Departm	State of Alaska ent of Commerce, Community and Economic Development
_	on of Corporations, Business and Professional Licensing
	BOARD OF MASSAGE THERAPISTS
	MINUTES OF THE MEETING January 13, 2020
-	18.01.070(2), and in compliance with the provisions of AS 44.62, Article 6 mg of the Board of Massage Therapists was held by video conference on January 13, 2020.
Agenda Item 1	Call to Order/Roll Call:
On the record at 9:00 a.m.	
Board Members prese	nt, constituting a quorum:
Traci (Jill Mo Ron G	Edwards-Smith- Board Chair, Licensed Massage Therapist Eilmour- Vice Chair, Licensed Massage Therapist z, Licensed Massage Therapist (joined at 9:41 a.m.) bbs, Licensed Massage Therapist adle, Public Member
Division Staff present:	
Sara Cl	Dulebohn, Occupational Licensing Examiner nambers, Director of Corporations, Business, and Professional Licensing nn, Regulations Specialist II
Joining Telephonically	
2	cobs, Investigator III ipker, Senior Investigator III
Agenda Item 2	Ethics Reporting
The Board Chair open inquiry. None were pr	ed the floor to any board member that may have an ethics violation or esented.
Agenda Item 3	Review/Approve Agenda
The board reviewed th	e agenda and discussed any proposed changes.

a

In a motion made by Ron Gibbs, seconded by Traci Gilmour, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the agenda as written.

Agenda Item 4 Massage Establishments

Director Chamber and Investigators Lipker and Jacobs joined the meeting at 9:10 a.m.

 Chair Edwards-Smith reviews the purpose of this special meeting was to discuss the pending regulation of massage establishment and the investigations that will be an integral part of that process. The Chair references a letter that he sent to Chief Investigator Greg Francois on January 10, 2020 outlining the board's mission statement for regulating massage establishments, whether it is pertinent for the board to establish investigation guidelines, and the random inspections that have been carried out prior to the implementation of establishment regulations and without the board's expressed consent. Chair Edwards-Smith closes the letter asking for guidance on this subject.

Chair Edwards-Smith went on to state that in previous board meetings the board had expressed an intent that inspections be complaint driven. The board discovered that a policy of random inspections had been implemented by Investigations and believes the board should learn more about this new course of action. Mr. Edwards-Smith would like to discuss, once there is a plan for establishment inspections, what criteria will be included in those inspections. Ms. Gilmour stated the Division has always contributed to the board's mission in good faith but the board is not always made aware of those actions. Ms. Gilmour prepared a statement about information sharing which, after permission from the Chair, she read into the record:

 "The inspection information will help the board with moving forward with massage establishment regulation in that we now know that inspections have been and will be held. I would like the board's OLE to be cc'd on approvals so she can keep the board abreast of coming inspections. I would also like the board to receive inspection reports, post inspection. This also helps us understand the meaning of financial statements and ask more informed questions of the Division during presentations. We have asked over time about expenditures and were not informed of any inspections held, nor follow up reports received as to how those went for the businesses, and infractions, or closures. I may have missed this in translation and I understand. I am not trying to accuse anyone or offend. As we move through our journey of learning all the arms of Division and how we work together and move towards a more cohesive relationship, sharing information that pertains to our work, and how we spend the licensee's dollars (for me, that is the biggest message: we do not understand in our financial statements...and we've asked for a better breakdown). Because we believed these were complaint driven inspections, we did not know this was happening. The travel report from Inspector Jacobs, we realized that this is the first time an establishment has been inspected. I love that there is inspections that have happened but we have not gotten any reports to tell us what has happened, and the establishments are ours. They are our information and our licensees and our dollars. We, as a board, are very frugal. I believe the state works in our best interest. We just need to able to have that conversation. Poor Investigator Jacobs was really '...uhh, I thought I was doing my job'. To be fair to him (and he's great at his position) we need to be not taken by surprise. After 5 years, we should have known inspections were happening and I would like to see the follow-up reports because we are not seeing those either. We are seeing the Investigative Report but we are not seeing any written information. I would love Dawn to be cc'd

on travel approvals to give us a head's up so we know it's coming. Then we can informed questions and go: 'what'd you find out?' That's my take on it."

94 95 96

97

98

99

93

Chair Edward- Smith thanks Ms. Gilmour and stated he wants to get the board on the same page with Investigations. Chair Edwards-Smith reminded everyone that the board's mission statement regarding establishments is: "Massage establishment regulations will serve the purpose of safeguarding legitimate massage establishments, therapists, and the public from illicit massage establishments and human trafficking activity."

