1	STATE OF ALASKA
2	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY, & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
3	DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS, & PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
4	BOARD OF CERTIFIED REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS
5 6	MINUTES OF THE BOARD MEETINGS
7	Tuesday, May 19th, 2020
8	
9	These are DRAFT minutes prepared by the staff of the Division of Corporations, Business, and
10	Professional Licensing.
11	
12	These minutes have not been reviewed or approved by the Board.
13	
14	
15	By the authority of AS 08.01.070(2), and in compliance with the provisions of AS 44.62, Article 6, a
16	scheduled meeting of the Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers was held via videoconference on
17	Tuesday, May 19th, 2020.
18	A condo Itom #1
19 20	Agenda Item #1 Call to Order/Roll Call
21	The meeting was called to order at 9:06 a.m. by David Derry, Chair.
22	The infecting was called to order at 5.00 a.m. by David Berry, Chair.
23	Those present, constituting a quorum of the Board:
24	David Derry, Chair, Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
25	Wendy Lawrence, Vice Chair, Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
26	William Barnes, Certified Residential or General Real Estate Appraiser
27	Renee Piszczek, Mortgage Lending Member
28	Ashlee Stetson, Public Member
29	
30	Division Staff present in the meeting:
31	Tracy Wiard, Occupational Licensing Examiner
32	Joe Bonnell, Records and Licensing Supervisor
33	Melissa Dumas, Administrative Officer II
34	Autumn Roark, Investigator III
35	Amber Whaley, Senior Investigator
36	Greg François, Chief Investigator
37	Sher Zinn, Regulations Specialist
38	
39	
40	

41	Agenda Item #2 Continuing Education Statement
42	
43	Chair Derry explained that board members or other licensed appraisers in attendance of a board
44	meeting can obtain continuing education credits for attendance. Board member Bill Barnes
45	stated that he would like continuing education credits for meeting attendance. It was advised that
46	he would need to fill out the form on the APR website and submit to Chair Derry for a signature.
47	He still needs to submit the form for the March 2, 2020, the December 9, 2019 meeting and the
48	November 1, 2019 meeting as well.
49	
50	Agenda Item #3 Ethics Report
51	
52	Chair Derry moved on to the ethics report. He stated that he was the ethics coordinator for the
53	board and he has not received a report of any ethics violations or potential ethics violations from
54	board members or anyone else. He asked the board if there were any violations to report. Bill
55	Barnes reported he had received an e-mail regarding a confidentiality breach for an investigation
56	but he was not sure if that was an ethics violation or not.
57	
58	Agenda Item #4 Review / Approve Agenda
59	
60	The board reviewed the agenda. There were one suggested changes to the DRAFT agenda.
51	Chair Derry recommended adding the discussion of future meeting dates as an additional topic to
62	agenda item 12.
63	
64	On a motion made by Renee Piszczek, seconded by Wendy Lawrence, and passed
65	unanimously, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the agenda as amended.
66	
67	Agenda Item #5 Review/Approve Past Meeting Minutes
68	
69	The board reviewed the meeting minutes from the March 2, 2020 board meeting. Chair Derry
70	noted a typo on line 17, stating the date was listed as December 9, 2019 and it should have been
71	March 2, 2020. Chair Derry also noted that the current agenda incorrectly listed this agenda item
72	as March 20, 2020.
73	
74	On a motion made by Renee Piszczek, seconded by Bill Barnes, and passed unanimously, it
75 76	was RESOLVED to APPROVE the meeting minutes as amended from the March 2, 2020
76 77	board meeting as amended.
77 70	Off Record: 9:17 AM
78 70	On Record: 9:17 AM  On Record: 9:29 AM
79	On Record. 9.29 AW

