- 1	
1	STATE OF ALASKA
2	THE LOCAL BOUNDARY COMMISSION
3	BEFORE COMMISSIONERS: Lynn Chrystal - Chair John Harrington
5	Robert Harcharek Darroll Hargraves
6	
7	MEETING WITH PUBLIC HEARING
8	DAY ONE
9	Edna Bay, Alaska
10	May 15th, 2014
11	
12	
1.3	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

P.O. Box 100464 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

(907) 764-3227

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

2	ADMINIS	TRATIVE
3	1.	Call to order02
4	2.	Roll call and determination of quorum02
5	3.	Acknowledge of guests and staff present03
6	4.	Approval of agenda04
7	NEW BUS	INESS
8	1.	Public Hearing
9		a. LBC staff report and recommendations04
10		b. Petitioner's opening statement (10 minutes)05
11		c. Respondent's opening statement (10 minutes)05
12		d. Petitioner's sworn witnesses' testimony16
13		e. Respondent's sworn witnesses' testimony72
14		f. Petitioner's responsive sworn witnesses testimony (30 minutes)81
15	2.	Public Comment Period
16		 Petitioner's closing statement (10 minutes)88
17		2. Respondent's closing statement (10 minutes)120
18		3. Petitioner's reply closing statement (5 min)129
19 20		4. Points of information or clarification by LBC staff
21	3.	Comments from commissioners and LBC staff134
22	4.	Adjourn Meeting137
23		
24		
25		

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(On record - 11:00 a.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: (Comments here not picked up on
4	recording).
5	(Roll Call)
6	MR. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Hargraves?
7	COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Present.
8	MR. WILLIAMS: Commissioner Harcharak?
9	COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Here.
10	MR. WILLIAMS: Chair Chrystal?
11	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Here. We have a quorum? We are
12	one short. One of our commissioners from Tok was unable to be
13	here today but we have four of us anyway. So we'll start out
14	with acknowledging the guests and staff. We'll start with the
15	originating site. We're in the Edna Bay School. So we'll
16	start with the right over here. Brent, you want to start out?
17	MR. WILLIAMS: Brent Williams, LBC staff.
18	COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Bob Harcharak. I'm also the
19	mayor of the City of Barrow.
20	COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Darroll Hargraves. Used to be
21	your neighbor in Ketchikan. I now live in Wasilla.
22	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: My name is Lynn Chrystal. I'm the
23	Chair and I'm from Valdez.
24	COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: John Harrington. I am from

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

Ketchikan.

25

MR. ENINGOWUK: Brice Eningowuk, staff. Good to see you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. On the teleconference site, if each one of you could please identify yourself, where you're calling from and please spell both your names, I guess, so we can get it for the record. Just start out. We don't know who's in line first, or whatever. Somebody start out and we'll try to get everybody.

MR. BLOOD: Commission members, this is Lawrence Blood, I'm from Juneau. And I spell my name B-l-o-o-d.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you.

MR. TILLINGHAST: Also here in Juneau. This is Jon, Jon, Tillinghast, T-i-l-i-n-g-h-a-s-t, and I'm an attorney for SeaAlaska and with me is SeaAlaska's one witness, Ron Wolfe, and that's Wolfe with an "e". W-o-l-f-e.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you. Anybody else?

MR. REEVES: Yeah. This is Mike Rieves, R-i-e-v-e-s.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you, sir. Anybody else? No, don't hear any more. So we'll go ahead and get started. For those of you who don't know what the Local Boundary Commission even is, we are all appointed by the governor and serve at the pleasure of the governor. And we are from the four judicial districts in the state of Alaska. One from each site plus an at-large person. And our job of course is to do what we're doing here today, whether it's a city or a borough, or whatever

the case may be. So we're all volunteers. I've heard they're going to double our salary next year. So two zeroes instead of one. Okay. There is a sign in sheet we'll be sending around. So if everybody could please sign that off and we'll get started.

The first thing would be the approval of the agenda.

Could I have a motion to approve the agenda, please?

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: So moved.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. We have a motion by Commissioner Harcharak, seconded by Commissioner Harrington, to approve the agenda. All in favor say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. The agenda is approved. Now this is a kind of a funny little thing. It says comments by members of the public concerning matters that are neither on the agenda nor pending before the Commission. So this is for something that has nothing to do with today. If anybody does have anything to say to the Commission, this would be the time to bring it up. Nobody ever does. It's always on there.

MR. WILLIAMS: Chair?

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Yes, sir.

MR. WILLIAMS: I removed this part of the record. It's obvious that the agenda isn't going anywhere with that item.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Okay. Starting out the first item under the public hearing is the LBC staff report and

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

2	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. And also somebody just came
3	on the line.
4	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Did somebody just come on
5	the line? Could you identify yourself, please?
6	MR. RICHARDS: George Richards.
7	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: George Richards. Okay. Thank you,
8	sir.
9	MR. WILLIAMS: Well, the staff has written two reports
LO	and considered all the comments that came in on the petition
L1	and on the (indiscernible). And we submitted the petition and
12	of course the Commission recommend that they obviously approve
13	the petition without amendments.
14	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: So nothing has changed?
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Right.
16	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Did somebody else come on the line,
17	please?
18	MS. IRRIGOO: Yes. This is Carney Irrigoo and Misty
19	Garner, G-a-r-n-e-r, in Anchorage. We are ECA staff.
20	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Thank you. Okay. The next
21	item then would be the petitioner's opening statement. And
22	this may be a 10 minute thing but you can fudge it a little bit
23	if you have to. Okay?
24	MR. GREIF: I won't take 10 minutes.
25	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. I'll take you at your word.

1 recommendations. Mr. Williams?

I won't even set the timer then.

MR. GREIF: Well, we're happy that you're here. My name is Lee Griff, petitioner (indiscernible). We're happy that you're here. And it's been an interesting six years. The community passed a resolution to check into the idea of becoming a second class city in '08, 2008. I really appreciate the process. It's been tough but it was very interesting and it was challenging and demanding. It was rewarding. I really believe its the state giving us (indiscernible) to move forward. I believe that the LBC staff has done a commendable job of being thorough in their investigation of our information and their review of our petition. There's really little left for me to add. Everything that I have to say is in the final report. Now I am here to offer you any answers to any questions that you have above and beyond that, if I'm able.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay.

MR. GREIF: I would like to ask you to allow me to talk to my witnesses if there is an issue that they're more informed than I am on it for your questions.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay.

MR. GREIF: That's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you, sir. Anybody have any questions?

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Commissioner?

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: This is Commissioner

Harcharak. You talk about six-shooters (ph). I don't care
what's written in the paper, I want to know why does this
community want to be a city, from your point of view.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GREIF: There's many who don't and there's more who And from my opinion it's so that we can be able to, as a group of people who are separated from the rest of the world by you know what since you tried to get here for a day, to be able to represent ourselves in legal issues. Primarily that's the reason. As an unincorporated community we do not have any legal status whatsoever with the state and federal governments, or agencies that issue grants -- most grants. Grants that will deal with the situations that we have that we can't deal with such as the bridge and the dock. Those are the two primary issues that we struggle with right now. And so I believe that the majority of the people here have an interest in growing. We see that oddity in a brand new pay phone to the brand new multi million dollar dock and that's going to just bring the world closer to us. And without a decent dock we can't service those people who will eventually come out here. So that's the primary reasons. The docks and the bridges. And to be able to represent ourselves with the different agencies of the state.

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Do you have a question, Commissioner?

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Yes. I'm interested in the passage of that resolution. How was that done by the community? A secret ballot, or how did you arrive that it passed?

MR. GREIF: It was an open raise your hand -- a scheduled community meeting that was addressed beforehand.

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Well, what was the vote, do you recall?

MR. GREIF: I can't remember what the vote was but I think it was almost unanimous because there was not that much political polling back and forth at that time.

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Fine. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Anybody else have any questions?

Okay. Thank you, sir. Okay. The next item is going to be the respondent's opening statement. This will be a telephonic thing. Is the respondent there, please? Ten minutes for an opening statement.

MR. TILLINGHAST: We are, Mr. Chairman. First, thank you for letting us participate telephonically. I allow that after your adventures yesterday I think I should say thank you very much for allowing to participate telephonically. I will tell you I've never had the privilege of being to Edna Bay and I always like to take the opportunity to go somewhere new and different in this beautiful state of ours, and I do miss that yesterday's situation notwithstanding.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

At the outset I'm going to I'm going to lead with the question of why we're here. In other words, why we're opposing this petition. We being SeaAlaska. To my knowledge we just have one witness who will testify later. My opening statement will be from a lawyer's perspective, which is what I am. I want to talk for a minute about the (indiscernible) potential side of this controversy. I'm going to leave the opening statement to my assessment, our assessment of where we think the record leads us on this petition.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Just from a lawyer's perspective one of my biggest concerns is the staff reports failure to follow the commission's own precedents. My feeling is that if an agency doesn't apply its own rules in a consistent manner it's going to at least appear arbitrary and it may end up becoming arbitrary in fact. In this case, for example, I would expect if you grant this petition the folks are not going to believe that such a decision was arbitrary. Because they'll believe, like we believe, that (indiscernible) and this case share the same fundamental flaw. And in our mind that flaw is this. That in the petition reliance on state and federal aid programs constitutes 97 percent of the petition's proposed revenues while reliance on willful taxation accounts were zero percent of those revenues. And the residents of Naukati is that this scenario is a recipe for insolvency and that's for two reasons. First, it's fiscally irresponsible. In Naukati the petitions

really rely heavily on payments of road taxes as a road funding. Here the petitioners are relying almost entirely on state revenue sharing, unlike Naukati, and if you look at the brief of both the petitioners and the report can see that the features of both of those sources of funding is uncertain.

Now in Naukati this commission concluded, and I'm quoting, it is unduly risky to rely on -- totally on federal receipts for road work in a political climate, and government assistance. We have repeated that same findings for (indiscernible). Now, Mr. Chair, that was said in 2006, which was before the Great Recession, it was before sequestration, an it was before the kind of budget cuts that we've had to face here at the state level.

The second reason that this is a recipe for insolvency is that refusing to take any local taxes signals a lack of local long term commitment to maintaining a viable city government. Now, Naukati, if you look at the decision, public comment weighed in against any sales or property taxation. Here it's even more severe. The petitioners have outright declined to assess a property or sales tax and have not provided the commission with the information on which the commission could figure out for itself how much could be raised by a property or sales tax.

I want to read a quote from the final report, the final staff report in Naukati. And it's a couple of sentences, so

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

P.O. Box 100464 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

(907) 764-3227

bear with me. The Department of Commerce said this, quote, nearly 90 percent of Alaskans currently live within municipal governments that rely upon municipal property taxes to support local services. Others live in municipal governments that at a minimum rely on sales taxes to fund local government. In this era of demands by some state policy makers for greater local responsibility, Congress concludes that a property tax, a general sales tax, is necessary if Naukati residents wish to pursue city incorporation.

Now that philosophy had nothing to do with any peculiarities about Naukati. It was simply a universal applicable statement of conservative fiscal policy. And with respect to the uncertainly of relying entirely -- essentially entirely on federal and state aid, if there's been any change in the unreliability of outside funding for 2006, it's that the situation has gotten worse.

Now if the staff responds to all of this by saying in part that well, standards change, meaning the Naukati standards changed. And, you know, that's true. Statutes and regulations could change after there's been a transparent public debate on the wisdom of doing that. But the statutes and regulations interpreted in Naukati haven't changed in any material respect. So if you folks have decided to abandon the lessons in Naukati, we'd respectfully submit that you need to explain why even after the Great Recession, one, future aid payments from other

government have become more reliable and more predictable than
they were in 2006, and two, refusing to invest any of your own
money is no longer an indicator of a lack of commitment to the
enterprise. And since in my mind the outcome of that debate is
pretty predicable, we'd urge you to focus on the two legal
standards, including the Naukati Decision, that we think should
be a focus of this hearing. One is what are the essential
municipal services that a new City of Edna Bay wouldn't much
perform, and two, where are the locally generated sources of
revenue to add in to fund those functions?

I'll comment on the answer to those questions in my posted statement. So that ends the opening. Obviously I'm here for any questions you folks might have at this point.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Thank you, sir. Any questions? Okay. Hearing no questions, thank you. I'm sorry, just a second, please.

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: This is to the respondent. In reference to Naukati, how did they get their road -- how did they -- where did they get the funds for the road that -- the beautiful road that we see out of the plane if they're not a second class city?

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Did you hear the question okay?

MR. TILLINGHAST: Yeah, I heard the question okay. I
think that the issue with Naukati is not whether there was a
need for a local government. The issue in Naukati was whether

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

they had the resources to fund a local government the way the petition was structured. And the commission said no, they didn't have the resources unless they authorized the imposition of a sales or a property tax. So it was not a question of need. And I don't think we're saying it's a question of need here either.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Does that answer your question?

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: No. That didn't quite answer the question. It sort of told me what I already know. My question was if Naukati did not get approved to be a second class city, how did they get the road built with state funds?

MR. TILLINGHAST: Well, I don't know whether you're focusing on all of the -- whatever roads there may be in the larger geographic area that they're trying to incorporate, or whether you're focusing on the road and the bridge that is apparently in need of repair. And with respect to the road that's in need of repair, that's a state road. I, for the life of me, do not understand why the state hasn't acknowledged that. Because the land on which that road is located was deeded from the Forest Service to the state, as part of the Statehood Act. And they did not reserve -- the Forest Service did not reserve an easement for a road where that road is located. So if you get a deed to a piece of property that doesn't have an easement on it, there is no easement. road is not a Forest Service easement. That road is on state

land and the state is responsible for maintaining it. And the state can maintain it whether they're a city or not.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: That really wasn't the question.

The question was in your knowledge, do you know how Naukati got that road paved over there, or whatever it is? I don't know exactly where the road runs. Because they were not a second class city, how did that road get paved and who paid for it?

MR. TILLINGHAST: Most of the roads in Naukati, other than the one I just described, are Forest Service roads. But with respect to -- Ron is telling me -- Ron, do you want to -- the question -- if it's a question of the original construction of that -- are we talking about the road in what I'll call downtown Naukati, the one that needs repair? Am I understanding your question correctly?

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: I'm not really sure, to tell you the truth. Commissioner Harcharak?

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: There's the one that needs repair and the road that was built. The paved road that we see.

MR. TILLINGHAST: With your permission, in terms of the history of that, I'm going to ask Ron Wolfe to answer that.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. It's really not your responsibility to know the answer to this question. I think it was asked just in case you did know.

MR. TILLINGHAST: I think Mr. Wolfe does know.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay.

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: It was asked if you know, because in your respondent's brief you did focus on it. And it would be very pertinent to what we're needing to decide today.

MR. TILLINGHAST: Mr. Wolfe?

MR. WOLFE: Yes. Commissioner Harcharak, is that the correct pronunciation?

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Harcharak.

MR. WOLFE: Harcharak. Okay. I'm going to try. You broke up just a little bit on that but let me respond by saying that the paved road project is a Forest Service road and it's my understanding that that is being funded by federal highways. Those projects have occurred elsewhere on Prince of Wales Island. Fixed (indiscernible) Highway, the road to Coffman Cove, the road over to Thorne Bay and so forth. Now then -- so that's -- I guess I would characterize this as the access road outside of the community of Naukati. With respect to the roads in Naukati proper, if there is such a thing, I guess I'm not sure about those and so I don't really want to offer any testimony that I'm unsure of. You might say we didn't come prepared to answer that question.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Wolfe. Anything else from the commissioner?

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: That's it.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Thank you, sirs. I think

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

1	there might have been a couple more people join the line while
2	we've been talking here. If anybody new has come on the line
3	in the last few minutes would you please identify yourself?
4	MR. BEEBE: Hi. Dave Beebe from Petersburg.
5	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Would you spell your last name,
6	please?
7	MR. TOWNE: Jay Towne.
8	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Could you spell your last
9	names, please, for the record?
10	MR. BEEBE: I'll spell it phonetically. Bravo, Echo,
11	Echo, Bravo, Echo.
12	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you.
13	MR. BEEBE: And representing the City of Kupreanof.
14	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Anybody else?
15	MR. TOWNE: Jay Towne. I'm a resident of Edna Bay.
16	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Anybody else?
17	MR. ERICKSON: Richard Erickson, E-r-i-c-k-s-o-n,
18	(indiscernible).
19	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you. Anybody else? Okay.
20	Thank you. All right. At this time we're at the petitioner's
21	sworn witness testimony. And we have our witness list here.
22	And that witness will come forward and be sworn in. Mr.
23	Williams will do the honors. Lawrence Blood is the first
24	witness.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

MR. BLOOD: Mr. Chairman, this is Lawrence Blood.

25

P.O. Box 100464 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501 I'm

on teleconference.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. In a second here Mr. Williams will swear you in as a witness, please.

MR. WILLIAMS: Lawrence Blood, please raise your right hand. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. BLOOD: I do.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Go ahead, Mr. Blood.

