## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY, AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING

## BIG GAME COMMERCIAL SERVICES BOARD

**CONDENSED MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD JULY 18, 2025** 

These draft minutes were prepared by staff of the Division of Corporations,

Business and Professional Licensing. They have not been reviewed or approved

by the Board.

By authority of AS 08.01.070(2) and in compliance with the provision of AS 44.62, Article 6, a scheduled meeting (teleconference) of the Big Game Commercial Services Board was held on July 18, 2025, at 333 Willoughby Avenue, 9th Floor, Juneau, AK.

Date:	July 18, 2025
Time:	9:00 a.m. (9:29 a.m.)
Location:	Online teleconference ran from 333 Willoughby Avenue, 9th Floor, Juneau, AK
Board Members Present:	Aaron Bloomquist, Keegan McCarthy, Martin Boniek, Dave Lorring, Mike Flores
Board Members Absent:	Larry Kunder, Clay Nordlum
Division/SOA Staff Present:	Thomas Bay (Executive Administrator), Janet Brown (Occupational Licensing Examiner), Jenni Summers (Senior Investigator), Lee Strout (Investigator), Dannie Kerfeld (Investigator)
Present from the Public:	None

1. Review Agenda			
Brief Discussion:	Mr. Bay informed the board that he had received the board's probationary report. The board amended the agenda to add the probationary report to the agenda.		
Motion:	Move to amend the agenda by adding the board's probationary report to the agenda (First:		
	Boniek; Second: Lorring).		
Recorded Votes:	Boniek - Yes	Kunder - Absent	
	Bloomquist - Yes	Nordlum - Absent	
	McCarthy - Yes	Lorring - Yes	
	Flores - Yes		
Brief Discussion:	Chairman Bloomquist informed the board that he wanted to have a discussion at the end		
	of the meeting to address the board's exams.		
Motion:	Move to amend the agenda by adding a discussion regarding the board's exams to the		
	agenda (First: McCarthy; Second: Boniek).		

Decembed Water	Daniels Vee	Mundan Abasish		
Recorded Votes:	Boniek - Yes	Kunder - Absent		
	Bloomquist - Yes	Nordlum - Absent		
	McCarthy - Yes	Lorring - Yes		
	Flores - Yes			
2. Ethics Review				
Brief Discussion:	There were no ethical disclosures	There were no ethical disclosures by any board members or staff.		
3. Probation Report				
Brief Discussion: Senior Investigator Jenni Summers introduced Dannie Kerfeld, a new in		s introduced Dannie Kerfeld, a new investigator with the		
division. Dannie provided the probation report to the board. She inform		bation report to the board. She informed the board that		
	there were 24 licensees on probation, three of which were out of compliance			
		sees were released since their last report. Before moving		
	· ·	reviewed their investigative report.		
	into executive session, the board	reviewed their investigative report.		
4. Investigative Report				
Brief Discussion:	The board's investigator, Lee Stro	ut, provided the board with their investigative report,		
	which was for the period of Decer	mber 5, 2024, through July 7, 2024. He informed the		
	board that there were 59 open ca	ses and that 41 were closed since their last report. With		
	nothing to discuss further and bef	fore entering into executive session to discuss		
	investigative matters, Dave Lorrin	investigative matters, Dave Lorring provided the board with a quick update regarding the Board of Game (BOG). He said that the BOG had a special meeting to address court action against ADF&G regarding the intensive management (IM) program for the Mulchatna Caribou Herd. He reminded the board that the caribou population was way down, resulting in a closed season. He said that the original IM plan was to just have predator control for		
	Board of Game (BOG). He said tha			
		wolves, but that it later turned to bears. He said there was a lawsuit against ADF&G		
		because the addition of bears was not publicly noticed, resulting in it being out of		
	compliance with the constitution, and that a judge overturned bears being a part of the			
	IM. After a few emergency meetings, he said that the BOG was able to cover all of the			
	problems with the original IM and that bears were again included in the program. He			
		d more lawsuits against the board. With nothing left to		
	discuss, the board decided to go i	nto executive session to discuss investigative matters.		
5. Investigative Matters for Revi				
Brief Discussion:		session for discussion of investigative matters.		
Motion:		laska State Big Game Commercial Services Board enter		
Off Record: 10:01 a.m.	into executive session in accordar	nce with AS 44.62.310(c), and Alaska Constitutional Right		
	to Privacy Provisions, for the purp	ose of discussing subjects that tend to prejudice the		
	reputation and character of any p	erson, provided the person may request a public		
	discussion; and matters which by	law, municipal charter, or ordinance are required to be		
	confidential. Board staff Thomas I	Bay, Janet Brown, Jenni Summers, Lee Strout, and Dannie		
	Kerfeld to remain during the sessi	on (First: Boniek; Second: Flores).		
	_			