80	Those present, constituting a quorum of the Board:
81	David Derry, Chair, Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
82	Wendy Lawrence, Vice Chair, Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
83	William Barnes, Certified Residential or General Real Estate Appraiser
84	Renee Piszczek, Mortgage Lending Member
85	Ashlee Stetson, Public Member
86	
87	Division Staff present in the meeting:
88	Tracy Wiard, Occupational Licensing Examiner
89	Joe Bonnell, Records and Licensing Supervisor
90	Melissa Dumas, Administrative Officer II
91	Autumn Roark, Investigator III
92	Amber Whaley, Senior Investigator
93	Greg Francois, Chief Investigator
94	Sher Zinn, Regulations Specialist
95	
96	Agenda Item #6 Investigative Report
97	
98	Autumn Roark provided the board with the investigate report that covered the dates of February
99	21 – May 5 of 2020. The division opened 3 matters and closed 2 of the matters. There is a total
100	of 11 matters that are ongoing and under active investigation.
101	
102	Chair Derry asked about the investigative carryovers from 2018? Autumn Roark informed chair
103	Derry that the matters were still active investigations and she could not discuss the specifics of
104	the case. Chair Derry also asked if an advisement letter sent to a respondent for a closed
105	investigations case ever shared with the Appraisal Board? Autumn informed Chair Derry that
106	advisement letters are not shared with the board. Chair Derry asked who drafted the advisement
107	letters and what they are exactly? It was explained that the investigations sections will draft the
108	advisement letter. Usually a board member reviews a case and if there are any violations, which
109	there must be for an advisement letter, one is drafted. The advisement letters are usually non-
110	disciplinary. The advisement letters are not added to the licensees file or public record since the
111	violation did not rise to such level of severity.
112	
113	Amber Whaley addressed the board through the chair stating advisement letters are generally for

first time or minor offenses. They are used when a board member reviews a complaint and finds

that the violation does not rise to a level necessary for a formal board action or discipline. The

letters are generally a warning and not made public. The letters are recommended after board

113

114

115

116

117 118 member review.

Bill Barnes asked what the process was if the review was not completed by a board member but a contracted appraiser hired by the division? Amber Whaley stated the people who review cases for the investigations team are required to be a licensed professional on the board or a licensed expert hired by the division. Bill Barnes asked what happens if you contract out to a licensed appraiser and get the results back? Bill stated that it sounds like the issue never comes back to the board. Amber responded that public disciplinary actions will be brought back to the board if the respondent agrees. If not, the issue would go to an administrative hearing. When a licensed professional is contracted out, they review the case, determine what will happen and if it is an advisement letter, the board does not see it.

127 128 129

130

131

119 120

121

122 123

124

125

126

Discussion ensued about what would occur if an expert reviewed a case and an advisement letter was recommended, the case would be closed with no further board review. If an expert or a board member reviewed a case and recommended a license action, then the investigator drafts the documents which gets presented to the entire board for approval.

132 133 134

135 136

137

138

139

There was a question asked about a respondent not accepting a license action and how that would play out? It was explained by Amber Whaley if a respondent is presented with a license action and they do not accept it, then the division moves forward with filing an accusation and the cases progress to an administrative hearing. It was explained the process does not change if the respondent has legal counsel. If the respondent does have legal counsel then the division legal counsel gets involved. Cases are confidential until they are closed. It was stated that once an action was finalized, it would come back to the board.

140 141 142

143

144

145

146

147

148 149

150

151

152

Chair Derry noted that the ASC recommends for a year to process and close investigations. He then wanted to know how the board still has investigations open from 2018. When a respondent is not agreeable to the recommendation that was made by the board member then the accusation process commences. Chair Derry also asked about getting a reply from respondents and it can be difficult obtaining one. He asked if the board had any recourse to take when a respondent does not reply to the division in a timely manner? It was explained that the division provides a 20-day timeframe to respond to the investigators then a few more opportunities are provided to respondents to document attempted contact and due diligence on the part of the division. There is no way to force a respondent to reply. Bill Barnes stated it is possible with lack of response resulting in a license suspension or revocation. Chair Derry stated if the investigation was confidential then they would not know if the respondent replied. Amber Whaley stated the board reviewer would see the lack of response in the investigative notes.