100 101 102

The Chair continued the board, in previous conversations, had determined that the best avenue to complete that mission was to have compliance checks be complaint driven. The board would educate the public on that process and that there are tools for complaint driven compliance checks.

104 105 106

107

108

109

110

111

112 113

114

103

OLE Dulebohn stated she believes the problem between Investigations implementing random inspections and the board's wish to have all inspections be complaint driven is that the board has been actively discussing a change in inspections regarding establishments for the last year and a half. Ms. Dulebohn stated she believes that Investigations heard that once the establishment regulations were in place there would have to be compliance checks for the establishments as they are not licensed massage therapists and took that direction out of context and started establishment inspections before regulations were in place. In board conversations with Investigator Jacobs, he had stated that Investigations was carrying out the board's direction on inspections. Chair Edwards-Smith agreed with that assessment and stated these discrepancies are the reason for the scheduling of this meeting.

115 116 117

118

119

120

121

122 123

124 125

126

127 128 Mr. Gibbs agreed the board should not be caught by surprise but, in the future, having only complaint driven referrals will probably not be very effective for the board. Mr. Gibbs went on to state that typically the clientele that go to an illicit establishment are not the client base that will file a complaint regarding that establishment. Mr. Gibbs suggested brainstorming other triggers for investigations such as online research. The Chair replied that the board had hoped to create more awareness of the complaint process so complaint driven investigations would be more effective. Chair Edwards-Smith directed the board back to the mission statement of protecting legitimate massage therapists. The Chair relayed that, with the implementation of random compliance checks, he hopes that the random investigation process would be honed. Ms. Gilmour expanded that clients of illicit establishments would not be the only member of the public to be able to submit complaints; members of the community will be able to submit complaints too. She continued that establishments registering should be easier to inspect since part of their application will be a selfinspection.

129 130 131

132 133

134

135

136

137 138

139

Board member Julie Endle asked if random inspections would be for all massage establishments or just the ones registering as they are not owned by someone on the exempt list. Chair Edwards-Smith stated that the compliance checks would be for anyone. Chair Edwards-Smith stated that if the board is on the same page for complaint driven compliance checks, the next thing is to ensure the investigators have the tools necessary to carry out the compliance checks. He continued that the easiest method of doing this is to have a clear direction from the board. Referencing the draft Standards of Operation (SOO), Chair Edwards-Smith stated there are many things on the list that are not "black and white" and would require specific training. He believes SOO items #4-7 are those that would require specific training in order to effectively perform a compliance check. The Page | 3

Chair suggested that there be a notation that says SOO 1-3 & 8-10 are subject to compliance checks. 140 Mr. Gibbs interjected that he doesn't believe specialized training is needed to know whether "safe 141 storage" is being followed. Mr. Edwards-Smith asked if hazmat or bloodborne pathogens training 142 143 should be provided for investigators? Mr. Edwards-Smith also asked the board how the investigators will know about how to ascertain "all applicable state and local building/fire codes". 144 Ms. Gilmour reminded the board that SOO #5 is not in their purview and would best be inspected 145 by a fire marshal. Ms. Gilmour believes that giving the investigators more information may be all 146 that is needed for them to fully complete everything on the board's list. Ms. Gilmour continued that 147 investigations have been conducted for Barbers and Hairdressers for a long time and what the 148 massage board is asking is similar to what has already been done. At this time, Chair Edwards-Smith 149 150 invited Investigators Lipker and Jacobs to join the conversation.

151152

153

154

Investigator Lipker stated that the Board of Barbers and Hairdressers (BAH) investigators do not look for violations regarding sanitary safety. These types of inspections are done by other departments such as OSHA. Ms. Lipker stated that she would be uncomfortable with Investigations trying to enforce sanitary regulations.

