1 2 3 4 5	STATE OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY, AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS, AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING STATE MEDICAL BOARD			
6 7		25, 2008		
8 9		F MEETING		
<ul> <li>By authority of AS 08.01.070(2) and in compliance with the provisions of AS 44.62, a sche</li> <li>of the Alaska State Medical Board was held on Thursday and Friday, July 24 - 25, 200</li> <li>Conference Room, Kanakanak Hospital, Dillingham, Alaska.</li> </ul>			nursday and Friday, July 24 - 25, 2008, in the Eagle	
14 15 16		Thursday, Ju	uly 24, 2008	
16 17	Call to Order			
18 19	The meeting was ca	alled to order at 9:05 am.		
20 21	Roll Call			
22 23 24 25 26	Present were:	Jean M. Tsigonis, Chair John S. Cullen, MD Edward A Hall, PA-C Nancy Puckett	William Resinger, MD Lawrence W. Stinson, Jr., MD Michael J. Tauriainen	
27 28	Dr. Head was not ye	et present but was expected.		
29 30 31 32	<ul> <li>Staff Members present were Leslie Gallant, the board's executive administrator and Licensing Exar</li> <li>Linda Sherwood.</li> </ul>			
33	Announcements			
34 35 36 27	Additional late item agenda as possible		rere distributed to be included in the meeting	
37 38 20		AGEND/	A ITEMS	
39 40	Agenda Item 1- Ta	b A In the Matter of Case N	lo. 2800-08-007	
<ul> <li>41</li> <li>42 The board reviewed Dr. Sean Casey's case where he failed to report to the board the settlem</li> <li>43 malpractice case as required by law.</li> </ul>				
44 45 46	MOTION 2 <sup>nd</sup>	HALL moved to approve the correction RESINGER	onsent agreement as signed by Dr. Casey.	
47 48 49	VOTE	7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, I 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions	Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen)	
50 51		* * *	< * *	
52 53	<u>Agenda Item 1 - Ta</u>	b B In the Matter of Case N	lo. 2806-07-001	
54 55 56	Ms. Gallant advised the board that this case involves unlicensed practice by physician assistant Tracie Rieker for a period of four months and two weeks while under the supervision of Dr. Lynn Hornbein. She			

past similar cases.				
Mr. Tau secono		ed to adopt the consent agreement as written. The motion failed for lack of a		
MOTION		HALL moved to approve the consent agreement with physician assistant Traci Rieker but to amend the amount of the civil fine to \$3,000 with \$1,500 suspend		
	2 <sup>nd</sup>	PUCKETT		
	VOTE	6 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson) 1 Nay votes (Tauriainen) 0 Abstentions		
		* * * *		
Agend	la Item 3	Malpractice Case Review		
<u>Tab E</u>	Case No. 1			
	MOTION 2 <sup>nd</sup>	HALL moved to take no further action regarding Case No. 1. CULLEN		
	VOTE	7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions		
<u>Tab F</u>	Case No. 2			
	MOTION 2 <sup>nd</sup>	HALL moved to take no further action regarding Case No. 2. STINSON		
	VOTE	7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions		
<u>Tab G</u>	Case No. 3			
	MOTION 2 <sup>nd</sup>	HALL moved to take no further action regarding Case No. 3. CULLEN		
	VOTE	7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions		
<u>Tab H</u>	Case No. 4			
	MOTION 2 <sup>nd</sup>	CULLEN moved to take no further action regarding Case No. 4. STINSON		
	VOTE	7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions		
<u>Tab I</u>	Case No. 5			
	MOTION 2 <sup>nd</sup> VOTE	CULLEN moved to take no further action regarding Case No. 5. HALL 7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes		

1 2 3		* * * *		
4	<u>Agenda Item 2 - Tab</u>	D In the Matter of Gary S. Gerlay, MD		
5 6 7 8 9	Ms. Gallant briefly summarized the past situation regarding Dr. Gerlay including the board's past order for evaluation and drug testing. The administrative law judge has issued his final proposed decision and order in the case for the board's consideration.			
10 11 12	MOTION 2 <sup>nd</sup>	HALL moved to adopt the proposed decision and order in its entirety in the matter of Gary S. Gerlay, MD. PUCKETT		
13 14 15	VOTE	<ul> <li>7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen)</li> <li>0 Nay votes</li> <li>0 Abstentions</li> </ul>		
16 17		* * * *		
18 19 20	Agenda Item 12	Regulations Project		
21 22 23 24 25 26	surgical follow-up lan of that project. This needed to be correc	In that an earlier regulations project that included the IME regulation and post- nguage was bifurcated. The regulation for consideration at this meeting is part two regulation was separated out because there were some consistency issues that cted to conform with other physician assistant regulations changes that occurred ition was accidentally repealed in the large PA project and needed to be added of for conformity.		
27 28 20	MOTION 2nd	CULLEN moved to approve part two of the regulations project [12 AAC 40.410]. HALL		
29 30 31 32	VOTE	HALL 7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions		
33 34		* * * *		
35 36 37	Agenda Item 15 - Tab O 2009 Meeting Schedule			
37 38 39	The board members	selected the following dates for their meetings in 2009:		
40 41 42	Jan 29 – 30 April 9 – 10 or 16 – 17	July 16 - 17(depending on Easter)October 22 - 23		
43 44 45	Following an invitation from Dr. Cullen, the board determined it would meet in Valdez, Alaska for the July 2009 meeting.			
46 47		* * * *		
48 49	Agenda Item 15 – Tab P Correspondence			
50 51 52 53	2 <sup>nd</sup>	STINSON moved that in accordance with the provisions of AS 44.62.310(c)(2) the board go into executive session for the purpose of discussing the letters from Dr. David Odom. TAURIAINEN		
54 55 56 57	VOTE	7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions		

