscape architect who served as the applicant's mentor.

(j) An applicant who completes a mentoring program under (h) of this section must submit a final report that includes a statement from the professional landscape architect who served as the mentor recommending the applicant for registration under AS 08.48 and this chapter.

(k) Upon submission of evidence of graduation from an LAAB accredited curriculum in landscape architecture, an applicant for examination as a landscape architect may sit for the examination as early as can be scheduled after graduation. (Eff. 11/13/99, Register 152; am 9/11/2004, Register 171; am 8/19/2006, Register 179; am 5/4/2013, Register 206; am 5/5/2023, Register 246; am ___/___/_____, Register _____)

Authority: AS 08.48.101 AS 08.48.171 AS 08.48.181

<p>Motion: Approve regulation changes to 12AAC36.185 (f) and (j) – Digital Signatures</p>	<p>F. 12AAC 36.185 – Digital Signatures – Johnston On a Motion made by Elizabeth Johnston, seconded by Jeff Garness, and approved unanimously via roll call vote, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve the regulation changes to 12AAC 36.185(f) and (j) as presented in the May 10-11th board meeting for public notice pending approval by the Department of Law</i> (Maxwell was absent for vote) Task: Johnston and Garness – Write policy for document retention</p>
<p>Motion: Approve 12AAC 36.040 – Removing the 5-year rolling clock</p>	<p>G. 12AAC 36.040 – Simplified application for examination – Johnston / Leonetti / Rozier On a Motion made by Elizabeth Johnston, seconded by Jake Maxwell, and approved unanimously via roll call vote, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve the regulation changes to 12AAC 36.040(a),(b), and (c) as presented in the May 10-11th board meeting for public notice pending approval by the Department of Law.</i> (Leonetti was absent for vote)</p>
	<p>H. Architectural Engineering – Johnston / Legislative Liaison Committee Johnston is reviewing NCEES Architectural Engineering Exam information and is crafting questions for Neal to send out to all the other MBAs to see how other states are handling this discipline.</p>
<p>20. 10:45am – Break</p>	
<p>21. 11:00am - Committee Updates</p>	
<p>Motion to remove the definition of HSW from the Board Policies & Historical Information</p>	<p>A. Guidance Manual – Rozier</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Record Drawing Paragraph The committee met and reviewed several variations that included DEC’s suggestions. A consensus was not reached. Rozier is working to incorporate Roy Robertson’s comments that he emailed. The Guidance Manual Committee will meet to review and present the paragraph at the August 2023 board meeting. Garness will meet with DEC to get their input before the committee meeting. ii. Board Policies and Historical Information The board reviewed changes the committee made to this document. Johnston had concerns with II H – Definition of Health, Safety, & Welfare and made a motion to have it removed from this document. <p>On a Motion made by Elizabeth Johnston, seconded by Jeff Garness, and approved unanimously, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve removing II (H) – The definition of Health, Safety & Welfare from the Board Policies and Historical Information.</i></p> <p>Task: Guidance Manual Committee – work on a new definition for Health, Safety and Welfare to put into the Board Policies and Historical Information document.</p>
<p>22. 11:30am – Public Comment (did not start until 12pm)</p>	
<p>Brief Discussion:</p>	<p>Colin Maynard expressed that in his opinion it does compromise the health, safety, and welfare of the public to not require a comity structural engineer applicant to have passed a PE exam. If that change is adopted, the board should consider requiring the applicant to submit 2 projects for seismic design for category D or bigger. He also mentioned that retired registrants do not have to reinstate their licenses so the added language in the CE regulations would not be needed. The vote to not approve the interior designers to the board was disappointing to him as he believes it made it seem as if the AELS board only thinks that health, safety, and welfare applies to the exterior of a building.</p>

12 AAC 36.185. USE OF SEALS.

(f) [AN ELECTRONIC IMAGE OF A SIGNATURE MAY BE USED ON THE SEAL IF THE REGISTRANT OR THE OWNER OF THE DOCUMENTS RETAINS AN ORIGINAL COPY OF THE DOCUMENTS, ACCESSIBLE FOR LATER REFERENCE, THAT HAS] A sealed document(s) shall have either

- (1) an original [HAND] handwritten signature over [THE] each seal; or
- (2) [SOFTWARE IN PLACE THAT WILL AUTOMATICALLY REMOVE OR MODIFY THE ELECTRONIC IMAGE OF THE SIGNATURE IF THE DOCUMENT IS MODIFIED.]

