

**STATE OF ALASKA  
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY AND  
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS,  
BUSINESS & PROFESSIONAL LICENSING  
BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS**

**MINUTES OF MEETING  
DECEMBER 10, 2010**

By authority of AS 08.01.070(2) and AS 08.36.040 and in compliance with the provisions of Article 6 of AS 44.62, a scheduled meeting of the Board of Dental Examiners was held Dec. 10, 2010, at the Atwood Bldg, Conference Room 1270, 550 W. 7<sup>th</sup> Ave., Anchorage, Alaska.

The meeting was called to order by Dr. David Eichler, Chairman at 8:30 a.m.

**Roll Call**

Those present, constituting a quorum of the board, were:

Dr. David Eichler, President – Fairbanks  
Cheryl Fellenberg – Dental Hygienist – Wasilla  
Dr. Clifford D. White – Dillingham  
Robyn Chaney – Public Member – Dillingham  
Dr. Mary Anne Navitsky – Sitka  
Dr. Newell Walther – Wasilla  
Dr. Arne Pihl – Ketchikan  
Dr. Thomas Wells – Anchorage  
Deborah Stauffer – Dental Hygienist – Anchorage

In attendance from the Division of Corporations, Business & Professional Licensing, Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development were:

Brenda Donohue, Licensing Examiner – Juneau

**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 2**

**Agenda Item 1 – Review Agenda**

Dr. Eichler reviewed the Agenda. Ms. Donohue noted additions to the Agenda as follows:

**Item 6 – REVIEW APPLICATIONS**

- CE Course Approval Application-“HIV Dental Update”
- Collaborative Agreement Application
- Dentist Lapsed License Renewal
- “Yes” Answer on License Renewals
  - Dr. Charles Sage
  - Dr. Joseph Stalmaster
  - Ms. Kathleen Williamson
  - Ms. Sonia Tseu
- License Renewal Mandatory Audit – Jody Sessoms

**Item 7 – MISCELLANEOUS CORRESPONDENCE**

- Julie Bleier-CPR Cert. Clarification

**Item 10 – REGULATIONS**

- Dental Practice Act Rewrite

**Agenda Item 2 – Review Minutes**

Following review of the Sept. 13, 2010 meeting minutes the Board approved the minutes as corrected. Dr. Pihl noted correction on page 9, 7th line from bottom, change “the” to “that”.

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Pihl, seconded by Dr. White and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the Sept. 13, 2010 meeting minutes, as corrected.**

**Agenda Item 3 – Ethics Report**

Dr. Eichler asked if anyone had any possible ethics violations to report. There was no response, indicating no ethics reports were necessary.

**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 3**

**Agenda Item 4 – Budget Review**

The board reviewed the budget presented as of November 24, 2010, and felt they were on track. They noted for FY2011 to date they had \$76.7K in expenses and \$57.1K in revenue. Ms. Donohue advised the dentist and dental hygiene license renewals were progressing satisfactorily.

The Board was ahead of scheduled, so moved on to Agenda Item 6 until time for the Investigator to appear to present the Investigative Report.

**Agenda Item 6 – Application Assignments and Review**

Collaborative Agreement Application

Following review by the Board of the application for Collaborative Agreement the Board took the following action:

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Stauffer, seconded by Ms. Fellenberg and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the Collaborative Agreement application for Tamara Dowdy, Dental Hygiene License #2026 with collaborating dentist Susan Polis, Dentist License #935 to commence 1/1/11 and terminate 1/1/13.**

The Board directed Ms. Donohue to develop a checklist for Collaborative Agreement applications. She agreed to have a copy for their review at the Feb. 2011 meeting.

Dr. Eichler proceeded in assigning questions to be asked of the applicants who will be interviewing later in the meeting. Ms. Donohue noted Dr. Cumming did not respond to the scheduling letter, and that his phone was disconnected when she tried to call him. The morning she left her office to travel to the meeting his scheduling packet was returned with "Return to Sender, Insufficient Address, Unable to Forward" stamped on it by the Post Office. Dr. Buck-Wischmeier will interview in person, and the remaining applicants will attend telephonically.