Recorded Votes:	Boniek - Yes	Kunder - Absent	
	Bloomquist - Yes	Nordlum - Absent	
	McCarthy - Yes	Lorring - Yes	
	Flores - Yes		
Brief Discussion:	No action was taken during executive session. Aaron Bloomquist informed the audience		
On Record: 12:29 p.m.	that the board was provided a presentation resulting from their IT project regarding hunt		
Off Record: 12: 30 p.m.	records and transporter activity reports, and that the board would have a live presentati		
	of it during their December meeting. The board took a break.		
5. Summary/Motions from Exe	ecutive Session		
Brief Discussion:	Back from break, the board provided summaries and motions regarding investigative		
On Record: 12:45 p.m.	matters discussed in executive session.		
Case No. 2022-000222			
Motion:	Move to accept consent agreement for Case No. 2022-000222 (First: Boniek; Second: Flores).		
	registered guide-outfitter, through a Rule 11 plea agreement, was convicted of aiding in commission of a violation and unlawful taking of big game with bait. The RBM concluded that the licensee's conviction violated AS 08.54.710(a) and recommended a one-year license suspension consistent with the criminal conviction, a fine of \$10,000 (\$0 suspended), five years of probation upon reinstatement, and a board reprimand. Chairman Bloomquist said that the board was restricted on what they could do because of the criminal conviction. There was no other discussion.		
	that the licensee's conviction violated license suspension consistent with th suspended), five years of probation u Bloomquist said that the board was re	e criminal conviction, a fine of \$10,000 (\$0 pon reinstatement, and a board reprimand. Chairman estricted on what they could do because of the	
Recorded Votes:	that the licensee's conviction violated license suspension consistent with the suspended), five years of probation up Bloomquist said that the board was re-	e criminal conviction, a fine of \$10,000 (\$0 pon reinstatement, and a board reprimand. Chairman estricted on what they could do because of the	
Recorded Votes:	that the licensee's conviction violated license suspension consistent with the suspended), five years of probation unbloomquist said that the board was recriminal conviction. There was no other	e criminal conviction, a fine of \$10,000 (\$0 upon reinstatement, and a board reprimand. Chairman estricted on what they could do because of the ner discussion.	
Recorded Votes:	that the licensee's conviction violated license suspension consistent with the suspended), five years of probation under the Bloomquist said that the board was recriminal conviction. There was no other boniek – Yes	e criminal conviction, a fine of \$10,000 (\$0 ipon reinstatement, and a board reprimand. Chairman estricted on what they could do because of the ner discussion.    Kunder - Absent	
Recorded Votes:	that the licensee's conviction violated license suspension consistent with the suspended), five years of probation use Bloomquist said that the board was recriminal conviction. There was no other Boniek – Yes  Bloomquist – Yes	e criminal conviction, a fine of \$10,000 (\$0 upon reinstatement, and a board reprimand. Chairman estricted on what they could do because of the ner discussion.    Kunder - Absent   Nordlum - Absent	
Recorded Votes:  Case No. 2025-000126	that the licensee's conviction violated license suspension consistent with the suspended), five years of probation used Bloomquist said that the board was recriminal conviction. There was no other Boniek – Yes  Bloomquist – Yes  McCarthy – Yes	e criminal conviction, a fine of \$10,000 (\$0 upon reinstatement, and a board reprimand. Chairman estricted on what they could do because of the ner discussion.    Kunder - Absent   Nordlum - Absent	
	that the licensee's conviction violated license suspension consistent with the suspended), five years of probation up Bloomquist said that the board was recriminal conviction. There was no other Boniek – Yes  Bloomquist – Yes  McCarthy – Yes  Flores – Yes	e criminal conviction, a fine of \$10,000 (\$0 upon reinstatement, and a board reprimand. Chairman estricted on what they could do because of the ner discussion.    Kunder - Absent   Nordlum - Absent	
Case No. 2025-000126	that the licensee's conviction violated license suspension consistent with the suspended), five years of probation used Bloomquist said that the board was recriminal conviction. There was no other Boniek – Yes  Bloomquist – Yes  McCarthy – Yes  Flores – Yes  Move to accept consent agreement for Flores).  The board considered the proposed of registered guide-outfitter directed the	e criminal conviction, a fine of \$10,000 (\$0 ppon reinstatement, and a board reprimand. Chairman estricted on what they could do because of the ner discussion.    Kunder - Absent     Nordlum - Absent     Lorring - Yes	
Case No. 2025-000126 Motion:	that the licensee's conviction violated license suspension consistent with the suspended), five years of probation used Bloomquist said that the board was recriminal conviction. There was no other Boniek – Yes  Bloomquist – Yes  McCarthy – Yes  Flores – Yes  Move to accept consent agreement for Flores).  The board considered the proposed of registered guide-outfitter directed the recommended a \$1,500 fine (\$500 su	e criminal conviction, a fine of \$10,000 (\$0 ppon reinstatement, and a board reprimand. Chairman estricted on what they could do because of the ner discussion.    Kunder - Absent     Nordlum - Absent     Lorring - Yes	
Case No. 2025-000126  Motion:  Brief Discussion:	that the licensee's conviction violated license suspension consistent with the suspended), five years of probation up Bloomquist said that the board was recriminal conviction. There was no othe Boniek – Yes  Bloomquist – Yes  McCarthy – Yes  Flores – Yes  Move to accept consent agreement for Flores).  The board considered the proposed of registered guide-outfitter directed the recommended a \$1,500 fine (\$500 sureprimand. There was no discussion.	e criminal conviction, a fine of \$10,000 (\$0 ppon reinstatement, and a board reprimand. Chairman estricted on what they could do because of the ner discussion.    Kunder - Absent     Nordlum - Absent     Lorring - Yes	
Case No. 2025-000126  Motion:  Brief Discussion:	that the licensee's conviction violated license suspension consistent with the suspended), five years of probation used Bloomquist said that the board was recriminal conviction. There was no other Boniek – Yes  Bloomquist – Yes  McCarthy – Yes  Flores – Yes  Move to accept consent agreement for Flores).  The board considered the proposed of registered guide-outfitter directed the recommended a \$1,500 fine (\$500 sureprimand. There was no discussion.  Boniek – Yes	e criminal conviction, a fine of \$10,000 (\$0 apon reinstatement, and a board reprimand. Chairman estricted on what they could do because of the ner discussion.    Kunder - Absent     Nordlum - Absent     Lorring - Yes	