Repeal and replace w/

an electronic image of the handwritten signature and a digital signature that together represent the act of the registrant attesting to the seal's validity.

(a) The electronic image must be permanently affixed to each seal in the document(s) directly over the seal of the registrant;

(b) The digital signature must be:

(1) Unique to the registrant using it;

(2) Capable of verification;

(3) Under the exclusive control of the registrant using it; and

(4) Linked to a document in such a manner that the digital signature is invalidated if the document is changed.

Add:

(i) Sealed documents shall be retained by the registrant or the owner of the document(s) and accessible for later reference.

12 AAC 36.040. SIMPLIFIED APPLICATION FOR REEXAMINATION. (a) [EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN (C) OF THIS SECTION.] **An applicant who has failed an examination may apply for reexamination** [BY WRITTEN NOTICE TO THE BOARD, ACCOMPANIED BY THE REQUIRED EXAMINATION FEE, IF APPLICABLE, STATING THAT THE APPLICANT WISHES TO TAKE THE NEXT EXAMINATION TO BE OFFERED FOR THE PROFESSION FOR WHICH THE APPLICANT HAS APPLIED. THE BOARD WILL APPROVE AN APPLICANT FOR THE NEXT APPLICABLE EXAMINATION.] **as follows:**

(1) [NCEES PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICES OF ENGINEERING EXAMINATION AND THE NCEES PRINCIPLES AND PRACTICES OF SURVEYING EXAMINATION, AS FOLLOWS:

(A) FOR THE SPRING APRIL EXAMINATION, THE FILING DEADLINE FOR THE WRITTEN NOTICE TO THE BOARD FOR REEXAMINATION IS FIVE DAYS BEFORE THE EXAMINATION REGISTRATION DEADLINE SET BY NCEES;

(B) FOR THE FALL OCTOBER EXAMINATION, THE FILING DEADLINE FOR THE WRITTEN NOTICE TO THE BOARD FOR REEXAMINATION IS FIVE DAYS BEFORE THE EXAMINATION REGISTRATION DEADLINE SET BY NCEES;] Repeal

(2) Alaska Land Surveying Examination (AKLS) to be held at least 45 days after receipt of the applicant's written notice;

(3) repealed 5/4/2013.

(b) Repealed 5/4/2013.

(c) [AN APPLICANT MAY APPLY FOR REEXAMINATION UNDER (A) OF THIS SECTION NO MORE THAN FOUR TIMES WITHIN THE FIVE YEARS AFTER THE DATE THAT THE BOARD APPROVED THE APPLICANT'S ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR EXAMINATION. AN APPLICANT SHALL APPLY UNDER 12 AAC 36.010 IF THE APPLICANT HAS NOT PASSED THE EXAMINATION AFTER FIVE ATTEMPTS OR WITHIN FIVE YEARS AFTER THE DATE THAT THE BOARD APPROVED THE APPLICANT'S ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR EXAMINATION, WHICHEVER COMES FIRST. THIS SUBSECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO AN APPLICANT FOR REEXAMINATION FOR THE ALASKA LAND SURVEYING (AKLS) EXAMINATION.] Repeal