153 154 155

156

157

Chair Derry asked if the board member reviewing the case sees a lack of response from the respondent could the board member recommend revocation? It was stated by Amber Whaley if there was enough evidence to support a violation that warranted revocation the board member

could make that recommendation. He restated that the board has no requirement for a respondent to respond and wondered if there was anything the board could do? Amber Whaley stated some boards have a statute or regulation stating a respondent needs to reply within a certain amount of time and if they do not they would be in violation of said statute or regulation.

161162163

164

165 166

167

158 159

160

Bill Barnes stated he was disappointed with the process for investigations in Alaska and he would be formulating a letter to take the Governor. He wanted to request the investigators send him a list of any loopholes they see in the investigative process. He asked what percent of complaints received by the investigators come back to the board for a final decision? It was stated all complaints were reviewed by the board unless the complainant does not return the complaint packet. In this case, the case is closed as an incomplete complaint.

168 169 170

171

172

173

174175

176177

Bill Barnes asked what happens when a respondent fails to respond? Does the case close then? Amber Whaley explained the case does not close in that situation and the case is reviewed by a board member to advise the division how to proceed. If the board member recommends a license action for failure to respond, then the case is reviewed by the entire board. Bill Barnes then asked how many complaints end up in a suspense of license? Amber Whaley advised some statistics would need to be pulled to see how many result in suspension. She also stated the suspension would need to be recommended by the board to move forward. He then asked what Amber Whaley's best guess was as to what percent of complaints result in a fine? She stated she again would have to pull some statistics and did not have a number off the top of her head.

178179180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187 188

189

190

191 192

193

194

Bill Barnes then asked how many complaints end up with reviewing costs from the review appraiser who charged a fee? He went on to state that he is asking this because he has geographical competency in a location where 65% of the state's appraisals reside. He would be performing more than 1/5<sup>th</sup> of the board review work for investigations. He also stated he was never informed that he would be required to complete all this work when he interviewed for the board. He stated he would like to make some improvements to the system to make his time on the board worthwhile. He stated things are done differently in other states and he is aware of it because he lived elsewhere for 22 years. He stated in his time on the Alaska board he has seen fraudulent applications for license with no retribution or revocation of trainee license or supervisory license, appraisers from out of state get a license based on an out of state license that was fraudulently obtained and knows the licensee had no prior experience because he admitted it. Bill Barnes stated he was a little disturbed. He believes there is room for improvement. Supervisor Bonnell stated making improvements is an excellent idea for board members and his suggestion is to review what they would like to see improved and then implement the changes through regulation changes. Bill Barnes was hoping to work with the division to get regulations worked on as he was not sure of the process.

Chief Investigator Greg Francois wanted to clarify some information regarding replies from respondents. The investigators provide the respondent 2 weeks to reply to an accusation and if there is no reply from the respondent, then the board member is provided a case without any information or documentation from the respondent. If the board member finds that a violation has occurred and a recommendation for disciplinary action is made, then the disciplinary action will be presented to the respondent. The respondent has due process rights where they can either accept the recommendation of the board or they can appeal that and have a hearing with the office of administrative hearings. The hearings can go all the way to the Alaska Supreme Court. It was stated the board only has the authority granted under the statutes and regulations.

Chair Derry had a few more questions. If a board member as part of a review recommends the suspensions of certification or licensure it should come back to the board. Chair Derry knows of at least 2 cases where he completed a review and recommended a suspension of license or certification and he never knew what happened to those reviews or cases. Greg Francois addressed the board through the chair and asked if the recommendations of suspension or revocation were like board precedent on back patterns of similar cases? Chair Derry stated the board did not have many complaint actions and one case that comes to mind did not have a precedent. Oftentimes the board has issues that come under USPAP that had not come up in the past and there was no precedent. He did state that the case clearly violated a USPAP standard and it never came back to the board and he has no idea what happened. Greg Francois stated if Chair Derry wanted to discuss a specific case he would need to call him so he could look up the specific case. Greg Francois discussed the process with the board if a case goes to an administrative hearing.