LAWRENCE BLOOD

testified as follows:

MR. BLOOD: Mr. Chairman and Commission members, I want to thank you for allowing me to speak today by teleconference. Again my name is Lawrence Blood and I'm a Department of Commerce Community & Economic Development employee as a local terminal specialist V with the Division of Community and Regional Affairs. I want to first stress that I am not speaking from any official department or division's position. I'm strictly providing my own opinions based upon my own personal impressions and observations as I've worked with the unincorporated community of Edna Bay and the Edna Bay Community Association for approximately the past eight years.

I'm honored that the petitioner's have asked me to speak today concerning my opinion on their ability to run a local municipal government and their ability to efficiently

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

deliver municipal services. My testimony today will be brief and limited to these two points, however I do not want anything that I say or anything that I do not say to give the commission any other impression than everything has my full confidence that the community possesses the human resources necessary to self govern themselves and provide the intended municipal services on an efficient basis.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the last eight years I've had many contacts and worked with the community and community association in several different capacities. I was first the regional local government specialist assigned to assist the community in their efforts to provide essential public services. It is well known that DCRA was created to assist the local government in exercising their municipal powers which is primarily accomplished with the routine community contacts with their assigned local government specialist. Furthermore, the Edna Bay Community Association is not at this time a local government, DCRA recognizes that in many rural Alaska communities the local community non-profit association is the only entity that has the capability to provide these municipal type services. In many cases the local non-profits do a better job than others. However, any community non-profit providing the necessary and wanted public services without the vested powers or status of being a local government has a challenging task, especially in the terms of authority and financial

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

resources.

In my experience why some community non-profits do better than others in providing public services is solely in the their willingness to operate as if they were a local government. Successful community non-profits described here in Title X, Chapter 20, the Alaska Non-Profit Corporation Act, hold election, have regular open public meetings, and will fully identify whatever public services and follow through with finding ways to provide these public services and a level of service that is appropriately scare for that individual community. Another indication that a community association will be successful in providing public services is their willingness to seek out advice, assistance and training necessary. In my opinion everybody has done very well in all of these aspects and it's a strong indication that these traits will be translated into a future local government.

One experience I'd like to share with the commission is the community's ongoing effort to provide bulk fuel as an essential public service. Back in 2008 I began my involvement with the community. I was notified that Edna Bay was experiencing difficulty in having motor and home heating fuel delivered. The community had historically purchased fuel from Prince of Wales Island that was then transported to the community by fuel truck and a landing craft. This meant that the fuel delivery worked well for the community but ended when

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

the U.S. Coast Guard started passing regulations that
prohibited the use of an uncertified landing craft. This left
the community without any safe or reliable heating fuel
delivery. The community was then forced to search for a long
term solution in what could only be described by the fuel
prices became a threat to the community and their way of life
Even with all the limitations and challenges of being a non-
profit the community association set out to find a solution
with the ultimate goal of building a community fuel facility
that was capable of receiving routine deliveries. I think
saying that the community association had challenges is an
understatement. Their early years in this effort were very
rocky. The community association had to hold public meetings
to build consensus within the community and articulate the
needs for this proposed facility. They had to obtain the
technical knowledge on how to build and operate a public
utility. They had to learn how to navigate state and federal
bureaucracies surrounding funding, designing, permitting and
site control that are all part of building this kind of
project.

It took them five years of hard work and a lot of persistence and tenacity, but I'm happy to report that there's light at the end of the tunnel. From a recent conversation that I had with the Alaska Energy Authority the site controls are almost finalized, the project is nearing a hundred percent

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

P.O. Box 100464 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

design with hopefully construction sometime this summer. The AEA staff member that I talked with recognized the leadership within the community for the progress on the project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In my current capacity I'm the manager for the community aid and accountability section within the Division of Community and Regional Affairs. I work with the municipalities of unincorporated communities to ensure that they meet minimum statutory regulatory requirements to receive community financial aid funding. Unincorporated communities such as Edna Bay must meet those requirements to receive community tagged funds, including being in good standing with the Division of Corporations, Business & Professional Licensing, holding open public meetings for citizens to discuss the use of public funds, and then the actual reporting of the actual use of general funds. A review of the past use of funds shows that the community association has allocated the majority of the blended financial resources to purposes that are very similar to the services proposed in the incorporation petition, including EMS, fire, road maintenance, harbors, post office and library services. I believe a search provides a good track record in the community providing the proposed services. also important to know that the current level of funding that Edna Bay currently receives is only a fraction of what they would receive as a second class city, thus enhancing their ability to provide their intended public services once they

become incorporated.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another important indication that could be gained from my dealing with Edna Bay is their administrative capacity in handling state grants and financial aid programs. In addition to meeting minimum program requirements they must hold the public meetings and prepare annual reporting of applications that are submitted to the department prior to the application The Edna Bay Community Association in the last six deadline. years has always met the requirements and submitted the necessary reporting and applications long in advance of any program deadline. I know this may not sound like a notable achievement but when you compare this administrative functioning with other recipient communities you can see the significance. As of today we are 16 days away from the community revenue sharing application deadline. And they currently have 58 municipalities and 38 unincorporated communities that have either not met the minimum requirements or applied for the program yet. My staff and I spend a significant amount of time in encouraging and assisting communities meet these requirements before the June 1st deadline. Edna Bay has never been on this list of communities needing the intensive help.

Commissioners, I want to close by saying that it has been a true pleasure working with the community of Edna Bay. I want to thank you for your time and I'd be happy to entertain

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

any questions.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you, Mr. Blood. Does anybody have questions for Mr. Blood? Okay. I don't think so. Oh, I'm sorry, did you have one?

presentation you used both terms, human resources and financial resources. And they are remarkable, I guess is a good word to use for human resources. And everything I've read and everything I see the human resources is definitely this community's strongest asset. My concern is the financial resources. Toward the end of your presentation you made a comment that Edna Bay has never applied or been on this list for this type of funding. So could you elaborate a little bit on how the funds were acquired for this bulk fuel project?

MR. BLOOD: Yes, sir, I can speak to it. Chair, I first want to agree with the commission member's statement that the human resources is one of their strongest assets. I've always been very impressed by the core of leadership within the community to carry out some very difficult projects. And as far as the funding for the bulk fuel facility, I believe that is being funded through Alaska Energy Authority.

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Any other questions? Okay. Thank you, Mr. Blood.

MR. BLOOD: Yes, sir.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: The next witness will be Larry 1 Dunham. He didn't make it? 2 3 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: He sent an email. He couldn't get to the teleconference. 4 5 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. And the next person is Heather Richter. 6 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We have a statement from Mr. 7 Dunham. Mr. Dunham sent a statement for his testimony because 8 he wasn't sure if he could be available. 9 10 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: I think we can accept the written statement, can't we? 11 Or can't we? MR. WILLIAMS: There is something there that -- per 3 12 13 AAC 110.550.... CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: He's a lawyer. What can we do? 14 MR. WILLIAMS: A petition (indiscernible) or other 15 16 evidence may be introduced at the time of the public hearing unless the commission determines that good (indiscernible) 17 exists for that evidence not being presented in a timely manner 18 by the respondent or the petitioner, or by considerations in 19 20 the reports of the department under said reference (ph). So 21 whether it's introduced or not -- it can't be introduced unless you find a good cause exists. 22

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Mr. Chairman, this is

Commissioner Harcharak. I'd recommend that it be presented and

23

24

25

we go forward with it.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: I believe there is good cause for
the reason he could not it wasn't through his fault that he
couldn't contact us.
MR. WILLIAMS: Understood, Chair. But do the
commissioners want to have a motion?
CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Commissioner Harcharak, would you
like to make a motion?
COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: I'll put that in a motion that
we allow the testimony of
CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Mr. Dunham.
COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK:Mr. Dunham. That it be
presented because not through his fault he was unable to
attend.
CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Second? Do we have a second
to that motion?
COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Second.
CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Second by Commissioner Harrington.
All in favor?
COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Just a second
CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Oh, we have a question down here.
Commissioner Harrington?
COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: What we're dealing with is
sworn testimony. Is there any way to reach this gentleman to

25 sworn testimony?

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I can try to call him.

MR. WILLIAMS: There is no way to swear that person in.

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: I was just thinking if there's some way that we can accept it, double-check that he

gets sworn in somehow before the end of this thing so we can

6 consider it as sworn testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WILLIAMS: He would need to be sworn in at the time actually before submitting it. It is evidence they are introducing into the record. So the nature of the discussion, there should be time for public discussion. Clearly he is not on the phone.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Clearly what?

MR. WILLIAMS: Other than being on the phone, sir.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay.

MR. WILLIAMS: In case anyone has a concern about this.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Does anybody have concern about accepting this testimony because the gentleman couldn't be here? And couldn't get on the phone either.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: It was sent by email.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: I'm not sure. We're trying to do that if we can. Can we hold this until the end of this witness list? Can we hold this and then if we can get a hold of this gentleman and have him sworn in, or whatever. Okay. Do you agree with that, Commissioners? So we just wait until the end of the witness list.....

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: So we can hold on to that -- so we will table this last motion until the end of the....

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Right. So do I have a motion to table?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: I move.

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Second.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. We have a motion for tabling. We don't discuss tabling. It's faster. All right. The next -- did somebody else just join the line? Every time you hear that little ding somebody is either coming on or going off. Okay. The next person then is Heather Richter. And so step forward and be sworn in.

MR. WILLIAMS: Ms. Richter, please raise your right hand.

(Witness Sworn)

MS. RICHTER: I do.

HEATHER RICHTER

testified as follows:

MS. RICHTER: Good afternoon, Honorable Commissioners and staff. I'm Heather Richter, the community's president. I have been serving the community for the past 13 years and have been living in Edna Bay since 1992. What I'd like to talk about today is where we were as a community, where we are now as a community, and where we see ourselves heading as a community and what I believe our community possible future is.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

As with many of these small communities fostered into existence by the state settlers purchased lots and began the process of settling the area. Building their homes and carving out ways of making a living and subsisting off the land. Most built homes by hauling everything on their backs to locations that had no roads.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Mr. Chairman, I'm loathe to interrupt, but we....

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Yes, sir?

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE:can't hear Ms. Richter. She's cutting out.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: I turned the volume down just a little bit because it was so scratchy. Let me turn this thing and see if you can pick it up better. Okay. Try again.

MS. RICHTER: Okay. So -- I'll back up a little bit.

As with many of these small communities fostered into existence by the state, settlers purchased lots and began the process of settling the area, building their homes and carving out ways of making a living and subsisting off the land.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: I'm sorry, could you stop just for a second? This thing is so scratchy we can't -- can you hear any better than you did earlier? Can you hear any better than you did earlier? Is anybody there? Have we lost them completely? Hello? Does anybody hear me? Let's take a.....

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We are at this point hearing

nothing.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. We've got -- Okay. We're going to take about a five minutes recess here until we can see if we can clear this up.

MR. ENINGOWUK: This is Brice. I'm going to hand up and call again, if that's all right.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay.

(Off record)

(On record)

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. We'll call the meeting back to order. I think we got our communications somewhat fixed at this time anyway. And let's just go ahead and start over again. Heather Richter has been sworn in so she's ready to go. And I think we're ready to go.

MS. RICHTER: All right. Shall I start my testimony again?

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Yeah, would you, please?

MS. RICHTER: Sure. No problem. Okay. Good afternoon, Honorable Commissioners and staff. I'm Heather Richter, the community's president. I've been serving the community for the past 13 years and have been living in Edna Bay since 1992. What I would like to talk about today is where we were as a community, where we are now as a community, and where we see ourselves heading as a community, and what I believe our community's possible future it.

As with many of these small communities fostered into existence by the state, settlers purchased lots and began the process of settling the area, building their homes and carving out ways of making a living and subsisting off the land. Most built homes by hauling everything on their backs to locations that had no roads. There also were no phones in those days and the only communication were VHS radios. These settlers were true pioneers and faced many hardships. Some of those original settlers are in this room today.

In the time that I've lived here and volunteered within the community of Edna Bay I have seen a lot of change and growth within the community. It has had its growing pains but has come a long way from its inception. The community has developed local infrastructure and services that include roads, bridges, components of a harbor facility and a grid for boats, EMS, search and rescue, postal service, a volunteer fire department. It has recently added a public (indiscernible) at the dock for public safety and convenience of residents and visitors alike. Edna Bay also hosts a local fish and game advisory board and a fisheries corporation which manages the community's charter halibut permits. All of these things are part of this community's continued growth.

I believe that the past 30 years history of Edna Bay has demonstrated the community's ability to effectively plan, construct and manage community assets. Our history shows

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

dedication, determination and reliability, and I believe that our history does speak for itself. I also believe that becoming a municipality isn't solely about being eligible for increased funding streams but more importantly it's about having the legal status to be able to facilitate the needs of the community. It's about being able to work with the state and federal governments on a level that will allow us to address issues and hurdles that we can't address in our current legal status. And it's about the community being recognized to legally represent itself.

I do agree that the added revenue will be beneficial to this community, but more importantly the change in legal status which will allow the city to address important infrastructure issues is really what should be considered. The community has seen times of limited revenue such as when the revenue sharing was interrupted from 2004 to 2007. During those times of limited revenue the community didn't fold and cease operation because of hardship. Instead it banded together and made do. The people stepped in and helped out. Services were cut back to bare minimums. In essence, we buttoned down the hatches per se, and we weathered the storm.

A prime example of our ability to self sustain in times when the community lacked sufficient funding due to the loss of revenue sharing, the community used some ingenuity and the people elected for a voluntary donation in order to sustain our

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

essential postal services. I believe this demonstrates the culture of the people and the ability of Edna Bay to prevail during times of limited funding. And I think what's important to note is that we persevered, came through the tough times and continued on.

From its inception Edna Bay has operated on a limited budget. We have faced funding challenges from the very start of our community, but with careful management we have not only been successful in managing that infrastructure but also in gaining a fund surplus. We have no long term liabilities and are in good standing with every entity that we deal with.

In regards to our future I believe the municipal incorporation is the next logical step for the community. Not just because of increased funding that would be available but more importantly because without incorporation we cannot adequately address some of our current issues and even less, future ones. We have repeatedly seen through out interactions with state and federal agencies, and working with the AEA on the community's bulk fuel facility, the need for enhanced form of government. While taking this next step it is imperative to maintain our independence and preserve the culture, quality and lifestyle that Edna Bay provides for its residents because of its unique situation and location. I feel that maintaining our self governance is paramount to the spirit of Edna Bay and that annexation to any city or borough would not better facilitate

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

Τ.	the needs of Edna Bay. In a reality, I feel that it would
2	negate all of the hard work that this community has
3	accomplished over the last 30 years, and would not allow us to
4	maintain the lifestyle and infrastructure that we currently
5	enjoy. Thank you for your time and the consideration given to
6	my testimony.
7	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you, Ms. Richter. Any
8	questions?
9	MR. WILLIAMS: Chair Chrystal, this is Brent Williams.
10	We might want to make sure that the respondent heard all of
11	that from the beginning.
12	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Yeah. I'm just making sure we
13	do we have any questions here? Did you guys out in telephone
14	land hear everything there?
15	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible - static).
16	MR. DUNHAM: This is Larry Dunham. I just called in
17	from Petersburg. I could hear it fine.
18	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Anybody else hear it okay?
19	How about the respondents?
20	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, we heard it
21	perfectly. The new phone line works like a wonder, and thanks
22	very much for making the effort.
23	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: All right. It's nice when a plan
24	comes together.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE:Observer from Hollis.

25

P.O. Box 100464 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501 Sounds

1 great. 2 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Thank you. I was just 3 handed, and I heard him on there. Mr. Dunham is on the line. How does that work? Can we swear him in on the phone? 4 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, we can, sir. 5 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Do we know who it is then just by 6 7 his voice or..... 8 MR. WILLIAMS: I've talked with him before and all. But I think we just take -- we don't necessarily know that 9 10 someone in the room is who they say they are. In this big a 11 crowd they could say they're somebody else. So he can be sworn in and that is part of -- when he says who he is that's part of 12 13 his sworn in testimony. CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Well, since we passed over 14 15 him the first time, Mr. Dunham, are you ready to be sworn in 16 and testify? 17 MR. DUNHAM: Yes, I am. CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. 18 MR. WILLIAMS: Larry Dunham, please raise your right 19 hand. 20 21 (Witness sworn) MR. DUNHAM: Yes, I do. 22 23 MR. WILLIAMS: And that you are Larry Dunham? 24 MR. DUNHAM: Yes, I am. 25 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Dunham, please.

LARRY DUNHAM

testified as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DUNHAM: Yeah. I've written a statement and I believe you folks have it there in front of you. And I will just read from that for you. This is a witness statement from Larry Dunham, engineering staff officer for the Tongass National Forest. I'm addressing the State of Alaska Local Boundary Commission as a representative of the United States Forest Service, Tongass National Forest.