	<p>Jesse Escamilla spoke on behalf of Leslie Daugherty. Both are bridge engineers for the Department of Transportation & Public Facilities (DOT&PF) in Alaska and are asking that the requirement that structural engineers design bridges in 12AAC 36.990(a)(44)(F) which states “significant structures” means (F) bridges having a total span of more than 200 feet...” be repealed. The testimony provided cited several examples showing that safe bridges can be designed by other engineers. With the number of SEs declining in the state, DOT&PF is asking the AELS board to consider removing this requirement. Escamilla stated 35% of DOT&PF’s bridges meet the criteria of the definition in 44(F).</p>
<p>23. 12:00pm – Lunch – Roll call after lunch – Bob Bell, Brent Cole, Jeff Garness, Elizabeth Johnston, Loren Leman, Jake Maxwell, Randall Rozier, and Fred Wallis (absent: Catherine Fritz – joined at 1:20pm and Ed Leonetti)</p>	
<p>24. 1:00pm – State Fire Marshal – Lloyd Nakano</p>	
	<p>Nakano would like to partner more with the AELS board for certain types of events like Building Safety Month.</p> <p>He also wanted AELS’s feedback on their new portal for submitting plans for review. He would like the AELS to be beta testers for any changes made to the portal since the board’s licensees use it the most. Garness suggested that Nakano write an article with the top 10 things missing from a plan review for the next AELS newsletter. Garness also suggested training for the plan review submittal process.</p> <p>2024 codes will be coming out in October of 2023 and Nakano asked for the support of the AELS board. Fritz offered to write a letter of support.</p>
<p>25. 1:10pm – Investigative Report – Kase (moved to 2:30pm)</p>	
	<p>Kase shared the Investigator’s Report from Jan 31 – May 3, 2023. The report had the engineers broken out by discipline as requested by the Board. Patrick shared meanings of the different status terms. Intake is when a complaint has been received, but the complaint packet from the complainant has not yet been received. Complaint is when the packet has been received and the Investigator is determining if a violation may have occurred. Investigation means that a board member has determined that a violation has occurred, and the investigation is underway. Monitoring is when investigations is waiting on more information to go forward.</p>
<p>26. 1:30pm – Committee Update cont. (Agenda Item #22)</p>	
	<p>B. Education Committee – Johnston</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Cold Regions Design – Triannual review <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4 courses were submitted. All courses were recommended for approval. <p>Task: Johnston - Write letter to universities informing them that their course is approved for the next three years and update the website accordingly.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ii. Offering an on demand Cold Regions Design Course <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maynard stated that UAA’s ES411 class is looking into offering that as an on-demand class. He suggested that the board write letters to both UW, UAF and UAA Engineering and AET in support of developing an on-demand class. Johnston had talked with UAF and they are not interested in developing one at this time. Kurt Sahl from the UW joined the meeting and asked if this was a real need for an on-demand class. <p>Task: Johnston to write a letter to UW, UAF and UAA Engineering and AET encouraging them to develop an on-demand course</p>
	<p>C. Outreach Committee – Maxwell</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Align Outreach Plan with board meeting schedule. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maxwell wrote an Outreach Plan explaining the importance of in-person meetings and the main objectives of outreach. He planned the next year’s outreach events for the travel requests for each board meeting. The Outreach Plan will be added to the annual report.

<p>Motion to approve the FY2024 Outreach Plan</p>	<p>On a Motion made by Jake Maxwell, seconded by Jeff Garness, and approved unanimously, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve the FY2024 Outreach Plan</i></p> <p>ii. Certificate of Authorization article for newsletter – nothing to share currently. Strait shared that the logo and a presentation template is in Onboard resources. Task: Outreach Committee - Newsletter topics list – Nakano, COAs</p>
	<p>D. Legislative Liaison Committee – Leman</p> <p>i. Statute project status – AELS’s “reviser’s” bill SB126 passed through the Senate on May 10th with a 17-0 vote. It will be heard in the House Labor and Commerce Committee on May 12th and will hopefully pass the House before session ends on May 17th. Leman and Fritz decided not to recommend amending the bill by making the Executive Administrator’s salary a Range 23 as it would make it so the bill would not be passed this year due to the fiscal note and need to return to the Senate for concurrence. There was not enough time to do that in this session, but another means to accomplish that will be looked into this next year. SB 73 was heard by the Senate Labor and Commerce Committee on May 10th but the committee did not address AELS’s concerns and recommendations that were sent in time for the hearing. The Board sent them to Senate Finance as well. HB159 is scheduled to be heard by House Labor and Commerce on May 12th. Fritz will provide public testimony and submit in writing the concerns and recommendations on SB73 version D.</p>
	<p>E. Investigative Advisory Committee – Leonetti</p> <p>i. Disciplinary Matrix – Johnston The 2009 matrix was updated and added to. Violations have been categorized based on how many times the person has violated the statutes and regulations. There is a column for what statute or regulation was violated. Because of a low case load, the column for precedent cases is not completed. Non disciplinary action remedies are included as well. Johnston suggests storing it in Onboard resource folders. Task: Johnston -Fritz suggested spelling out LOA “Letters of Advisement.”</p> <p>ii. Review of 3 top license actions to develop newsletter articles for Task: Kase to bring precedent cases to the next Investigative Advisory Committee Meeting for the committee to review.</p>
<p>27. 3:00pm – Committee Goal Setting and Annual Report Input</p>	
	<p>If committees have any goals they want to include in the annual report, they will need to email them to Neal so she can include them in the Annual Report.</p>
<p>28. 4:00pm – Read Applications into Record</p>	
<p>Motion to <i>APPROVE</i> applicants for licensure</p>	<p>On a Motion made by Jeff Garness, seconded by Bob Bell, and approved unanimously, it was:</p> <p><i>RESOLVED to approve the following list of applicants for registration by comity and examination with the stipulation that the information in the applicants' files will take precedence over the information in the minutes</i></p>

