

 Attachments can contain viruses that may harm your computer. Attachments may not display correctly.

Commission, Boundary (CED sponsored)

From: Arlene [keeneisland@gmail.com]
To: Commission, Boundary (CED sponsored)

Sent: Sun 10/23/2011 4:19 PM

Cc:

Subject: LBC Letter

Attachments:  [LBC Letter.doc\(30KB\)](#)

October 23, 2011

Mr. Brent Williams

LBC

Dept. of Community, Commerce, and Economic Development

550 West Seventh Avenue, Suite 1770

Anchorage, AK 99501-3510

Dear Sir:

I am opposed to the proposed Petersburg Borough, because it does not meet the Transportation Incorporation Standard. Because of the meetings being scheduled at night, our area residents would not be able to attend. We don't travel on the water at night. It is very unsafe and impractical. To be a part of the governing bodies, we would have the additional expense of motels and restaurants. Thus, we could not fulfill our obligation "to allow the communication and exchange necessary for the development of integrated borough government".

Neither will the borough meet the Economy Incorporation Standard. The current petition already states that the proposed borough will not provide "municipal services". So what are the advantages of being in a borough that does nothing for the residents?

"Community of Interests" is another standard that the proposed borough will be unable to meet. We are not "interrelated and integrated" as required. The City of Petersburg is a close-knit community with many activities, which we in the out-lying areas cannot be participants because of our mode of travel and distance. We are not of Norwegian descent. We spend a lot of money in Petersburg for the necessities of life: food, fuel, building materials, etc. We definitely add to their economy, but we are outsiders.

Sincerely,

Arlene Williams