Credential Application Review

The board reviewed the dental applications by credentials for the following in preparation for the personal interview:

**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 4**

Mandie Smith, DDS	Reviewed by Eichler
Robert Best, DDS	Reviewed by Stauffer
Susan Buck-Wischmeier, DDS	Reviewed by White
George Pollard, DDS	Reviewed by Fellenberg

The applications appear to be in order for meeting the requirements for dental license by credentials.

Continuing Education Course Applications

Ms. Donohue noted there are six (6) Course Approval Applications for review.

Review and discussion of the applications ensued, and the Board took the following action:

**Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Fellenberg, seconded by Dr. Pihl and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the following continuing education course as meeting the requirements in accordance with 12 AAC 28.410:**

Periodontal Considerations for Complex Dental Patients, sponsored by Alaska State Dental Hygiene Association, for one (1) hour of continuing education.

**Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Fellenberg, seconded by Dr. Walther and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the following continuing education course as meeting the requirements in accordance with 12 AAC 28.410:**

Contemporary Endodontics, sponsored by Excellence in Endodontics, for eighteen (18) hours of continuing education.

**Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Fellenberg, seconded by Dr. Stauffer and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the following continuing education course as meeting the requirements in accordance with 12 AAC 28.410:**

**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 5**

**Predictable Complete Dentures and Implant Over-Dentures**, sponsored by Anchorage Dental Society, for seven (7) hours of continuing education.

**Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Fellenberg, seconded by Dr. White and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the following continuing education course as meeting the requirements in accordance with 12 AAC 28.410:**

**Restore Your Confidence in Pediatric Restorative Dentistry**, sponsored by Anchorage Dental Society, for three (3) hours of continuing education.

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Walther, seconded by Dr. Stauffer and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the following continuing education course as meeting the requirements in accordance with 12 AAC 28.410:**

**So Little Room, So Much to See (The Complete Pediatric Dental Exam)**, sponsored by Anchorage Dental Society, for four (4) hours of continuing education.

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. White, seconded by Ms. Fellenberg and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the following continuing education course as meeting the requirements in accordance with 12 AAC 28.410:**

**HIV Dental Update – Oral Manifestations**, sponsored by North Central District Dental Society, for one (1) hour of continuing education.

**Local Anesthetic Permit Application**

Next the Board reviewed the application for Sharon E. Frampton, applying for Local Anesthetic Permit by credentials. The documentation provided with the application did not verify Ms. Frampton had been administering local anesthetic agents at least an average of once per week during the two years immediately preceding date of application.

**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 6**

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Stauffer, seconded by Dr. Walther, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the Local Anesthetic Permit application for Sharon Frampton with a recommendation to deny based on lack of verification she has been administering local anesthetic agents at least once a week in the two year immediately preceding date of application and does not meet the requirements of 12 AAC 28.320-340.**

The motion failed as follows:

Yeas – 0

Nays – 9

Dr. Eichler noted that Ms. Frampton was welcome to apply for the Permit by submitting an application by examination instead of credentials.

Mandatory CE Audits for License Renewal

Following review of license renewals required to submit to Mandatory Audit the Board took the following action:

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Walther, seconded by Dr. Stauffer, and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the continuing education mandatory audit and renew dentist license for Donald Burk, Dental License #208.**

**Agenda Item 5 – Investigative Report**

Investigators Williamson and Bundick joined the meeting for the Investigative Report. Ms. Williamson introduced Ms. Bundick as the Board's new Investigator.

Dr. Walther noted that the information the Board had requested at their Sept. 13, 2010 meeting to be included in the Investigative Report is not included, specifically if the case has been reviewed by a Board member, and noting the Board member. He read the excerpt from the Sept. meeting minutes noting those instructions and explaining the Board's reasoning that a Board member will know which case(s) to recuse themselves should they have reviewed one that comes before the Board for action. He allowed that Ms. Bundick may not be aware of that request, so he wanted to reiterate as he doesn't

**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 7**

see this information included on the current report. He explained the Board would like to see a summary description of closed cases, whether it has gone on to an investigation or been closed for lack of information to verify a violation had occurred.