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	TYPE OF LICENSE	MAY DECISION
Alex	Cheyne	SE	Approved
Alma	Reantaso	EE	Approved
Amy	Schauble	CE	Approved
Austin	Miller	CE	Approved

Benjamin	Linkous	EE	Approved
Carol	Ravano	CE	Approved
Christopher	Kambar	CE	Approved
Christopher	Sweetgall	CE	Approved
Christopher	Britton	EE	Approved
Claus	Frederiksen	CE	Approved
Clint	Laferriere	EE	Approved
Craig	Dupuis	Arch	Approved
Daniel	Updegrove	ME	Approved
Darius	Tirevicius	SE	Approved
Dylon	Moss	CE	Approved
Edward	Hickey	CE	Approved
Elliot	Clausen	CE	Approved
Eric	Rasmussen	EE	Approved
Frank	Nelson	Arch	Approved
Grant	Finn	SE	Approved
Gregory	Clark	CE	Approved
Harvey	Lighthouse	CE	Approved
Jacob	O'Brien	ME	Approved
Jael Robin	Wettach-Ogle	CE	Approved
James	Ybarra	EE	Approved
James	Ledyard	ME	Approved
Jarah	Redwine	EV	Approved
Jason	Speegle	CE	Approved
Jessica	Johnson	CE	Approved
Jodi	Burns	CE	Approved
Jordan	Scheremeta	CE	Approved
Jorge	Mendez	Arch	Approved
Kahyun	Lee	Arch	Approved
Kevin	Hendrix	CE	Approved
Leonid	Nisanov	EE	Approved
Logan	Nissenson	ME	Approved
Logan	Gray	SE	Approved
Luis	Paulin	EE	Approved
Marc	Stubbs	SE	Approved
Matthew	Rem	EE	Approved
Michael	Boak	CE	Approved
Patrick	McManus	SE	Approved
Peyton	Lingle	CE	Approved
Rachmad	Karyadi	ME	Approved
Rebecca	Desrosiers	CE	Approved
Reid	Koskiniemi	CS	Approved
Richard	Jones	SE	Approved
Robert	Ezold	ME	Approved
Scott	Gilberg	Arch	Approved
Sean	Mallahan	ME	Approved

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	TYPE OF LICENSE	MAY DECISION
Tristram	Hokenson	CE	Approved
Vincent	Chui	SE	Approved
Zachary	Autin	CE	Approved
Anthony	Hafner	SE	Approved for Exam
Brandon	Markson	CE	Approved for Exam
Jeremiah	Gingrich	CE	Approved for Exam
Kelli	Whelan	EV	Approved for Exam

Motion to find applicants <i>INCOMPLETE</i>	On a Motion made by Jeff Garness, seconded by Bob Bell, and approved unanimously, it was: <i>RESOLVED to find the following list of applicants for registration by comity and examination INCOMPLETE with the stipulation that the information in the applicants' files will take precedence over the information in the minutes</i>
--	---

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	TYPE OF LICENSE	MAY DECISION
Clay	Willis	SE	Incomplete
Dale	Johnson	Arch	Incomplete