l 2 3	Dr. Head joined the meeting just as the board was going into executive session at 9:58 am. Off the record at 9:58 am; on the record at 10:10 am.		
3 1	Off the record at 9	:58 am; on the record at 10:10 am.	
5	Off the record at 10:11 am; on the record at 10:18 am.		
		* * * *	
	Agenda Item 2 - Ta	ab C In the Matter of Case Nos. 2800-05-056, et. al.	
	Dr. Kohler was pres	ent at this time during the meeting.	
	Dr. Stinson recused	himself from the board's discussion of this matter and left the room.	
	proposed decision Kohler. She also ac to the case, it must advised the board	d the board that the documents in the book included the administrative law judge's and order, a proposal for action from the state, and a proposal for action from Dr. dvised the board that Dr. Kohler was present and if the board allowed him to speak also allow the state's assistant attorney general equal time to speak. She further that Judge Howard was available via telephone if the board had any legal s or if they wished to deliberate with her present via telephone.	
	MOTION	HALL moved that in accordance with the provisions of AS 44.62.310(c)(2) the board go into executive session for the purpose of discussing the proposed decision in the matter of Erik P. Kohler, MD.	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> VOTE	TAURIAINEN 7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions	
	Off the record at 1	0:29 am; on the record at 11:02 am.	
	MOTION 2nd	HALL moved to adopt the proposed decision option 2 and order that includes a fine of \$2,500 and to modify the order by adding the following reprimand language into the document: "In Alaska, the Board expects that applicants will be forthright in their to responses to questions relating to their investigative history. The issuance of a license to practice medicine in Alaska is based in part on the veracity of the applicant, and without full and truthful disclosure, it is not possible for the board to adequately perform its function of protecting public safety. Your failure to truthfully answer questions pertaining to your investigative history on both your initial application and your renewal application detracts from your professionalism. You are expected to be totally forthcoming in all your future dealings with this Board in relation to your practice of medicine in Alaska."	
	VOTE	PUCKETT 7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Head, Puckett, Resinger, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 1 Abstentions (Stinson)	
	words "deceit" or "	hat the only reason he was voting 'yes' on this action was that he does not want the 'fraud" to appear in any report to the National Practitioners Data Bank about this. that there was deceit or fraud involved in this case and he did not want that ar anywhere.	
	Mr. Hall agreed tha	at the explicit wording be included on the record.	
	Dr. Stinson re-joined the meeting.		

## Agenda Item 4 Board Discussion: Certified Medical Assistants' Scope of Practice

Nancy Sanders with the Board of Nursing joined the meeting via telephone for this discussion. With her was Cathy Giessel, chair, Board of Nursing.

\* \* \* \* \*

Ms. Gallant explained that the medical board's staff has received numerous contact asking about what tasks may be appropriately delegated to CMAs. The board is being asked to discuss what sorts of tasks may be delegated to CMAs. Included in the board books is a copy of the curriculum for the CMA program from the University of Alaska. Also, the board of nursing's regulations regarding delegation of tasks to others are included.

15 16 Mr. Hall reported to the board that he attended the Board of Nursing meeting when this issue was 17 discussed. He reported there were individuals at the BON meeting who expressed their opinions and 18 concerns, primarily regarding delegation to CMAs and allowing CMAs to perform injections. Some of 19 the people testifying at the Board of Nursing meeting were concerned because under their laws, they 20 cannot delegate such tasks to CMAs. There were people there who were requesting that the BON 21 change their law to allow for the delegation of tasks to CMAs. He reported that the attendees all 22 reported that they had never had a CMA make an error. He also advised that part of the discussion 23 was that CMAs should be regulated in some way. The Board of Nursing believes that the medical board 24 should be the entity that regulates CMAs.

- Dr. Cullen advised that he uses CMAs in their clinic in Valdez and that there is the understanding that he
  is responsible for their work.
- Nancy Sanders advised that nurses cannot delegate tasks, such as injections, to an unlicensed person
   such as a CMA.
- 32 Dr. Head pointed out that the medical board cannot change the board of nursing's laws.33
- Ms. Sanders stated that the issue for the board of nursing is that they believe the medical board should
   regulate medical assistants.
- 37 Dr. Cullen was concerned that it would be a fairly large task [for the medical board to regulate CMAs].38
- Dr. Head felt that the position of a CMA is much closer [in terms of duties and responsibilities] to a nurse
   than a physician or mid-level or paramedic. It seems like a real reach for the medical board to regulate
   [CMAs] and that it would be more in the board of nursing's realm.
- Ms. Sanders advised that the board of nursing believes that medical assistants are really working at the
  pleasure of the doctor as far as what tasks may be delegated. There are only two nursing boards in the
  country that regulate CMAs. Some are regulated through their medical boards and some are
  regulated through other health and social services agencies.
- We have no idea how many CMAs are working in Alaska because they are not regulated. We cannot
  even tell what level of education they have and what tasks they are performing.
- 51 Mr. Hall reported that Ms. Shelly Hughes with the Alaska Primary Care Association reported that that 52 organization is opposed to the regulation of medical assistants because it would interfere with the 53 access to care.
- 55 Mr. Tauriainen believes things should be kept as they are.
- 56

54

1 2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9

1 2 2	Dr. Tsigonis said tha been given very mi	t at her clinic, nurses supervise the activities of medical assistants where they have inor duties.
3 4 5	Dr. Stinson agreed	that the responsibility will ultimately fall back on the person with the license.
6 7 8 9	While nurses can de giving injections. Th	that someone called recently and asked if they could delegate triage to a CMA. elegate tasks to CMAs, the area of the biggest problem is if ANPs can delegate ne board of nursing is continuing to take testimony on this issue. She also received a who wanted to file a complaint against a CMA; where should they do that?
10 11 12	Dr. Head advised th	nat the complaint should be filed with the facility where the individual works.
12 13	Ms. Sanders respon	ded that the individual may want to go to a higher level than the clinic or employer.
14 15 16	•	at the complaint would go to the board that governs the individual who is A, whether it would be the board of nursing or the medical board.
17 18 19	Ms. Gallant noted o	out that many medical assistants are not certified by the national certifying body.
20 21		at it is good to look at this issue but the medical board is not prepared at this time to sibility of licensing CMAs.
22 23 24	Dr. Cullen felt that i CMAs.	t might be helpful to help doctors understand their responsibilities in working with
25 26 27		* * * *
28	Agenda Item 7 - Ta	b M In the Matter of Randolph R. Boespflug, MD
29 30 31	The board reviewed	d Dr. Boespflug's application file and his history with the Alaska board.
31 32 33 34 35 36	that evaluation tha	requested he undergo evaluation by CPEP and recommendations were made from It provided for some limited monitoring. He has satisfactorily completed all the pregon board placed on his license. They have restored his license to free and
37	MOTION 2 <sup>nd</sup>	HEAD moved to grant an unrestricted license to Dr. Randolph Boespflug.
38 39 40 41	VOTE	HALL 8 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Head, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions
42 43		* * * *
44 45	Agenda Item 7 - Ta	Ib N In the Matter of Matthew J. Morrison, PA-C
46		
47 48 49	contained there.	rs reviewed Mr. Morrison's file and expressed concerns about the information
50 51 52	0	ly reviewed this file and requested additional information. Dr. Head agreed that did provide was alarming. He felt the board had no other choice but to deny him.
53 54 55	MOTION	HEAD moved to deny Matthew Morrison's application for a license based on violations of AS 08.64.240(b), AS 08.64.326(A)(7), 12 AAC 40.490(2) and (7), AND 12 AAC 40.967(2)(A).
56 57	2 <sup>nd</sup> VOTE	HALL 8 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Head, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen)

