

Commission, Boundary (CED sponsored)

From: Thea [greenvik@aptalaska.net]
To: Commission, Boundary (CED sponsored)
Cc:
Subject: Public comment
Attachments:

Sent: Sat 10/22/2011 12:58 PM

To the Local Boundary Commission Regarding Proposed Petersburg Borough

Greetings.

As a 30+-year roadless resident, I oppose being included in the proposed Petersburg Borough.

The compatibility of life-styles or common interests does not exist. The appointed and elected officials of Petersburg have shown repeatedly in print, verbally, and in petition that they do not understand our lifestyle; not do they care to.

Weather, distance, and dark will preclude most all roadless residents from representation. Electronic communication is spotty and fingers-crossed reliable. Hearing on the radio about how someone else has decided your fate is not representation.

Petersburg does benefit from our purchases through sales tax and economy of scale. They spend it as they choose. We travel to Petersburg from a State dock on a State road to make these purchases. They have stated that we should contribute to their infrastructure; I ask how far upstream should this go -- Juneau? Seattle?? As a fisherman I don't expect the people who buy my fish to also maintain my boat.

If it isn't broke, don't fix it.

Respectfully,

James M. Greenfield
Marker 39 Wrangell Narrows
Kupreanof Is., AK 99833-1673

