1 2 3 4 5 6 7	OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS & PROFESSIONAL LICENSING BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS			
8		MINUTES OF MEETING		
9		DECEMBER 6, 2019		
10		,		
11				
12				
13	By authority of	AS 08.01.070(2), and in compliance with the provisions of AS 44.62, Artic	ele 6, a scheduled	
14	meeting of the	Board of Dental Examiners was held in Conference Room B in the State C	Office Building,	
15		y Avenue, 9th Floor, Juneau, Alaska.		
16				
17				
18		Friday, December 6th, 2019		
19	A 1 T. 4		771 0 00 43.5	
20	Agenda Item 1	Call to Order/Roll Call	Time: 9:09 AM	
21 22	The mention arrange	called to order by Dr. David Nielson, President, at 9:09 a.m.		
23	The meeting was	Called to order by Dr. David Merson, Fresident, at 9.09 a.m.		
24	Board Members	present, constituting a quorum of the board, were:		
25	Dourd Wellibers	present, constituting a quorum of the board, were.		
26		Dr. David Nielson, President – Via Teleconference		
27		Ms. Gail Walden – Via Teleconference		
28		Dr. Jonathan Woller – Via Teleconference		
29		Dr. Kelly Lucas – Via Teleconference		
30		Dr. Dominic Wenzell – Via Teleconference		
31		Ms. Robin Wahto – Via Teleconference		
32		Dr. Jesse Hronkin – Via Teleconference		
33				
34		om the Division of Corporations, Business & Professional Licensing, Depart	<u>rtment of</u>	
35	Commerce, Com	munity and Economic Development were:		
36				
37		Ms. Christianne Carrillo, Licensing Examiner – Juneau		
38		Mr. Joseph Bonnell, Records and Licensing Supervisor - Juneau		
39		Ms. Jasmin Bautista, Investigator – Anchorage (Via Teleconference)		
40		Ms. Sher Zinn, Regulation Specialist – Juneau		
41 42		Ms. Marilyn Zimmerman, Paralegal – Juneau		
43	Agenda Item 2	Ethics Report	Time: 9:14 AM	
43 44	rigenua meni 2	<u> Zunes report</u>	1 IIIIC. 7.17 /WI	
45	Dr. Nielson addr	ressed the ethics reporting. The board was asked if there were any outstandi	ing ethics issues	
46		ne were reported.	0	
47	1	1		
48	Agenda Item 3	Review of Agenda	Time: 9:16 AM	
49	-	<u> </u>		
50		hange made to the DRAFT 12.06.2019 agenda. Dr. Nielson added sedation		
51	regulations under	r agenda item number 10 to be discussed. Ms. Walden notified the board th	at she may not be	

present after the 3:00pm break. Dr. Lucas also notified the board that he will be away for thirty minutes at noon.
 On a motion duly made by Dr. Hronkin, seconded by Wahto, and approved unanimously without

any objections, it was

RESOLVED to approve the 12.06.2019 dental board agenda as amended.

Agenda Item 4 Review / Approve Past Meeting Minutes Time: 9:18 AM

The board reviewed the meeting minutes from the August 23, 2019 meeting. Dr. Nielson noted that the word "the" should be changed to "that" on line 333. Ms. Walden does not recall the lines 394-398 to have been "in addition to the 20 hours" and recalled it to be "it could be part of the 20 hours." Dr. Neilson and Dr. Hronkin recalled it to be "in addition to." No other changes needed to be made.

On a motion duly made by Walden, seconded by Dr. Neilson, and approved unanimously without any objections, it was

RESOLVED to approve the 08.23.2019 dental board minutes as amended.

Agenda Item 5 <u>Introduce New License Examiner</u> Time: 9:20 AM

Dr. Nielson welcomed new licensing examiner, Christianne Carrillo. Ms. Carrillo introduced herself to the board.

Sher Zinn, Regulation Specialist, entered the board meeting at 9:24 AM.

Agenda Item 6 Regulation Training Time: 9:25 AM

Ms. Zinn was in attendance to provide regulation training for the new board members who are not yet familiar with the regulations process for drafting regulations. Zinn conducted an in depth look at the proper steps in creating a regulation. She offered her help if board members had any questions about the regulation process via email or live during the board meeting. Dr. Nielson asked Ms. Zinn if she could come back and do agenda item number 10, regulations update while they have her in the room. Ms. Zinn agreed and left the room to retrieve the documents necessary for agenda item number 10.

Sher Zinn, Regulation Specialist, left the board meeting at 9:50 AM.

Dr. Nielson sought to skip break, and moved ahead in the agenda to item number 13, moderate sedation program verification revision while Ms. Zinn was absent from the meeting.

Agenda Item 13 Moderate Sedation Program Verification Revision Time: 9:51 AM

Dr. Nielson noticed that the current regulations on the moderate sedation program verification application are not the current regulations in place and should be amended which leads to the necessary removal of sedation forms on page 12. The 60-hour course to get a permit for moderate sedation for patients under the age of 13 is no longer available. The sedation checklist for dental offices under miscellaneous forms will be sent by Dr. Nielson for Ms. Carrillo to revise.

TASK:

Dr. Nielson will scan the edited program verification form for moderate sedation and email it to Ms. Carrillo. Ms. Carrillo will change the outdated regulation and replace it with the current regulation and remove page 12 from the sedation forms.

Time: 9:55 AM

Time: 10:08 AM

Sher Zinn, Regulation Specialist, entered the board meeting at 9:53 AM.

Dr. Nielson revisited agenda item number 10.