Motion to <i>CONDITIONALLY APPROVE</i> applicants	On a Motion made by Jeff Garness, seconded by Ed Leonetti and approved unanimously, it was: <i>RESOLVED to conditionally approve the following list of applicants for registration by comity and examination with the stipulation that the information in the applicants' files will take precedence over the information in the minutes</i>
---	---

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	TYPE OF LICENSE	MAY DECISION
Adam	Keen	CE	Conditional
Addison	Miller	CE	Conditional
Anh	Huynh	CE	Conditional
Anthony	Shields	EV	Conditional
Benjamin	Fisher	SE	Conditional
Claire	Ellis	CE	Conditional
Claire	Moore	SE	Conditional
Daniel	Ciani	CE	Conditional
Eduard	Egov	CE	Conditional
Evan	Olson	EE	Conditional
Evan	Sheesley	SE	Conditional
Forest	Hooker	Arch	Conditional
Forest Rose	Walker	CE	Conditional
Gary	Worthley	LA	Conditional
Gary	Landingham	SE	Conditional
Henry	Perez	EE	Conditional
Jarrett	Hart	EE	Conditional
Jennifer	Morigeau	CE	Conditional
Jerald	Ramsden	CE	Conditional
Jimmy	Guerrero	EE	Conditional
Joseph	Pudelka	ME	Conditional
Justin	Turnbull	LS	Conditional

FIRST NAME	LAST NAME	TYPE OF LICENSE	MAY DECISION
Keith	Sobolesky	ME	Conditional
Kenneth	Wallace	CE	Conditional
Kenneth	Rea	EE	Conditional
Kenneth	Rea	ME	Conditional
Kory	Robbins	ME	Conditional
Lauren	Southerland	CE	Conditional
Leif	Olson	ME	Conditional
Matthew	Probert	CE	Conditional
Matthew	Lord	ME	Conditional
Matthew	McCalla	ME	Conditional
Megan	Mahmoudi	ME	Conditional
Michael	Reberg	ME	Conditional
Mick	Francis	CE	Conditional
Nicholas	Berling	CE	Conditional
Nicholas	Ziganto	ME	Conditional
Patrick	Raney	EE	Conditional
Renato	Saturnino	EE	Conditional
Samantha	Gardner	Arch	Conditional
Saroj	Dangol	CE	Conditional
Scott	Su	ME	Conditional
Seth	Nicholas	ME	Conditional
Shannon	Berry	ME	Conditional
Shayah	Smiley	SE	Conditional
Steven	Flint	CE	Conditional
Taylor	Mortensen	CE	Conditional
Taylor	Gress	ME	Conditional
Timothy	Mitchell	EE	Conditional
Trenton	Drumm	ME	Conditional
William	Powell	CE	Conditional
William	Phillips	EE	Conditional

29. 4:10pm – Review of Action Items	
	Board prioritized the tasks using the following key: Priority 1 = within the next 30 days Priority 2 = by next board meeting Priority 3 = 6-12 months
30. 4:00pm – Upcoming Meeting Dates	
	A. AELS August Board Meeting – August 23-24 th – Board changed the dates to August 28-29 th .
	B. Set date for November 2023 Board Meeting – November Board meeting set for November 14-15 th
31. 4:25pm – Board Member Comments	
	Several board members would like to see more work happen in the committees so the board meeting would be more efficient. Comments were made in approval of the consent agenda. Board members were encouraged by the tasks getting done. Bell would like board members to think about the objective of why they are speaking to try and streamline the board meeting and possibly get it down to one day. Leman

	appreciated having Colin Maynard, Lloyd Nakano and the people who came to the outreach event. Fritz appreciated the Outreach Committee writing the Outreach Plan as well as the Board’s work on the Annual Report. She encouraged committees to do written reports that could be put into the consent agenda which could possibly save up to an hour in the meeting.
32. 4:30pm - Adjourn	

Next Meeting:	August 28-29 th , 2023 - Anchorage
---------------	---

Respectfully submitted:

Sara J. Neal

 Sara Neal, Executive Administrator

Approved:
Catherine Fritz

 Catherine Fritz, Architect, Chair
 Alaska Board of Registration for Architects,
 Engineers, and Land Surveyors

Date: 8/29/2023