Motion:	Move to accept the consent agr	eement for Case No. 2025-000255 (First: Boniek; Second:		
	Flores).			
Brief Discussion:	The board considered the propo	osed consent agreement for Case No. 2025-000255: An		
	RGO submitted very late hunt re	ecords. Chairman Bloomquist said that the board would		
	normally send a letter of advise	ment warning, however the hunt records were submitted		
	very late and there were a lot of	very late and there were a lot of them. The RBM recommended a fine of \$1,000 (\$500		
	suspended), probation for one y	ear, and a board reprimand.		
Recorded Votes:	Boniek – Yes	Kunder - Absent		
	Bloomquist – Yes	Nordlum - Absent		
	McCarthy – Yes	Lorring - Yes		
	Flores - Yes			
Case No. 2025-000311				
Motion:	Move to accept consent agreem	Move to accept consent agreement for Case No. 2025-000311 (First: Boniek; Second:		
	Flores).			
Brief Discussion:	The board considered the conse	The board considered the consent agreement for Case No. 2025-000311: A transporter		
	submitted very late transporter	activity reports (TARs). Chairman Bloomquist said that thi		
	case is similar to the previous or	case is similar to the previous one, with the only difference being that the transporter sent		
	his TARs in much later and had i	his TARs in much later and had more late forms than the RGO. The RBM recommended a		
	fine of \$1,000 (\$500 suspended	fine of \$1,000 (\$500 suspended), probation for two years and a board reprimand.		
Recorded Votes:	Boniek - Yes	Kunder - Absent		
	Bloomquist - Yes	Nordlum - Absent		
	McCarthy - Yes	Lorring - Yes		
	Flores - Yes			
Case No. 2025-000470				
	In the case of Case No. 2025-000	0470, move to accept the voluntary surrender of license		
	In the case of Case No. 2025-000 #GUIR952 (First: Boniek; Second	•		
Motion:	#GUIR952 (First: Boniek; Second	•		
Motion:	#GUIR952 (First: Boniek; Second In the case of Case No. 2025-00	d: Flores).  0470, the board considered the voluntary surrender of		
Motion:	#GUIR952 (First: Boniek; Second In the case of Case No. 2025-000 license #GUIR952: An RGO did n	d: Flores).  0470, the board considered the voluntary surrender of		
Motion:	#GUIR952 (First: Boniek; Second In the case of Case No. 2025-000 license #GUIR952: An RGO did n	d: Flores).  0470, the board considered the voluntary surrender of not have proper land use authorization for the area guided ts, and failed to submit hunt records in a timely manner.		
Motion:	#GUIR952 (First: Boniek; Second In the case of Case No. 2025-00 license #GUIR952: An RGO did n failed to complete hunt contrac	d: Flores).  0470, the board considered the voluntary surrender of not have proper land use authorization for the area guided ts, and failed to submit hunt records in a timely manner.		
Case No. 2025-000470  Motion:  Brief Discussion:  Recorded Votes:	#GUIR952 (First: Boniek; Second In the case of Case No. 2025-00 license #GUIR952: An RGO did n failed to complete hunt contrac	d: Flores).  0470, the board considered the voluntary surrender of not have proper land use authorization for the area guided ts, and failed to submit hunt records in a timely manner.		
Motion: Brief Discussion:	#GUIR952 (First: Boniek; Second In the case of Case No. 2025-000 license #GUIR952: An RGO did n failed to complete hunt contract The licensee decided to surrend	d: Flores).  0470, the board considered the voluntary surrender of not have proper land use authorization for the area guided its, and failed to submit hunt records in a timely manner. er his license.		
Motion: Brief Discussion:	#GUIR952 (First: Boniek; Second In the case of Case No. 2025-00 license #GUIR952: An RGO did n failed to complete hunt contrac The licensee decided to surrend  Boniek - Yes	d: Flores).  0470, the board considered the voluntary surrender of not have proper land use authorization for the area guided its, and failed to submit hunt records in a timely manner. er his license.  Kunder - Absent		