155156157

158

159 160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169 170

171172

173

174

175

176

Director Chambers joined the conversation to give context from Division's standpoint. Ms. Chambers informed the board that investigators are trained in licensing violations for the 44 licensing programs but safety and sanitation have never been under the jurisdiction of Investigations. Historically, the Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) is the safety and sanitation inspecting body for the state. The DEC writes the standards for the Board of Barbers and Hairdressers (BAH) safety and sanitation according to statute and not the board. Ms. Chambers went on to state that, last year, Division received the authority to inspect in place of DEC and that is when the Board of Massage Therapists and the Board of Barbers and Hairdressers became involved with safety and sanitation. Ms. Chambers stated that she has spoken about the similarities between inspection and investigation with Chief François and believes that Division investigators are competent and capable of performing inspections. Ms. Chambers stated that the Division investigators conducting facility inspections is a brand-new facet of Investigations and not all investigators will receive inspection training. Director Chambers agreed with Chair Edwards-Smith that the Division needs to ensure that investigators are provided with training to ensure they can adequately enforce regulations since that is what the board has said is the law. Ms. Chambers stated that there is no point in having a regulation if it is not enforceable. Ms. Chambers continued that the Division has a legal responsibility to train teams to be able to inspect according to regulation and educate the public, licensees, and establishments so they are able to meet the standards. Ms. Chambers stated that it is our responsibility to ensure that the permit holder can meet all the standards and obtain any pertinent information. Ms. Chambers stated that the SOO look to be straight forward and are probably pretty accessible.

177 178 179

180

181

182

183

184

Chair Edwards-Smith reminded meeting attendees that the establishment registrants will complete a self-inspection affidavit as part of their application. Chair Edwards-Smith stated he would like the board to evaluate the necessity of maintaining SOO #4-7 in terms of compliance checks. Ms. Chambers replied that if the board has concerns about Investigations being unable to complete their inspection standard checklist, there may be other agencies (such as the fire marshal for SOO #5) that could be enlisted and perhaps the SOO could be changed to have language, for example, "Received fire inspection at least once every x number of years".

185 186 Ms. Gilmour stated the self-inspection report is a tool for massage establishments but will also provide a tool for investigators and should be a mirror of the SOO.

TASK: OLE Dulebohn will add item to March meeting for creation of Self Inspection checklist. Possibly invite BAH inspectors for aid once draft is assembled.

Ms. Chambers reminded the board that any SOO must be clear and defensible. If there are differences between the self-inspection report and what is found in an inspection, how can it be articulated should the inspection results be appealed? Director Chambers asks the board how they will ensure that people actually know what they are attesting that they are complying with, what generates an inspection, and what standards are being inspected? She feels it would be useful for the licensing staff and the investigator to meet to align the processes so that there is not two different trainings for the same goal.

TASK: Board will delve into SOO #4-7 for compliance and inspection requirements with the mission to make them "clear and defensible" and more "black and white".

Ms. Gilmour requested a presentation from Investigations regarding their inspection process. Ms. Gilmour would also like to see Investigator Jacobs include concerns from licensees, gaps in compliance & understanding, and patterns in violations to the Investigative Report.

Chair Edwards-Smith asks Director Chambers and Investigators Lipker and Jacobs if the board needs to make a motion to convey, at this time, inspections should only be complaint driven. Ms. Gilmour stated that she believes the board should "prefer" complaint driven inspections but also understand that Investigations has the opportunity to do inspections on the board's behalf in conjunction with other boards. Ms. Gilmour went on to state it would be wrong to tie the hands of Investigations when it is possible for them to share investigative costs with other boards.

OLE Dulebohn reminded the board that during the December 2019 meeting, the board asked the cost of Investigator Jacobs August 2019 trip to Fairbanks. After conferring with Accountant III, Marylene Wales, the board was informed the trip cost was \$855.00.

Investigator Lipker joined the conversation and referenced Chair Edwards-Smith and Chief Francois spoke on this subject prior to the meeting. Investigator Lipker stated that Investigations will not be conducting any inspection unless a complaint is submitted regarding possible unlicensed practice. Ms. Lipker continued, at this point, establishment regulations have not been adopted so investigative staff cannot utilize any of the SOO and Investigations will just focus on current regulations for licensees. Ms. Lipker went on to state that they will report any onsite license checks at the board's quarterly meetings but they will not be able to divulge specifics for open files.

Board Member Jill Motz joins the meeting at 9:41 a.m.

Ms. Gilmour asked Investigator Lipker if the board could see the details of the compliance checks once a case is closed and are no longer confidential? Ms. Lipker stated this information can be shared via a public records request but isn't sure if it could be shared with the board. Ms. Lipker stated she will check with Chief Francois for confirmation. Ms. Chambers stated that it would be helpful if Investigator Jacobs includes in his board report what types of violations are they seeing Page | 5

MAS January 13, 2020 Minutes

and concerns they are hearing from licensees (registrants). Ms. Chambers stated the board would benefit more from the statistics and gaps in compliance (data driven decision making).

TASK: OLE Dulebohn will add Compliance Check to March board meeting agenda to include report from Investigations on data gathered in August 2019 random inspection and a workgroup session with Investigations on how to better improve methods to improve establishment compliance checks in the future.