Agenda Item 10

Regulations Update

Dr. Nielson informed the board he was not going to entertain any oral testimonies from this point forward and that the board was only going to review what they had so far. Dr. Nielson notes that none of the public comments had anything to do with lapsed sedation permits and that the comments all had to do with continuing education (CE) and online CE's. His issue with the way 28.010 (g)(c) is written is that the CE part of the regulation would not allow applicants to do their CE at a later date to make up for what they had not yet completed during the concluding licensing period. Dr. Nielson suggested to remove "completed during the concluding licensing period" under 28.010 (g)(c) and 28.015 (l)3(c) throughout the regulation would allow applicants to make up for the CEs required later to make up any shortages that they have. Dr. Nielson asked if taking this section of the regulation out would affect it significantly. Ms. Zinn said no and added that the board can make the regulations less stringent than what your public notice was but cannot make it more stringent.

Sher Zinn, Regulation Specialist, left the board meeting at 10:02 AM.

Iasmin Bautista, Investigator & Ryan Gill, Investigator, entered the room at 10:05 AM.

The board moved back to agenda item number 8 with Jasmin Bautista while Sher Zinn retrieves the necessary documents for agenda item number 10.

Agenda Item 8

Investigative Report

Jasmin Bautista handed the board probation report documents and notified the board that there are three probationers that are active and in compliance and asked if there were any questions on that. Ms. Bautista informed the board that from August through November we have 55 open cases and this quarter we closed 10 cases. Dr. Nielson asked Ms. Bautista to clarify if there is no violation that means that at least two board members agreed that there is no violation. Ms. Bautista answered yes, anything that involves a patient is going to be reviewed by two board members. If it's a technical violation it is reviewed by one board member. Ms. Bautista asked if there were any questions.

The board's chair, Dr. David Nielson, entertained a motion regarding matters discussed in executive session.

On a motion duly made by Gail Walden, seconded by Dr. Jesse Hronkin, and approved unanimously by a roll call, it was

RESOLVED to enter into executive session in accordance with the provisions of Alaska Statute 44.62.310(c), and Alaska Constitutional Right to Privacy Provisions, for the purpose of discussing, subjects that tend to prejudice the reputation and character of any person, provided the person may request a public discussion and matters which by law, municipal character, or ordinance are required to be confidential. Board staff members, Jasmin Bautista, Christianne Carrillo, Joseph Bonnell, and Ryan Gill remained during the session.

Off Record: 10:13 AM

On record: 11:22 AM 156

157 158

The board's chair, Dr. David Nielson entertained a motion to a roll call to see who is in attendance and notified the board of one person from the public to be in attendance.

159 160

Board Members present after executive session, constituting a quorum of the board, were:

161 162

165

166 167

168 169 Dr. David Nielson, President – Anchorage (Via Teleconference)

163 Ms. Gail Walden – Wasilla (Via Teleconference) 164

Dr. Jonathan Woller – Anchorage (Via Teleconference)

Dr. Kelly Lucas – Wasilla (Via Teleconference)

Dr. Dominic Wenzell – Girdwood (Via Teleconference)

Ms. Robin Wahto – Anchorage (Via Teleconference)

Dr. Jesse Hronkin – Wasilla (Via Teleconference)

Brittany Dschaak – Naknek (Via Teleconference)

170 171 172

In attendance from the Division of Corporations, Business & Professional Licensing, Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development were:

173 174 175

Ms. Christianne Carrillo, Licensing Examiner – Juneau Mr. Joseph Bonnell, Records and Licensing Supervisor – Juneau

176 177

> Dr. Nielson amended the agenda and moved agenda item number 9, consent agreements with Marilyn Zimmerman to after lunch and move on to agenda number 10, regulations update with Sher Zinn.

178 179 180

181

182 183

184 185 Agenda Item 10

Regulations Update

Time: 11:25 AM

Dr. Nielson made an announcement on record and reiterated that the board was no longer going to be taking more public comments on the regulation changes that when out on public comment but would like to discuss the public comments that are part of the board packet. He asked the board if they had a chance to look at them. Ms. Walden notified the board that she had.

186 187 188

189

190

191

192 193 Dr. Nielson acknowledged that the public has had some problems with the online CE requirement and said the public comments seemed confused and explained that the regulation change does not decrease the amount of CE classes done online nor does the regulation disallow CE's to be done online. Dr. Nielson clarified the regulation courses to not be more than 8 hours in a 24-hour period. Addressing a comment said about CE courses online, Dr. Nielson found the public to have a legitimate point about longer courses. He said even when some courses are stamped as one day, they can be 15-hour courses, which he finds to be a legitimate point.

194 195 196

197

Dr. Woller shared the percentage of CE courses that are more than 8 hours are available is very small and suggested that if a licensee wants to take a CE course that is longer than 8 hours they should contact the board on a case by case basis.

198 199 200

201 202

203

204

205

206

Ms. Walden added that from what she had seen, the CE courses that are longer than 8 hours usually indicate how many days they are and was surprised that the certificate did not show that it was over a period of days. Dr. Woller explained that that is why the number of courses this person showed is very few compared to the thousands of online CE course available. Dr. Nielson expressed his opinion that due to Dr. Woller's point, it does not seem to be a problem and that the public comments seem to have a misconception that the board is reducing the amount of CE's online, which is not the case. He added there is also a misconception that the additional 2 hours for restorative function certificates cannot be done online. Dr. Nielson explained that there is no stipulation that an applicant will have to travel outside of Alaska to take a class in person. Dr. Hronkin agreed that the board has addressed the public's concern.