As stated I am the engineering staff officer for the Tongass National Forest and have been in that role since 2000. In my position I am responsible for all of the transportation infrastructure on the Tongass, including coordination of transportation systems maintenance and development within the community, tribal organizations and state and federal agencies. Included in that network is the transportation network on Causeuskal (ph) Island. In my role with the Forest Service I have pursued numerous opportunities to secure funding to improve the access from east Edna Bay to west Edna Bay, through the subdivision, for over 10 years now to no avail. There is a new public entity in place in Edna Bay with authority to grant great use permits or easements for the use of the road. is no mechanism by which the Forest Service or any other agency

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

can extend appropriated funds or secure external grants or
external agency funding to improve the road. Due to failing
long standing bridges access through the subdivision will
become impassable in the near future. When this occurs access
to the school, harbor facilities, fuel, aviation transport and
the ability to reach medical support will be significantly
restricted at multiple locations through the subdivision. when
this occurs it will severely impact the ability for the
community to function. The Forest Service recognizes and fully
supports the opportunity for the community of Edna Bay to
become incorporated. If the community is successful in its
endeavor to become a certified public entity it will bring
considerable value not only to the community of Edna Bay, but
also to the accompaniment of greater southeast Alaska. The
community will be able to partner with federal and state
agencies for project development funds for roads, harbors and
other infrastructure in addition to numerous other funding
sources that will enhance the future growth of this community.
And not only this community but the southeast Alaska economy.
Sincerely, Larry Dunham, Engineering Staff Officer, Tongass
National Forest.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you, Mr. Dunham. Anybody have any questions for Mr. Dunham from the Commission? Seeing none, thank you, sir.

MR. DUNHAM: You're certainly welcome and I certainly

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

wish you all the best in deciding.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you. All right. The next testimony comes from -- I'm probably going to mess this name up. Myla Polstra?

MS. POLSTRA: Right.

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Mr. Chairman, can we ask questions of a subject before we proceed?

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Yeah. I already asked once so you must have missed me when I asked that. But please, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Okay.

MR. WILLIAMS: Identify yourself, sir, before you proceed.

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Commissioner Darroll
Hargraves. It's going to get confusing a bit about who should
be asked questions to get specific information. So if you're
not the person to be asked, speak up and tell me that somebody
else is going to speak. But number 1, could you verify the
amount of money that the group has in its bank account right
now? I know what your petition and information has said, but
has that changed?

MS. RICHTER: I would ask Myla Polstra, our treasurer, to answer that question.

MS. POLSTRA: Our last treasurer's report from our community meeting, which was held on the 12th, which was this Monday, we have \$106,000. I don't have the specific hundreds

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

1	and cents, but we have \$106,000 in our bank account.
2	COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Do you know what your
3	obligations are?
4	MS. POLSTRA: Our obligations?
5	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Do you owe any money?
6	MS. POLSTRA: No, we have no outstanding debt other
7	than what we spend on different projects that we have around
8	here.
9	COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Okay. Could I get the
10	information about how much lands the second class city would be
11	getting?
12	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Yeah, go ahead and get her
13	sworn in before we go any further. She's going to answer the
14	questions.
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Please stand and raise your right hand.
16	And state your name for the record.
17	MS. POLSTRA: Myla Polstra.
18	(Witness sworn)
19	MS. POLSTRA: I do.
20	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. And Chair, to be extra
21	careful, you might want to reask the question
22	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: We'll ask that question again,
23	yeah. We will. Okay. Don't forget to ask that question
24	again.
25	MYLA POLSTRA

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

testified as follows:

2.2

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Well, I'll ask it right now, I guess. What are the lands allotment to a second class city if you become a city?

MS. POLSTRA: Oh, you mean our entire -- in its entirety?

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Yes, entirely.

MS. POLSTRA: It's 10 percent of the available lands. The available lands that are vacant and unappropriated and unreserved around the community.

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: And do you have a vision or a process to proceed with identifying those lands and selecting them?

MS. POLSTRA: I have inquired about that so that we know, because in 2010, and it's actually in my testimony, in 2010 the State of Alaska created the southeast state forest and in doing so they removed 2668 acres of vacant unappropriated unreserved land around the community from selection by the municipality. Until June 30th of 2019 we are allowed to include that acreage in order to obtain our 10 percent number. But we are not allowed to select from those forest lands. The remaining acreage that's available is 371 acres. Unfortunately they are in areas that are not conducive to community development and use. So what I anticipate we will have to do in order to have the lands that we need is we're going to have

to approach Alaska statute 29.65.100, that will allow the state to withdraw some measure of federal lands to provide us with the lands that we're going to need for our infrastructure and some of our other development lands.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Why don't we hear the rest of her testimony first and then we can ask any further questions? So please go ahead.

MS. POLSTRA: Okay. Good afternoon, Honorable Commissioners. Thank you for allowing me to testify today. As an officer of Edna Bay community for the past 13 years I have served as secretary, treasurer and grants administrator. would like to share some of my knowledge of the challenges Edna Bay has faced and will continue to face in the future if it does not successfully transition to a second class city. Because of its remote location Edna Bay has always been presented with a unique set of circumstances related to its growth and development. Our distance from other cities and established areas has left this community largely on its own to manage and overcome challenges encountered in obtaining funding and other resources needed to develop the basic infrastructure necessary to support settlement, economic development, and to provide for the safety of residents.

Most of the accomplishments realized over past decades were made by working within the limits of funding availability since Edna Bay has always been ineligible for the majority of

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

funding avenues from state and federal sources. Although our harbor and bridges are now nearing the end of their useful life span and are in dire need of replacement, the fact that they are here at all clearly demonstrates Edna Bay's ability to build and maintain its infrastructure even with limited resources. Unfortunately time changes many things such as regulations, land management, permitting and funding requirements. For Edna Bay these changes now make it impossible for our community to replace its locks for the bridges or needed legal requirements for easements and site These new realities have led us to the conclusion control. that our long standing form of government is no longer adequate to allow us to address the growing infrastructure issues that threaten to undermine the community's ability to continue to provide support for the welfare of residents. Current estimates to replace just one of our bridges are in excess of \$1 million. Even with a fiscally conservative approach to managing our revenue sharing monies the cost of this one bridge exceeds any realistic expectations for our community to ever The road and bridges connect the east side of Edna address. Bay, where the state dock and church is located, to the west side of Edna Bay where we have our store, post office and Homes are equally distributed from one side to the school. Failure of the bridges would create a serious health and safety concern should there be a fire or medical emergency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

As a non-profit corporation there are no adequate funding opportunities available to address the immediacy of our need for infrastructure replacement. Neither can any agency or government entity intervene on our behalf. Even cooperative efforts with the U.S. Forest Service require Edna Bay to incorporate as a municipality in order to be eligible for the federal land access program fund that they have available. The same is true for Alaska Community Development block grants and various Department of Transportation funding resources.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Another area of concern in Edna Bay's future which could be address through municipal incorporation is the need for adequate land in and around the community to site future infrastructure projects. In 1983, at the time of the first land sales in Edna Bay, there was approximately 4098 acres of DNR upland. This amount of area would have allowed for suitable land selection to support community development. However, in 2010 when the southeast state forest was established it effectively removed 2668 acres of land from the classification of vacant, unappropriated and unreserved areas available for future community needs. As a result the remaining acreage qualified for selection has been reduced to 371 acres in areas that are not conducive to useful development purposes. While the law allows for municipalities incorporating before 2019 to count these funds -- these forest lands for the purposes of establishing land entitlement, Edna

Bay will still be severely restricted in identifying suitable land for development. As such it will likely be necessary for the City of Edna Bay to look to Alaska statute 29.465.4100 to resolve this inadequacy of available land. Federal lands contained within our boundaries outlined in the petition will likely be needed to fulfill our entitlement. The proposed municipal boundaries of Edna Bay were carefully examined and considered necessary to support the future growth and health of the city. We will need to have adequate land available for anticipated community purposes which will inevitably include areas for commercial and industrial development.

Edna Bay community is currently pursuing a hydroelectric facility to provide clean renewable energy that will further support economic opportunity for residents.

Municipal incorporation will aid in the development of this project as well by allowing us better access to funding and protection of the watershed that will be necessary to ensure a stable power supply. As a city Edna Bay will be better positioned to protect critical watersheds necessary to support the welfare of its residents as well as economic interests related to water quality and availability. Gross survey plats and Charlie Creek provide important water resources for our community and its future. Current resource development interests by state, federal and private entities has alerted us to the need for protection of the community's watershed. We

are currently working with a hydrologist to determine the origin and structure of the (indiscernible) system that supports Edna Bay's water supply. This study will help us to better understand potential impacts which could result from proposed timber harvests around the community.

While I believe that Edna Bay has proven itself more than capable of managing its interests as a non-profit corporation, it is also my belief that the future needs of our community will be better served by the elevated legal status of a second class city. Thank you again for allowing me to share my perspective. This concludes my testimony.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you. I have a question. Did you say that the bridges have cost \$1 million a piece?

MS. POLSTRA: No. The estimate to replace Charlie
Creek Bridge is \$1 million. It's just a little in excess of \$1
million to replace that bridge.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Wow. Course back in the old days you'd drop about four of those big trees you got around.....

MS. POLSTRA: Right, right.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL:put some decking across it and you're good to go.

MS. POLSTRA: That's what we've run into here. You know, there's no way. We put that bridge in before and you could still -- those bridges were still allowed. Time has changed a whole lot of things. And we're faced with different

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

issues now than we were. If it were just a matter of replacing 1 that bridge, the community has the resources. We have the equipment here. We could do the job. We just can't put back what's there now. 5 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Sometimes progress makes you wonder. Yeah. 7 MR. WILLIAMS: Chair? This is Brent Williams. You had mentioned that Commissioner Hargraves might reask some questions..... CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Right, right. Yeah. I still got 10 one more question. 11 12 MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. 13 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: You mentioned the Karst. Have you got any caves in your Karst? 14 15 MS. POLSTRA: Oh, yeah. CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Because that's becoming quite a bit 16 thing in some parts of southeast, I know. If you could ever 17 18 use that as some kind of community resource or development, or 19 something. 20 MS. POLSTRA: I don't know if we have any caves on this 21 island. And I know a few people are knowledgeable about that. 22 That would be comparable to like the ElCap cave or the -- over at Elcapitan where they can take people in for tours. 23

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Well, actually we have quite a bit

24

25

most of ours are vertical.

of horizontal caves.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. POLSTRA: Do we?

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yes, we do. But -- and we could develop, or somebody could develop say a tours industry or something along those lines. It is very -- in fact, this island has such a -- what's the word I'm looking for -- extensive cave system that not only the Forest Service but other individuals and agencies that are interested, they actually come for that study to Causeuskal (ph) of the Karst and the caves on this island because it's so unique.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Right.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: It really is.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Did you reask your question?

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Well -- Commissioner

Hargraves. You have a lot of information in there. You covered a lot of my questions. I'm very happy with that testimony. A second thought here, are you a paid employee at

18 this point?

MS. POLSTRA: No, I'm not.

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Do you have a paid employee anywhere in this organization?

MS. POLSTRA: No, we do not.

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Have you budgeted for a paid position in the future?

MS. POLSTRA: At this time transitioning from the non-

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

profit association that we are now to a second class city, our intentions are to continue the volunteer service that we have historically had. If, at some time in the future, the city's situation requires enough load on a person then that will be something that we will need to address. 5 6 COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Do we have a proposed budget for the city? 7 8 MS. POLSTRA: It's in the petition. It's -- Lee, did you want to..... 10 LEE: CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: You haven't been sworn in yet but 11 in light of the budget, it is in there in somewhere. I 12 remember reading it. 13 14 MS. POLSTRA: It is. COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: If it is that's fine. 15 I'm just able to present that question. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Any other questions? Commissioner Harcharak? 18 COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: This is Commissioner 19 Harcharak. M.s Polstra, I think I intended, or I think --20 well, where is Karst Cave? 21 22 MS. POLSTRA: Can I explain? Karst -- this island is made up of one stone rock. 23

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

MS. POLSTRA: And through rain falling on the island

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Correct.

24

25

and in channeling its way through and down to the ocean it actually dissolves the rock and creates what they call Karst. And it's really -- to envision it -- to put it into something you can envision, it's like an aqueduct system that feeds the island. It delivers like a water system that is under the rock and some -- we have some underground lakes that are born. It's -- the Karst is what provides the community with its water sources here in the bay.

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Thank you. The other question I was to ask is, you kept mentioning because of the regulations you couldn't do some things. but you also -- the number, I believe, was 371 acres. Okay. You read the map in there of the proposed incorporation of the City of Edna Bay?

MS. POLSTRA: Yes.

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: That 371 acres, is that not inside there?

MS. POLSTRA: No, it is inside there.

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Okay.

MS. POLSTRA: Our municipal boundaries encompass all of the available DNR upland, but out of that amount of land only a certain percentage of it is vacant unappropriated and unreserved, and therefore available for us to select for our municipal entitlement. And like I said, in 2010 when the state forest was created we were still in the process of working on our petition so there wasn't really any way for us to actively

participate in reserving land for the community. And so we lost 2668 acres that completely encompasses the community that is now state forest land.

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Okay. Thank you. Now I feel

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Okay. Thank you. Now I feel like I understand what you're trying to convey, and I appreciate that.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Commissioner Harrington, you had a question?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: You commented about a prospective hydro electric generating facility. Is that within the boundaries of the proposed city?

MS. POLSTRA: Yes, it is.

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Focusing on that, I'm glad you mentioned that because I was going to ask that. Is this a run of the stream type thing or are you going to have a reservoir?

MS. POLSTRA: It's a run of the stream. It's actually an arc meade (ph) screw type generator that we're looking at putting in.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Because we saw one in Gustavus, a run of the stream. In fact, in my home town of Valdez they're getting to put one in now. Another one. A run of the stream type. Okay. Just curious.

MS. POLSTRA: What we have is the best for facilitating -- all of the streams on this island are salmon streams. And

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

the site that we've selected to put the facility is at the bottom of a falls that will support the amount of drop that we need for the generator to work correctly. But the arc meade 3 screw is one that will allow for safe operation around the fish. 5 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Your run of the stream 6 probably works a lot better than it does up by Valdez. Our 7 tends to freeze up in a little bit in the wintertime. Buried with snow. You shouldn't have quite that much problem. 9 10

MS. POLSTRA: No.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Our next -- I'm sorry, do we have another question?

MR. WILLIAMS: Still at the issue that all commissioners may have questions for -- some of the questions were asked before the witness was sworn in and we were -- the Chair was having the questions reasked and they weren't clearly produced under.....

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: I thought he asked all the ones he needed to.

MR. WILLIAMS: I didn't hear the one about the budget. And there was one about.....

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Yeah, he did ask -- he asked it again here a minute ago and it's in record right now.

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: When I asked about a budget I was trying to think about bigger more detailed breakdown then

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

what we've got here. The budget we have shows revenue sharing, grants. Well, on the expense side it just says road maintenance, dock, etcetera. There's no where in here that I've seen rent for facilities, office. I don't see any personnel cost. Is that what I'm looking at in this budget?

MS. POLSTRA: At this time we have none of those expenses. And so when you go -- the office expenses and those types of things are just made available or shared by the volunteer officers that function as the (indiscernible). Does that answer your question?

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Yeah, it does. And that's why I guess I was thinking there could be some more to the break down of the budget than what's in here. But I think you've clarified there's nothing more. The personnel, you don't have an office to pay electricity on?

MS. POLSTRA: No.

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Telephone?

MS. POLSTRA: No phone. We don't have anywhere to cite anything like that. We've spent five years just trying to obtain a place to put our old fuel facility.

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Well, I think that somewhere you need to start getting a salary because (indiscernible). I guess I'm too much from the new part that if you get something you have to pay for it. And you're to be commended for partly running a community without paid administrators and parks. I

commend you for that.

MS. POLSTRA: Thank you.

3

5

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Any other questions? Okay. For the benefit of those on the phone line, we can see people across from here but they don't who is speaking on the phone. If you don't mind saying who you are before you speak. Thank you. Okay. The next speaker is Tyler Polstra. Connecting a resemblance here with a name.

MR. WILLIAMS: Please raise your right hand.

10 (Witness sworn)

MR. POLSTRA: I do.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: All right. And just speak your name at the beginning again so everybody can hear it.

TYLER POLSTRA

testified as follows:

MR. POLSTRA: For everybody on the line and everybody here, most people know who I am but for those who don't, my name is Tyler Polstra. I am the community secretary and the acting dock chairman. And I'll go ahead and initiate my testimony here.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay.

MR. POLSTRA: Good afternoon, ladies and gentleman and Honorable Commissioners. Thank you for the opportunity to comment as a witness during this hearing. I'd like to speak to

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

you today about my experience as dock chairman and as a 13 year resident of Edna Bay. I can also speak on behalf of the observations I have made in the last six years as community secretary where I've had an opportunity to become acutely aware of much of Edna Bay's history through the extensive set of records I am responsible for managing, maintaining, and utilizing for community related activities.

I will share my assessment based on these experiences and observations and why I feel a municipal status would better assist the local government in meeting current needs and anticipated growth of our activities in Edna Bay. Based on my analysis of our history, it has appeared that the needs of the needs of the community were met by our current legal status for the first two decades of its existence. Funding sources for our dock and other necessary infrastructure were available, so these were built, upgraded and maintained, and structures such as our breakwater have been replaced when necessary. Additionally, it is my view that until the last decade the existing dock has offered necessary capacity, safety and function for local residents and commercial fishermen.

