


MEMORANDUM

STATE OF ALASKA

ALASKA OIL AND GAS CONSERVATION COMMISSION

TO: Chair John K. Norman DATE: February 28, 2007
Commissioner Daniel Seamont
Commissioner Cathy P. Foerster

FROM: Jane Williamson SUBJECT: Prudhoe Major Gas Sales
Sr. Reservoir Engineer  Study

Blaskovich Services, Inc. (BSI) and Commission staff recently completed a study of the impact of a future Major Gas Sale (MGS) on oil and gas recovery from the Prudhoe Oil Pool. The following is provided as a summary of major findings and conclusions from this study.

Foreward – Historical Review and Study Purpose

In 1977, the Commission set the maximum allowable Prudhoe Oil Pool annual gas offtake rate at 2.7 billion standard cubic feet per day (BSCF/D), which contemplated an annual average gas pipeline delivery sales rate of 2.0 BSCF/D. This allowable, set out in Rule 9 of Conservation Order 341D, was approved without benefit of production history. The Commission recognized that the rates may be changed as production data and additional reservoir data became available.

Over the past five years, there has been significant activity concerning a potential major gas sale. BPXA, Exxon-Mobil, and ConocoPhillips commissioned a \$125 million dollar study to determine the conceptual feasibility of a gas pipeline. The tentative plan resulting from this study was for a 4.3 BSCF/D pipeline, with capacity to expand to 5.6 BSCF/D. The Prudhoe Bay Unit, Prudhoe Oil Pool is the only North Slope developed field with significant gas reserves (estimated at more than 24 trillion cubic feet (TCF)) and is of primary importance for any decision concerning the pipeline. Pt. Thomson, with over 8 TCF of gas and several hundred million barrels of gas condensate and oil, was assumed to also provide a supply of gas for the pipeline. The companies and the State of Alaska have devoted significant resources to negotiate fiscal terms to build the pipeline. Based on these efforts, the Commission became concerned that no application for modification to the Prudhoe gas offtake rule had been submitted.

As a result of a Commission inquiry and several public hearings, the Commission published a report on December 5, 2005 concluding that there was a need to comprehensively revisit the question of the appropriate gas offtake limits in light of several decades of reservoir development and information that has become available since 1977. Because delay in the Commission's decision-making could disrupt the timetable for a potential gas pipeline project, the Commission adopted a proactive approach to ensure there would be an adequate factual basis for its eventual decision on

allowable gas offtake. The Prudhoe Working Interest Owners (WIO) and the Commission therefore agreed to principles allowing the Commission consultants and staff to access their reservoir simulation and other relevant engineering studies for the purpose of analyzing gas offtake rates and gas sales startup timing for the Prudhoe Oil Pool. Blaskovich Services, Inc. (BSI) was commissioned to provide reservoir engineering consultation in this study.

This work-study officially began in late January 2006. A brief summary follows:

Summary of 2006 Commission Audit Results

The Prudhoe WIO full field reservoir simulator was used as the primary tool in this evaluation. In addition to runs made assuming no gas sales, simulation runs were made at various gas sales rates (1.0-5.6 BSCF/D) and gas sales startup dates (2015, 2019, and 2024). Some simulation cases were run to test the impact of other factors such as changes in waterflood operation, fuel usage, CO₂ offtake, and some drilling/workover variations. We also evaluated the effect of varying assumptions for end of the field life (EOFL).

Throughout our analysis, we searched for major factors that would affect the trends in total hydrocarbon recovery as a function of gas offtake rates and timing. We were not searching for “the” optimum development strategy. We did not value one type of energy resource (e.g., liquids or gas) over another, but equated them using their relative energy content in units of barrels of oil equivalent (BOE). Based on our analysis of currently available data, we have reached the following major conclusions.

- A major gas sale at Prudhoe represents approximately an additional 4 billion BOE recovery.
- The latest WIO model needs improvements in its ability to predict future field performance. Model errors are increasing with time. Nevertheless, it is the best tool currently available. It should be suitable for comparing directional trends in energy recovery during a gas sale.
- Increased oil capture prior to gas sales can increase hydrocarbon recovery and result in recovery trends that are less sensitive to either gas offtake rates or gas sales startup dates. This was the only mitigation option evaluated that significantly improved trends in BOE recovery.
- End of field life (EOFL) is a major source of uncertainty in determining the gas sale strategies that will maximize energy recovery.
 - o Comparison of model reserves predictions at the same date for EOFL tended to favor an earlier, higher rate gas sale. We found the time limit EOFL approach to be inappropriate because ending energy production rates could be vastly different between the high rate, early startup case and the low rate, delayed startup case.

- o Model results based on equivalent EOFL rate limits consistently show that total energy recovery is substantially decreased with an earlier, higher rate gas sale. We believe that rate limits are more reasonable than time limits for comparison of gas sales model predictions. However, exclusive use of rate limits is flawed because the risks of wells and field infrastructure failures with age are ignored.
- Well, facilities and infrastructure failures can significantly increase the risk of lost hydrocarbons. The longer that gas sale is delayed, the greater the risk of well and facilities failure resulting in premature field shutdown. Furthermore, near term failures will defer production and may result in more reserves loss with early gas sales. Diligent efforts to maintain, repair, and replace aging wells and facilities will help to mitigate risks and maximize recovery under any sales scenario.

Recommendations

The Commission has not received a request for a new gas offtake rule. At this time, we cannot recommend a specific gas offtake rate and sales startup timing. The Prudhoe WIO model evaluations and studies that have been shared with us are not sufficient to justify an allowable above that specified in Rule 9, CO 341D. An early, high rate gas sale could result in the loss of a substantial volume of hydrocarbons. However, even greater volumes may be at risk if gas sales are indefinitely delayed and Prudhoe wells and infrastructure fail before these reserves can be recovered.

We are concerned that Rule 9 does not specifically require a plan for such a major change in the Prudhoe Oil Pool depletion strategy. The ultimate impact of gas sales on hydrocarbon recovery cannot be appraised in the absence of a proposed development plan that identifies the start date, sales rate and liquid loss mitigation efforts. Although the start-up for gas sales is a minimum of 8 years away, many decisions that affect the project will be made earlier. Depletion planning should be required prior to commitments to sell gas so that the Commission is adequately informed and assured that other factors do not exist that would justify or require action by the Commission.

Regardless of the timing of their submittal, the Prudhoe WIOs need to develop near-term strategies to prepare the field for gas sales with focus on methods to increase the capture of oil prior to gas sales and to ensure facility and well downtime is minimized. On a regular basis, the Commission needs to be kept informed of the progress of the depletion planning efforts, including review of study plans, reservoir study results and other relevant information that may impact the Commission's ultimate decisions concerning gas sales offtake. The exchange of information in the past year was very successful and a similar mechanism of exchange should be considered during the depletion planning stage.

We wholeheartedly appreciate the cooperation of the Working Interest Owners over the past year, particularly that of the BP technical representatives who worked with us in this endeavor.

This report reflects the evaluation and opinions only of the authors and does not necessarily reflect those of the Prudhoe Owners or other Commission staff.