Ms. Bundick proceeded to present the Investigative Report noting there are six (6) open complaints, two of which are ready for review by the Board's Discipline Review Panel; ten (10) open investigations, three of which are for one practitioner. She then reported that ten (10) complaints and four (4) cases have been closed since the last meeting.

An item of follow-up from the Sept. meeting that Dr. Walther then asked about is if all complaints can be sent to the Review Panel for recommendation because the Panel members may be able to spot something that is not recognized at the Division level as being a serious issue. Ms. Williamson had said she would check the legality of doing that and get back to them. If there are no legal barriers she said she would absolutely start to do that. The Board would like to know if that can be done.

The Board and Ms. Bundick reviewed the Discipline Review Panel structure and clarified the two Board members on that Panel can discuss a case between themselves. It was reiterated the Panel members could not speak to any other Board members or conduct any investigation on their own. They could consult with each other and send their findings/recommendations back to the Investigator.

"Sent to Review Panel" and date sent can be added to the Investigative Report, although the name of the Board member will not appear.

Dr. Walther asked who developed the Medical Board list of sanctions that was provided in the Meeting Packet. Ms. Williamson said the Board itself had developed the list and provided it to the Investigative Unit as an aid to expediting cases.

Dr. Pihl asked what the status designations mean, i.e. "open". Ms. Williamson explained that "open" can be because the Division is waiting for another government agency to pursue an investigation and take action against the licensee if violations are proven. The Investigator will wait for the other investigation to wrap-up before pursuing the licensing complaint. Understandably this can take a long time. The case appears to be stagnant on the Investigative Report when in fact other agencies with more substantial authority are pursuing their own investigation which could be jeopardized if the Board's Investigator starts actively pursuing it. The status "sent for review" will be changed to show the case has been sent to the Board's review panel, and the date sent, so the Board can track if their Review Panel has seen a case yet.

**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 8**

Dr. Stauffer noted the Board is frustrated because they are charged to protect the public and when they see cases such as “drug diversion” on their Report that was alleged in 2009, they think they aren’t doing their job effectively. How can they protect the public from someone who may be in fact doing something that could cause injury or even death, or have a big drug problem? Ms. Williamson explained the term “drug diversion” doesn’t necessarily mean the licensee is diverting drugs, but that description is the closest term provided in the list the Investigative Unit uses to describe the type of complaint/case. The description is broad, and if it is in fact a licensee who is abusing drugs and posing a danger to the public, it would be prioritized. It would be handled in a sensitive manner depending on other agencies doing concurrent investigations of the same licensee.

There is a barrier to full discussion of the details of the cases between the Board and the Investigator because the Board is not allowed to know the details of a case until it is brought before them as a Consent Agreement or findings of a Hearing.

Chief Investigator, Quinten Warren joined the meeting to meet the Board.

During discussion with Mr. Warren, Dr. Walther asked him why could the Investigator not just describe what has transpired with each case individually, omitting specifics of the allegation and licensee identification. Cover specifically what is appropriate so the Board can track each case. Ms. Donohue noted she could send Mr. Warren a copy of the Investigative Report the Board used to see that included a summary of the progress of each case.

Ms. Chaney noted that in her year or so on the Board Mr. Warren is the second Chief Investigator, and Ms. Bundick is the third Investigator the Board has had. The Board is seeking consistency in their reports regardless of staff transition.

Discussion then followed pertaining to passing a case onto another Board member if the reviewing Board member termed-out before the case was concluded. Mr. Warren agreed that if one or both of the Board members who reviewed a case while serving on the Review Panel termed out prior to the case concluding, then current, sitting Board members would be assigned to track that case. In this way there would always be a current Board member who is knowledgeable about every case. That member, as is normal practice, would then recuse him/herself if the case comes before the Board for action.

Additionally, he agreed that the Board member(s) who had reviewed a case as a member of the Review Panel would be updated on the case(s) two weeks prior to the Board meeting. If the Board puts this request into policy, the Investigative Unit can comply.