Today the harbor management prospects we face are much more of a challenge and will become more daunting should our local government continue on as it currently exists. Despite working diligently to foster and maintain a cooperative working relationship with the Department of Transportation to determine

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

necessary harbor maintenance and receive reimbursement funds, our ability to sustain this trend is quickly becoming an impossibility due to the age and deterioration of the core aspects of the float system. The existing harbor infrastructure is simply reaching the end of its useful life span and replacing the facility with one that will adequately meet the current and anticipated needs of Edna Bay will require funding and management resources that we simply cannot access as a non-profit corporation.

Furthermore, due to limitations of the current government to manage dock utilization it's already limited capacity can be impacted by non-functioning vessels occupying dock slips. Due to our isolated location this is a challenge that the Department of Transportation is unable to effectively manage and one better suited to the local government to accept responsibility for. The institution of a harbor master, usage fees and the ability to successfully manage dock utilization for the benefit of local residents will require legal and statutory support that the existing community government cannot utilize to aid in these challengers. The support frameworks that are necessary to effectively manage harbor capacity and usage, and optimally maintain and apply upgrades to the facility are simply not available to the community in its current legal status.

The issue of space management and maintenance will

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

continue to be a struggle as the facility ages and costs of meaningful maintenance escalate. Vessel owners have approached me in my capacity as dock chairman and requested solutions to be provided in order to alleviate utilization and capacity concerns. One request has been for it to be possible to pay for a slip at the dock so that mariners and commercial fisherman who declare Edna Bay their home port will always have a slip open when they return. Currently in the summer when capacity is stressed it can be a struggle for mariners to find a slip or access the one best suited to the size of their vessel. There is a need for more effective management.

In the future I see the developing infrastructure on Prince of Wales Island connecting with a new multi million harbor in Naukati dramatically increasing through traffic to and from Edna Bay, potentially expanding more of a population and harbor utilization. This future increase in activity will be borne from Edna Bay indirectly taking Naukati's place as the end of the road destination. This future increase in activity will be borne from Edna Bay indirectly taking Naukati's place as the end of the road destination for pleasure seekers, sportsmen and families looking to migrate past the established regional infrastructure. This likely change will help Edna Bay grow a stronger local economy, raise more harbor revenues should usage fees be instituted as a municipality, and ultimately result in growth and increased activity that

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

requires more legal support, more management and more funding opportunities than we can currently utilize or access as a non-profit corporation.

I could go into detail on the opportunities for superior management and funding availability that second class city would offer Edna Bay. However, Mr. Lection (ph) from the Department of Transportation has already provided a bold and clear assessment in the LBC preliminary and final reports on many of the benefits Edna Bay would have available to it should it be granted a municipal status. He, and other government officials, have strongly suggested that Edna Bay organize as a second class city, or higher, to alleviate the funding, management and legal hurdles that have restricted our community from overcoming many of the aforementioned challenges.

In summary, Edna Bay was fostered into a distance by the State of Alaska and we have built our foundation together. Out community has survived the transition of southeast Alaska from a resource driven economy to one based on services, and has successfully held strong and maintained dedicated volunteer management of our local government. Despite all of our successes the challenges we face will continue to grow larger without needed change that no other governing entity except Edna Bay can successfully manage. I stand proudly behind the diligent efforts of our community and hope that we are given the opportunity to continue self governing and deciding our

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

2	hearing. This concludes my testimony.
3	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Thank you. Questions?
4	COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Mr. Chairman, would it be
5	possible to get a copy of that. Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Apparently so.
7	COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: The reason I asked for it is
8	your presentation to me was very conclusive and some of the
9	ideas that you have there will help me in making my decision
10	and our decision. There are a lot of ideas there that I need
11	to think about. I need to dwell upon before I can aptly make a
12	decision.
13	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Actually we cannot accept written
14	statements at this point because that's considered evidence.
15	So the oral statement is fine but it's recorded anyway.
16	Every word is recorded in the record. But
17	COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: I could save a lot of lawyers
18	goats (ph).
19	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Commissioner Hargraves, did you
20	have a question?
21	COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: You did talk about a harbor
22	master. paid?
23	MR. POLSTRA: I would assume that this person would be
24	paid.

Thank you for your time and consideration during this

future.

25

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: So when you developed your

first budget it would at least have a salary for a harbor master, part time?

MR. POLSTRA: I think at this point in time it's too

MR. POLSTRA: I think at this point in time it's too early to determine how much work load that that would encompass. The activity at the facility is -- as you can see, the facility is small. It's going to be fairly restricted.

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: So it might be a volunteer?

MR. POLSTRA: It could. but it's fair to say that anything, given enough work load, if there's enough challenge going on, there's enough paperwork going on, there is always the possibility for a position to be required for paid for anything that you do. If you're offering a service, if you're working, that option is always on the table at some point. You can't say it's not. So.....

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: I think you finally got the point across to be there on paid position. Nothing I could apply for for a job.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Volunteer? Their salary line is the same as ours.

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: I guess in retrospect I shouldn't be too concerned about volunteerism.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: No. Volunteering is good. Commissioner Harcharak, did you have a question?

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Commissioner, on the advice of a lawyer, and since I can't take your written testimony, I'm

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

asking if you would read it again. 1 MR. POLSTRA: I will. 2 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Excuse me. Read it again? 3 COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: I can't.... 4 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: You can always listen to it. 5 got it recorded. But that's okay. I have no objection. 6 Anybody else have -- I guess we got plenty of time, so -- we're not exactly..... 8 COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: I suggest we take a five 9 minute recess. 10 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: I don't think we can do that. 11 COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: I'll listen to it on tape. 12 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. All right. The next witness 13 will be Patrick Richter. Come on over here, that way the 14 people on the phone can hear better. 15 16 MR. RICHTER: Yes, sir. (Witness sworn) 17 MR. RICHTER: I do. 18 PAT RICHTER 19 testified as follows: 20 MR. RICHTER: My name is Pat Richter. I've lived in 21 Edna Bay for almost 30 years. And I want to thank you guys for 22 coming here. It's been a long road. I'm going to share a 23 little bit on what it was like to be one of the earliest 24

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

residents of Edna Bay. I first came here in 1983 to spend --

we spent three months on a sail boat between Wrangell, Sea
Otter Sound, but mainly in Edna Bay. My dad had purchased an
over the counter lot in 1982. We spent the time working on a
trail to the lot, as it was a back lot, and then started
clearing for a home site. My dad was given a lot again at Cape
Hole as they were closing down the logging camp there. We
hauled all the salvage lumber we could on two sail boats back
to Edna Bay. That lumber was used to help build a cabin they
lived in for years and it still stands today. At that time I
knew I was coming back some day. I came again in November of
1984 to help put a roof on my dad's cabin. I moved permanently
in March of 1985. While living on a sail boat built a home on
dad's lot. And as there was no roads everything had to be
packed up from a skiff on the trail we had built in 1983.

Until the East/West Road was built every home was built from the beach with no equipment, by hand, which entailed a lot of work. A lot of hard work that you can only imagine, except one. And that was the home of the home of the Morgans as their lot was off Forest Service Road. I came here to live a remote life and it was very remote. No phones. Only VHFs and CBs for communication. No electricity. Instead we used kerosene lamps and candles. There were no roads to get around the community. Only by walking the beach or by skiffs. With Edna Bay being almost two miles wide, or more, boating in bad weather was common as Edna Bay is considered a blow hole (ph). To drive

P.O. Box 100464 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501 (907) 764-3227 from east side to the west end was 23 miles and the only phone on the island was in Cape Hole which was 10 to 20 miles away, depending on which side of the bay you lived.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Even with the remoteness what a beautiful place to live, raise a family. Edna Bay started growing, mostly fishermen. And with it needs for infrastructure like mail, school, more dock space, access to back lots, and a grid for boats so that we didn't have to run 45 miles to Craig to service the boats. Being there wasn't any equipment available like excavators, trucks, etcetera, on the island, meant that the grid was built with manual labor by neighbors pulling together for the need. Getting the school district to build a school was a struggle, but very much needed as we had several kids and eventually was had around 25 kids in school. And with that came transportation issues. Necessary transportation to and from school for kids. Residents picking up their mail or going and checking on their boats meant they had to travel by skiff as water was the only option. Getting kids to and from school was the most important. And as I mentioned, Edna Bay is a blow hole. As parents taking our younger kids, or older kids taking themselves in 12 to 18 ft. skiffs was a very stressful time for all parents involved. We needed a road.

So we started a road committee to start the process increments, right-away issues and funding. And with it came opposition. Some residents didn't want progress, didn't want

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

to open up the bay, and wanted Edna Bay to stay remote. We will always be remote. The only way here is by water or by air. But access within the community was very much needed. It was important not to be short sighted and really consider the greater good of health and welfare of Edna Bay as a whole, looking towards the future. Saving someone's life by being off the water, whether it be the kids going back and forth to school or someone else going to visit a neighbor. I remember taking my family home many times in the dark in my skiff from visiting neighbors.

Back then everyone that moved to Edna Bay were very strong individualists as it took that to be able to carve out a life in such a remote isolated place. Even though we were all individualists the majority of the residents came together to work for the common good and to get a road. But we needed funding. The state estimated that we need \$650,000 to construct the East/West Road. And even at that time they recommended us to become a second class city as funds were easily available then to construct a road and any other needs that we might want. We were afraid of change, afraid of outside growth. So we proceeded to apply our funds wherever we could. And we started with \$10,000. But the road was started. Most of the parents that were boating kids wanted any kind of road even if it was only usable with an ATV. That was fine. I personally didn't care what kind of road as long as I could my

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

P.O. Box 100464 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

six year old daughter off the water. We settled on a 12 foot wide road. And as long as it held up a dump truck as it was being built, that's all it needed to be.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But funding was still a problem. Between revenue sharing, which was being divided up for other community needs in the community, and a grant, we built another portion of road. It was always a struggle between docks which took care of boats. That was, for the most of us, our only source of And the opposition was still not wanting a road built. income. Then we acquired DOT funds and another grant with a total of around \$140,000 which we figured would be enough to finish the road. Part way into the project the funds were running out fast. So instead of the community finishing the road with rented equipment we elected to hire a local with his own equipment to finish the road. But most importantly, we all agreed to lowering all wages to \$6 an hour because we couldn't volunteer because of the requirements of workmans comp. We had to be paid some sort. So with less wages and less costs we pushed the road through for less than half of what the state estimated.

It was a true test of the majority of the residents coming together for the greater good and making it happen. Funds or no funds. There were even some that got their checks and gave the funds back, and a lot of donated hours behind the seams. The road changed the community as a whole. Besides

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

getting the kids off the water, and everyone else, it brought the people together. The physical interaction, whether by meeting on the road or just being able to visit on a more regular basis, over time it brought closer continuity to the residents of Edna Bay.

Now, as road chairman for the future of this road it's going to take a different approach. The wall stringer bridges have outlasted the normal life span. We are past the time to replace them and with new regulations in place, and everything like fuel going from 60 cents to \$5 a gallon here, it's no longer possible to replace them the way we did in the past with very little funds. And because the state developed the subdivision and putting the road right-of-way into public domain, Edna Bay cannot enter into a road maintenance agreement with the Forest Service who has already spent money surveying what it would take to replace those bridges. At this time no one entity has legal control of the road. The state, DOT, or DNR could but won't. Because that would make them responsible for it.

Another issue is that the state transferred ownership of land on the east end of the road without retaining an easement with Mental Health Trust, Section 22. So we are at a serious crossroads. Either we let the East/West Road fail or find a solution. And the only long term solution is to become a municipality so that we can take control of the road and then

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

we can work with all agencies involved. First, the Mental Health Trust on easement through Section 22. Then with the Forest Service with a maintenance agreement which details giving them an easement or road use. And with ownership it will open up funds through grants for Edna Bay, especially if it doesn't work out with the Forest Service. Funds that aren't available to us in our current legal status.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So looking back, back to when we had considered becoming a second class city over 20 years ago, when we had the state come down and explain everything that it would take, which at the time I was totally against. We don't need more progress. So should Edna Bay become a second class city then? Maybe. I just don't know. In my opinion, probably we weren't ready for it. I think we wouldn't have had the community lifestyle we have today. I feel it would have promoted quick One not based on experience, but one that might have growth. failed. Slow growth is always best. It gives time to reflect on the greater good for all involved. As for the future of Edna Bay, I feel we need to move forward. Protect the lifestyle we have, one we've created over 30 years, one of self rule, one of independence, and a self sustaining subsistence lifestyle. And with the threat of being encompassed by a borough which would severely change the way the community operates, we would probably lost our bridges and the replacement could take years, or even to be able to provide the

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

services that we do today. It would destroy a lot of the hard work we've done over the last 30 years. We would regress as our self governing would now have to go through another entity 40 miles or more away.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I want to see a future for our children, for our grandkids. We have grown children staying here, and we have some coming back. And that is the future of Edna Bay. We need to protect what we have. Becoming a second class city doesn't have to change who we are. It will only change if we let it. Will there be some? Yes. But I feel it will have a positive impact and not a negative one. The people of Edna Bay have went through difficult times and have persevered and become stronger as a result. Maybe not all, but definitely the majority. Looking out for the health and welfare of all, trying to meet the needs, all needs that arise and that can be dealt with. We have in our future needs, needs that will need to be addressed I'm sure. And if we take a step back when they arise and then move forward we'll be able to meet those needs drawing on past experience. Always looking out for the greater good of all community members, not just individuals. concludes my testimony.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you, Mr. Richter. Questions?

Commissioner Harcharak?

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: This is Commissioner

Harcharak. I appreciate the presentation. You mentioned --

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

not quite appear, but becoming part of a borough. What borough -- is there a borough that wants to take over?

MR. RICHTER: Yeah. This is Pat Richter. Yes. Prince of Wales has already established a feasibility study and they've already projected a application. I think it's in. But they've already put out some paperwork on what -- and they want to incorporate us into that. And also Wrangell wanted to and Ketchikan has been since the day I been here. So when they come in there will be taxes and we will lose who we are. And trying to become a second class city after the fact will probably be near impossible. So for me, if we're going to be taxed for our property out here, which we will be by a borough, it would be nice to have some of those tax monies come back and as a second class city I understand we will.

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Any further questions? Thank you, Mr. Richter.

MR. RICHTER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: I think at this time we're going to take a 10 minute break.

(Off record)

(On record)

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. We'll call the meeting back to order at 1:05. And we left off with one more testimony from the petitioners and that would be Rocky Near. Come forward and

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

be sworn in. 1 MR. WILLIAMS: Rocky Near, please repeat after me. 2 (Witness sworn) 3 MR. NEAR: I do, so help me God. 4 5 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. ROCKWELL NEAR 6 testified as follows: 7 MR. NEAR: My name is Rocky Near. I am fairly new to 8 the community. I have lived here since last June, brought my 9 family out here. I am working at the school. I've been 10 running the school here in Edna Bay. 11 I have been to every meeting that this town --12 (mechanical interference) 13 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Just give us a second. This is the 14 Chair. We'll take another 10 minute break and see if we can 15 fix it. 16 (Off record) 17 (On record) 18 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Let's hurry and get going before 19 the phone breaks again. It's working right at the moment. 20 So -- is everybody ready? Okay. Are we ready? Okay. Calling 21 the meeting back to order at 2:31. We left off and Rocky Near 22

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

was starting his testimony. You've already been sworn in, so

just go ahead and start over again and hopefully it's going to

23

24

25

work this time.

MR. WILLIAMS: Chair? We'll just say for the record that the respondents is back on.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Is the respondent okay this time?

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: We are here and we can hear you very well.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: All right. We can hear you too.
All right. Good. Okay. Mr. Near.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: here. We can hear you just fine.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Please go ahead.

MR. NEAR: All right. I am Rocky Near. I am the teacher at the school here in Edna Bay. I've been here about a year. I believe I've been to pretty much every meeting that is happening in the last year here. A lot of the meetings are held here at the school. I've been to a lot of meetings in a lot of towns and this town is very organized, very — they got it together. I don't know if you guys have noticed by the testimonies that have been here. Like you guys, they are volunteers and they volunteer hours and hours and hours. And they make sure they've got all their little t's crossed and their i's dotted and they're very careful about the wording and things. And they labor over things for hours. And they want to get it right. And they do it as volunteers and their being seems to be a volunteer. This town wants to make things work together and that's something that I've seen, especially with

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

the people that are on the town council here. The work that they put in, the extra effort that they put in. And so that's the first point that I have.

The second point that I wanted to say was since I've been here I've been in a community where -- I mean there's some old descensions and things that have gone for years but because I'm sort of an outsider because I'm new and because I'm, you know, through the school district, I've been able to be friends with all the different people. And I've seen that the people are -- they enjoy each other. Because when we have these meetings, we have a town meeting we get the work done but it's not some horrible bad situation where everybody's, you know, uncomfortable and miserable. It seems to be a good time. And so that's another thing that I wanted to say is these guys like each. They like to do stuff together. Occasionally there's people that have, you know, their descensions and stuff, but that's not, you know, the majority.