1 2 3	0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions					
3 4 5	* * * *					
5 6 7	Agenda Item 5 In the Matter of Claudette A. Zaremba, MD					
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Ms. Gallant explained that there are two aspects to this case. Dr. Zaremba was not aware of the request for suspension. She was originally requested to appear before the board at the April meeting [see minutes from October 2007 meeting]. She did not appear at that meeting due to a misunderstanding about the date of the meeting. Dr. Head approved her appearing at the July meeting instead but she advised that she was having hip surgery and could not attend. Since the Alaska license was issued, the Colorado board ordered her to undergo an evaluation. She failed to comply with that order so the Colorado board suspended her license in that state. There were several issues with her initial application and that is why she was placed under an agreement.					
16 17 18 19 20	Dr. Head stated that the board was trying to be kind to her at the October meeting because she said she had a job at SEARHC. The board did not want such "heavy" language in the agreement that would cause her to lose her job with SEARHC. But that job has fallen through since then.					
21	Dr. Cullen asked what caused the Colorado board to suspend her.					
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Ms. Gallant advised that when Dr. Zaremba applied for the Alaska license, it was found that there were a number of issues that were not as they were represented to the board. While attempting to confirm with the Colorado board events that occurred in that state, it was discovered that she had not notified that board of her arrest and conviction in that state. The issue in Colorado was that she had submitted a renewal form there and did not inform them of her criminal conviction. Our contact prompted them to open a case on her failure to advise them of those events in her renewal. As a result of that initial inquiry, they ordered her to undergo an evaluation. She did not complete the evaluation and the Colorado board suspended her for her failure to comply with their board order. The Colorado board has confirmed that they have not received a report from the Colorado Physician Health Program. Dr. Zaremba advised in an email that she did do the evaluation and that her California license has been suspended as a result of the Colorado action.					
35 36 37 38 39	Dr. Head advised that the board was concerned about her at the October meeting and that is why they requested that she appear at the April meeting. When she did not show for the April meeting, he gave permission to have her appear at the July meeting. He wanted to have more history behind her suspension in Colorado.					
40 41 42 43	Dr Tsigonis asked if the board could call her. Ms. Gallant advised that she had a telephone number and it was up to the board if it wished to speak with her. Dr. Head did not feel that board would have more information by talking with her.					
44 45 46 47	Ms. Gallant advised that the approach the board has taken in the past with regard to violations that occur in other jurisdictions, is that if the violation were against the law in Alaska as well as the other state, the Alaska board would piggy-back.					
48 49 50	Dr. Head was uncomfortable with suspending Dr. Zaremba without additional information regarding the Colorado matter.					
50 51 52 53 54 55	Mr. Rick Younkins, Chief Investigator, joined the meeting via teleconference. He advised that the Colorado confirmed that Dr. Zaremba had not complied with that board's order to undergo evaluation or provide any additional information to the Colorado board. He confirmed that this was a psychiatric evaluation.					

1 2 3	Ms. Gallant directed the board's attention to the request for suspension that cites AS 08.64.331(e) and paragraphs C and D of her agreement regarding the violation of laws. Under the advice of counsel, the Division is bringing this matter to the board to exercise these clauses.			
<ul> <li>4</li> <li>5 Dr. Head read from the Colorado action that the Colorado board felt she could not practice w</li> <li>6 reasonable skill and safety. She was ordered to be evaluated on February 11, 2008. By April 17</li> <li>7 she had still not complied.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>8</li> <li>9 Mr. Tauriainen was still uncomfortable with this action without knowing more from Colorado.</li> <li>10</li> </ul>				
11 12 13 14	ample time to comp	on felt that it was not necessary to know more about that because she has had bly with the Colorado order and has failed to do so. They were concerned about avior she has demonstrated.		
14 15 16	MOTION	HEAD moved to approve the Division's request for the suspension of license for Dr. Claudette Zaremba based on AS 08.64.331(e) and 08.64.326(a)(7).		
17 18 19 20 21	2 <sup>nd</sup> VOTE	PUCKETT 8 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Head, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions		
22 23	Off the record at 12:	:11 pm; on the record at 1:03 pm.		
24 25 26		* * * *		
2627New Agenda Item28Nomination to the Trauma System Review Committee				
29 30	MOTION	HEAD moved to approve Ian Neilson, MD, as a member of the trauma system review committee.		
31 32 33 34	2 <sup>nd</sup> VOTE	HALL 8 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Head, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions		
35 36		* * * *		
37 38 39	Agenda Item 9	In the Matter of Kevin Tomera, MD		
40 41 42		probation monitor, joined the meeting via telephone to discuss Dr. Tomera's case. nvestigator Rick Younkins.		
42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	The board reviewed the email and correspondence between Ms. Williamson and Mrs. Sanders, Dr. Tomera's attorney. Ms. Williamson reported that the issues she has been having are documented in her report under tab T in the board book. She reported that the reports from the psychiatrist are lacking any real information regarding Dr. Tomera. They basically simply say that Dr. Tomera appeared for his appointments. Also, the reports are often late and she must request them. She advised that she notified Dr. Tomera's attorney that she would no longer remind his physicians that they must submit their reports.			
50 51 52 53 54 55	memorandum of ag become into compl	that a letter be sent to Dr. Tomera pointing out that he is out of compliance with his preement which could lead to immediate suspension and urge him to quickly iance, remain in compliance and follow all terms and conditions of the preement. And, that is his responsibility to be in compliance and not that of his		

