To: Boundary Commission
Reference: Kris Lethin Proposal

Recently a public meeting was held by the City Council where non property owners and tax exempt individuals participated in a discuss of annexation that resulted in the Council passing a motion to not consider annexation or boundary changes as proposed by Kris Lethin. I call your attention to the following highlighted sections of 3AAC 110.140 (5) (6). I have been threatened for putting forth this proposal however I remain convinced that the Boundary Commission needs to take action for the protection of the property owners in Seldovia as well as unifying the territory of the community so that it can better represent the population of the area.

3 AAC 110.140 LEGISLATIVE REVIEW.
 Territory that meets all of the annexation standards specified in 3 AAC 110.090 - 3 AAC 110.130 may be annexed to a city by the legislative review process if the commission also determines that annexation will serve the balanced best interests of the state, the territory to be annexed, and all political subdivisions affected by the annexation. In this regard, the commission will, in its discretion, consider relevant factors, including whether the
(1) territory is an enclave surrounded by the annexing city;
(2) health, safety, or general welfare of city residents is or will be endangered by conditions existing or potentially developing in the territory, and annexation will enable the city to regulate or control the detrimental effects of those conditions;
(3) extension of city services or facilities into the territory is necessary to enable the city to provide adequate services to city residents, and it is impossible or impractical for the city to extend the facilities or services unless the territory is within the boundaries of the city;
(4) residents or property owners within the territory receive, or may be reasonably expected to receive, directly or indirectly, the benefit of city government without commensurate tax contributions, whether these city benefits are rendered or received inside or outside the territory, and no practical or equitable alternative method is available to offset the cost of providing these benefits;
(5) annexation of the territory will enable the city to plan and control reasonably anticipated growth or development in the territory that otherwise may adversely impact the city; and
(6) territory is so sparsely inhabited, or so extensively inhabited by persons who are not landowners, that a local election would not adequately represent the interests of the majority of the landowners.

History - Eff. 7/31/92, Register 123, Authority - Alaska Const., art. X, sec. 12, AS 29.06.040, AS 44.33.812, AS 44.33.814, AS 44.33.816, AS 44.33.818, AS 44.33.820, AS 44.33.822, AS