Dr. Nielson proposed to remove lines 28.01 (g) 3(c) "completed during the concluding licensing period" and remove 28.01.5(l)3(c) "completed during the concluding licensing period." He continued saying doing this will allow applicants that have a lapsed sedation permit to make up the didactic and CE they're lacking for a license renewal. Ms. Walden asked Dr. Nielson to be read exactly what 28.010 (g-c) should say.

Dr. Nielson recited, page 1 regulation 28.010. (g) 3(c) will read "Evidence on continuing education and documentation of sedation cases required by 12 AAC 28.010 (e) 1, 2, 3, and 5 as applicable. The sedation cases required under this sub paragraph must be completed by holding a deep sedation and general anesthesia permit or while under the supervision of a current deep sedation or general anesthesia permit holder or anesthesiologist or certified registered nurse anesthetist licensed in the state or another jurisdiction."

He continued to page 2, the amendment 28.015 (l) 3 (c), "Evidence of continuing education and documentation of sedation cases required by 12 AAC 28.010. (h) 1, 2, 3, and 5 as applicable. The sedation cases required under this sub paragraph must be completed by holding a current moderate sedation permit or while under the supervision of a current deep sedation or general anesthesia permit holder or anesthesiologist or certified registered nurse anesthetist licensed in the state or another jurisdiction."

Dr. Nielson asked if there was any discussion about the changes in the regulation project and entertained a motion to accept the project as amended.

Robin Wahto asked regarding the question if an applicant does a course of 16 CEs and you do it over a three-day period but it shows as only one day. Ms. Wahto asked if there was a discussion on how to resolve that or is it something people would explain or somehow document themselves. Dr. Woller clarified with Ms. Wahto that the board had discussed that the amount of CE online classes that are longer than 8 hours is so miniscule that the applicant should contact the board and it will be handled on a case by case basis by the board. Ms. Wahto further asked about live webinar CEs and if they are considered an online CE since a live webinar is different than just reading something online. Dr. Woller agreed that the applicants should contact the board. Dr. Nielson reminded the board that it does not matter whether the courses are considered in person or online but that you cannot do more than 8 hours in a day. Dr. Nielson asked Ms. Zinn if the way the subsection regulation (i) is now written, leaves any leeway. Ms. Zinn responded with yes, as long as the applicant can provide documentation that they did in fact take longer than 8 hours in a 24 hours period to complete the course, the board can approve it and that the board does not need to change anything in the regulation.

On a motion duly made by Dr. Nielson, seconded by Dr. Jesse Hronkin, and approved unanimously by a roll call, it was

RESOLVED to adopt the regulation project as amended.

Board Member	Approve	Deny	Recuse
Dr. David Nielson	X		
Ms. Gail Walden	X		
Dr. Dominic Wenzell	X		
Dr. Kelly Lucas	X		
Ms. Robin Wahto	X		
Dr. Jesse Hronkin	X		
Dr. Jonathan Woller	X		
Ms. Brittany Dschaak	X		

Having finished with the regulation project, the board began discussion of the next bullet point, sedation inspection regulation, which Dr. Nielson explained he wanted to add for the board's next regulation project (which will be in office inspections).

Dr. Nielson asked the board to go to 28.010 (e) 5b on page 16 of the current regulations which reads "...provide documentation that at least 20 of the 50 anesthesia or deep sedation cases were individually managed patients younger than 13." Dr. Nielson expressed his concern that it forces people to put at least 20 children in deep sedation and is okay with it on the moderate side. Dr. Nielson suggested to remove the words 'of 50 anesthesia or deep" from that line to read, "...provide documentation that at least 20 sedation cases were individually managed patients younger than 13." Dr. Nielson explained that this would remove the requirement of putting 13 year old or younger patients into deep sedation. He requested for the board to think about this change and asked for their opinion and if they had any comments.

Ms. Walden stated that regulation had been debated before and does not feel 20 children over 2 years is too many. Dr. Nielson's recollection was that the discussion being referenced by Ms. Walden was referencing is about deep sedation cases in general but once the board later added pediatric cases to ensure someone was doing enough to be current in their practice, the topic was not discussed.

Ms. Wahto asked Dr. Nielson if there is no separation between sedating adults and children to obtain the deep sedation license for the 20 cases within two years to stay current. Dr. Nielson clarified that it does not matter as long as an applicant has a deep sedation or general anesthesia license, an applicant would be authorized to sedate children if they have PALS. Dr. Nielson said further discussion about this matter can continue once it has been added to be the next regulation project.

Dr. Nielson mentioned to the board that there was 15 minutes until lunch and went over the changes on regulation 12AC 28.069, sedation inspection regulation he made after reading comments made by Ashley Brown, Assistant Attorney General. Dr. Nielson reminded the board that according to the board's last meeting, the licensee will be the one responsible to find own inspector. The inspection fee will be paid by licensee. Once through, the board will collect documentation if they pass their inspections. Dr. Nielson thought it was reasonable to require an inspection after 2 years of an initial permit. Dr. Nielson told the board that he did not expect a discussion on his changes during this meeting and asked the board to look over his edits on 12 AC 28.068 to work on in the future. He asked if the rest of the board could be sent his most recent edit of his changes. Mr. Joe Bonnell tasked himself with adding Dr. Nielson's document to the board packet during lunch so the board can have access to it.