- 1 Ms. Williamson confirmed that she still does not have the psychologist's report that was due the first of 2 July. She confirmed that the reports are due the first day of the month in which the board meets
- 2 July. She confirmed that the reports3 [January, April, July, and October].
- The board directed Ms. Williamson to write a letter to Dr. Tomera and his attorney cautioning him to
  carefully adhere to the requirements of the consent agreement. Dr. Tsigonis also asked that the letter
  include instructions to Dr. Tomera that the board needs a narrative descriptive from his treating
  physicians as part of their quarterly reports.
- 0 9

27

28

29

30

31

- Dr. Head suggested that the letter include that the board discussed his noncompliance at the July 24,
   2008 meeting and directed the letter be written because they are gravely concerned. Dr. Tomera
   knows that the board has suspended licenses for exactly this sort of issue.
- Board members discussed their interpretation of paragraph E that requires supervising physician chart
  reviews and supervising physician reports to the board. It was determined that it was an oversight that
  there is no requirement for the practice monitor to provide reports to the board.
- 17 18 Ms. Gallant asked how Dr. Tomera's work hours are being monitored. Ms. Williamson stated that the 19 reports from practice monitor state that Dr. Tomera is in compliance with the MOA. She advised that 20 the only hours she has documents are from the hospital. The board wants to know how many hours 21 each day Dr. Tomera has worked in the office with any personal patient information blacked out. This 22 can be accomplished through the patient schedule log and the surgery scheduled, again with patient 23 names blacked out. The board wishes to know what types of work he is doing and how many hours for 24 each type of work [office hours and surgery hours]. 25
  - MOTIONHALL moved that in accordance with AS 44.62.310(c)(2), the board go into<br/>executive session for the purpose of discussing the matter of Dr. Kevin Tomera.2ndTAURIAINENVOTE8 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Head, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen)<br/>0 Nay votes<br/>0 Abstentions
- 33 Off the record at 1:40 pm; on the record at 1:52 pm.34
- 35 Dr. Tsigonis stated that the board is requesting that Dr. Tomera supply records documenting hours of 36 work in both the office, hospital, and his regular psychiatric evaluations and to let the board know if he 37 is out of compliance with his agreement. The board wishes to let him know that if he is not in 38 compliance, the board's next step would be to suspend his license. 39
- 40 MOTION HEAD moved to approve Dr. Cates as Dr. Tomera's medical physician. 41 2<sup>ND</sup> TAURIAINEN 42 VOTE 8 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Head, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 43 0 Nay votes 44 0 Abstentions 45 \* \* \* \* \* 46 47 48 Agenda Item 6 Full Board Interview - James V. March, MD 49 50 Dr. Tsigonis offered Dr. March to go into executive session to discuss his application; Dr. March declined. 51 52
- 52 The board reviewed Dr. March's application that included some 'yes' responses. He was evaluated by 53 the Colorado Physician Health Program (based on an anonymous letter sent to one of his hospitals). Dr. 54 Head reviewed the file and requested the full board interview.
- 55

Dr. March discussed with the board the events that occurred in 2006 and the steps that he has taken to remedy them since that time. He confirmed to Dr. Head that alcohol was a factor in the problems he had then but that he no longer drinks alcohol at all.			
Dr. March advised the states.	that he will be working in Fairbanks with three of his former friends and partners from		
Dr. March was urge 2006 and since the	ed to contact the Colorado board and advise them of the events that occurred in n.		
MOTION HEAD moved to grant an unrestricted license to Dr. James V. March. 2 <sup>nd</sup> HALL			
VOTE	8 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Head, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions		
	* * * *		
Agenda Item 10	In the Matter of Bret L. Mason, DO		
	ned that Dr. Mason has been very much in compliance with the terms of his s been very cooperative and cordial to the staff in his dealings with them.		
MOTION	HEAD moved to remove the physician quarterly reporting requirement from Dr. Bret Mason's memorandum of agreement.		
2 <sup>nd</sup> VOTE	HALL 8 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Head, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions		
	* * * *		
<u>Agenda Item 7 - Ta</u>	b L License Application Review – David Hernandez, PA-C		
Several unsuccessfu			
	ul attempts were made to connect Mr. Hernandez to the meeting.		
The board discusse Gallant has discusse	ul attempts were made to connect Mr. Hernandez to the meeting. d his application and his collaborative plans to work at a remote location. Ms. ed these issues with Mr. Hernandez and he is aware of areas that will be of concern		
The board discussed Gallant has discusse to the board. MOTION	d his application and his collaborative plans to work at a remote location. Ms. ed these issues with Mr. Hernandez and he is aware of areas that will be of concern HEAD moved to draft a memorandum of agreement for Mr. Hernandez that includes a prohibition against remote practice, that he attend AA meetings, maintain his sobriety including permanent and total abstinence, and that he continue with treatment for depression with an approved treatment provider in Alaska with quarterly reports to the board to coincide with the board meetings.		
The board discusse Gallant has discusse to the board.	d his application and his collaborative plans to work at a remote location. Ms. ed these issues with Mr. Hernandez and he is aware of areas that will be of concern HEAD moved to draft a memorandum of agreement for Mr. Hernandez that includes a prohibition against remote practice, that he attend AA meetings, maintain his sobriety including permanent and total abstinence, and that he continue with treatment for depression with an approved treatment provider in		
The board discussed Gallant has discussed to the board. MOTION 2 <sup>nd</sup> VOTE	d his application and his collaborative plans to work at a remote location. Ms. ed these issues with Mr. Hernandez and he is aware of areas that will be of concern HEAD moved to draft a memorandum of agreement for Mr. Hernandez that includes a prohibition against remote practice, that he attend AA meetings, maintain his sobriety including permanent and total abstinence, and that he continue with treatment for depression with an approved treatment provider in Alaska with quarterly reports to the board to coincide with the board meetings. CULLEN 8 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Head, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes		
The board discussed Gallant has discussed to the board. MOTION 2 <sup>nd</sup> VOTE	d his application and his collaborative plans to work at a remote location. Ms. ed these issues with Mr. Hernandez and he is aware of areas that will be of concern HEAD moved to draft a memorandum of agreement for Mr. Hernandez that includes a prohibition against remote practice, that he attend AA meetings, maintain his sobriety including permanent and total abstinence, and that he continue with treatment for depression with an approved treatment provider in Alaska with quarterly reports to the board to coincide with the board meetings. CULLEN 8 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Head, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions		

