

Brief Discussion:

Ms. Sather provided an update regarding the email received on 9/1/23 from the ASC Policy Manager.

Following the 8/22/23 Board Meeting it was asked if attestations could be an appropriate means of verifying if mass appraisal work experience is USPAP compliant. Within the email it was stated that per ASC Policy Statement 4 D, states may not accept an affidavit for experience credit. States must implement a reliable validation procedure to verify that the applicant's experience meets AQB Criteria. Additionally, states must analyze a sample of the applicant's work product for compliance with USPAP. Those analyzing work product for USPAP compliance must be knowledgeable about appraisal practice and USPAP. Furthermore, the requirement that the person analyzing must be knowledgeable about appraisal practice and USPAP clarifies that staff would not be able to review, even with a clear checklist from the Board, as staff are not trained in appraisal work or USPAP.

The Committee expressed wanting to continue with additional research to see if there are different types of mass appraisal work; if there is a type that consistently is USPAP compliant. To allow time for additional research, the Committee has tabled this discussion.

Ms. Sather provided an update from the latter half of the email stating the ASC does not have the ability to assist with a side-by-side comparison. A list of items identified as exceeding the AQB Criteria, from the recent Off-Site Assessment, was provided within the email for the Board to consider.

Ms. Sather further explained following up with the supervisory chain, staff, including the Department of Law, do not currently have the capacity to complete a side-by-side comparison.

Ms. Saviers stated this is something she can add to her list of projects to assist with when time allows, however, she cannot project a timeline for when she would be available at this time.

Ms. Saviers explained the different staffed positions within CBPL, explaining that currently the Board is staffed with a Licensing Examiner. It was further explained that an Executive Administrator (EA) could have the ability to complete assignments as delegated by the Board (as statute allowed), which is greater than the job duties outlined for an Occupational Licensing Examiner. It is also recognized that this profession has some complexities to it, including the Federal oversight. It was stated if this was something the Board wanted to consider, it is an option for the Board to seek a legislative change for staffing an EA position. The Committee expressed they are interested in an EA position for the Board. It was requested if it could be identified how this would affect the Board's budget. Ms. Saviers stated she will look into that and follow back up with the Committee/Board.

Understanding that capacities are full and it will take time for statute/legislative changes to be made, the Committee agreed to move forward with addressing the smaller regulation projects that are ready and table the project to adopt the AQB Criteria by reference at this time.

	<p>Ms. Osborne provided a review of the regulations currently drafted, to include the previously reviewed opinion from Department of Law, the previously reviewed items from the ASC Off Site Assessment, and the new continuing education requirements from the recent AQB requirement for the valuation bias and fair housing course.</p> <p>The Committee expressed their agreement with the edits, with the intent to move them forward to the full Board for consideration.</p> <p>It was requested that the items provided within the 9/1/23 email identifying where Alaska exceeds the AQB Criteria be reviewed and discussed during the 10/24/23 Committee Meeting.</p> <p>The Committee requested the previous PAREA drafts to review over the holiday season with the intent to continue PAREA discussions in the beginning of 2024.</p>	
Motion:	No motion required.	
Recorded Votes:	Mae Hayes -	
	Valery (Val) Kudryn -	
	William (Leon) McKean -	
Action Items:	<p>Mr. Kudryn and Ms. Hayes will continue with researching mass appraisal work.</p> <p>Ms. Osborne will proceed with drafting regulations as discussed for review during the October 24th Committee Meeting.</p> <p>Ms. Osborne will provide the current draft PAREA regulations for Committee review.</p>	
3. Adjourn		
Brief Discussion:	<p>A suggestion to adjourn was made by Ms. Hayes, with no objections. Meeting adjourned at 11:25 am.</p>	
Motion:	No formal motion was made. Meeting adjourned at 11:25 am.	
Recorded Votes:	Mae Hayes -	

	Valery (Val) Kudryn -	
	William (Leon) McKean -	
Action Items:	Meeting minutes will be drafted and placed on OnBoard for Board review. Approved minutes will be placed on the website.	

Next Meeting:	The next Committee Meeting is scheduled for October 24, 2023.
Adjournment:	11:25 am