Ms. Zinn told the board that there was a question by Ms. Brown regarding number five on page 1 about substantially equivalent organizations approved by the board and how she would check with the legislation and regulations attorney to see whether the line was too broad. Ms. Zinn said that Ms. Brown followed up with her and that they did not feel that it was too broad as long as the board can come up with criteria. Dr. Nielson thanked Ms. Zinn and informed the board that the newest version says something to that effect.

There was discussion about how licensees can check the adopted right manual references. The manuals were found to not be readily available. Ms. Walden asked how the board is going to know what is substantially equivalent if they cannot access the requirements easily. Ms. Zinn explained that if the board adopts a reference that is copyrighted material, the board would have to purchase two copies. One will stay with the licensing examiner for reference and the other will go with the regulation project to the department of law. Ms. Walden expressed concern that it was not easily referenced.

Dr. Nielson stated to the board that he had a list of several places and had five substantially equivalent organizations approved by the board that conduct inspections and coordinates with applicable guidelines and when they need to happen. He communicated about how the attorney had some questions about people who

do sedations in more than one office and answered that it was the dental anesthesiologist or CRNA. After further discussion, Dr. Nielson concluded that he was hoping to get the new version out to the board to clear the confusion and get it on the agenda for the next board meeting. Ms. Walden asked if the licensee would have to pay for the airfare of the chosen inspector. Dr. Nielson responded that if they chose one that requires it yes and added that there is an inspector who does it via video conference and warned the board that this information is a place holder and reminded everyone that the new version still needs to be in the board packet to be looked at for the next board meeting.

Dr. Nielson asked Ms. Zinn if there was something that she wanted to add to the next regulation project about CEs. Ms. Zinn told the board yes and that she sent a copy of what the changes are to update their continuing education to include the term "concluding licensing period" so that licensees are aware their continuing education must be completed during that licensing period. She continued saying there are only three programs without that verbiage and it could cause issues if somebody contests a bad CE audit and take it to a hearing. Ms. Zinn would like to make sure every program has the same verbiage about continuing education by adding "concluding licensing period." Ms. Zinn explained to Dr. Nielson that "concluding licensing period" can be removed for reinstatement of a license but for somebody who is renewing without their license being lapsed, it must have the verbiage "concluding licensing period." Dr. Nielson asked if a licensee can make up the CE if they did not complete their continuing education during the concluding licensing period. Ms. Zinn answered yes, due to a centralized regulation that allows that. Dr. Nielson had

320 verified with Ms. Zinn that all the boards are cleaning this verbiage up and that it will be part of the next regulation project. 321

301

302

303

304

305

306

307 308 309

310

311 312

313

314 315

316

317 318

319

322 323

324 325

326

327 328

329 330

334

335

336 337

338

339 340

341 342

343 344

345 346

347 348

Dr. Nielson called recess for lunch at 12:02 p.m.

Off Record at 12:02 PM On Record at 1:00 PM

Ms. Carrillo conducted a roll call.

Board Members present after executive session, constituting a quorum of the board, were:

331 332 Dr. David Nielson, President – Anchorage (Via Teleconference) 333

Ms. Gail Walden – Wasilla (Via Teleconference)

Dr. Jonathan Woller – Anchorage (Via Teleconference)

Dr. Dominic Wenzell – Girdwood (Via Teleconference)

Ms. Robin Wahto – Anchorage (Via Teleconference)

Dr. Jesse Hronkin – Wasilla (Via Teleconference)

In attendance from the Division of Corporations, Business & Professional Licensing, Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development were:

Ms. Christianne Carrillo, Licensing Examiner – Juneau

Mr. Joseph Bonnell, Records and Licensing Supervisor – Juneau

Ms. Laura Carrillo, Executive Administrator – Juneau

Present from the Public:

Kenley Michand, Anchorage

353 354	Agenda Item 11	Public Comment	Time: 1:01 PM
355 356	There were no public comments.		

Agenda Item 09 Review/Approve Tabled Applications Time: 1:02 PM

Dr. Nielson revisited agenda item number 9.

 On a motion duly made by Dr. Nielson, seconded by Dr. Jesse Hronkin, and approved unanimously by a roll call, it was

RESOLVED to accept CE consent agreement on case number 2019-000229.

Board Member	Approve	Deny	Recuse
Dr. David Nielson	X		
Ms. Gail Walden	X		
Dr. Dominic Wenzell	X		
Ms. Robin Wahto	X		
Dr. Jesse Hronkin	X		
Dr. Jonathan Woller	X		

On a motion duly made by Dr. Nielson, seconded by Dr. Jesse Hronkin, and approved unanimously by a roll call, it was

RESOLVED to accept CE consent agreement on case number 2019-000772.

Board Member	Approve	Deny	Recuse
Dr. David Nielson	X		
Ms. Gail Walden	X		
Dr. Dominic Wenzell	X		
Ms. Robin Wahto	X		
Dr. Jesse Hronkin	X		
Dr. Jonathan Woller	X		

On a motion duly made by Dr. Nielson, seconded by Dr. Jesse Hronkin, and approved unanimously by a roll call, it was

RESOLVED to accept CE consent agreement on case number 2019-000247.

Board Member	Approve	Deny	Recuse
Dr. David Nielson	X		
Ms. Gail Walden	X		
Dr. Dominic Wenzell	X		
Ms. Robin Wahto	X		
Dr. Jesse Hronkin	X		
Dr. Jonathan Woller	X		

On a motion duly made by Dr. Nielson, seconded by Dr. Jesse Hronkin, and approved unanimously by a roll call, it was

RESOLVED to accept CE consent agreement on case number 2019-000561.