Wendy Lawrence added that she is geographically competent in the Anchorage area. If it was needed she could help with that area. Bill Barnes requested to ask a question, and asked why there were no anonymous complaints allowed? Greg Francois informed the board that knowledge of a person's accuser is one of the things allowed in the constitution. If a complaint is not provided in writing and they are the only source of information, then it cannot be anonymous. It helps if it is in writing. If there is more than one complaint, or if there is something else, like a newspaper report then there is more of fact based need for investigation.

## Agenda Item #8 Public Comment

Chair Derry moved on to agenda item 8. He asked if there was anyone on the line who was present for public comment? Hearing none he moved on to agenda item 9, the division report.

238239240

241 242

243 244

below:

Department of Commerce Community, and Economic Developmen Corporations, Business and Professional Licensing

Administrative Officer Melissa Dumas joined the board meeting via videoconference to provide

renewal and non-renewal years. She stated another difference is the expenditures are broken into

the FY 2020 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter division report for the board. She reminded the board that the reports

look different that they used to showing the three biennium years so the board could compare

investigative and non-investigative expenses. Please see the breakdown of the expenditures

Summary of All Professional Licensing Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures

Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers		FY	14	FY 15	Bie	ennium	$\vdash$	FY 16	FY 17	Biennium	$\perp$	FY 18	FY 19	Biennium	11	t - 3rd Q
Revenue																
Revenue from License Fees		ė.	56,250 \$	279,525	ŝ	335,775		49.440 S	272,590	\$ 322,030	s	76.010 S	190,565	\$ 266,575		53,
Allowable Third Party Reimbursements			1,499	210,020		1,499		40,000 \$	5,827	5,827		1,534	4,314	5,848	1.	2.5
TOTAL REVENUE		e	57,749 \$	279,525	e	337,274		49.440 S	278.417	\$ 327.857		77,544 \$	194,879	\$ 272,423	9	55.7
TOTAL REVENUE	_	3	31,173 3	213,323	4	337,274	-	40,000 3	270/427	3 327,037	-	11,544 5	100,010	0 272/223	-	33,1
Expenditures		l														
Non Investigation Expenditures		l														
1000 - Personal Services		1	28.642	43.055		71,697		40.694	13,307	54,001		45.123	91,165	136,288		78.
2000 - Travel		1	2,920	2,217		5,137		12,596	13,106	25,702		16,384	11,267	27,651		1,
3000 - Services		l	1,275	33,177		34,452		3.008	5,288	8,296		7,445	10,666	18,111		15,
4000 - Commodities		l	24	42		66		22	3,200	35		716	161	877		13,
5000 - Capital Outley		l		-		-			***			710				
Total Non-Investigation Expenditures		-	32,862	78,491	-	111,352	$\vdash$	56,320	31,714	88,034	$\vdash$	69,668	113,259	182,927	$\vdash$	96.
Total Not Prive English Experiorates		_	34,004	7407402	-	***,002	$\vdash$	30,320	Jayran	depoor	$\vdash$	03,000	440,400	202,027	$\vdash$	-
Investigation Expenditures		l														
1000-Personal Services		l	6.273	10,239		16,512		3.464	19.945	23,409		25.013	18,383	43.396		23.
2000 - Trievel		l	-			,							1,050	1,050		2.
3023 - Expert Witness		l	1.625			1.625				_		3.485	1.050	4,535		2
3088 - Inter-Agency Legal			12,055	439		12,494						33	33	66		_
3094 - Inter-Agency Hearing/Mediation		1	11,000									217		217		
3000 - Services other		l		-		- 1			-			***	633	633		
4000 - Commodities		l												-		
Total Investigation Expenditures		-	19.952	10.678	-	30.631	$\vdash$	3.464	19,945	23,409	$\vdash$	28.748	21.149	49.897	$\vdash$	29
Total Intelligence Expension to		$\vdash$	40,000	augura	-	30,000	$\vdash$	2,101	40,010	22,403	$\vdash$	20,740	24,270	40,000	$\vdash$	
Total Direct Expenditures			52,814	89,169		141,983		59,784	51,659	111,443	F	98,416	134,408	232,824		126,
to fine the transfer or		l														
Indirect Expenditures Internal Administrative Costs		l	8.346	10,447		18,793		9.900	9,222			15,708	20,705	36,413		15.
		l								19,122						
Departmental Costs		l	7,280	13,937		21,217		8,446	7,009	15,455		13,293	21,286	34,579		15,
Statewide Costs		_	5,311	8,721	_	14,032	-	3,280	2,319	5,599	-	7,826	11,964	19,790	-	8,
Total Indirect Expenditures			20,937	33,105	-	54,042	-	21,626	18,550	40,176	$\vdash$	36,827	53,955	90,782	-	40,
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		\$	73,751 \$	122,274	\$	196,025	\$	81,410 \$	70,209	\$ 151,619	\$	135,243 \$	188,363	\$ 323,606	\$	166
Cumulative Surplus (Deficit)																
Seginning Cumulative Surplus (Deficit)		\$	57.304 \$	41,302	l			198.553 S	166,583			374,791 S	317,092	ı I		323
Annual Increase/(Decrease)			(16,002)	157,251	l		1	(31,970)	208,208			(57,699)	6,516	<b> </b>	1	(110
Ending Cumulative Surplus (Deficit)			41.302 S	198,553	1			166.583 \$	374,791	+	6	317,092 \$	323,608	I		212
Enong Companies Surplus (Dentity)		*	41,302 3	190,333			1	100,363 \$	374,731		*	317,032 3	323,000			212
Statistical Information							$\vdash$				$\vdash$				$\vdash$	
Number of Licensees			290	319	l			287	346			342	298	I		
The same of conditions			230	219				207	340			-	230			
Additional information:					_											
Fee analysis required if the cumulative is less than zero; fee analysis recomme	anded when	the cumul	lettur ir less th	on current we		redituerree	fee inc	remove needed if ou	made that it can	er the current wear	rvoens					