And thirdly, I'd like to say that what they're trying to do here is to make this town able to do, you know, what they want legally to get all that access and everything. Because this is a town that has potential to be a wonderful place for families. Now I have lived in a lot of places and we finally found the place that we would like to settle and it's Edna Bay. I have kids and I'd like my kids to grow up here and I'd like their kids to grow up here. And it's because I have seen the

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

way the council works together and the way that the people work			
together in this town to get things done. And they haven't had			
a bunch of money to do this stuff. They've done it volunteer.			
I've been to the meetings where they vote for what are we going			
to you know, how are we going to do that, and somebody will			
just say well, I'll go ahead and do that. Or they'll call a			
work day and people will come together and they'll work and			
they'll get something done. So as eloquent at that is, that's			
about what you're going to get from me today.			

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you, Mr. Near. Anybody have any questions for Mr. Near? Okay. Hearing none, thank you. And at this time we had the tabled motion earlier, because Larry Dunham wasn't here. But since he did call in I think we'd -- we can really just table out of order and drop it out of permission of the Commissioners. Just get rid of it. Okay. Good. Okay. That concludes the petitioner's sworn witness testimony. At this time it is time for the respondent's sworn witness testimony. And I understand it's going to be Mr. Wolfe. Are you out there, Mr. Wolfe?

MR. WOLFE: Yes, sir, Commissioner Chrystal, I am. My name is Ron Wolfe, ready to be sworn in.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Mr. Williams is here.

MR. WILLIAMS: This is Brent Williams. Please raise your right hand.

(Witness sworn)

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

MR. WOLFE: I do.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Wolfe.

RON WOLFE

testified as follows:

MR. WOLFE: Good afternoon, Chairman Chrystal,
Commissioners, staff, members of the public, and especially the
people of Edna Bay. My name is Ron Wolfe and I live at 9466
Bailey Place here in Juneau. I appreciate the opportunity to
offer this testimony telephonically, but I do regret that I'm
not able to visit Edna Bay again, having been there on two
previous occasions. Kosciusko Island, Edna Bay, Sea Otter
Sound, it's really a wonderful place.

I would also just like to say, I guess give my thanks to Brent and Brice and the Commissioners. I've had the pleasure of having trying to host tours and trips in southeast Alaska that have been derailed by water. I've had telecommunications issues such as what you're going through and I know how hard it is to put these kind of things together, period. But I also know how hard it is, and appreciate the perseverance of seeing us those difficult times.

I'm currently serving at (indiscernible), Alaska, where I've worked for the previous 50 years (indiscernible). Before that I worked for Keplon (ph) Forest Products for a decade and before that I worked for six years for Tlingit-Haida Central

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

Council as a forester. I would like to answer the question why SeaAlaska commented on the Edna Bay petition and why it is offering testimony to this hearing.

The first part of the answer is that SeaAlaska hopes to receive some of its remaining Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act lands from Kosciusko Island. And some of these lands are within the Edna Bay boundary as delineated on the petition. The next part is that we of SeaAlaska support formation of local government that are capable of providing the full range of services that any business would expect a city to provide. But we have at least six concerns over this petition in particular.

Number 1, what is being proposed will neither be capable of providing these services, nor does it even imply intent to provide the full range of services.

Number 2, let's play this forward five or 10 years. We become concerned about the solvency of this new Edna Bay city. What happens if it goes bankrupt because of its reliance on government funding that could, and likely will, go away. In 2005 Commissioner Hargraves and Hicks expressed such concerns in the Naukati decision by commenting citizens of a number of small financially distressed Alaska communities simply abandoned their city government. As Commerce stated in the final report in Naukati, contemporary reports by state and federal agencies suggest that more than half of Alaska city

governments are experiencing severe financial and managerial difficulties. Considering the status of many municipalities Commerce contends to be vigilant in terms of promoting municipal incorporations for only those prospective local governments that can demonstrate fiscal liability. That comes from page 59 of the report.

A third concern is the assessment that this could foster a proliferation of multiple local governments that are not viable due to doubtful finances and limited functions.

Number 4, lands identified in this petition far exceed what is necessary for Edna Bay to function as a second class city. We submit that the boundary ought to be limited to the grey portion on the map shown on the web site for this petition.

Number 5, our concern is over the adequacy of the proposed budget. As Commissioner Hicks expressed in Naukati, there is a fundamental distinction between providing services as an incorporated non-profit homeowners association vis-a-vis a second class city. We share that concern over Edna Bay. Based on my experience with logging camps, road construction, working with communities here in southeast Alaska, I am concerned that \$10,000 per year for road maintenance and \$10,000 per year for dock maintenance is pretty darn low. Equipment costs doesn't include a rate for the equipment itself. Fuel and an operator for backhoes, dozers, graders and

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

other heavy equipment can go through these amounts in far less than a 12 month period. I am especially concerned that amounts for emergency services is far too low. To be responsive it should include capital and operating costs. At least, according to Jerry Crew (ph), much of the Edna Bay equipment today is inoperable, unusable and inadequate to be reliably providing emergency response. Frankly that's not a surprise. It takes capital to acquire suitable equipment to begin with and it's tough to keep emergency equipment that is infrequently used in good working order. The performance standards for this equipment is very high. It has to start right away, be ready to use every time on very short notice. \$3000 for administration doesn't go very far. And I agree with staff's recommendations that at least a part time person is necessary at a minimum, and even this may not be sufficient. With respect to the postal service, I guess that amount is adequate as I assume Myla gave her (indiscernible) on her years of serving in that capacity. But what about law enforcement, legal fees, case management, liability insurance, water and sewer lines, (indiscernible), and non-use (ph) regulation? There is nothing in the proposed budget for any of these.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In its preliminary report on Naukati Commerce noted that only 6.4 percent of Alaska's second class cities have budgets under \$100,000 per year. For Naukati alone, their maintenance alone was estimated at \$50,000. Against these yard

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

sticks the proposed \$34,000 per year budget here seems suspect and is certainly worthy of more critical analysis than it's gotten to date.

Finally we have a new concern that has come from the petition's reply to our comments, and that is the spectra of targeted or defendant's (indiscernible) taxation. The petition itself is quite clear that no property, sales, or other taxes on the town's residents are being proposed. But the petitioner's reply to our comment asserts that a 10 percent vessel (ph) tax that targets the timber industry should be (indiscernible) the condition for granting this petition.

Now we on the one hand, SeaAlaska opposes this petition for the above reasons. But I'm happy to report (indiscernible) it is not necessary for SeaAlaska to (indiscernible) with any city boundary to help communities. We have a long history of successfully working with local governments to help provide many essential services even though our lands are not within municipal boundaries. Here are some examples.

Water systems. We have helped with the reconstruction and establishment of new water systems for the villages of Hoona, Kake, Craig, and Hydaburg.

Transportation. We have entered into road agreements to allow construction of new roads or upgrades to existing roads that are on SeaAlaska land. Here are three examples on Prince of Wales. The Big (indiscernible) Highway north of

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

Klawok, the way to the village of Kutan (ph) on the east side of the island, and the Hydaburg Highway to the south. Another example is on Hop (ph) Island where we helped with the upgrade of the road from Kake to Seal Point. And a final road example comes from Hoona on Chichagof Island where we have helped build numerous roads.

Next let's talk about utilities. SeaAlaska has helped utilities be established on its lands. The oldest and most successful example is that of Reynolds Creek hydro electric facility that provides services for eight communities on Prince of Wales Island from Hydaburg to the south, to Kaufman's Cove to the north, Thorne Bay to the east, and Naukati to the west. The facility itself is entirely located on SeaAlaska land near Klawok, and much of the transmission lines are on SeaAlaska lands as well. We recently authorized Reynolds Creek Electric Cooperative to install a hydro electric facility on Basina (ph) Creek that will provide service to the village of Hoona. Maybe this authority some day will provide service to Tenakee Springs, Pelican, or other communities on Chichagof Island.

Lastly we are actively working with Hyda Power and Alaska Power and Telephone on the Reynolds Creek hydro electric facility to provide future power needs for Prince of Wales Island. Alaska Power and Telephone, and others, have reported to me personally that they found SeaAlaska much easier to work with than federal and state agencies. I just wish to reiterate

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

that municipal water shed, road and utilities examples I've provided come from SeaAlaska lands that are not within a municipal boundary.

Moving on on a specific project I'd like to talk about another way that we have worked with southeast Alaska communities on matters of common interests. We have worked extensively with communities on Senator Murkowski's legislation that were finalized our ANCSA land entirely. In this effort we have a long history of working with Edna Bay and every other southeast Alaska community by listening to their concerns and then working with the senators and others on compromise amendments to address these concerns. Here are some examples.

First is public access to the lands that would be conveyed to SeaAlaska. This was the most prominent and strongest issue that we heard from Edna Bay, and others, because of their subsistence lifestyle that they have come to enjoy by using these lands. We at SeaAlaska understand subsistence. And in the interest of working with communities SeaAlaska agreed in legislation to grant unprecedented access to the public to lands that would be conveyed by this legislation. I'm not aware of any private landowner anywhere that has agreed to such rights and federal law, but SeaAlaska felt that this was necessary to be a good neighbor. I can tell you this was in the face of strong criticism by other Alaska Native organizations and some of our own shareholders.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

Second, we have worked in the legislation to provide access to existing roads and trails above and beyond what ANCSA would normally provide.

Third, and specially with respect to Edna Bay the originally proposed lands for conveyance to SeaAlaska that would include the swimming hole. After listening to Edna Bay we removed this area from the (indiscernible) selection as requested because we learned that this is a source of water in times of drought then residents water system is so low and they need water. Also the name would suggest this is a source of recreation for the community.

In short, we have responded to concerns shared with us by Edna Bay and others. I can tell you this was an extensive process that included over 225 meetings with the public, city, conservation organizations, agencies and others. I know because I attended each and every one of them.

In conclusion we don't believe the Local Boundary
Commission should grant this petition because of the limited
nature of services that are being proposed, an inactive budget,
an unrealistic reliance on state and federal funds, and what
appears to be an unfair targeted tax on the timber industry. I
wish to reiterate that municipal water shed road and utility
examples I've provided come from SeaAlaska lands that are not
within a municipal boundary. Having said that, we look forward
to working with Edna Bay to help provide the services such as

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

these regardless of the outcome of this process, especially when Senator Murkowski's land legislation passes congress. Finally, we trust the process and this commission to each the 3 4 correct conclusion. Thank you for this opportunity to offer testimony. 5 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you, Mr. Wolfe. 6 7 commissioners have questions? Commissioner Harcharak? COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Commissioner Harcharak. 8 Wolfe, would you please elaborate on the comment, or statement 9 of the tax to the logging industry? 10 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Did you hear him okay? I'm not 11 12 sure how that went. (Off record comments) 13 COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: My question again, Mr. Wolfe, 14 would you please elaborate on a statement that you made toward 15 the end of your presentation about a blatant attack on the 16 logging industry. Would you explain what you're trying to tell 17 18 us? 19 MR. WOLFE: Okay. Commissioner Harcharak, let me see if I got the question. I'm going to repeat it. If I don't 20 21 have the question correctly please correct me. Towards the end 22 of my testimony I made a statement regarding a tax on the timber industry. Is that the nature.... 23

1

24

25

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Correct.

MR. WOLFE:of your question?

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Yes, it is. And I would like you to elaborate on that because I don't see it.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In essence the basis of this comes MR. WOLFE: Okay. from the petitioner's response to our comments on the draft of the staff's preliminary report. And in that petitioner's response there was a statement that the petition should be granted provided there is a 10 percent ex-vessel tax on timber. And -- let's see. I'm just -- yeah. I've had a chance now to -- handed the petitioner's response and the sentence that I'm referring to says, the Commission should consider, as a condition of approval of this petition, that Edna Bay enact a 10 percent tax on the ex-vessel value of all timber harvested within the boundaries delineated in this petition. And I guess after the petition that originally said that they weren't going to have any taxes, then in this response to our response, they proposed really only this tax. That leads me to believe that perhaps their targeting the timber industry.

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: All right. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Any other questions for Mr. Wolfe?

Okay. Thank you, Mr. Wolfe. At this time we have the petitioner's responsive sworn witness testimony. This could be -- you have to be sworn in, yeah.

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, actually he's not a -- he's the petitioner's responsive name is not as the witness -- we'll go ahead and swear him in regardless. It can't hurt.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: No, he's not a witness. He's just 1 2 a response. MR. WILLIAMS: He is -- all right. 3 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Well, it says sworn witness thing 4 5 here. So MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Let's do it. It can't hurt. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Yeah, let's just do it. (Witness sworn) 8 MR. GREIF: I do. 10 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Well, that was very interesting, Mr. 11 MR. GREIF: And I appreciate your comments. It's kind of 12 interesting that when we formed those boundaries we did not 13 know that we were infringing on SeaAlaska's potential land 14 accumulation. As it turns out, we did. I don't feel like --15 16 I've been in the timber industry since I was 15 years old and 17 I'm not -- have no war with the timber industry. I'm very 18 favorable. I'm really disappointed that SeaAlaska spent so much time on the conclusion of Naukati when in the LBC's final 19 20 report here in Edna Bay stated very clearly that there is no comparison. 21 22 MR. WOLFE: Mr. Chairman, I..... 23 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Excuse me. UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: You're out of order. 24

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Everybody has their fair chance.

25

MR. WOLFE: I read -- is this Mr. Gates (ph) closing statement now?

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: No, it is not. This is not the closing statement. It is a responsive witness statement.

MR. GREIF: It's Greif. The LBC reports on page 13, the respondents concerns about the proposed city finances are well taken. But after carefully considering those concerns and examining the community's financial management, Commerce finds that the situation differs from that of Naukati. Naukati has had a much bigger budget, showed resistance to taxes. No such opposition was expressed here. Further, the proposed city of Edna Bay would start with \$100,000 in reserves. Third, it would have lower expenses than Naukati and an income to expenses ratio of 3.62 to 1 after the organization grants in. The figure would be higher while the organization grants were being distributed in the first two fiscal years.

I would say that there is a confusion about what a bush city should provide versus what a rural community should provide. If we were in Thorne Bay there is a need for sewer, sidewalks, paved roads, VPSO and so on. In this community we are 50 people and that community is four to 600. The services that we render here now are very viable, very necessary and taking care of very well. When we grow I am sure that with the attitude that we have presented to you here, we will -- when the community, the members who live here require more services,

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

we will at that time enter into a situation of revenue such a property tax or sales tax or volunteer giving to meet those needs. As I understand it, this city, if we ever become one, has no way to impose a tax on anyone unless it's voted on by the members of the community and passes by majority rule. So therefore, I cannot project what we will do in the future that we promise to act accordingly and meet the needs of the people here by the vote of the people here.

And, you know what, I really haven't got any more to

And, you know what, I really haven't got any more to say to you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Thank you, sir. We'll have to wait until public comments and at this point....

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I'll be swearing as a witness. I have some things that I can directly respond to. I wasn't sure what the witnesses response -- it was a pleural word.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Yeah, I know that is and we can't get into a tit for tat type of thing here like in a court system. We could argue all day long. That's why we have the sworn testimony and the witnesses. We still have the public comment period coming up very shortly. Yes, sir?

MR. WILLIAMS: I believe at this point the Chair -- the petitioner's sworn witnesses to respond to the respondent's statement.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Well, that would be.....
MR. WILLIAMS: And called upon by the....

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

MR. GRIEF: I would call upon Myla for more information.

MS. POLSTRA: Okay. I would like to address some of the points -- oh, this is Myla Polstra. And I would like to address some of the points that....

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: You want to come up here a little closer so we can....

MS. POLSTRA: Sure. I would like to address some of the points that Mr. Wolfe raised. And in his first point he said that we cannot provide for a full scale of services. And that leaves me a little bit confused by what I have read and reviewed on the State of Alaska web site regarding second class cities and their requirement to provide services. According to the section on municipal government on Logon on the State of Alaska web site, second class cities are required to provide services nor are they required to provide platting and planning. And so while it would be our option to exercise some of those things, it is not, to my understanding, a requirement and therefore I did not advise Mr. Grief that they needed to be included in the petition because it was our understanding that we were within our correct framework to want to continue to provide the services that we currently do.

As far as the -- limiting us to the grey boundaries, again you run into what I brought up in my testimony. If the State of Alaska had not created the southeast forest and

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

removed all of that acreage, what he's referring to includes those acres that have been removed. We now lack adequate lands to select from. Those are going to have to be located somewhere within inside the boundaries that we put in the petition. And so I would ask the Commission to consider those conditions that have been brought into the picture since this community was originally founded. We now have much less to work with.

In response to some of their comments on helping us with our services and some of the things that they've done for other communities, I think it's commendable that SeaAlaska has been willing to step forward and so much for other communities in other places. For Edna Bay SeaAlaska cannot resolve the easement issues that we have. They cannot resolve the public right-of-way issues that we have been advised can only be resolved by a municipal entity that can take ownership of the roads and therefore correct the easement issues. So even though there may be things that they could offer us that would be beneficial, at the heart of what stands to cause our community to stop to function, they cannot resolve those issues for us.