- Dr. Schurig was present to meet with the board. He is requesting the board make a change to his
   current memorandum of agreement.
- Ms. Gallant briefly explained Dr. Schurig's history with the board. The board's meeting books contain
   the background information behind Dr. Schurig's case.
- 6 7 Dr. Tsigonis offered to Dr. Schurig to go into executive session; Dr. Schurig indicated he was fine with 8 having his case discussed in open session. He explained that he has been working with Dr. Andreassen 9 in Delta Junction and is very grateful to him for allowing him to work there. He noted that it has been 10 very difficult to meet the board's requirement to have another physician on the premises when he 11 practices. He advised that job has come to an end now. Also, the clinic saw many military folks on 12 active duty and he was ineligible to care for them because Tricare would not accept him with the 13 memorandum of agreement. 14
- Dr. Schurig has been seeking other employment opportunities and has accepted a position in Kenai with Drs. Hall and Carlson. He would like the board to consider releasing him from the requirement of having another physician present while he practices. Also, he advised that the secondary issue is that the other physicians [with whom he is seeking employment] are concerned about having to post a plaque in the waiting room that states that a chaperone is required for female patients.
- Investigators Younkins and Williamson were connected to the meeting via telephone.
- 23 Dr. Head asked if there were any actions or complaints against Drs. Carlson and Hall.
- 25 The investigators were looking up that information on the computer.
- 27 Dr. Tsigonis asked Dr. Schurig how he was dealing with boundary issues.
- 29 Dr. Schurig replied that it is a religion with him now. Prior to the events that occurred, he did not have a 30 sense of boundaries. He is now very much aware of boundaries and he is very strict about them. He 31 stated that even if the sign regarding a chaperone were removed, he would always require one. 32
- Ms. Gallant reminded the board that, historically, every individual who has come before the board for
   sexual misconduct has been required to post such a sign. It is a standard requirement that does not get
   removed. It is a caution to the public; it is a safety issue for the public. Dr. Schurig's violation involved a
   patient.
- Dr. Tsigonis asked if having the sign posted [in Delta Junction] stopped female patients from seeing him.
  Dr. Schurig responded that he had female patients and that the sign did not prevent them from seeing him. He felt [the sign] was a "bigger deal" to some of his potential employers than it was to him personally. He accepted it as part of the situation.
- 43 Ms. Gallant pointed out that the violations involving Dr. Schurig are among the most serious violations a
   44 physician can commit. There are issues of misconduct with a patient, issues of prescribing violations,
   45 overprescribing.
- 47 Dr. Schurig stated that he became aware that he had some serious mental health issues during that
  48 time. He had lapses in judgment that were outstanding and he was unaware of how badly his mental
  49 status had deteriorated and he was under a tremendous amount of stress. He stated he just wasn't
  50 thinking right.
- 52 Dr. Tsigonis expressed her concerns about removing the on-site supervision requirements but she is
  53 pleased that he is back to work.
  54
- 55 Dr. Head advised that he too was not sure what was being accomplished by having the constant on-56 site supervision requirement.

51

24

26

1 2 3 4 5 6	Ms. Gallant pointed out that the agreement was signed only less than a year earlier. She was concerned about putting requirements in place and then removing them after less than a year. Part of Dr. Schurig's problems resulted during the time he was in private practice with no oversight. Had there been other professionals around him at the time, they could have helped him stay focused on good medical practice.				
7 8 9	Dr. Cullen stated that Dr. Schurig should probably not be in practice in small communities where boundaries come up all the time.				
10 11 12	Dr. Schurig described the sort of practice he hoped to work with in the Kenai/Soldotna area were there would always be some staff present though not always a doctor.				
13 14 15	•	ed her discomfort with that since the other office staff, nurses, physician assistants, e., are all subordinate personnel to him.			
16 17 18		at there was some room to relax some of the requirements on Dr. Schurig. He would a not having a physician present all the time.			
19 20	The board reviewed	when the memorandum of agreement was executed.			
21 22	Dr. Schurig asked wh	nen it would be an appropriate time for him to request modifications to the MOA.			
23 24 25 26	Dr. Head advised that in the years he has been on the board, he has very seldom seen the board make any modifications to an agreement after less than one year in effect, or even in less than two years. Much depends on the severity of the violations involved.				
27 28	MOTION	HALL moved to keep the memorandum of agreement in tact is it currently is and to make no modifications.			
29 30 31 32	2 <sup>nd</sup> VOTE	CULLEN 6 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson) 2 Nay votes (Head, Tauriainen) 0 Abstentions			
33 34 35 36 37 38	MOTION 2 <sup>nd</sup> VOTE	HEAD moved to approve Drs. Hall and Carlson as supervising physicians. TAURIAINEN 8 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Head, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions			
39 40 41 42 43 44 45	MOTION 2 <sup>nd</sup> VOTE	HEAD moved to approve Dr. Byron Perkins as a supervising physician. TAURIAINEN 8 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Head, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions			
45 46 47	Off the record at 3:0	13 pm; on the record at 3:12 pm.			
48 49 50	Agenda Item 11	In the Matter of John A. J. Winczura, PA-C			
51 52	Mr. Winczura joined	the meeting via telephone.			
53 54 55 56 57	<ul> <li>Mr. Winczura advised that he is trying to start his own business which would involve providing m</li> <li>care through house calls to patients' homes. He felt that there was no sense in his submitting p</li> <li>for a new collaborative plan if the board will not approve it. He wished to discuss with the board</li> </ul>				

1 the past, he has asked for certain changes to the memorandum of agreement and the board has 2 denied them. Rather than him requesting anything specific at this time, he offered the board the 3 opportunity to tell him how he can make this work. He has mentioned to the board in the past that he 4 is a disabled person legally because of his alcoholism so the state has an obligation to provide some 5 sort of reasonable accommodation for his licensing. The board has seen fit to ignore this. At this time, 6 he would like the board to tell him what the board is willing to accept as a compromise for him to start 7 his business as a physician assistant working in the Anchorage area doing house call medicine, such as 8 seeing patients in hotels, motels, office buildings, their own homes, places other than a regular office. 9

10 Mr. Tauriainen asked what kind of patients he expected to treat and what the need is. He stated that 11 making house calls seemed to be sort of a regression to an earlier time. 12

Mr. Winczura responded that this is a trend across the country. It is a new thing that is finding a place in medicine. You can find organizations doing this in many major cities. All kinds of patients are seen and services are provided to anyone who wants the convenience of having their care provided in a place that is convenient to them. This service is for those who, for whatever reason, find it difficult to go to a doctor's office.