		merce Community, and Econs, Business and Profession			
		mary of All Professional Lic			
Appropriation	(All)	tule of Revenues and Exper	iditures		
AL Sub Unit	(All)				
PL Task Code	APR1				
Sum of Expenditures	Object Type Name (Ex)				
Object Name (Ex)	1000 - Personal Services 50.007.40	2000 - Travel	3000 - Services	4000 - Commodities	Grand Tot 50,007
1011 - Regular Compensation	103.31				103
1014 - Overtime 1023 - Leave Taken	10,908.49				10,908
					3,748.
1028 - Alaska Supplemental Benefit	3,748.95				-9-
1029 - Public Employee's Retirement System Defined Benefits	2,998.11 2,492.46				2,998 2,492
1030 - Public Employee's Retirement System Defined Contribution					
1034 - Public Employee's Retirement System Defined Cont Health Reim	1,754.09 625.62				1,754
1035 - Public Employee's Retiremnt Sys Defined Cont Retiree Medical	525.62 5.553.67				5,553
1037 - Public Employee's Retiremnt Sys Defined Benefit Unfnd Liab	200.67				200
1039 - Unemployment Insurance					
1040 - Group Health Insurance 1041 - Basic Life and Travel	19,743.05 29.54				19,743
1042 - Worker's Compensation Insurance	546.66 1.362.88				546 1.362
1047 - Leave Cash in Employer Charge	789.21				789
1048 - Terminal Leave Employer Charge					
1053 - Medicare Tax	852.85				852
1069 - SU Business Leave Bank Contributions	16.04				16
1077 - ASEA Legal Trust	80.50 13.43				80 13
1079 - ASEA Injury Leave Usage					
1080 - SU Legal Trst	39.66				39
2000 - In-State Employee Airfare		457.48			457
2001 - In-State Employee Surface Transportation		56.20			56
2002 - In-State Employee Lodging		458.00			458
2003 - In-State Employee Meals and Incidentals		182.00			182
2005 - In-State Non-Employee Airfare		366.35			366
2007 - In-State Non-Employee Lodging		265.00			265
2008 - In-State Non-Employee Meals and Incidentals		90.00			90
2009 - In-State Non-Employee Taxable Per Diem		45.00			45
2010 - In-State Non-Employee Non-Taxable Reimbursement		10.50			10
2012 - Out-State Employee Airfare		1,954.74			1,954
2013 - Out-State Employee Surface Transportation		184.32			184
2015 - Out-State Employee Meals and Incidentals		407.50			407
2036 - Cash Advance Fee		2.00			2
2970 - Travel Cost Transfer					
3023 - Expert Witness			2,850.0		2,850
3045 - Postage			95.6 389.5	-	95
3046 - Advertising				•	389
3069 - Commission Sales			72.0		72
3085 - Inter-Agency Mail			48.2	-	48
3088 - Inter-Agency Legal			11,262.9		11,262
3094 - Inter-Agency Hearing/Mediation			4,557.0		4,557
4002 - Business Supplies				602.00	602