Board Member	Approve	Deny	Recuse
Dr. David Nielson	X		
Ms. Gail Walden	X		
Dr. Dominic Wenzell	X		
Ms. Robin Wahto	X		
Dr. Jesse Hronkin	X		
Dr. Jonathan Woller	X		

On a motion duly made by Dr. Nielson, seconded by Dr. Jesse Hronkin, and approved unanimously by a roll call, it was

RESOLVED to accept CE consent agreement on case number 2019-000687.

Board Member	Approve	Deny	Recuse
Dr. David Nielson	X		
Ms. Gail Walden	X		
Dr. Dominic Wenzell	X		
Ms. Robin Wahto	X		
Dr. Jesse Hronkin	X		
Dr. Jonathan Woller	X		

On a motion duly made by Dr. Nielson, seconded by Dr. Jesse Hronkin, and approved unanimously by a roll call, it was

RESOLVED to accept CE consent agreement on case number 2019-000562.

Board Member	Approve	Deny	Recuse
Dr. David Nielson	X		
Ms. Gail Walden	X		
Dr. Dominic Wenzell	X		
Ms. Robin Wahto	X		
Dr. Jesse Hronkin	X		
Dr. Jonathan Woller	X		

On a motion duly made by Dr. Nielson, seconded by Dr. Jesse Hronkin, and approved unanimously by a roll call, it was

RESOLVED to accept CE consent agreement on case number 2019-000837.

Board Member	Approve	Deny	Recuse
Dr. David Nielson	X		
Ms. Gail Walden	X		
Dr. Dominic Wenzell	X		
Ms. Robin Wahto	X		
Dr. Jesse Hronkin	X		
Dr. Jonathan Woller	X		

Agenda Item 14

Updated Professional Fitness Questions

Time: 1:01 PM

Dr. Jonathan Woller guided the board through the questions. Dr. Nielson asked if the board were to adopt the changes to the professional fitness questions, would they need to be reviewed by the Department of Law.

Mr. Joseph Bonnell said that if the changes were adopted, it would have to go to the division director Ms. Sarah Chambers and regulation specialist Ms. Sher Zinn.

Dr. Nielson was in favor of cleaning up the questions to be less confusing and appreciates the work Dr. Woller put in the questions. Gail Walden finds question number one about approved dental schools confusing as the board does not approve each individual dental school and would lead to more unnecessary questions to the licensing examiner. Dr. Woller added it is two steps removed and agrees it is confusing. The board agreed to remove question number one from the professional fitness questions.

Ms. Walden asked Dr. Woller which questions apply to malpractice and responded with questions numbers four and five. Ms. Walden suggested a malpractice questions to be clear. Dr. Nielson suggested to separate the questions asking about a malpractice complaint. Ms. Wahto asked if a licensee would not have to report a resolved malpractice from seven years ago if question number 5 says "within the past five years immediately preceding application." Dr. Nielson responded saying it would still show up on PBIS but you can check no on the question. The board found a similar question with the State of Oregon to be well written: "Have you ever been named in any civil suit or suffered any civil judgement or in competence, negligence or malpractice in connection with the practice of the health care profession?"

Dr. Nielson suggested the board create a doodle poll for how to word the question. Gail Walden suggested to include Jasmin Bautista's opinion or a chance to review in writing the updated professional fitness questions. Dr. Nielson asked if it was premature to decide on the questions during the meeting if the board would like more information from others. Mr. Bonnell suggested that Director Chambers and Ms. Zinn to look at the questions and that the law office would not need to be included.

Dr. Nielson thanked Dr. Woller and tasked him with editing the questions and using the second part that lists questions 1-9 and sending them off to Ms. Carrillo to send to Mr. Bonnell, to send off to Ms. Zinn and Director Chambers so that the board may revisit and vote on the changes by the next board meeting.

Dr. Nielson communicated to the board that he will conduct a quick announcement about agenda item number 16 since Dr. Jilly is not in the teleconference with the board. Mr. Bonnell spoke through the chair and reminded the board that agenda item number 16 is scheduled for 2:30pm with Director Chambers,

Mr. Bonnell notified the board that Deputy Director Jill Lewis had asked about the inspections and about her department possibly taking over them. Dr. Bernard Jilly, Director Sarah Chambers and Irene Casares who does inspections for Ms. Lewis' department plan on being in attendance to talk about the inspections to go through their department rather than the dental board having to deal with them.

Dr. Nielson acknowledged that he had skipped agenda item number 14.

Agenda Item 14 Penalty Matrix/PDMP Penalty Matrix

 Dr. Wenzell presented his penalty matrix notifying the board and asked what they thought of it. Dr. Nielson shared that he thought it was good but that the board should have a separate matrix for the PDMP per Laura Carrillo's request. Dr. Nielson tasked Dr. Wenzell with finishing the penalty matrix whoever he might need to work with to get the matrix figured out. Ms. Walden added that she will continue to work on the dental hygiene penalty matrix and add to the draft she had been working on.

Time: 1:23 PM

Dr. Neilson moved on to agenda number 17.

 458 Agenda Item 17 Review/Approve Tabled Applications Time: 1:30 PM

On a motion duly made by Gail Walden, seconded by Dr. David Nielson, and approved unanimously, it was

RESOLVED to enter into executive session in accordance with the provisions of Alaska Statute 44.62.310(c), and Alaska Constitutional Right to Privacy Provisions, for the purpose of discussing applications with Board staff members, Christianne Carrillo and Joseph Bonnell to remained during the session.