18

Mr. Hall stated that Mr. Winczura's memorandum of agreement has been the product of investigations and adjustments over the years since he has been involved with the medical board. He did believe the board has made some concessions for Mr. Winczura such as approving his practice in Glennallen. He did not think it is the board's role to try to figure out to make the MOA more conducive to Mr. Winczura's practice. If he could explain to the board how the MOA is interfering with his practice, then the board could discuss those issues on an individual basis as opposed to the board discussing the whole thing all over again.

26

54

57

27 Mr. Winczura corrected Mr. Hall in that he is working in Delta Junction, not Glennallen, and that he is 28 working in the same building as his collaborating physician so he is not working remote. The problem in 29 the past has been there are some terms in the MOA that the board has the ability and authority to 30 adjust in any way it desires. Just because the agreement says something today, does not make it 31 necessary to stay that way. The first issue was that he was not to work at remote sites. In his current 32 practice, he is not working remote; however, because his collaborating physician, Dr. Ray Andreassen, 33 has accepted the role of collaborating physician in his business venture, then he would be considered 34 to be practicing remote. He would be in Anchorage, but Dr. Andreassen would be in Delta Junction. 35 One of the problems he is having is finding a physician willing to take the role of alternate in Anchorage. 36 That is his first problem. The second problem is that the MOA prohibits solo practice and at least initially, 37 he would be the only provider in his new business. He asked the board how he can get on with his life, 38 do what he has to do, and have the board's blessings. He has been in recovery for eight years yet he 39 feels like he is being treated like someone who has a couple months of sobriety. He has a proven track 40 record of almost eight years of continuous monitoring by some professional organization. He has gone 41 through a lot with this board [the medical board]. He understood that there are consequences for 42 actions but at this point, he believes he has more than paid for his mistakes. He has proven that he is 43 not a danger to the public; he has proven that his medical practice is sound. He stated that he would 44 appreciate it if the board would take those things into consideration and come up with a solution that 45 will enable him to do his business as he wishes and still have the board feel comfortable. 46

- Mr. Tauriainen asked if Mr. Winczura could get a collaborative physician in Anchorage, that would
  resolve the remote aspect, but if he pursued his business as he has described, the memorandum of
  agreement would prohibit it because it would be a solo practice. Mr. Winczura agreed that was
  correct.
- Ms. Gallant stated that she was not aware of any compliance issues with his agreement. Mr. Winczuraconfirmed that.
- 55 Dr. Head asked when Mr. Winczura would be released from the MOA. Mr. Winczura stated that there 56 are a couple years left on the agreement.

1 Mr. Tauriainen stated that he was willing to discuss with the board dropping the requirement in the MOA 2 regarding no solo practice. He believes Mr. Winczura has come a long way so he would be willing to 3 work on a change. Mr. Tauriainen moved to drop the requirement on page 9 of the MOA, item K, 4 striking where it reads: "Winczura shall not work at any location where he is the only health care 5 provider." The motion failed for lack of a second. 6 7 Dr. Tsigonis advised the board would wait to see if he submits a new collaborative plan for the board's 8 approval. Nothing else has been presented so the MOA stands as it is. 9 10 Mr. Winczura asked if the board is unwilling to even discuss some alternatives that would make the 11 board feel more comfortable with him doing this [the business he has described] such as having a 12 medical assistant accompany him or having an increased frequency in urine tests or having face-to-13 face meetings with his monitor or any other things that could be done to increase the board's comfort 14 level. He was not hearing any suggestions and that disturbed him. 15 16 Dr. Tsigonis stated that the frequency of monitoring is fine and the board does not see a need to modify 17 that. 18 19 Mr. Hall suggested that Mr. Winczura write those options down and give them to the board for 20 consideration. It is not that the board is not willing to talk about such ideas; it is that the board does not 21 feel it has to come up with the solutions to suit his needs. If he writes them down, then the board can 22 discuss them and see if they are reasonable. He felt Mr. Winczura needed to take that initiative. 23 24 Mr. Tauriainen noted that Mr. Winczura's suggestion of having a medical assistant go with him [on his 25 home health visits] would be a little more restrictive than completely removing the solo practice 26 exclusion. 27 28 Mr. Winczura asked if technically, it would not be a solo practice. 29 30 Dr. Cullen stated that it would still be considered a solo practice because medical assistants do not 31 have a license. 32 33 Mr. Tauriainen agreed with Mr. Hall that it is Mr. Winczura's responsibility to come up with the proposals 34 for the board's consideration and not the board's. 35 36 Mr. Winczura stated that it is the board's responsibility to provide to him reasonable accommodation as 37 a disabled person and the board is missing that point. 38 39 Dr. Cullen stated that is something he was having difficulty with. One of the primary responsibilities of 40 the board is the safety of the public. He recalled that the board already has a court opinion on the 41 idea that this falls under the Americans With Disabilities Act. 42 43 Mr. Winczura stated that the board has to show imminent danger to the public. He asked how the 44 board can show imminent danger to the public when he has been compliant with the board's requests 45 for eight years and has been sober for eight years. He stated that his sobriety date is November 12, 46 2000. He was monitored by the nursing board for three years and since then by the medical board. 47 And, the board does not seem to get that. They cannot prove that he is a danger to the public. He is 48 less of a danger to the public than the average practitioners; statistically, one in five practitioners has 49 some kind of problem with substances. He is being monitored and the board does not understand the 50 fact that he has proven that he is trustworthy. 51 52 Mr. Tauriainen observed that the discussion seemed to be heading back into abrasive territory and he 53 suggested that a step back be taken. 54 55 Mr. Winczura agreed and reminded the board that they are breaking the law and the only reason that 56 the board has not changed its mind is that he cannot litigate because he does not have the money. 57 He stated that the board is supposed to be helping the public but is also supposed to be a servant of