## Agenda Item #10 Regulations Update

Chair Derry introduced the regulations specialist Sher Zinn. She provided the board with a copy of the updated regulations for the board to review for public comment. Sher also informed the board that they had voted to table further regulation changes from the March meeting to this May meeting. Chair Derry thought the review of the tabled regulations from the prior meeting was important.

The board moved on to discuss changes to 12 AAC 70.220(d) and 12 AAC 70.935 (c)(3). Chair Derry wanted to discuss 12 AAC 70.935 (c)(3) first, which is the regulation regarding supervisory appraiser inspecting the property with the trainee. The change came from a suggestion from the last ASC audit, stating that there is no AQB requirement for the supervisory appraiser to submit the determination of competency in writing to the board. The supervisory appraiser makes the determination of competency for the trainee on their own. Often the form is not submitted from the supervisor. Removing the requirement makes licensure more accessible as many licensed appraisers do not want to take on trainees due to the additional work. The current regulation may be considered more stringent than the AQB criteria. This could become

problematic if the form is not submitted by the supervisory appraiser as it may deem all experience without the supervisor invalid.

On a motion made by Renee Piszczek, seconded by Wendy Lawrence, to delete the requirement of a supervisory appraiser to notify the board of trainee competence in 12 AAC 70.935 (c)(3).

Further discussion ensued as Chair Derry thought the revision to the regulation would conflict with 12 AAC 70.935 (f). Chair Derry wanted to make sure (f) would remain in the regulations. He wanted to know if (d) and (e) would need to be removed as well. Sher stated that the board could just repeal 12 AAC 70.935(c)(3). She did not see a conflict with (f) currently. Bill Barnes stated he believed they only needed to remove the portion stating the supervisor should report to the board 10 days after the date of determination. Chair Derry agreed and decided to change the semicolon to a period after the word property type.

 On a motion made by Renee Piszczek, seconded by Bill Barnes, to amend her prior motion to amend 12 AAC 70.935 (c)(3) to delete the end of paragraph 3 starting with the supervisory appraisal to the end of the paragraph and add it to the current regulation change.