OLE Dulebohn verified with Investigators Jacobs and Lipker that the upcoming compliance checks during the fiscal year of 2020 were no longer going to happen. Investigator Jacobs confirmed that, per the direction of the board, there are no scheduled or unscheduled inspections pending for the 2020 fiscal year. Mr. Jacobs stated that investigative staff will still continue to perform complaint driven inspections.

Investigators Lipker and Jacobs and Director Chambers left the meeting at 9:50 a.m.

Chair Edwards-Smith calls for a short break.

Off

Off the record at 9:50 a.m.
Back on the record at 10:00 a.m.

Agenda Item 5 Regulations

Regulations Specialist Sher Zinn joined the meeting at 10:00 a.m.

Board Chair Edwards-Smith directs the board to 12 AAC 79.200 which currently read "an applicant for renewal of a massage therapy license must meet the requirements of AS 08.61.050 and this section. A massage therapist applying for license renewal must submit (4) a current certification in cardiopulmonary resuscitation through the American Red Cross, American Heart Association, American Safety and Health Institute, or an equivalent organization." The board was given a suggestion by Division to change this language to read "(4) documentation of a current certification in cardiopulmonary resuscitation through the American Red Cross..." This suggested change would allow Division to accept an attestation from the licensee that they had a current cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) certification but would not require the licensee provide proof.

After the board adopted the change to this regulation, Regulations Specialist Zinn and OLE Dulebohn discovered that CPR certification was not included in the board's continuing education audit and if a change was not made, there would never be a compliance check of the CPR certification requirement in accordance with AS 08.61.050. To fix this, Ms. Zinn proposed a change to the continuing education regulation 12 AAC 79.210 by adding a new paragraph to read: "(4) must document completion of a cardiopulmonary resuscitation course as required by 12 AAC 79.210(b)(4)." Ms. Zinn informed the board that this change would ensure, if a licensee is audited, they would be required to submit proof of their current CPR certification.

Ms. Gilmour asked Ms. Zinn if this regulation change is necessary as she does not want to burden licensees with any additional regulation. Ms. Zinn replied that the board could choose to go back to the previous regulations project and remove the change to 12 AAC 79.100. Ms. Gilmour stated she does not want to change the renewal process for licensees again. OLE Dulebohn interjected that Page | 6

MAS January 13, 2020 Minutes

the regulation had not been changed to require the proof of CPR; the required proof of CPR for renewals just wasn't requested by Division for the 2017 renewal due to an oversight. OLE Dulebohn went on to state the board's adoption the change to 12 AAC 79.210 would be less of a burden to the licensee base by not requiring proof of CPR be submitted with a renewal application and instead would only require the proof of CPR upon audit. Ms. Dulebohn also states this change would also remove the need to hand process the CPR certificates for all licensees which would be a cost saving for the board. Ms. Zinn reminded Ms. Gilmour that the comments from the public on that change in the last regulation project was largely positive and in favor of not having to submit a current CPR card with renewal applications. Ms. Gilmour thanked Ms. Zinn for the feedback and withdrew her objection to the change in continuing education regulation regarding CPR.

Ms. Gilmour stated at some point she wants to have a meeting in 2020 that does not include regulation project. Chair Edwards-Smith replied that, as a board, they will need to make changes and be continually adapting as there is growth in the industry. Ms. Gilmour agreed but stipulated regulations should be adopted for the right reasons and wonders if the board is perhaps not delving deep enough in their discussions to catch all the possible repercussions. Ms. Gilmour went on to state the board should be more familiar with their own statutes and regulations so some of the follow-up with regulation projects can be avoided.

Ms. Zinn also presented the board with other regulations changes concerning massage establishments. Ms. Zinn informed the board that these amendments can go out together in one package at no additional expense to the board. Ms. Zinn advised the board they do not need to send these amendments out immediately unless there is a pressing need; the board can wait and send them out after the next board meeting. Chair Edwards-Smith reminded the board that they will be looking at their Standards of Operations during the March 2020 meeting and, should any changes be made, that would need to be in regulation 12 AAC 79.900. Ms. Zinn suggested the board wait to public notice today's amendments if they believe they will have another change after the March 2020 meeting. Chair Edwards-Smith asked for a motion on the changes made earlier but will wait to send them out for public comment until the March 2020 meeting.