- those its serves but they are not doing that. Everything about this board is about punishment and 'no.'
  He stated that the board is not being logical.
- 4 Mr. Hall encouraged Mr. Winczura to write up what he would like the board to consider and then they
  5 can get back to him on it.
  6
- 7 Mr. Winczura asked when that would occur, the next meeting or between meetings. This has been
  8 going on for a year now, is it going to be delayed another three months?
  9
- Dr. Tsigonis responded that that would be when the board next meets. She would also request the investigators provide a report regarding his compliance. He should come to the board with a plan for the board's consideration; there are no promises. The board cannot develop his plan but that he needs to come to the board with a proposal for the board's consideration. At this time, since no one is making a motion to change the MOA, there is still concern about the work location. She urged him to consider a non-remote practice when developing his plan and not a solo situation.
- Mr. Winczura asked if the greater Anchorage area is a remote place for her. He is talking about
  metropolitan Anchorage.
- 20 Dr. Tsigonis stated that the board is saying: not remote and not solo.
- Dr. Cullen asked Mr. Winczura if he signed the agreement in 2005. Mr. Winczura stated that he signed
  the agreement under duress; that if he didn't sign it, he would not work.
- Dr. Cullen stated that in his opinion, it would be hard for the board to change that agreement unless
  there was real clear evidence that should be done.
- 28 Mr. Winczura asked if eight years of practice was not enough.29
- 30 Dr. Tsigonis advised that sobriety is not the only issue.
- Mr. Winczura replied, "Don't tell me it's about my attitude because I'm not your son." He declared that
  he is an adult who has freedom of speech and the right to his own opinion. If she did not like that,
  "...that's your tough beans." If the board is punishing him for that, then they are even worse than he is
  thinking.
- 36
  37 Mr. Winczura asked to have the recording copies for every meeting where he is present; he wants the
  38 records for the last meeting and this one. Those will be sent to him. He disconnected from the meeting
  39 at this time.
- 41 Mr. Tauriainen commented that he does understand Mr. Winczura's frustration.
- Dr. Stinson commented that there is a misperception on his part [Winczura's] regarding the agreement.
  He signed it in 2005 because it needed to be signed. Under the circumstances, it was a very fair
  agreement from what he has read. To have the board modify the agreement rather than using the
  techniques on the board that he is currently using, he should be giving the board overwhelming reasons
  to change the agreement. He gets the feeling that Mr. Winczura thinks the board is inflicting this upon
  him. He agreed to this himself.
- 50 Dr. Head agreed that Mr. Winczura's biggest problem has always been accountability.
- 51
  52 Dr. Stinson remarked that people who do not take accountability are the ones he worries about the
  53 most.
- 54
- 55 Dr. Tsigonis agreed with that concern.
- 56

1 2 3	Dr. Head felt that perhaps if Mr. Winczura hears this discussion he will realize the problems. He is just not accepting responsibility for his actions. This is not the board doing something to him; these are the consequences of his actions.					
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Ms. Gallant agreed and also pointed out that these problems go back to 1991; this has been a long string of events over a long period of time. There is not a single event that has caused this; there are substance abuse issues that go back a long way. While she applauds eight years of sobriety, that was not the only issue in his case. There were issues having to do with remote practice. And, he has never once stepped up and said that this is his responsibility.					
11	Dr. Cullen expressed his worry that Mr. Winczura thinks that he is entitled to a license.					
12 13 14 15	Dr. Head agreed and commented on the use of the Americans With Disabilities Act and that question has been determined already in so many states.					
16 17 18	Board members agreed that the easy answer to his problems would be to find a collaborative physician in the Anchorage area. Dr Tsigonis pointed out that he could also work as a nurse.					
19 20 21 22	Dr. Cullen stated that he does worry about him doing house calls and that he believes that is in violation of the spirit of the memorandum of agreement. He also felt that if he is doing house calls in Delta Junction that is in violation of the memorandum of agreement.					
23 24 25	Agenda Item 13 Physician/Pharmacist Cooperative Agreement					
26	Dr. Cullen asked why this agreement was coming forward at this time.					
27 28	Dr. Stinson explained what is involved with the medications involved in this agreement.					
29 30 31	Dr. Head asked why they needed a pharmacist to do this procedure documented in the agreement.					
31 32 33 34 35	Dr. Stinson was unsure about exactly what was going on with the agreement. Geneva Woods does not have an in-house physician and they do a lot of home infusions. He suspected that for ease of getting things done, they are letting the pharmacists be somewhat autonomous. The agreement is rather vague.					
36 37 38 39	In the absence of specific protocols, the board members declined to approve the agreement. Ms. Gallant will contact Dr. Pease or Dr. Beerle to request the protocols for the agreement.					
40 41	Agenda Item 14 Board Discussion – HB 363 Establishing a Board for Naturopaths					
42 43 44 45	Dr. Head explained to the board the history behind the current bill and previous attempts that have been made to expand the scope of practice for naturopaths.					
46 47 48	Dr. Stinson pointed out that the bill as written leaves much open to interpretation such as "minor surgery."					
48 49 50 51	The board agreed that it would draft a very strong position statement to send to the governor and all legislators in opposition to this bill.					
52 53 54 55 56 57	Dr. Cullen also expressed his concerns about similar "relaxed" laws regarding what lay midwives may do. He is concerned that naturopaths will be doing things without any physician backup or supervision. The results of their complications will end up in the emergency rooms. Ultimately, whether or not they are supervised by physicians, the physicians are the ones who will be taking care of their problems. There will be no supervision or oversight over their practice.					

1 2 3	Dr. Head commented that in the past, the governor and the legislature have paid close attention to the medical board's opinions on such matters. Some might dismiss this as a turf issue; this is not a turf issue but an education issue.					
4 5 6	Mr. Tauriainer	n wants t	o insure that patients have	e a choice	in their health care.	
7 8	Dr. Stinson sta	ited tha	the is concerned about th	neir intende	ed scope of practice.	
9 10 11 12		e to this a	current bill. Ms. Gallant wil		ent from 2004 be used as a starting point f position state and a draft to Dr. Tsigonis ar	
13 14	Agenda Item	15	Reporting			
15 16 17	Ethics: Boar	d memb	pers and staff had no ethic	s issues to r	report.	
17 18 19	Licensing Exa	miner's ı	report: Reviewed by the	board.		
20 21	Investigators'	Report:	Reviewed by the board.			
22 23	Minutes:					
23 24 25 26	MOTIC 2 <sup>nd</sup> VOTE	ON	TAURIAINEN		s from the April 3 – 4, 2008 meeting. Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen)	
27 28 29			0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions	, , , .	,,,,	
30 31 32 33	It was reported to the board that it appears that the proposed move of the licensing examiners and license files to Anchorage will not occur in the foreseeable future. One license examiner position has been eliminated and will not be filled. The board also discussed possible technological improvements to the board's work such as scanning paper documents and saving to discs.					
34 35 36 37 38 39	Mr. Tauriainen asked if the paramedics have asked about having a paramedic appointed to the board. Ms. Gallant responded that nothing has come in to the office lately but the problem for the paramedics is that they do not have a formal statewide professional organization so it is difficult for them to speak with a single voice.					
40 41	The board red	cessed a	at 4:38 pm.			
42 43			Frida	y, July 25, 2	2008	
44 45 46	Call to Order					
40 47 48	The meeting	was call	ed to order at 9:05 am.			
49	Roll Call					
50 51 52 53	Present were:		Jean M. Tsigonis, Chair Edward A Hall, PA-C Nancy Puckett		William Resinger, MD Lawrence W. Stinson, Jr., MD Michael J. Tauriainen	
54 55 56 57	Dr. Head was meeting at 9:		and would not be present	t for the ren	nainder of the meeting. Dr. Cullen joined	the