Board Member	Approve	Deny	Refrain
Dave Derry	X		
Wendy Lawrence	X		
Bill Barnes	X		
Renee Piszczek	X		
Ashlee Stetson	X		

Chair Derry directed the board for a discussion to changes to 12 AAC 70.935 (f). This regulation requires half of all continuing education requirements be completed in a classroom setting. Chair Derry felt this was beneficial to keep in the regulations. He did acknowledge that with the covid-19 pandemic distance education use has increased, but he does not believe it is a preferred method of learning. Chair Derry also believed a temporary waiver would provide an opportunity for certified licensees to obtain online credit for their continuing education until 06/30/2021 due to the pandemic.

Sher clarified that this regulation change for the continuing education regulations would likely not be accepted as an emergency regulation. This was different than what OLE Wiard believed regarding the regulations. The board discussed the option of allowing a temporary regulation

allowing the certified appraisers to take online continuing education until the renewal in 6/30/2021 as an alternative to an emergency regulation. The emergency regulations are primarily used to protect the health and safety of the public and not just to benefit the licensees. It was also noted that the board has over a year before any renewals take place.

On a motion made by Bill Barnes, with no second, to table the issue for 90 days to see what is going on with Covid-19 at that time. Motion died due to lack of a second.

Chair Derry asked how long it would take to get a new regulation into place if the board voted on one today. It was stated around September. Board member Wendy Lawrence stated she would like to advocate for the allowance of synchronous education. She stated she could see where the Alaska Chapter would be interested in continuing with the classroom education because they offer those courses but online, synchronous education would allow licensees more options to complete continuing education.

Chair Derry discussed the topic of synchronous education and believed the AQB stance was that online education was not synchronous education. It was stated by Wendy Lawrence that the online courses she completed did require interaction and attendance verification. OLE Supervisor Joe Bonnell stated through the chair, that the AQB recently had a meeting clarifying that their recommendations do state a difference between synchronous and online education and that they are recommending states to allow for synchronous education as an alternative but that does not mean online. Although an online course can be synchronous. Bill Barnes asked what synchronous education mean? OLE Supervisor Joe Bonnell stated it meant live, interactive training with attendance taking throughout the course. Both synchronous and online education are considered distance education. Online education is basically reviewing an online presentation and answering questions. Not live, interactive or tracking of attendance,

On a motion made by Wendy Lawrence, seconded by Ashlee Stetson, and passed unanimously by roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to modify 12 AAC 70.220 (3) (d) allowing all continuing education to be obtained through distance education through June 30, 2021 and add it to the pending regulation change.

Bill Barnes commented that before federal and state appraisal licensing all the appraisers in Alaska had to take classes in Fairbanks and Anchorage. He stated today people go the grocery store and wear a mask and maintain 6 feet of separation. This could also be done in a classroom and he believes this may be a slippery slope. He said back in the 60's and 70's appraisal regulation committees fought hard to get continuing education courses offered in Alaska and he is worried the in-person classes will disappear with changes like this.

<b>Board Member</b>	Approve	Deny	Refrain
Dave Derry	X		
Wendy Lawrence	X		
Bill Barnes		X	
Renee Piszczek	X		
Ashlee Stetson	X		

 The board moved on to look at and discuss the changes made to the additional regulations not voted on at the prior meeting. It was decided that Sher would draft the new subsection and present to the board after lunch for a review and vote. The statute of limitations was also addressed and it was determined that this topic could be postponed until another time.

## Agenda Item #11 ASC Appraiser Survey

 OLE Supervisor Joe Bonnell had three pieces of information for the board. A survey was emailed to licensed appraisers in Alaska from the AQB. They are looking to get feedback as they try to update their exams. The survey is being conducted all over the nation and it will be active until June 3, 2020. Joe also asked if the board would like the Covid–19 information from the ASC added to the website. Chair Derry said yes, to post it to the board website. Lastly, there is an opportunity for federal grant money for state appraisal programs. As more information becomes available it will be passed on to the board. The grant is for things like equipment, trainings, and could be used for things like board travel. It was suggested to add any desired travel to and APR Annual Report. The grant would also be available to develop an API. This is an electronic handshake between systems. Currently, the federal registry is a manual process but the API would make it electronic.