Ms. Endle stated she would like to discuss the regulation amendment that addresses renewal fees for massage establishments. Ms. Gilmour and Mr. Edwards-Smith reminded Ms. Endle that the board does not set fees. Fees are set by Division and, at this time, a renewal fee has not been calculated. Ms. Gilmour stated the Division does take the board opinion on fees into consideration and once registrations happens, the renewal wouldn't be happening until 2021. Ms. Endle states that initial establishment registration has been set at \$300 and wonders if that means that renewals would also be \$300? Chair Edwards-Smith reiterates that, at this time, there is no renewal fee for establishments. Chair Edwards-Smith went on to state the regulation amendment the board is currently reviewing states there will be a renewal fee but not what that fee is. Division will set the renewal fee based on registrants and investigative costs. Ms. Gilmour stated, despite the regulation about fees being in the Board of Massage Therapists, the board does not set any fee and Division is responsible for calculating and deciding on all fees. Ms. Zinn reminded the board that there is a statute that requires the Division must take into account the board's wishes but they do not have to implement them. Chair Edwards-Smith suggested discussing fees and how they are set in the next Outreach and Educational Subcommittee meeting.

 In a motion made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to AMEND regulation

- 12 AAC 79.210(a)(4)- Must document proof of completion of CPR course as required by 12 AAC 79.200(b)(4)
- 12 AAC 79.930(f)- A massage therapy establishment required to be registered under this section must renew the registration on or before September 30 of every odd numbered year by submitting
 - 1) Complete registration renewal form; and

- 2) The fee required under 12 AAC 02.396(5)
- 12 AAC 79.930(g)- A massage therapy establishment owner must notify the department in writing not later than 15 days after the establishment ceases operations.
- 12 AAC 79.940- Unless exempt from registration under 12 AAC 79.930(b), if an inspection or complaint of an unregistered massage therapy establishment results in an investigation, the unregistered massage therapy establishment owner shall pay the investigation fee required by 12 AAC 02.396 to the department.
- 12 AAC 02.396(5)- to add "and renewal fee"

The board stated these amendments will not go out for public comment until after the March 2020 meeting.

Ms. Endle reiterated Division will set the establishment renewal fee and then the board will have a chance to discuss and submit their opinion of that renewal fee for consideration. Ms. Endle stated that a renewal fee of \$300 is too costly. OLE Dulebohn informed the board that there is no data on how many people will register for establishments, how many investigations will occur, or what the investigative costs will be. OLE Dulebohn went on to state that until these factors are known, there cannot be speculation as to what the establishment renewal fee will be. Ms. Dulebohn stated that the board has historically been adamant that fees massage therapists pay for licensure should not be used to regulate massage establishments. The fees brought in by establishment registrations need to pay for all of the costs associated with that registration and inspections.

Regulations Specialist Zinn left the meeting at 10:29 a.m.

OLE Dulebohn presented the board with additional information regarding the motion made at the December 2-3, 2019 meeting regarding the Division's utilization of Policy & Procedure 28 as it relates to the "No Investigations Needed" document. OLE Dulebohn reminded the board that they previously made a motion to direct the Division not to refer applicants to Investigation if the applicant failed to disclose on their application any item that is on the board's "No Investigations Needed" list. This new direction will remain an internal process but will hopefully decrease issues of communication between Investigations, the Board, and Division. OLE Dulebohn informed the board that after the December 2019 meeting she consulted with Records and Licensing Supervisor Renee Hoffard who reviewed the board's direction and stated it is within the board's purview to delegate this to Division per P&P 28 if the board removed the section about "at Division's discretion" to release the Division of any responsibility when evaluating what will be referred to Investigations. At direction of Supervisor Hoffard, OLE Dulebohn is bringing this subject back to

the board to request they rescind their motion regarding investigative referrals made at the December 2019 meeting and replace it with the suggested language change. In a motion made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to RESCIND the motion from the December 2-3, 2019 meeting, lines 1609-1615. In a motion made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Julie Endle, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to AMEND the board's "No Investigations Needed" list to add internal direction that a failure to disclose convictions on an application that occurred 10 or more years ago will not result in a referral to Investigations. Additionally, Division will send out an informational letter to applicants for failure to disclose. Agenda Item 6 <u>Adjourn</u> At this time, the board concluded all scheduled board business. In a motion made by Ron Gibbs, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously, it was RESOLVED to ADJOURN. Hearing nothing further, Chair David Edwards-Smith adjourned the meeting and the record ended at 10:34 a.m. Respectfully Submitted, 6/19/20 Date 19/20 Dawn Dulebohn, Licensing Examiner

David Edwards-Smith, Board Chair