And the other thing that I would like to say is that in regard to the comment that he made about the condition of our equipment that was morbid in the comment from Jerry Crew. The comment that was submitted was done to support the purpose and

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

the opinion of the person who commented. The reality of the situation with our equipment that was cited is that -- and I could go through every single piece of equipment and every single thing that was cited, but in reality the equipment that was noted was either donated to the community and accepted without thought of how we were going to utilize it, like the fire truck, for example. It doesn't have the capacity -- it's a two wheel drive vehicle. It can't even be taken up and down Charley Creek Bridge where the bridge is to respond to a fire. It's made to be used with a fire hydrant. It was donated to the community by the Wrangell Fire Department and it was done at a time when it seemed like a good idea but in reality it has had no practical use. So it sits as a monument at the head of the bay because shipping it back out of here would cost more than just having it where it is.

The ambulance was a very similar situation. It was donated to the community. The community worked very diligently at trying to maintain it but we live in a rain forest. We have no adequate places to store equipment and as the years went by it simply aged out and needed more repairs than was practical to do. And so it was voted on at a meeting and we decided to sell it. Someone in the community bought the ambulance and it was utilized on a private basis. Our EMS is very well equipped. We are supplied with all of the equipment that we need through SerRims (ph). We have responders that are ready

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

to respond at any time and we have adequate equipment to do so.

A lot of the things that he is -- and were talked about in that comments were simply taken out of context for the purpose of painting a different picture of our community. And so I would just ask that you take that into consideration when you make your decision. And I think that pretty well covers what I have to share.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Does the petitioner have any other responsive sworn witnesses to bring forward? Okay. At this time then we're done with that responsive portion. Right now, unless I'm missing something here, we're going to roll ahead to number 7 on the second day's agenda. We'll come into that with a public comment period because we didn't give the morning portion here today but we covered it under this -- yesterday's portion. So we'll move on to the public comment period and this is a chance for anybody that's here to speak your mind. When you do come up state your name and hopefully keep it relatively short. Okay. Could everybody out there please mute their phones. We're getting a lot of feedback. I think that might have done it. Oh, maybe not. Could you all three mute your phones in the background for now? Is that good?

MR. WILLIAMS: Chair, before we start the public comment -- this is Brent Williams. A couple thoughts. One, we have that list of (indiscernible) items. There might be some

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

people who cannot appear tomorrow but could appear now. So I'd ask who those folks are so they can get their say in. And then did the Chair -- I want to give the fact there's the standby regulations, three minutes.....

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Right.

MR. WILLIAMS:but if they want to have a different time and if so.....

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Right. We'd like to keep it to three minutes or so. But if you happen to run over a little bit, that's okay too, I guess. We're not going to -- you know, but don't run over very far because we could be here for a long time. Yes?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: Are we taking public comments on the phone as well?

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: On the phone as well, yeah.

MR. WILLIAMS: Chair, I think we might be able to have even at six months, that's 10 an hour. I counted 20 people on the sign up list.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: That wanted to speak?

MR. WILLIAMS: Right. And it could be more who signed up to appear by phone, but that's two hours right there which I do not think is reasonable. So perhaps six minutes is.....

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Yeah. You know, five or six minutes. We'll probably go with the sign up list, whoever signed up first gets to talk first. Yes?

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I was going to ask, as someone who was a witness, am I also allowed to comment publicly?

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Yes. So we'll go ahead and start with that then. We would like to keep it as relatively short as we can but if you run over a little bit, that's okay. It's not a real big room. Okay. The first one is Kenny Widmyer?

MR. ENINGOWUK: There's another page, the front page.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Oh, I thought this was the first page. Oh, okay. All right. I stand corrected. Karen Williams, please. Is she here? Just sit right here so everybody can hear you on the phone. And speak your name and so on.

MS. WILLIAMS: My name is Karen Williams. And I'm presently the vice president of our community. I'm also holding the office of EMS manager presently. I've lived here for 22 years. I've worked at the school, I've worked at our church, and I just want everyone to understand that we have an extremely hard working EMS. It has been set up years prior and has been continued, and the last two years with my management we've increased in numbers, we've increased in our registered ETTs and certified CPR personnel. I am told by the SerRams that we have the largest number of CPR certifications in any small community in southeast Alaska. I'm very pleased to say

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

1	that everyone here works together whether they love each other,
2	like each other, or not. We're always there for each other's
3	back regardless of what happens. And democracy is very
4	important to me as well as to our community. And I can see
5	nothing to be lost and much to be gained by becoming a second
6	class city. And I would like to continue working and helping
7	the community in any capacity that I can. And in that 22 years
8	I've raised four daughters that the last graduated from
9	school out here and she went to college and is holding a
0	\$100,000 a year job, so she was educated properly in Edna Bay
L1	obviously. I have 25 grandchildren, six kids, and I'm
L2	presently going to be engaging a son of ours and six of his
L3	children that will be living in Edna Bay by winter I am hoping.
L4	And so we are encouraging our family to come. We are
L5	encouraging our children that have grown up here to come back
L6	and help us prosper. And Edna Bay is a beautiful place. If
17	you were here today you'd understand. And so I am standing for
18	the second class city. I'm surely in favor of all of it.
19	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you, Ms. Williams. Twenty-

MS. WILLIAMS: Correct.

five grandkids. Wow!

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: I've only got three. Any questions? Okay. The next person is Mike Williams.

2425

23

22

20

MR. WILLIAMS: I'm Mike Williams. And I don't know

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

what to say that my wife didn't already say. I've lived here
22 years also. I'm in and out of this bay quite a bit. I work
construction and I work all over the place. And I love this
place. And I always have. And the people that govern over
this place now, the people that run our little offices and
stuff are totally unselfish. They do this stuff, all volunteer
work. They would continue to carry on in this community
forever it need be. I have all the confidence in the world in
that. And I do see the benefits of a second class city out
here. I believe before it's over with -- we'd really regret it
if it doesn't happen. And we're really glad to see you
gentlemen here and paying us this attention. And I just hope
that it all goes well for us. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you, Mr. Williams. Okay. The next speaker is Pat Richter.

MR. RICHTER: I don't have anything to say.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. And then Sharon Wargi? Is that right?

MS. WARGI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay.

MS. WARGI: When I filled that out I thought it was a sign in sheet, but I just -- I'm Sharon Wargi. I just want you to know that we're totally in favor of a second class city. I think it's a wonderful idea. Our family is here. We've been here 12 years and we love it. So I'm just hoping everything

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

goes the way we want it to. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you. Actually this is a combination sign in sheet and comment. You had to say yes or no on the comment part. But -- the next one is Dick Wargi.

Okay. No? Okay. And then Joe Wargi.

MR. WARGI: My name is Joe Wargi. And I just want to say that I totally support this and am in favor for it. I think it is necessary for this community to grow the way we want it to. That's all I got to say.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you. And then Heather Richter.

MS. RICHTER: All right. So, you know, I already gave my testimony earlier. The comment I guess I want to make after listening to SeaAlaska's testimony is that — and in addition to what Myla has already added to that. My understanding of state statute is that a VPSO is not a requirement for a second class city, and so I feel that is why this was not addressed in the petition. We don't have a VPSO here. We've never had a VPSO. And I don't believe there's any need for one. So it would not be prudent to address that in the petition or in the budget to add one. I don't think it's the desire of the people. Like I said earlier, I've been serving as either vice president or president of the community for the last 13 years and my understanding of the peoples desire is that why would we have something we don't need. And so that's what I have to say

about that.

The next thing is I'd like to remind SeaAlaska that we are not addressing their legislation in this petition. This petition solely addresses the needs and the welfare of the Edna Bay community. So this is not -- I feel it is not the avenue -- the correct avenue to -- I guess what I'm saying is I feel that they're trying to respond to the things in the legislation and that's not the situation that we're here about today. And then I guess I'm sort of curious to know what other municipal incorporations that in the past that SeaAlaska has testified, or that they were involved in. And other than that I think that's it.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Thank you.

MS. RICHTER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: All right. When I call your name you don't have to come up and say anything if you don't want to. Just say yes or no, or whatever. Doris Greif, you did say no on this?

MS. GREIF: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Lee Greif?

MR. GREIF: I have nothing further.

22 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Nothing further. Okay. And then

23 Lois Morgan?

MR. WILLIAMS: Chair, can we make sure that the respondents are on the line? I just want to make sure that

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

we're not losing anyone.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Sure.

MR. ENINGOWUK: Hi, this is Brice. Is everybody still on the line?

MR. WOLFE: Yeah. Hi, Brice. This is Ron Wolfe. We're still here.

MR. ENINGOWUK: Okay. Yeah, we're getting some random beeps on the phone, so we're just double-checking to make sure. We have somebody coming up now so back to the Chair. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Just state your name when you start to talk there.

MS. MORGAN: I am Lois Morgan. I've lived here since
'82. I love Edna Bay also. I don't like big government. I
don't like legion size government. I like the tiniest bit of
government I can get. I've been a school board member for 10
years for southeast island and chairman of the board and
learned a lot there about politics. I ask you to consider this
application carefully and prayerfully so that you will do the
right thing. I don't know if we should be a second class city
or not, but most people in the bay get aggravated with me,
whichever side they're on because I don't take sides,
especially when I don't know what the right answer is. So it's
in your court. Please consider it really carefully because it
will make a big difference to us. Thank you.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

P.O. Box 100464 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you. And I can promise you we will try to do our very best. Okay. Robert Morgan.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MORGAN: Oh, he couldn't stand it this long.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. How about Susan Crew?

MS. CREW: My name is Susan Crew. And I'd like to thank you guys for coming out for the chance to hear what we have to say. And I don't know, I feel like -- well, no, I promised. The first thing I'll have to say that I hope you guys read the comments. I believe they were attributed to Jerry Crew because I sent them out under his email. It was a long and unedited comment. That was my fault for having other things get in my way of getting that done. So I need to apologize to the Near family. They've objected to my statement on page 2 which basically says that the teacher would leave the community by June 1st. While it is true that they will be gone by June 1st, as you heard him say they do plan to come back. They would like to live here when they've finished some other commitments they have. And so I apologize for that. And I would also like to point out that the quote to which they objected was not intended to state who would stay and who would not, because I believe that that's something that is pretty hard to say. I mean how can I plan what I'm going to do tomorrow? I mean I might have a heart attack on my way home today and that will be it.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Let's hope not.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

MS. MORGAN: Yes. So that comment was something to convey the concept that people who reside in Edna Bay, the feeling that eight persons would constitute 25 percent of the community. And it was addressed to the fact that the population figures in. Some of the paperwork seemed to me to be somewhat eschewed. And I really feel like if you really want a good idea of who lives in Edna Bay you should take a look at the telephone book. Most of the people who live here are listed in the telephone book. Not all, but most of the people who live here. So, you know, taking a good look at what's in the phone book is a good idea if you want a good idea of population.

And I really wasn't going to address the issue with the equipment but since it did come up, I will. In my other comments I pointed out that I didn't feel that -- or my husband and I don't feel that the community has done a good job of caring for their physical assets. They've done, I believe, a very good job with our finances. But our physical assets, the fire truck -- yeah, it was a strange thing to have in Edna Bay. It became totally unusable when it was left with water in it and froze the pumps in the wintertime. The ambulance the same. But the maintenance. I mean I would have to disagree with whoever it was said it had diligent maintenance. At that time I was the EMS director. I begged for someone to work on that ambulance and I got nowhere. We sold it. The gentleman who

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

bought it was able to repair and drive it away. Although it hadn't -- how should I say this? The entire time that I was EMS director it did not move. It could not move. It had something to do with the fuel lines which I'm not capable of fixing myself.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We had a backhoe, as had a dump truck. I don't know if they were donated or if the community bought them, but they were sold in a fairly decrepit condition for lack of maintenance. I also stated in my comments that our boardwalks, rather than being repaired, had signs put on them, warning, keep off. We have just lately decided to go ahead and repair them, which is a good thing. Our EMS four-wheeler was left for, I don't know, most of two years with flat tires and a dead battery. I don't know how to say this. I love my community and you're going to hear a lot of sniggering out here. spent five summers -- we lived in Sitka, we spent five summers researching in the wintertime and traveling in the summer from Ketchikan to Skagway looking for the place that we wanted to And we fell in love with Edna Bay and moved here. I enjoy be. the people here. Obviously there's people that I am closer to than others. And when I say I love the community of Edna Bay, I really don't believe that love is blind, that love is ignorant. I don't believe that love would agree against its personal principals just to get along. That's co-dependence, it's not love. And so the things I see, the concerns I have, I

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

believe I have a right to air them without repercussion and whatnot.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm not a word-smith. I work real hard and I generally come up with the right word next week, or the right phrase. And, you know, I don't always make a good word picture. struggle. If you don't understand what I'm trying to get to, or whatever, feel free to interrupt me if that's fair. You can do that and ask a question. I guess to start with, in this whole scenario I've been quite disappointed that the petitioners didn't choose to make all the work they were doing on this petition open to the community. It's been mostly kept under wraps to a lot of us. We didn't realize a petition was even going in until it was ready to be signed. I would still like to know, I have questions, what do the petitioners actually envision? What are their plans? Where do they want to go? Even sitting here today I'm left going okay, so what does that mean? Where is that going to put us? And these are questions I have not had answered.

I requested an informal meeting of the petitioners and they basically said that they were required to have a meeting, therefore they wouldn't. But they did the next day say that they would take emails. Email questions? Well, that's a real difficult format and I did email some questions. But at the next community meeting we were told that there would be no more email questions either. That was the end of that. To my

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

knowledge the only real public meeting held by the petitioners ended with the petitioners walking out and the meeting broke up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I guess I struggle with all this. One of the big ones is on the petition itself. Twenty-five signatures were required. I believe it has 32 or 33 signatures on it. But Exhibit A says that those are the signatures of people who currently maintain their principal place of residence within the territory proposed for the corporation. I called and spoke with Brent. I asked for that definition of principal place of residence and he told me it meant what it said. So I struggle with the signers on that petition who do not live here. There's a gentleman, I don't know if he's still on the phone now or not, he has never lived here. He doesn't -- at the time he signed the petition there was no roof over his head. There's several petitioners, or signers, that have never lived here. I would -- I'm not sure that the petitioners could get 25 signatures if the people had to live here in order to get it signed. They may. But I would question it. And at least one, possibly two, of the signers were not qualified voters, as I understand qualified voters from talking to Brent. Qualified voters would be people registered to vote in Edna Bay. And so I question the validity of the petition itself for that reason.

I'm not sure I want to say all of this so I'm taking a quick look at what I put on here related to, you know, what's

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

happening. I'm concerned about some of the things that go on within our local government. One in particular is that we talk about maintaining a municipality here and doing things the way they should be done, and yet we maintain a policy of serious discrimination in how we award contracts from the city. I don't know if you guys want me to go into what the policy is or simply say.....

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Basically we want your opinion on whether you think we should or should not be a....

MS. MORGAN: Okay. I am very concerned.....

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL:second class.....

MS. MORGAN:about pushing this on to another level until we can manage to do things a little more -- with less discrimination, where we're at now. I'm concerned that we have never managed to come up with an Army Corps of Engineers permit for putting in culverts and ditches. Those are federal requirements that the city knows are required but has chosen not to get. Those are small things, I suppose, but to me they indicate something that maybe we should work on before we decide to take that step to be a government. And I guess, you know, like I said people say, they have always accused me of hating the community and maybe that's so. Maybe they say so, but then on the other hand it's okay. I mean it's possible to love something that is somewhat dysfunctional without turning a blind eye to those disfunctions.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

I don't feel that we have reached the stage where we should be trying for a second class city. I see other options to a lot of the things that we've talked about today. That million dollar bridge is not the only option. There are other options to fixing our bridges. It would take a few years of saving up our money and spending it, but it's possible to fix our own bridges. I'm wondering on the questions in previous testimony on the Edna Bay budget. On the postal subsidy, isn't that an ongoing bill? I believe it is. I'm wondering if that monies we have in the bank includes the money for the contracts that were awarded, or have been awarded in this community? So those are just small things that I picked up during that other discussion. And I thank you for listening to me.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Thank you. And everybody always has the right to speak their mind. Becky Crew, I guess you -- I see a no here.

MS. CREW: No.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. John Wright? As I said, no one has to.

MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, I know. But -- I'm John Wright.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: That other chair is a little closer to the phone right here.

MR. WRIGHT: Okay. Sure. I'm John Wright. I am the newest person in this community and when I first heard about the city I was like, whoa, no. And I still hold reservation.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

And the people know my -- I hope you know because I'd rather disagree with you and have you know where I stand.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Can you speak into the phone here? MR. WRIGHT: I would rather have them disagree with me but know where I stand. At least they'll know. That's a good thing. I'm like this on becoming a city or not. I've worked for several small cities in my lifetime and it scares me. One of the things that -- one of my main concerns is I live on \$1200 a month. As the city grows -- once you open that door, the city will grow. Things will happen. There's going to be more services asked of it, different things. So I guess that scares me too, I don't want to be taxed out. And that's probably my biggest concern. The people who are running it right now do an excellent job and I was told on some of the things of costs and how much they receive, don't receive. And I think everybody needs to be commended on that. I would like to see more people attend the community meetings because there's people that aren't coming. And whether you agree or not -- I think it's okay to disagree. But we still need to stand together as a community. So like I said, there's some more things I'd like to find out and get on line. But just use your discretion and hope everything goes good for all of us here.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: If you can figure out a way to get more people to come to meetings you'd be really popular. Ever watch a TV show of the Anchorage assembly and in a city of 200 and some thousand people there'd be 10 people attend. Angela Near?