Off Record: 1:32 PM On record: 2:20 PM

Dr. Derek Wallin, DDS, entered the public board meeting via teleconference at 2:15 PM

Dr. Nielson asked if the board can speak about Dr. Wallin's application publicly. Mr. Bonnell answered it is fine as long as the applicant consents to the discussion to be on record.

Dr. Wallin asked the board if they wanted a definitive resolution or for more information. Dr. Nielson on behalf of the board confirmed with Dr. Wallin that the board would like some sort of resolution from the Arizona board of dental examiners. The last response the Alaska board has received from the Arizona board was asking for a response by Dr. Wallin by November 4th, 2019. Dr. Wallin notified the board that he had responded to them and forwarded the correspondence to the Alaska dental board. He continued telling the board that the dental insurance company, TDIC had just responded to him and said everything should have been documented and are concerned that there was not sufficient documentation but expressed no concern that he had done any harm.

 Dr. Nielson explained that everything Dr. Wallin has presented to the board is reasonable but would like a resolution from the Arizona dental board about the unresolved investigation against him. He further explained that his application is currently tabled and once the board receives something from Arizona, they can vote on his application as soon as possible.

Dr. Wallin explained that he does not have a time frame and fears he will run out of money before the Arizona board can give him an answer. Dr. Nielson asked Dr. Wallin to send all recent documents to Ms. Carrillo and the board can vote on his application once the information has been added to his record. Mr. Bonnell tasked himself to send Ms. Carrillo's contact to Dr. Wallin.

Dr. Nielson tabled Dr. Wallin's application and referred the application back to investigations for more clarification.

Sarah Chambers, Director, entered the meeting at 2:29 PM Dr. Derek Wallin, DDS, left the meeting via teleconference at 2:31 PM

Agenda Item 16 Radiologic Equipment Inspection Update Time: 2:31 PM

Dr. Nielson welcomed Dr. Bernard Jilly, Deputy Director Jill Lewis with the Division of Public Health, and Director Sarah Chambers.

 Dr. Nielson started the discussion and shared a quick timeline. Director Chambers kicked off the conversation and shared with the board that she had been in discussion with Deputy Director Lewis about the possibility of Division of Public Health's radiological inspectors to be given the authority to take over inspections and remove the responsibility from the board of dental examiners. They will be proposing

legislation this spring. Director Chambers asked Deputy Director Lewis to describe what she knows so far about that program and where they are in the development.

Deputy Director Lewis, stated that the Division of Public Health includes the public health laboratories and that the state public laboratories have responsibility for all the other radiologic device inspections and certifications. Deputy Director Lewis said they envision that they would operate dental x-ray certifications very similar to the way they do medical equipment inspections now and recalled that the board has heard from Dr. Jilly before about the resources they would need to do this. Their staffing level is just one person who does all the other devices that are not dental and would need to add a second person due to the volume what will be added by dental x-ray as well as some funding for travel. Dr. Nielson recalls that Dr. Jilly had said it must be a self-sustaining program and does not know anything about the numbers.

Dr. Jilly referenced a spreadsheet from the board of dental examiners that contained over 2,200 devices listed. He notified the board that they are in the process of analyzing the numbers to get a better grip on the estimated traveling costs. He reiterated that they would need to have two inspectors due to the volume that would be added. With both medical and industrial x-ray devices, they estimate a yearly cost of \$200,000 for the entire program to run. Based on the number of devices it comes to \$80 a tube which means we would break even. Dr. Jilly reiterated that the program will run much like their medical and industrial inspections; which would be an initial installation of the device and then inspections once every three years thereafter.

Dr. Nielson made a comment about how it would likely take some time to catch up the dental x-ray inspections. He informed the board that in the past, the dentists were paying for their fees but were not getting inspected and hoped that if they pay fees, they get their inspections done. Dr. Jilly responded that this was before he came on board and that it was a perception issue rather than a documented issue and that they are committed to finishing the inspections within the three-year period. Dr. Jilly went on saying that his team had managed to do all the medical and industrial devices within a three-year cycle, adding that the cost of transportation is much better now than it was 25 years ago. Dr. Nielson was happy to hear that the state is willing to look at this issue and asked Dr. Jilly if he had anything more to report before making a motion. Dr Jilly had nothing further to comment.

On a motion duly made by Dr. David Nielson, seconded by Robin Wahto, and approved unanimously, it was

RESOLVED for the board of dental examiners to request legislation that would transfer responsibility for inspection of dental radiologic equipment to the division of Radiological Health.

Board Member	Approve	Deny	Recuse
Dr. David Nielson	X		
Ms. Gail Walden	X		
Dr. Kelly Lucas	X		
Dr. Dominic Wenzell	X		
Ms. Robin Wahto	X		
Dr. Jesse Hronkin	X		
Brittany Dschaak	X		

Laura Carrillo, Executive Administrator, entered the meeting at 2:45 PM

Director Chambers thanked the board for their support and voiced how they will anticipate advocating for the statute through this year's session. Dr. Nielson asked for clarification if this would get through this year. Director Chambers explained that they are adopting and moving forward a bill that the Governor is sponsoring to reform several areas of professional licensing that is ambitious with a lot of moving parts that

had troubling moving points and this was one of them knowing that the board was interested in this; they saw an opportunity and moved forward with it. She adds that it is possible it will not pass and that they will have to reintroduce it next year. They are going to push hard as it is the number one priority within the Department of Commerce legislatively this year.