**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 9**

Dr. Eichler noted that a comment made by the Superior Court judge in the Ness case remarked on the lack of direct participation by the Board. He asked Mr. Warren if there is an actual statute speaking to tainting of the Board. Mr. Warren said he is unfamiliar with the comments by the Judge, but he will read that case to catch up.

Dr. Eichler asked about the statutes allowing for a Consent Agreement to be entered into and brought before the Board. Mr. Warren explained this is the legal process and the Board has the option to deny any Consent Agreement brought before it. A Consent Agreement is not "entered into" until adopted by the Board. A Consent Agreement contains all the details of a case so the Board has all the facts regarding that case when the Consent Agreement is brought before them. The Board does actually have input by way of the Discipline Review Panel, and the recommendations they make to the Investigator.

When Hearing Findings come before the Board, they have available to them the assistance of an Administrative Law Judge to help review the hearing report and advise them regarding any questions they have prior to either adopting or denying the Findings.

Dr. Eichler stated that when Hearing Findings are presented to the Board, they do not see the entire hearing report before they must decide to adopt the Findings or not. Ms. Donohue interjected that, in fact, a transcript of the entire hearing is presented with the Findings for the Board members to review prior to taking action that includes the proceedings, i.e. testimony given, by whom, evidence presented, etc. The Administrative Law Judge is available to the Board during their review of the hearing proceedings and prior to their adoption or rejection of the Findings.

Mr. Warren explained that once the Division or AG files an Accusation, that Accusation becomes a public document, and the person is entitled to a hearing. The entire proceedings of the hearing are open to the public, even before the Board rules on the case. Any member of the public can ask for and obtain a copy of the hearing.

Dr. Eichler then asked why an Accusation isn't issued prior to drafting a Consent Agreement. Mr. Warren explained that an Accusation is a public charging and, at that point, the Office of Administrative Hearings is setting up for a hearing of the case. This is the first step for that case in the Court System, and the AG's office will prepare a complete case for trial. The Division attempts to enter into a Consent Agreement with a licensee rather than having to issue an Accusation, and thus enter into the Court system, as a means to avoid clogging up the Court system and to save money. It is more cost

**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 10**

effective to enter into a Consent Agreement with a licensee rather than go to Court and expend resources on hearings and trials.

A Consent Agreement does lay out all the facts of a case, but avoids having to involve the AG's office, and go to hearing and trial.

Dr. Eichler stated it was time to terminate the discussion and take a break.

Recess

The board recessed at 10:35 a.m.;

Reconvened at 10:50 a.m.

Dr. Eichler decided to hear from Mr. Thomas-Mears before continuing on the Investigative Report. Mr. Thomas-Mears was present for a question he had submitted to the Board to be covered during Miscellaneous Correspondence.

**Agenda Item 7 – Miscellaneous Correspondence**

Mr. Thomas-Mears advised the Board the doctors who had planned to attend the meeting and give testimony had cancelled, so he was in attendance to answer any questions the Board may have and hear the result of their review of the correspondence.

The inquiry he submitted to the Board on November 23, 2010 pertains to Utilization of Platelet Rich Plasma for Dental Office Bone Grafting and Implant Procedures. In the correspondence he posed the following situation and question:

Situation: This general dentist uses platelet rich plasma (PRP) in a technique where the dentist draws blood from the patient, spins it down, draws out the PRP and uses it for bone grafting and implant procedures. This dentist currently employs a dental assistant who is a nationally certified phlebotomist technician; the dentist would like to use (and is not now using) this phlebotomist to do the blood draws.

Question: The general dentist asks: Do I need anything special to draw blood in my office?

In order for Mr. Thomas-Mears to advise the dentist if he is covered by his insurance for this procedure, or to delegate this procedure, he needs to know from the Board if the use of PRP described in the situation above falls within the Practice of Dentistry Defined, AK Statute 08.36.360.

**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 11**

Following discussion the Board determined that a dentist who holds a Parenteral Sedation Permit can perform Platelet Rich Plasma in the provision of dental services. The Board remains silent on the subject of delegation. Currently, the Practice Act allows for supervision of dental hygienists, and delegation of certain functions to dental assistants who have been properly trained. As for delegating to a phlebotomist who happens to be employed as a dental assistant, that would be governed as to what a phlebotomist is allowed to do and under who's supervision.