## Agenda Item #12 Board Business

Chair Derry moved the board on to agenda item 12. It was asked if all the task list items had been completed? OLE Wiard stated not that she was aware of. The task list is sent to board members after each meeting and it is expected they work on the task or provide a report to the OLE for the next meeting. Of course, questions are always welcome.

Chair Derry then went on to discuss the APR annual report for FY 2020. Chair Derry asked the board to think about completing the annual report. He has previously completed the annual reports for the APR board and is now asking for a board member to complete the annual report. He reflected on the 2019 annual report as being controversial and would like another board member to please volunteer to complete the report. OLE Wiard stated the board member usually completed the narrative then the OLE would complete the expected expenditures and travel.

371	
372	Chair Derry moved on the discuss the next item under board business which is plan future
373	meeting dates. The only meeting scheduled this time is the in-person meeting in Anchorage on
374	September 22, 2020. OLE Wiard will submit the meeting request for this. It was asked if the
375	board wanted a meeting earlier than September in the event the regulation changes went through
376	a little faster than anticipated. Board members did not have a preference and the only meeting
377	planned was the September 22, 2020 meeting.
378	
379	Bill Barnes had a few questions before the board broke for lunch. He thought the board had
380	agreed to extend the voting period in Onboard from 10 days to 15 days. No one could remember
381	if that was voted on. Chair Derry asked if Bill had reviewed the minutes from that meeting to
382	see. No one had an answer. Bill asked if all board members were being allowed to vote in the
383	10-day period? Bill stated he would like 15 days to vote because he needs two weekends. OLE
384	Wiard verified that the chair is the only board member that votes on AQB approved continuing
385	education courses. Bill went on to say that reciprocity applications should include a resume and
386	prior work experience be submitted to the board with their application. He stated an applicant
387	who previously attended a meeting as a reciprocity applicant had never completed an appraisal in
388	his life until he received his general certification in another state. Bill was concerned that
389	applicant did not have the required 3000 hours of experience. He just wants to make sure
390	applicants have completed what they are required to. OLE Wiard stated that this requirement
391	would need to be added to the boards statutes and regulations to receive this information.
392	
393	Off Record: 11:53 AM
394	On Record: 1:17 PM
395	
396	Those present, constituting a quorum of the Board:
397	David Derry, Chair, Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
398	Wendy Lawrence, Vice Chair, Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
399	William Barnes, Certified Residential or General Real Estate Appraiser
400	Renee Piszczek, Mortgage Lending Member
401	Ashlee Stetson, Public Member
402	
403	<u>Division Staff present in the meeting:</u>
404	Tracy Wiard, Occupational Licensing Examiner
405	Sher Zinn, Regulations Specialist
406	
407	
408	
409	

Agenda Item #10	Regulations Updat	<u>e</u>	
	1 14 4		
Chair Derry informed the	•	· ·	· ·
made earlier by the board			, ,
Dave wondered under 70.			_
advised that they remain.			
but it was stated that was	not necessary for the cha	ange the board wanted	d.
On a motion made by Bi			_
roll call vote, it was RES	SOLVED to accept the	draft regulations as	presented.
Board Member	Approve	Deny	Refrain
Dave Derry	X	2 cmj	11011111
Wendy Lawrence	X		
Bill Barnes	X		
Renee Piszczek	X		
Ashlee Stetson	X		
the upcoming fiscal year. conferences that may be v			
funding.			
0 (1 1 1 1	D' I I	11 337 1 7	, ,
On a motion made by R		·	ice, and passed
unanimously, it was RES	SULVED to adjourn th	e meeting.	
Off D 1 1 20 PM			
Off Record: 1:28 PM			
Dognootfully Submitted			
Respectfully Submitted,			
Tracy Wiard, Licensing Exa			
	nminer Date		
	nminer Date		
 David Derry, Chair	Date Date		