Agenda Item 18

PDMP Report/Compliance

Time: 2:46 PM

 Laura Carrillo, Executive Administrator for the board of pharmacy representing the PDMP apologized she did not have an updated PDMP report for the month of December but had an overview of August's. Ms. Carrillo shared that the compliance rate for dentists for August was 84% which is higher than the four prescribing boards which is good news. She brought to the board's attention that in a past meeting the board had discussed the board of pharmacy's 30-day period for initial licensed pharmacists to register for the PDMP and that the dental board was similarly wanting to go in that same direction: however, was not able to find the minutes reflecting this. Laura Carrillo notified the board that she needs this information as she is compiling information into a disciplinary matrix for each board's grace periods, deadlines and other PDMP related guidance.

Gail Walden and Robin Wahto shared with Ms. Carrillo that the board had written a letter that the former licensing examiner, Tracy Wiard had which was planned to be sent out once she had compiled a list of people who are not and who are in compliance. Ms. Wahto recalled that letter did mention a 30-day period. Ms. Carrillo asked that this 30-day period be ratified on record since it was already included in the letter but not reflected in the minutes. Ms. Walden reminded Ms. Carrillo that the letter was in draft form and was not sent as there was still a lot of work for Tracy Wiard to do before sending it. Dr. Nielson continued with the clarification. Ms. Carrillo suggested that the board can categorize this into two separate issues: the dentists who are potentially not registered and therefore potentially out of compliance and the second category of dentists who haven't yet been issued a license and who should be notified are given guidance as to how long they will have to register with the PDMP. Ms. Wahto asked if there is a section on the dental application that exists which asks if a dentist is registered and if not, they have 30 days to do so. Dr. Nielson asked if the licensing examiner can make a list of those who are already registered with the PDMP and understands that the 30-day grace period is an important thing to know about and asked if a motion should be made for it to be in the minutes. Mr. Bonnell concurred. Ms. Walden asked Ms. Carrillo how the board would know who it's applying to. Ms. Carrillo informed the board that there is a way to identify those providers who are not registered and believed there was some misunderstanding of how to narrow that list down and can certainly assist with that project.

Gail Walden said that she had reviewed the dental application by examination and did not see any information about the PDMP. She asked if the board will be sending information to new licensees. Laura Carrillo offered to send a letter and email template she sends out to newly licensed providers and offer guidance on creating a compliance module to the board of dental examiners' licensing examiner, Christianne Carrillo.

On a motion duly made by Dr. David Nielson, seconded by Dr. Jesse Hronkin, and approved unanimously, it was

RESOLVED for the board of dental examiners to recommend a 30-day grace period upon initial issue of a dental license to sign up for the PDMP.

Board Member	Approve	Deny	Recuse
Dr. David Nielson	X		
Ms. Gail Walden	X		
Dr. Kelly Lucas	X		
Dr. Dominic Wenzell	X		

Ms. Robin Wahto	X	
Dr. Jesse Hronkin	X	
Brittany Dschaak	X	

Laura Carrillo will send a letter and email template she sends out to newly licensed providers

and offer guidance on creating a compliance module to the board of dental examiners'

601 602

Dr. Nielson inquired how the compliance module is coming. Ms. Laura Carrillo responded that she did not know off the top of her head the number of dentists who didn't query a patient.

603 604 605

TASK:

606 607 608

609 610

615 616 617

618 619 620

625 626 627

628 629

634 635 636

637

638

639 640 641

642 643

645

646 647

648 649

644

questions if they desired.

Time: 3:11 PM

Gail Walden warned the board that she would be leaving soon and wanted to share her thoughts about the dental exams and dental hygiene exams for the next agenda item. She expressed that she wanted more representation especially for the dentistry exam as the board is now receiving more examinations. She mentioned the WREB organization and suggested that the board should discuss bringing other examinations to get involved in them so they have more information. Ms. Walden continued saying that dental examiners

Ms. Laura Carrillo asked if the board had contemplated what type of action they might take or a courtesy warning letter they might issue because of failure to query a patient. Dr. Nielson shared with Ms. Carrillo that it is a work in progress further stating that Dr. Hronkin has put together a document that will be part of the penalty matrix that will address it. Ms. Carrillo shared with the board about another enhancement feature with the PDMP, which is a clinical alert feature and will be setting up many different alerts based on different criteria like dangerous combination threshold, MME (Morphine Milligram Equivalent) thresholds, and supply day alerts. She requested for the board to have a discussion on guidance related to MME thresholds or supply day thresholds. Ms. Carrillo has not found in the dental board's statues or even guidelines adopted from the ADA a specific number of MME per day is mentioned or a supply date limitation and would like to ask the board to contemplate that amount.

Ms. Laura Carrillo reminded the board that in 2016, members from the prescribing boards and the board of pharmacy convened at the call of the legislature to discuss and adopt prescriptive guidelines. There was a representative from each prescribing board and the board of pharmacy who adopted Washington's prescriptive guidelines with the exception of lowering the 120 mm per day to 90 per day and asked if that's a reasonable threshold. Dr. Nielson did not feel comfortable putting numbers out until the board has sat down to discuss it. Ms. Carrillo did not expect a decision in that moment and suggested to table and to continue to contemplate.

TASK:

Dr. Nielson will contact the ADA and get a morphine milligram equivalent and supply day threshold number.

Laura Carrillo responded to the board's question about whether licensees are required to display their PDMP certificate in their clinic. It's not a requirement to display the certificate and it is just for their records but they can certainly do so if they would like.

Robin Wahto reported to Dr. Nielson that she had told Jasmin Bautista about questions the board had for

her during an executive session. She was going to be back with a file and suggested the board to ask her the

Laura Carrillo, Executive Administrator, left the meeting at 3:07 PM.

licensing examiner, Christianne Carrillo.