MS. NEAR: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Oh, it says no. So I presume it's still a no? Well, I don't want to twist your arm, but....

MS. NEAR: My name is Angela Near. I'm married to the teacher here so I work at the school. And we've been here for about nine months. And I've attended most of the meetings here and we lived -- for two years previous to Edna Bay we lived on another very small town on Prince of Wales Island, and comparatively the organization and efficiency of this community board is just amazing to me. The amount of money that they work with, they have a large amount of money in their balance and they take care of the town well. They run everything efficiently and I've been very impressed with that. With the organization and their efficiency. And so having seen another small town that did not run real well and that did not have much money to work with, they didn't have the love of their community as much as what I've seen here. The community board here in Edna Bay has a love for their community and want to see it prosper and do well, and I think the second class city would protect them from what could possibly happen as far as the

boroughization and keep them -- the local government more in control of what they have. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you. Scotty Palmer?
MR. PALMER: Nothing.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Nothing. Okay. You had a question mark here, so I didn't -- wasn't sure. Charleen Bartels?

MS. BARTELS: That's me. Good afternoon. Thank you for being here. My name is Charleen Bartels. I've lived here in Edna Bay since 1982. My husband and I are going to be celebrating our 49th wedding anniversary this year, and we've had three other couples here in Edna Bay within the last four years that have celebrated their 40th anniversary. So we have people here that work hard and they work hard at life. And you've heard a lot of the testimonies here about how we all work and volunteer and we try to do our best at what we got to work with here.

We've raised our family here. We have two daughters. They both attended this school, they both graduated from this school, they both went on to college and they both graduated from college. One magna cum laude and one with just a cum laude. So they got a very good education here in Edna Bay. We have six grandchildren and they all love it here. They love coming here and some even say they want to live here someday. So that warms my heart. And I'd like to see that happen someday but who knows?

I love this community. Took me a long time to decide 1 how I felt about a second class city. Years ago I was totally 2 against it because I didn't think we were ready for it. My 3 4 main reason why I am in favor of a second class city is because I can't even imagine us being boroughed into another government 5 away from here. I can't imagine it. We are so individualistic 6 7 here and we like to decide our own decisions. We like our life style the way it is. I want to keep Edna Bay the same as it is 8 today. But to be realistic, as far as I understand the State of Alaska has a law saying that every community has to become a 10 11 government or be boroughed in, and I would like to see that law and if that is true. Because I know that the feasibility study 12 that Prince of Wales has had Edna Bay on it and wants to borough Edna Bay into it. And I know that other communities, 14 you know, have talked about it to me and wanting to borough us 15 If they boroughed us in we would lose all of our revenue 16 17 sharing money and we wouldn't have any money to do any of the services that we have now. And that's my main reason why I 18 would want to have a second class city is because I love Edna 19 Bay. I don't want to be under the thumb of another government 20 that's not here and don't understand our life style that we 21 have here and we worked hard to have. And that's the main 22 reason why I want a second class city. Thank you for listening 23

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you. My wife and I will

24

25

to my comments.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

2 MR. NEAR: Hi. 3 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Oh, same one. Okay. You going to make any.... 4 MR. NEAR: I have no further comments. 5 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Sander Henson? 6 MS. HENSON: Sandra Henson. 7 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Sandra Henson. Okay. 8 9 MS. HENSON: No comment. CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. And Steve Schellberger, no 10 comment. Is that right? Oh, you're going fishing. Good day 11 to go fishing. Kenny Widmyer. Okay. Same. Curtis Greif? 12 MR. GREIF: Yeah. 13 14 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: You wish to speak? MR. GREIF: Sure. May I stand if I want to? 15 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Sure. Just make sure you speak 16 your name and..... 17 MR. GREIF: My name is Curtis Greif. I like being up 18 19 here in Alaska. This is my second year here. I was up here before about six years and I really like living up here. And I 20 like this town. That's pretty much all I got right now. 21 22 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: All right. 23 MR. GREIF: So thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: You better come to the state fair

reach 50 before you do. Rockwell Near.

1

25

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

in Palmer. Cy is going to be there. (Indiscernible).

you. John Dodson? 1 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No. 2 3 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Jedidiah Near. No? Okay. Logan Richter? 4 5 MR. RICHTER: No comment. CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: No comment. Polstra, Teran. 6 7 that right? I'm probably masacering (ph) that first name. UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No comment. 8 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: No comment. Okay. And Ross 9 Bartels? 10 MR. BARTELS: I hope you enjoyed your vacation 11 12 yesterday. 13 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: No. 14 MR. BARTELS: Work today, not over the weekend. 15 CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Very boring, frankly. Nothing but three cruise ships full of people. 16 17 MR. BARTELS: We appreciate your being here and what I want to share with you -- I'm going to have to put my glasses 18 on, is there's been a lot of conjecture or maybe this will 19 happen, speculation. What I want to tell you is not 20 speculation. I want to tell you what this community has done 21 22 in the past. Our two girls graduated right in this very room from high school. They went to Grand Canyon because the 23

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

community supported them. They had (indiscernible).

probably cost a hundred bucks if you got one of the cheaper

24

25

P.O. Box 100464 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

108

But we supported this school and we supported -- you've seen the testimonies from what's happened here in the past. Thirty years plus residents, way back when. We live in the west side of Edna Bay. There were 12 kids on this side of the bay then. We built a trail to the school so in high tide the kids wouldn't have to get wet. There was nothing as far as how much an hour. They were your kids, they were our kids, they were our neighbors kids. We built it. We didn't ask for This community is built on integrity and commitment to what it does through projects like that. In about 1983 was the boardwalk. 1985 we had a local grid built, state money, local labor, in the middle of winter with flood jammers. We would skiff over there and beat the rock out of the way actually with sledge hammers. And that's how we got our grid in place by integrity and commitment from the local people. We didn't hire a blasting company to come in. We didn't have the money. knew how to do it. We weren't afraid of work. 1989 the East/West community road. We had some funding that was talked about but it was community labor, that's why the bill was less than half. This community is not afraid to work. integrity. It's written down. This is not speculation. is what the community did with what we had and what we will do with what we're going to have in the future. You have these projects. You have 25 or 30 years of upkeep on these projects. Done by community labor. This is not speculation. This is not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something that yeah, I don't think your community can hold it. 1 This is past history. It's done, it's completed, and it will 2 3 be done in the future like it has been done in the past. That's why I'm for this. I live on a limited income too, 5 believe me. The local post office, the non-federal post office. We used grant money. We've had that post office for 6 7 15 or 20 years and again we never -- there was no revenue sharing money. What happened? We had to get our own. Don't 8 you hate that. (Indiscernible). The post office needs it. 9 we supported it. We didn't get a write off. We didn't get 10 anything. But we kept our post office open because this 11 12 community has integrity and commitment. It's proven. It's not speculation. You guys can look it up. That's what happened. 13 And so it's short and to the point here. When things are 14 sweet, they're sweet. Can we do everything? Probably not. 15 But out past has proven the integrity and commitment to keep 16 this community together and do what we need to do. We want you 17 to know that. Thank you. 18

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you, sir. Mike Williams?

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Nothing else? Okay. Terry Polstra?

MR. WILLIAMS: I have nothing else.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. POLSTRA: Good afternoon. My name is Terry
Polstra. I'd just like to say that I live here in Edna Bay and
I've enjoyed it all the years I've been here. I work on the

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

North Slope in the oil field and I always look forward to coming back to Edna Bay. And with our infrastructure as it is I look forward to coming back and being able to drive on good roads and get to my home as well. And I hope this goes favorable for us. Thank you, gentlemen, for your time.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you for your comments. Carleigh Fairchild.

MS. FAIRCHILD: Good afternoon. I'm Carleigh
Fairchild. And I am a new resident here in Edna Bay as of last
year. And some people, they say I may not know what I'm
getting myself into by living here. I'm going to up and leave.
Well, people don't know that from the time I was born I was
tenacious and independent. And I know what I want. And when I
came here I saw what I've been looking for. And I'm ready and
willing to get trained to step up and become part of this
community. I've been to most community meetings since I've
been here. And if we are able to become a second class city
I'm willing to put the time in to help that become a reality
and follows the rules that will be needed as a second class
city.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you. I bet you will. Okay. One more. Tyler Polstra.

MR. POLSTRA: My name is Tyler Polstra. I'm a born and raised Alaska resident and we moved to Edna Bay in the year 2000. I've been here and paid close attention since the very

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

beginning. I want to be part of my government, serve my community and do everything I can in my power to make it better place. The life blood that makes this place what it is, make sit so unique. I feel like, listening to all the different comments, you gentlemen are getting a pretty good perspective of the type of place that this is and why it can't be compared to other communities in the region, and why we can do what we say we can do. And I feel like I know it's possible. that we can step up and do the right thing. And there's always two ways to look at anything. Even something positive, if you want to you can see it negative. And I would like to speak on behalf of the respondent I've listened to everything that was said. I feel like there aren't really borders crossing here because I almost feel offended that there's so much negativity being poured into a process that's just residents trying to be responsible for ourself, trying to self govern, trying to do what we were meant to do when the community was started and fostered into existence by the State of Alaska. We're doing what we should be doing. And we're being shamed by an entity that has no land here at this time. So I feel offended by that a little bit and I wanted to say that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And there's a few other clarifications like all cities have old equipment that they sell. It gets used up, eventually gets old, you have to sell it. Things get worn down, they have to be repaired or replaced. That's the stage we're at. The

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

community built most of the infrastructure, purchased most of the things 20 years ago, 30 years ago. We're at the point where that's why this precipice is so critical for us. We are fixing things. We are stepping up. We're doing what needs to be done but there are so many hurdles because of all these things we're trying to do to be responsible that it takes a lot of work. But it also takes a lot of backing. We have the clout hopefully to do it. We have the personnel and the human capacity to deal with the things that we have -- that we're facing, but we have to have more support. Which is why governing status exists. Which is why there are frameworks like second class city for places like this. Because there are so many unique things that encompass what our community is, it makes changing the status necessary because a borough can't provide the things that we need here. We're too far away. We're not on the road system. We, as you saw, can't fly in sometimes. You can't get out by water sometimes. There are things that we have to do locally that no one else can provide. And we've shown that we have the tenaciousness to step up, to volunteer selflessly, and make all the things we need happen. And I'm very confident that we can step up to the plate and successfully manage a second class city. And that's why in my heart of hearts know we can do what's necessary, and I am in full support of second class city. And I'd like to thank the LBC for working so closely with everyone, for everyone's part

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

in this process. It's been very transparent on a local level. We've tried very hard to make sure everybody has avenues to communicate, to share information, to get emails, to try and encourage as much participation as possible in the process. And the assistance we've had from our local government specialists in the state has been really fast and fascinating and been wonderful. It's been a great process and this is an eye opening experience to me still. I want to thank everybody for your time and for this opportunity to comment.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you very much. Okay. It's time for the closing statements. Maybe we'll take about a 10 minute break.....

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: You might want to check and see if there are any comments on line.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Oh, I'm sorry. You're right. Are there any public comments on the telephone, please?

MR. BEEBE: Hi, this is David Beebe, representing the City of (indipernible).

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay.

MR. BEEBE: I would just like to sort of reflect on what my 30 year experience dealing with other southeast Alaska second class city. And I would like to hold out that the community spirit has survived since 1975. Has not only survived but has thrived. And I will be forever grateful for the ability to live in a small community where people bond

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

P.O. Box 100464 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

together for the common purpose. And that purpose is not profit driven, it is driven by a community spirit. And I found (indiscernible) in stark contrast to -- with SeaAlaska putting its view on these matters. I've had the pleasure to be a part of the process by which nine communities banded together that were directly threatened by SeaAlaska's intentions. And as we all know, the progress of that (indiscernible) has gone nowhere precisely because it was upgraded to an element to that objective to squelch the small community spirit that therefore the tribes in rural Alaska also tell us it is particularly ironic that there were claims about the impossibility of a second class city to function, to adequately meet what our requirement was as we were expecting some other far and wider group of people would. And the City of Kupreanof is the designated river community. We are connected by a trail system and we really increased the alternative that provides for us. It involves a very high quality of life. And that opportunity is a very rare thing. And we also truly appreciate the excellence that the community development enjoy and other agencies have provided for the City of Kupreanof. We've been able to get over some rough spots in our past and by the way, we have a (indiscernible) fund established and we have very tight control over our funds. And we really appreciate that local control and our ability of self determination. And we (indiscernible) with SeaAlaska's statements that would call up

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the question, solvency matters. I can't help but notice that
in a news report today that SeaAlaska itself reported a \$35
million loss. And I have to question, you know, after all that
is a (indiscernible) corporation and their intentions are
really at odds with the community spirits of southeast Alaska.
And I really appreciate the ability to open these rural
locations and I just think folks (indiscernible). I accept
bringing up the fact that again this failure over the SeaAlaska
legislation to occur I think is really makes it a self
evident that they intend to sort of impose a land ownership
through political channels that are highly contentious. And I
hope that the LBC appreciates the contrast between that sort of
criss-crossing (ph) mentality that does not really provide for
sustainable economies in communities versus the community
spirit that you will always find in rural Alaska. So with that
I thank you for the opportunity to comment.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you for your comments. Is there anybody else out there want to make any public comments? Nobody else? Okay. I'll move on to the next item then. We're done with public comments and we have petitioner's closing statement coming up. So we'll take about a 10 minute break and then we'll do that. And then the respondent's closing statement. So it's about 3:57 right now. So we'll be adjourned for 10 minutes.

(Off record)

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

(On record)

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. We'll call the meeting back to order at 4:15. We are the point in the agenda of petitioner's closing statement. Up to 10 minutes time. I presume you're giving the petitioner's closing statement?

Okay. Yeah, move over here if you would. And then we'll follow that with the respondent's closing statement and then the petitioner has another reply to the closing statement.

MR. GREIF: My name is Lee Greif. My closing statement is that we, the community of Edna Bay, want to in every way possible retain who we are. We are a little village. We are building. It's been said so many times here that we're afraid of -- we're not afraid of a borough. We're afraid of what will happen to our identify if a borough comes into being before we become a second class city. Or municipality. I like that word better.

You gentlemen came in on the airplane float up there and you came up the approach -- and I don't know if you noticed but there's a telephone there at the end of the covered approach. That phone has never been there until last week. We paid for that out of the community funds. So that anyone who visits here in a boat who has an emergency or wants to contact someone in the bay can get on that phone free of charge and call anyone in the bay. Now if it's a long distance call you will have to use your phone card and pay for the long distance

1	expenses. But my point is, if we had become a borough before
2	that phone got in there I really
3	MR. WILLIAMS: Chair
4	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Excuse me just a second.
5	MR. WILLIAMS: Sorry. Someone's been cut off on the
6	phone. We might want to
7	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Someone said they were cut off?
8	MR. WILLIAMS: So we might want to check
9	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Who was cut off?
10	MR. WILLIAMS: Ron Wolfe.
11	UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Are they still on mute?
12	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: He was cut off or somebody else?
13	MR. ENINGOWUK: I got a text from Ron that he was cut
14	off at 4:10.
15	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: We didn't do anything until just a
16	minute ago.
17	MR. ENINGOWUK: My concern is are they still on now?
18	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Mr. Wolfe, are you there?
19	MR. WOLFE: We are now. We heard the most recent of
20	what Mr. Greif had to say.
21	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. That's all that's happened
22	since 10 after.
23	MR. WOLFE: Yeah. So there's no need for
24	(indiscernible).

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

25

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr.

Greif.

MR. GREIF: Our concern is that those funds would probably not have been appropriated from a borough for such a foolish small piece of equipment. But that is not a small piece of equipment to us. It's very important to us. And that is what we are concerned about if we become a borough before we become a second class city. Things that we do here to improve our community are not going to be top priority for a government on Prince of Wales when they have their own problems. Bigger problems. We're going to be a part of that and we're going to pay for part of that eventually, yes. We're not against doing that. We are wanting to continue on with improvements here that we need as a community.

As far as the clerk goes, people are scared to death that are clerk is going to starve to death. That's not true. I know we're going to have to pay a clerk. I am ready to pay the clerk. The clerk wants to volunteer at this time. This community -- when the motion comes before the government here to pay the clerk, I have every intent on supporting that and paying her. You fellows -- it was cool to hear you guys say oh, yeah, we're volunteers too. You identified with us. And it can't always be that way, can it, with say the clerk? Because I think the paperwork is going to amount up as we become more efficient in our second class city if we become one. And therefore I see a need to pay that person. And it

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

won't be 150,000 a year like the administrator over in Thorne
Bay. It's going to be within reason. And that person is going
to be part of the process and I feel confident that that
person, whoever it is, will be compensated fairly.

Like I said before, what we're trying to do is protect our lifestyle and get some things done in the infrastructure that will improve our lifestyle when they finally meet their end such as the bridge. I'm not going to address one of the local comments here because it would take too long except for the one about the individual who probably shouldn't vote or sign, he wasn't living here when he signed the petition. That man has spent a lot of money. He's got a beautiful building right now and his intention is to live in Edna Bay soon. So you see, there's a certain amount of truth in all criticism but you got to get to the root, to the bottom of it. That man would be insulted if he'd heard this.