Motion:	probation, during which the boa law (First: Boniek; Second: Flore	Move to approve the application for Case No. 2025-000108, subject to 3-years of probation, during which the board may suspend the license subject to the violation of any law (First: Boniek; Second: Flores).		
Brief Discussion:	applicant for an assistant guide from his past. Chairman Bloomg nature, were very old and that he that a 3-year probationary period	The board considered the application and licensure regarding Case No. 2025-000108: An applicant for an assistant guide license disclosed a large number of criminal convictions from his past. Chairman Bloomquist said that his criminal convictions, although serious in nature, were very old and that he did not think the board could deny his license. He said that a 3-year probationary period should go a long way to prove that the applicant is on the straight and narrow. There was no other discussion.		
Recorded Votes:	Boniek - Yes	Kunder - Absent		
	Bloomquist - Yes	Nordlum - Absent		
	McCarthy - Yes	Lorring - Yes		
	Flores - Yes			
Case No. 2025-000244				
Motion:	Move to approve the application	Move to approve the application for Case No. 2025-000244 (First: Boniek; Second: Flores).		
Brief Discussion:	applicant for an assistant guide from his past, spreading across a Bloomquist said that the applicathefts, etc., including many misoprofessionalism, use of judgement	The board considered the application and licensure regarding Case No. 2025-000244: An applicant for an assistant guide license disclosed a large number of criminal convictions from his past, spreading across a lengthy period of time and as recent as 2020. Chairman Bloomquist said that the applicant's guilty convictions for assaults, domestic charges, thefts, etc., including many misdemeanors, and multiple felonies, do not support the professionalism, use of judgement, and ethics required of license holders of the industry. Mr. Lorring said the board discussed the matter in depth during executive session and that denying licensure at this time was the correct route to take. He said that a denial right now would not prevent the applicant from applying in the future, given more time to show his ability to stay on the straight and narrow. Mr. Lorring cited 12 AAC 75.340(b)(1) as the regulation used for denying the application. There was no other discussion.		
	denying licensure at this time would not prevent the applicant ability to stay on the straight and	was the correct route to take. He said that a denial right non nt from applying in the future, given more time to show his nd narrow. Mr. Lorring cited 12 AAC 75.340(b)(1) as the		
Recorded Votes:	denying licensure at this time would not prevent the applicant ability to stay on the straight and regulation used for denying the Boniek - No	was the correct route to take. He said that a denial right no nt from applying in the future, given more time to show his nd narrow. Mr. Lorring cited 12 AAC 75.340(b)(1) as the e application. There was no other discussion.  Kunder - Absent		
Recorded Votes:	denying licensure at this time we would not prevent the applicant ability to stay on the straight an regulation used for denying the	was the correct route to take. He said that a denial right none from applying in the future, given more time to show his nd narrow. Mr. Lorring cited 12 AAC 75.340(b)(1) as the e application. There was no other discussion.		
Recorded Votes:	denying licensure at this time would not prevent the applicant ability to stay on the straight and regulation used for denying the Boniek - No  Bloomquist - No	was the correct route to take. He said that a denial right nontering the future, given more time to show his not narrow. Mr. Lorring cited 12 AAC 75.340(b)(1) as the e application. There was no other discussion.  Kunder - Absent  Nordlum - Absent		
Recorded Votes:  6. Annual Report	denying licensure at this time would not prevent the applicant ability to stay on the straight an regulation used for denying the Boniek - No  Bloomquist - No  McCarthy - No	was the correct route to take. He said that a denial right nontering the future, given more time to show his not narrow. Mr. Lorring cited 12 AAC 75.340(b)(1) as the e application. There was no other discussion.  Kunder - Absent  Nordlum - Absent		
	denying licensure at this time would not prevent the applicant ability to stay on the straight and regulation used for denying the Boniek - No  Bloomquist - No  McCarthy - No  Flores - No  Mr. Bay informed the board tha	was the correct route to take. He said that a denial right not from applying in the future, given more time to show his nd narrow. Mr. Lorring cited 12 AAC 75.340(b)(1) as the e application. There was no other discussion.    Kunder - Absent     Nordlum - Absent     Lorring - No     at he had placed a drafted version of their annual report in after it was approved by Chairman Bloomquist. After review		
6. Annual Report	denying licensure at this time would not prevent the applicant ability to stay on the straight and regulation used for denying the Boniek - No  Bloomquist - No  McCarthy - No  Flores - No  Mr. Bay informed the board that the board packet last second, aff the board approved the annual	was the correct route to take. He said that a denial right not from applying in the future, given more time to show his nd narrow. Mr. Lorring cited 12 AAC 75.340(b)(1) as the e application. There was no other discussion.    Kunder - Absent     Nordlum - Absent     Lorring - No     at he had placed a drafted version of their annual report in after it was approved by Chairman Bloomquist. After review		