650 have WREB and she has some opinions on the language for it and would like to open it up for the next board 651 meeting. Dr. Nielson agreed and is willing to discuss it later.

Gail Walden, RDH, left the meeting at 3:11 PM.

652 653 654

655

Dr. Nielson notified the board that he had considered what other boards do for their exams and stated his opinion that currently, no one else has a constructed response treatment plan test. Dr. Nielson tasked Christianne Carrillo to confirm what exams are being taken right now.

Ms. Carrillo with gather information from Tracy Wiard, the former licensing examiner about which exams are being taken for each exam season for dental examiners and to find out if

they're still covering all the criteria. Ms. Carrillo will send a letter to each person who runs

Time: 3:15 PM

656 657 658

TASK:

659 660 661

662

663

664

665

666

667 668

669 670 671

672

673 674

675 676 677

682 683

684 685

686 687 688

689

690

691 692 693

694 695

696

697 698

699 700 Agenda Item 21

Board Business

Specialty License Update Dr. Nielson recapped that a letter was sent out to the Alaska Dental Society to ask for help to introduce legislation to bring back the specialty license and got a senator to sponsor a bill. The bill is now in the works and is looking for a house sponsor. Dr. Nielson shared with the board the draft of the written bill with the consent of Dr. Logan. The bill gives authority to the board to decide what is best for the state. He added it was up to the board to define what a specialty license is. Mr. Bonnell asked for Dr. Nielson to share the draft of the bill with the licensing examiner so that analysis can be offered when it comes through.

Review Board Interview Process for Credential Applicants

the exam to ask the criteria of each one.

Dr. Nielson asked Mr. Bonnell what Tracy Wiard wanted to talk about in terms of stream lining the review board interview process. Mr. Bonnell did not see the necessity of discussing unless the board would like to make a change. Christianne Carrillo informed the chair that Ms. Wiard had mentioned finding some of the questions in the interview process could be put in the application but did not know more about Ms. Wiard's plans. Dr. Nielson contended that there is information that comes up during the interview process that otherwise would not have been written in the application. Ms. Carrillo let the board know that if they feel that the interview questions are necessary, she would not want to change that. Dr. Nielson shared that he wanted to leave it the same as well.

New Business Time: 3:15 PM Agenda Item 22

Ms. Carrillo offered to type up the task list and was asked to read it out:

- The moderate sedation application on the website is not current. Page 12 is not needed.
- 2) Dr. Woller will update the professional fitness questions and send to Mr. Bonnell and Ms. Zinn.
- 3) Work on PDMP template with Laura Carrillo for new licensees after initial issue of license.
- 4) Create a compliance module for the PDMP and figure out the list of those who are not and who are in compliance.
- 5) Dr. Nielson to find the prescribing recommendation for morphine milligram equivalent and supply day threshold.
- 6) Christianne Carrillo to speak with Tracy Wiard about which dental exams are being taking for each exam season.
- 7) Dr. Wenzell will work on the penalty matrix for dentists.
- 8) Gail Walden will continue working on the penalty matrix for dental hygienists.

Jasmin Bautista, Investigator, entered the meeting at 3:26 PM.

The board revisited agenda item 17 and Dr. Nielson announced that Ms. Bautista is present to answer their questions about an application. He warned the board that they may have to go into executive session with the presence of Ms. Bautista and the subject matter. Robin Wahto clarified that she had a general question and that it would not be necessary to go into executive session. The chair consented. Ms. Bautista advised not to name names or circumstances.

Agenda Item 17

Review/Approve Tabled Applications

Ms. Wahto asked Ms. Bautista if she remembered this specific email that had six items identified but when she looked at the other page there were additional items that were not addressed in an email. Ms. Bautista apologized that she could not answer that question as she did not have the client's file in front of her but asked if he has been interviewed. Ms. Wahto believed so. Ms. Bautista suggested the board table this item until more information is sent to Mr. Bonnell and Ms. Carrillo. The board shared that they were willing to vote on the application as soon as possible once the information comes in. Ms. Bautista expressed the need for a resolution from the other state in question because once the board clears it, they cannot go back.

Jasmin Bautista, Investigator, left the meeting at 3:31 PM.

721

722 723

724 725

726 727 728

701

702 703

704 705

706

707

708 709

710 711

712

713 714

715 716

Agenda Item 21

New Business

Time: 3:32 PM

Time: 3:27 PM

Schedule Upcoming Board Meetings

There are no dates set up yet for board meetings in 2020. Mr. Bonnell suggested that the board select the week in the month that they would like to have a board meeting especially in the spring while the legislative session is going. Mr. Bonnell suggested using Doodle Poll to figure out the best time for every personnel who might be tied up in session. Ms. Wahto recalled that Mondays are preferred during session. Mr. Bonnell reminded the board that Thursdays and Fridays are usually busy for the division during this time.

TASK:

Mr. Bonnell and Ms. Carrillo will create a doodle poll as soon as possible and send the board a links to the doodle poll to the board to take.

729

Agenda Item 22

Adjourn

Time: 3:36 PM

The Alaska Board of Dental Examiners Chair, Dr. David Nielson, adjourned the meeting at 3:37

735 736 737

738 739

734

Respectfully Submitted:

740 741

742

743 744 745

746 747 748

749 750

751

Christianne Carrillo Occupational Licensing Examiner

Pint

Approved:

David Nielson, DDS, President

Date: July 28, 2020