I think you've heard it all and I don't want to take any more of your time. Again, like everyone else said, pro and con, thank you coming.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Thank you for your comments. Okay. At this time we're open to the respondent's closing statement.

MR. WOLFE: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Let me open up with a couple of apologies. First to Mr. Greif for mispronouncing his name. Mr. Greif, my last name is Tinninghast. And if you could just take a few seconds to

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

imagine how people have butchered that one over the years.

I'll apologize also the Chair for that unwelcome interruption.

I was taking the lawyer's view of what's permissible rebuttal testimony and what's closing argument and I should have learned by now that being a lawyer sometimes is not the best way to make friends and influence people. Which I probably have not made a whole lot of friends in Edna Bay today anyway.

Before getting into the meat of my closing I wanted for just a minute to respond to Mr. Greif's reading, or paraphrasing of the staff's attempt to distinguish Naukati. And there are three grounds. Now remember that ruling in Naukati, one if that it's too risky. And that's the Commission's words, not mine. Too risky to rely primarily on federal and state grant funds to fund your city. And the second part is that if the community is at first taxing itself, that is a good indication that in the long run that community is not going to be supported.

The first way that the staff attempted to distinguish Naukati was to say that it has \$100,000 reserve presently. I don't see how having \$100,000 reserve effects the pertinence of either one of those things. The second is that in this case, the Edna Bay case, there's no opposition to local taxation as there was in Naukati. But we know that the petition declined to even suggest imposing a property or a sales tax. But they go even further in declining to provide the information

required for this Commission to estimate what a property tax or a sales tax would yield. And we've heard at least two witnesses testify that one reason that they want to organize in this fashion is to avoid a borough which would carry with it taxation which they're opposed to. The third is that here there is a very high ratio of costs — of revenue costs. The problem with that is that the revenues consist of 97 percent of them consist of uncertain — again, that's (indiscernible) word not mine, uncertain future state revenue sharing and full revenues. And that the expenses are too low. A \$32,000 budget, for all the reasons that have been brought out in this hearing, is unrealistically low. So you put very high uncertain revenues together with deflated costs you're obviously going to get a very favorable ratio.

I want to get into the meat of my closing by giving you a specific -- and this statistic comes from the preliminary report in Naukati petition. The Department of Commerce put three studies together and from those studies they came to a number of conclusions, including this one. That of all the second class cities in the unorganized borough that have fewer than 200 residents, and that's a class in which Edna Bay would become a member of, that 65 percent suffer severe financial difficulties. And I focused on that because almost all of what we've heard today has to do with need. And as compelling as I said some of you might have been, they need the bridge fixed

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

and they need to fix the harbor, need is one of the factors on which the petitioner's have the burden of proof but it's not the only factor.

1

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm going to focus, as I said in my opening, now on two others. I'm going to focus on Section 020 where it says that Edna Bay has the burden of proving that they have the financial resources necessary to provide essential municipal services, and that they can generate those at the local level. That's Section 020(1)(c). And second, that the petition is in the best interest of the state, which is what I'll end with.

Now, some of the rebuttal witnesses are absolutely right that with respect to second class cities there are very few mandatory services that they have to perform, and figuring out what the essential municipal services are is a case by case inquiry. It depends on what the needs are of the community. And the first place that you look to see what those needs are -- well, first you go to the essential services that they need to provide. And in this case those are only four. maintenance, maintaining the dock and bridges. These services and helping to deliver the mail, and road maintenance and dock maintenance consume over half the budget. So it is, I think, fair to say that what is being proposed here is not a general purpose unit of government but rather a special service district. And it's a special service district of the kind that they have in Los Angeles, and what the framers of our

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

constitution tried so hard to stay away from.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As to what other municipal services there are that aren't budgeted, the first thing you would look at would be the narrative in the petition itself. And you find that the petitioners themselves have identified four additional essential municipal services which they say are needed but for which they budget absolutely nothing. One is solid waste disposal. There is not a penny allocated for either planning, much less implementation of any solid waste disposal plan. The second is electricity generation and transmission which consumes a great deal of the petitioner's brief. They also claim that there is an urgent need to begin water quality monitoring and to begin a sewage treatment plan. There is neither planning or money in the budget for that. And finally they say that there are land use conflicts there that are in critical need of having planning and zoning implemented. the way it reads is it needs to be implemented now. there's not a penny allocated for planning and zoning. don't even allocate money for a person to review building permits.

Now in addition to essential municipal services, which the petitioners concede that they need but which they don't fund, there are others that are just inevitable. And I'll just mention two. They're going to need legal assistance. At some point they're going to be sued. At some point they're going to

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

have to enter into a very complex contract. And they're also going to need liability insurance. And in Naukati \$10,000 a year was budgeted for liability insurance and that was eight years ago. So when you weigh just that list of essential municipal services, that small contracted list, the \$4800 in harbor fees, which is the only source of local revenue that they're going to generate, looks pretty faulty.

1

2

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now the department report explains that in the course of reviewing your decision, would apply what's called a reasonable basis test. Now in accepting that argument innuendo, and I want to credit your staff for the amount of work that they have done on the report which is a great deal, there simply is no reasonable basis for concluding that the end likelihood that significant local revenue will be raised to help fund this city. And there's one illustration of that I'd kind of like to provide. It's on page 21 of the preliminary report. In considering the city's ability to generate revenue at the local level, which you are required to consider under Section 020(1)(c). It's that first list, property tax. they declare that discussion moot because the city will not be assessing a property tax. They then declare a similar sales tax discussion, equally moot for the same reason. So when you moot out property taxes and you moot out sales taxes, you've pretty much eliminated any reasonable basis for concluding that the new city will have a significant source of local revenues.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

I want to talk for a minute about the other pertinent regulation which is the best interest of the state regulation, this 045. And it has three components. And I don't think that the petition here satisfies any of them. The first is that it's not going to minimize in the long run the number of local government because the petition says not envisioning performing most essential useful functions. Or at least it doesn't budget for providing them. So eventually some other type of development will have to take those burdens over.

1

2

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second, it's in the best interest of the state if the municipality is going to relieve the state of the funding of local services. The problem here is that the fundamental purpose of this petition is to provide a means to attract additional state funding and become more dependent on state government to meet what are obviously totally local needs. in the report the first discussion on need concludes that Edna Bay needs to incorporate because it needs more state money from our general fund, or some finite federal pass through money that will come at the expense of other state budgets. Finally, you need to look at, under the reg, whether incorporation is the substantial risk of assuming the liabilities of the city if and when the petitioners, you know, voluntary city government becomes too forwardly optimistic. By law, and you know this (indiscernible - too close to microphone) you don't need to be told. By law if this city becomes insolvent it becomes the

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

state's obligation to assume its debts and any services that it's contractually liable for, they essentially guarantee it. And that's why in the report that Mr. Wolfe gave you from the Department of Commerce, he said that they had to be vigilant to make sure that they were not going to approve a corporation unless the petitioner's, for its burden of proof, have proven long term economic viability. You can see Alaska has a case problem. We won't worry about that. Let us worry about that. Well, we're on the verge -- also on the verge -- there is a possibility that we are going to become the largest private landowner with this new city, although I'll tell you that I heard nothing today that explains why it's absolutely necessary for SeaAlaska's land to be included within the borough boundaries. I know they say they -- excuse me. I'm getting ahead of myself.

SeaAlaska's land is currently federal land. And apparently they want to include that land so they'll have a bigger base from which to select their entitlement acreage. The problem with that is that it is currently federal land. And folks at the state legislature isn't going to help with that. They're going to need an act of congress if they want to take any local land that is currently owned by SeaAlaska, and if you want to get (indiscernible) I think you've just reached the zenith of speculation as far as any possibility of doing that.

So we become a large landowner inside what we call a city. What does that mean? We don't know. But we do know it creates an enormous amount of economic uncertainty. And I think that -- you know, call up a large business inside the boundaries of the City of Detroit and ask them what it feels like to be within the boundaries of a bankrupt city. And they will probably tell you that it doesn't feel very good. We don't want to feel that bad either.

I've been impressed by today's testimony. I suggest that we hear (indiscernible). And the community's volunteer efforts at the present are beyond commendable. You know, I tell you, it's probably safe to say that these folks have put a lot more of their heart into their community than I have into mine and it makes me in a way feel kind of ashamed of myself. But your regulations, and all the responsibility as trustees for the state's interests, demand that some hard economic questions be answered before you deputize even the best intended people to go out and incur debt and provide services for which the State of Alaska maybe ultimately responsible.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Running a little long. Can you start to wrap it up, please?

MR. TILLINGHAST: That completes my comments. So that was good timing.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: All right. Okay. Thank you very much. Now we have one last chance for the petitioner's reply

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

and closing statement in five minutes.

MR. GREIF: I have a question for SeaAlaska.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: No, no, you have a closing statement. We don't have.....

MR. GREIF: It's in my statement.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Oh, in your -- well, okay. It is a statement?

MR. GREIF: Yes, it is.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: You can't -- we don't have a question and answer.

MR. GREIF: That's true. He can't answer.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: No.

MR. GREIF: Okay. My statement then is a \$35 million loss I'd consider to be irresponsible management. We have \$100,000 savings account. I know it doesn't compare by numbers but it shows more responsible than SeaAlaska. As far as solid waste goes, this is a desire that we have. It's a future event. We did not set money aside for it because we don't know what it's going to look like yet because it's going to come from the vote of the people. We don't impose things on the people of this community. We ask them, what do you want? And we're not objecting to taxation either. If the people of this community -- I've said this before, you must not have heard me. If they want a service they'll have to be some way to pay for it. They will vote for that. If they don't want the service

they won't vote for whatever it is. I just resent the attitude. You know, we're after self government. We don't want to be a ward of the state or SeaAlaska either, for that matter. They misunderstand the way Alaska statute 29.65.100 is designed to provide land selection from the federal lands evidently. SeaAlaska currently has no land here. We provided essential services in our current legal way for nearly 25 years. And there's no reason to believe that that trend will change.

And as far as legal services, we probably won't call you. But the legal services that are offered by the state, we will, for second class cities. You ought to look that one up probably.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Remember, you're talking to us.

MR. GREIF: Then you should know. Sorry. Okay. Good correction. We can't project budgets for something that doesn't exist yet. I suppose we could guess or we could have some idea. But, you know, we can't even do that unless the people say hey, yes, this is what we want. Municipal services are not regulated, they're not demanded of us in any way, even as a second class city. What we offer as services are six, not four. And it's because the people required those services.

If revenue does fall short it will probably -- well, it will, it will effect every city, first and second class, in this entire state. That doesn't mean it won't happen, and we

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

know that. But gentlemen, we are willing to go the extra mile, to do whatever it takes to fulfill our obligation as officers for a second class city as well as this community as it is today. What I ask from you is to give the citizens of this community the opportunity to settle this discussion in an election. And that's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Thank you. Okay. Mr. Williams, did you have any information or clarification you wanted to put out?

MR. WILLIAMS: I could go on for quite a while, sir. I'm willing to do so.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. I didn't know if you had anything relevant to this. Okay. The official ruling of this hearing is closed at this time. And we still have a couple things to do here. But tomorrow morning at 8:00 o'clock we will meet in this room and we'll have what we call our decisional meeting. And at that time we will make a decision, or possibly not. We have 90 days to do it. But most likely we will do something tomorrow. It's open to the public, of course. And there will be no interaction between the public and us. It will strictly be between the four of us here and our staff members. And any guidance we may get from our attorney. But otherwise it's for us. But it's certainly open. And at this time then comments from commissioners or staff before we adjourn? Yes?

1	COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: So there's no more opportunity
2	to ask questions of either side?
3	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Not now. No, you had chances
4	earlier but
5	COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Yeah. But these closing
6	comments that might raise questions on our part, we can't ask
7	questions on that?
8	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Well, you can well, I don't
9	know. Mr. Williams, comment on that? You would ask me a tough
10	question.
11	COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Well, I mean each side was so
12	powerful that it has raised conflicting ideas in my mind. The
13	second question
14	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: You're shaking your head yes?
15	MR. WILLIAMS: No, no, no. The answer is yes. Both
16	today and
17	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Oh, we can ask questions.
18	MR. WILLIAMS: You all can ask questions tomorrow.
19	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay.
20	COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Yeah. That's kind of what I
21	thought.
22	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay.
23	COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: A second thing is do we have a
24	map of this proposed city other than what SeaAlaska has
25	produced?

1	MR. WILLIAMS: I'll put that down, sir. This is Brent
2	Williams. There are two maps provided. One is a smaller map
3	of the road area, and the other is the one on page 21, I
4	believe. And there's a difference between that and the one
5	brought by SeaAlaska. Basically there's a diagonal
6	(indiscernible). It's not a square, it's
7	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Yeah, I got a big one.
8	COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: The topographical that shows
9	mountain tops and
10	MR. WILLIAMS: That is the topographical there.
11	COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Is that the one?
12	MR. WILLIAMS: That's topographical, yeah.
13	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. I don't think we can Mr.
14	Williams, I don't believe we can use that map, can we? We
15	can't use a map that isn't presented in evidence.
16	COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: It would give me an
17	opportunity to study some things tonight.
18	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay.
19	COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Sometimes I need to see big
20	things.
21	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Do you have any other questions or
22	comment?
23	COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: That's the end of it.
24	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Commissioner Harcharak? Any
25	question or comments right now?

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: It's been a stimulating day and I'm impressed with the enthusiasm the folks in this community exhibited and demonstrated. And when I asked you to repeat your presentation, I realize I -- my notes are pretty sufficient, at least for decision making, but -- I'll put it to the side.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Absolutely. Do you have any comments or questions?

MR. TILLINGHAST: Mr. Chairman, at the risk of interrupting again, I'm not supposed to -- we didn't hear any of legal or other's comments.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: The question was asked by

Commissioner Hargraves if we could ask questions like during

the hearing tomorrow -- during the decisional meeting tomorrow.

And the question was answered yes, we can ask questions from

the Commission, but we don't commentary unless there's a

question asked. Is that clear?

MR. TILLINGHAST: Yeah. I thought something followed that, but I guess not.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Oh, the map. I'm sorry. There was -- there's a map on the wall here in the school building and the question was asked if they wanted to take it down and show it to us, and we ruled that no, because it was not part of the original presentation we cannot use that map for anything. We've got maps in our packets.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

P.O. Box 100464 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

MR. TILLINGHAST: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. That was obviously what (indiscernible).

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Did you have something?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: It's been an interesting.....

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: This is Hollis. Are you folks going to be available by phone access for listening in the morning?

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Yes, we will be. Starting at 8:00 o'clock.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: You bet. Okay. Let's try this again.

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: I love the work I think that you have demonstrated, I love the population, or the group of you. You must represent half of the regular residents, at least, right? And that is phenomenal to get this kind of turn out. I appreciate it. It gives us a much better sense of the community and much better idea of what it is we will be ruling on. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Yeah.

IN UNISON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: I'm impressed with the number of very good public speakers there are here today. And as a group of citizens, you don't very often have people that are real comfortable coming up in front and testifying before a bunch of

when we got here. And I wish we could have done this all yesterday but hopefully we'll finish up something tomorrow. We're going to start -- we were planning on starting at 11:00 today but we'll be starting at 8:00 tomorrow so we can get going a little earlier. Hopefully we'll finish up. There's no guarantee we'll make a decision tomorrow. We've got 90 days, but most likely something will happen.

Now we will not -- by the way, we will not be discussing any of this among us. This is all -- everything we do as far as decision making is all done in public. We will not be going back anywhere and talking about what we did here today and making any decisions in private. You can rest assured that whatever decisions we make and comments we make will be done here tomorrow. So.....

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Thank you for coming.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: You bet.

MR. ENINGOWUK: Motion to adjourn.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Oh, I'm sorry. Could we have a motion to adjourn, please?

COMMISSIONER HARRINGTON: So moved.

COMMISSIONER HARCHARAK: Second.

CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: Okay. Okay. Motion by

Commissioner Harrington, seconded by -- what's your name again?

COMMISSIONER HARGRAVES: Hargraves, the last I heard.

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

1	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL:Commissioner Hargraves.	All
2	in favor say aye.	
3	IN UNISON: Aye.	
4	CHAIRMAN CHRYSTAL: We're adjourned.	
5	(Adjourned - 5:14 p.m.)	
6	(END OF DAY'S PROCEEDINGS)	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS

CERTIFCATE

- 11	
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
3	STATE OF ALASKA)
4	I, Wanda Ventres, Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska, residing at Anchorage, Alaska, do hereby certify:
5	That the foregoing pages number 02 through 137 contain
6	a full, true and correct transcript of the LOCAL BOUNDARY
7	commission Public MEETING, EDNA BAY, ALASKA, transcribed by me to the best of my knowledge and ability from an electronic
8	recording.
9	That I am not a relative, employee or attorney of any of the parties, nor am I financially interested in this action.
10	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 3rd day of June, 2014.
11	
12	Wanda Ventres
13	Notary in and for Alaska My commission expires 07/20/16
14	My Commission expires 07/20/16
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

ANCHORAGE TRANSCRIPTIONS