Mr. Thomas-Mears thanked the Board for their considered interpretation, and left the meeting.

**Agenda Item 8 – Public Comment**

Dr. Eichler noted there were no members of the Public who appeared for Public Comment.

**Agenda Item 5 – Investigative Report (continued)**

The board determined to enter executive session to deliberate the Consent Agreement for Case #2010-000408.

**On a motion duly made by Ms. Chaney, seconded by Dr. White and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to enter executive session in accordance with AS 44.62.310(c)(2), and Alaska Constitutional Right to Privacy Provisions, for the purpose of reviewing the Consent Agreement for Case #2010-000724.**

Entered into executive session at 11:11 a.m.

Out of executive session at 11:53 a.m.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Eichler, seconded by Ms. Fellenberg , it was**

**RESOLVED to adopt the Consent Agreement in Case #2010-000724 with recommendation to not adopt.**

The motion failed as follows:

Yeas – 0

Nays – 9

**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 12**

There being no other questions, Dr. Eichler thanked Ms. Williamson and Bundick, and they left the meeting.

The Board moved on to review a Consent Agreement in Case #2010-000410. Following discussion the Board took the following action.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Stauffer, seconded by Dr. Pihl and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to adopt the Consent Agreement in Case #2010-000410.**

Dr. Eichler signed the Order and noted the licensee in this case is Heather Klotz, holding dental hygiene license #921.

**Agenda Item 7 – Miscellaneous Correspondence (continued)**

Susanna Mikkelson, RDH – Letter requesting waiver to hold current CPR certification to renew license.

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Walther, seconded by Dr. Stauffer, and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the renewal of dental hygiene license for Susanna Mikkelson, License #1084, with a waiver she does not need to hold current CPR but must have a person holding current CPR in the office when she practices.**

PBIS – letter requesting Board to consider use of electronic reporting. PBIS is working towards an application that would allow the applicants to complete the application online and submit it electronically. Following discussion the Board agreed it has no objection to electronic reporting.

DANB – Letter explaining reporting of fraudulent certificates and certificants. Information only.

Joint Commission on National Dental Examination – Meeting information for 2011 National Dental Examiners' Advisory Forum meeting April 4, 2011 immediately following the AADB Mid-Year Meeting.

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Stauffer, seconded by Dr. Pihl, and approved unanimously, it was:**

**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 13**

**RESOLVED to approve Dr. Walther as the Board's Designated Representative to attend the Advisory Forum and the AADB Mid-Year Meeting.**

Recess for lunch 12:05 a.m.  
Back from lunch 1:00 p.m.

**Agenda Item 11 – Personal Interview for Dental Applicants by Credentials**

**Susan Buck-Wischmeier, DDS**

Applicant Susan Buck-Wischmeier, DDS joined the meeting in person for the personal interview.

Dr. Eichler welcomed the applicant to the meeting and explained the interview process.

Dr. White reviewed the application for Dr. Buck-Wischmeier, and the board proceeded in asking the standard interview questions.

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. White, seconded by Dr. Stauffer and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve Dr. Susan Buck-Wischmeier, DDS for dental licensure by credentials.**

**Mandie Smith, DDS**

Applicant Mandie Smith, DDS joined the meeting via teleconference for the personal interview.

Dr. Eichler welcomed the applicant to the meeting and explained the interview process.

Dr. Eichler reviewed the application for Dr. Smith, and the board proceeded in asking the standard interview questions.

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Eichler, seconded by Dr. Navitsky and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve Dr. Mandie Smith, DDS for dental licensure by credentials.**

**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 14**

**Robert Best, DDS**

Applicant Robert Best, DDS joined the meeting via teleconference for the personal interview.

Dr. Eichler welcomed the applicant to the meeting and explained the interview process.

Dr. Stauffer reviewed the application for Dr. Best, and the board proceeded in asking the standard interview questions.