	Bloomquist - Yes	Nordlum - Absent
	McCarthy - Yes	Lorring - Yes
	Flores - Yes	
7. Exams		
Brief Discussion:	Chairman Bloomquist reminded the board that they had updated the Game Management Unit (GMU) exams in the spring. He informed them that the first go around did not go very well and that a lot of candidates failed their exams. He said that the intent was not to make the exams more difficult, but to make them more uniform and easier, testing on information an RGO should know. He said that the exams needed a little fine-tuning for the next go around, including adding another question to make the exams 16 questions in length, and that he would have something for the board to review at their next meeting, which would be held before their December meeting. He asked Mr. Bay if they had a vendor lined up for possibly administering the exams online, to which Mr. Bay said that they needed to decide how the exam would work and what it would look like at a high level before discussions with vendors could begin. Chairman Bloomquist asked Mr. Bay to see if they could get a vendor ready for the GMU exams in advance of the December exams. As for the RGO exams, he reminded the board that he was working on updating the RGO written and practical exams to be held online as well, but that it would not be ready by December, especially because portions of the changes he would like to add are in their current regulations project, which is with LAW but on pause because of the Governor's	
8. Schedule Next Meeting(s)	Administrative Order.	
Brief Discussion:	Having finished all previous agenda items, the board scheduled their next meetings. After discussion, they decided to schedule a teleconference for October 1, 2025, and their regularly scheduled winter meeting (also teleconference) for December 10, 2025. With nothing left to discuss, Chairman Bloomquist adjourned the meeting.	
Adjourn:	1:46 p.m.	
Date Final Minutes Approved by the Bo  ☐ Meeting ☐ OnBoard	pard:	