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Stauffer, seconded by Dr. White and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve Dr. Robert Best, DDS for dental licensure by credentials.**

**George Pollard, DDS**

Applicant George Pollard, DDS joined the meeting via teleconference for the personal interview.

Dr. Eichler welcomed the applicant to the meeting and explained the interview process.

Ms. Fellenberg reviewed the application for Dr. Pollard, and the board proceeded in asking the standard interview questions.

**Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Fellenberg, seconded by Dr. Stauffer and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve Dr. George Pollard, DDS for dental licensure by credentials.**

**Mandatory CE Audits for License Renewal**

Following review of two license renewals required to submit to Mandatory Audit the Board took the following action:

**Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Fellenberg, seconded by Dr. Pihl, and approved unanimously, it was:**

**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 15**

**RESOLVED to approve the continuing education mandatory audit and renew dentist license for Jody Sessom, Dental License #865.**

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Pihl, seconded by Ms. Fellenberg, and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the continuing education mandatory audit and renew dentist hygiene license for Catherine McQuigg, Dental Hygiene License #741.**

License Renewals with "Yes" Answers

Following review of license renewal applications with "yes" answers that have been reviewed by the Investigation Unit and forwarded to the Board, following action was taken:

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Pihl, seconded by Dr. White and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the renewal of dental license #938 for Richard Crosby.**

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Pihl, seconded by Ms. Chaney and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the renewal of dental license #1179 for Nathan Faber.**

Agenda Item 10 – Regulations

Ms. Donohue called Ms. Gayle Horetski, Dept. of Law to join the meeting. The Board had been provided copies of changes to the regulation project for restorative function done by Dept. of Law, and Ms. Horetski was present to answer questions and explain why the changes were made.

The Board moved on to review the changes, then adopted the retyped draft of the regulations, as edited and marked "D-Retyped Regulations w/Edits".

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Stauffer, seconded by Dr. Navitsky and approved unanimously, it was:**

**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 16**

**RESOLVED to approve the edited version of the regulation project for 12 AAC 28.750, 12 AAC 28.760, 12 AAC 28.770, 12 AAC 28.780, 12 AAC 28.850, 12 AAC 28.860, 12 AAC 28.870 and 12 AAC 28.880, titled "D-Retyped Regulations w/Edits".**

Ms. Donohue will forward the Order Certifying the Changes to Regulations of the Board of Dental Examiners to Dr. Eichler for signature. She will then deliver that document to Mr. Maiquis, Regulation Specialist, who will forward it to Dept. of Law.

**Agenda Item 6 – Application Assignments and Review (cont'd)**

**License Renewals with "Yes" Answers**

The Board returned to review of license renewals with "yes" answers. Following review of license renewal applications with "yes" answers that have been reviewed by the Investigation Unit and forwarded to the Board, following action was taken:

**Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Fellenberg, seconded by Dr. Pihl and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the renewal of dental hygiene license #412 for Kathleen Williamson.**

**Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Fellenberg, seconded by Dr. Stauffer and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the renewal of dental hygiene license #2019 for Sonia Tseu.**

**Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Fellenberg, seconded by Ms. Chaney and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the renewal of dental license #1181 for Joseph Stalmaster.**

**Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Fellenberg, seconded by Dr. Walther and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to approve the renewal of dental license #939 for Charles Sage.**



**BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS  
MINUTES OF MEETING  
DEC. 10, 2010  
PAGE 17**

**Agenda Item 12 – Task List**

Dr. Eichler assigned Dr. Walther and Dr. Navitsky to the Discipline Review Panel for Jan.-Mar. 2011.

**Agenda Item 14 – Office Business**

A. Travel Authorizations

Ms. Donohue collected signed TAs and travel receipts.

B. Meeting Dates

February 17-18, 2011 in Juneau – Confirmed

C. Sign Wall Certificates


The President and Secretary signed wall certificates.

**Agenda Item 17 - Adjourn**


There being no further business Dr. Eichler called to adjourn the meeting.

The board adjourned the meeting at 3:15 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted

  
Brenda Donohue, Licensing Examiner

APPROVED:

  
David Eichler, DMD  
President  
Board of Dental Examiners

Date: 2-17-11