Staff Members pres Linda Sherwood.	sent were Leslie Gallant, the board's	executive administrator and Licensing Examiner		
Mr. Tauriainen stated that it would be nice to interact with the physicians in Dillingham. Dr. Stinson advised that he spoke with some of them on Thursday and they are content and have no issues to raise with the board.				
	e board would be issuing a certificate ate for him prepared.	e for Dr. Head. Ms. Gallant responded that there		
License application	n files were distributed to all board m	embers for review.		
approved on Thurs		ng a license to Mr. Hernandez who was e consent agreement. Board members agreed ent is fully executed.		
Agenda Item 13	Physician/Pharmacist Co	operative Agreement - Continued		
cooperative agree	ement. Board members determined	y for the board members review of the that the document provided did not constitute a ulation 12 AAC 40.983. Drs. Beerle and Pease wi		
MOTION 2 <sup>nd</sup>	HALL moved to approve the coo complete protocols. RESINGER	• •		
VOTE	7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puc 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions	kett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen)		
Agenda Item 15	License Application Revie	<u>ew</u>		
MOTION	TAURIAINEN moved to approve the second s	ne following physicians for licensure in Alaska:		
	Accola, Brian Gene	Kraft, Richard Louis		
	Ahrens, Lori Anne	LaChapell, Jennifer Marie		
	Bell, Joseph Webster	Lee, John Francis		
	Blalock, Robert Lewis	Maesaka, John Francis		
	Burke, John Thomas	Malone, Stephen Allen		
	Cambron, Laurence Delmen	Meisel, Ethan Michael		
	Corbett, Matthew Ward	Mendrinos, Savvas Eleftherios		
	Cosca-Cruz, Miriam Martin	Murphy, DeBora Clara		
	Daniels, Mark	Murray, Sandra Elsie		
	Darhower, Adrienne Monique	Neal, Cheryl Lynn		
	Deaver, John Edward	Ng, Kai-Ling		
	Delgado, Alexis Leandro	Pop, Peter Michael		
	Desai, Muneer Janak	Powell, Elisha Thomas		
	Diab, Jessica Lynn	Proler, Meyer Leon		
	Dowling, Mark Christopher	Race, Jonathan Clark		
	Duggal, Anoop	Ram, Priti Bachubhai		
	Elmore, Ellen Leigh	Rogers, Andrew Lewis		
	Fernandez, Pedro Luis	Rothman, Michael Ian		

		Galloway, Elizabeth Mumford	Scarborough, Norman Avery	
		Geraghty, Patricia Rausch	Sheppard, Lisa Marie	
		Gerry, Jeffrey Ira	Sims, Jonathan Tippett	
		Giles, Karen Levette	Smith, Allison Joy	
		Goradia, Dhawal Arun	Smith, Eric David	
		Gruchacz, Pamela Ann	Smith, Michael Ted	
		Harrison, Scott Douglas	Smitherman, Matthew Langston	
		Idjadi, Farhad	Spencer, Scott Simner	
		Ingle, Steven Brian	Stratton, Leon Berle	
		Jacobson, Gregory H.	Sugai, Carmen Mayugba	
		Jessen, Kristen Berit	Tilton, Theresa Mae	
		Johns, Joseph Peter	Webb, Carson St. Clair	
		Joslyn, John Nicholas	Welkovich, Bruce	
		Kaplan, Jeffrey Mark	Wu, Herman Poating	
		Kincaid, Jesse Jennings	Xue, Feiyu	
		Kindell, Gary Ray	Edwards, Ricky Dale	
1	2 <sup>nd</sup>	HALL		
2 3	VOTE	7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger	, Stinson, Tauriainen)	
		0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions		
4 5		U Abstentions		
6				
7	MOTION	TAURIAINEN moved to approve the following	g physicians for licensure in Alaska	
8		pending completion of their application file	S:	
		Adler, Vlad Victor	Kresovsky, Seth Philip	
		Barnard, Jr., Vaughn Noel	Ledbetter, James Clyde	
		Cooper, Michael Patrick	Sastic, Daniel Joseph	
		Johns, Diana Cordwell	Ussery, Todd Wendell	
			Watkins, IV, James Williams	
9	2 <sup>nd</sup>	HALL		
10 11	VOTE	7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen)		
11		0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions		
13				
14				
15	MOTION	TAURIAINEN moved to approve the following doctors of osteopathy for licensure		
16		in Alaska:		
		Barber, Bart Onis	Strickler, Steven Todd	
		Kragt, Nancy Loerke	Troxell, Marci Leigh	
17		Lush, David Murray		
17 18	2 <sup>ND</sup> VOTE	HALL 7 Voc votos (Teigenia Cullen Hell Duckett Desinger	Stimon Tourisingn)	
10	VOIE	7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes		
20		0 Abstentions		
21				
22				
23	MOTION	TAURIAINEN moved to approve the following doctor of osteopathy pending		
24 25		completion of his application file: Werle, Zachary Rohn		
23	<b>2</b> nd	HALL		
20 27	VOTE	7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger	, Stinson, Tauriainen)	
28		0 Nay votes	· · · · ·	
29		0 Abstentions		

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MOTION TAURIAINEN moved to approve the following doctor of podiatry for licensure in Alaska: Moxley, Kelly Marie 2 <sup>nd</sup> HALL VOTE 7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes					
9 10 11 12 13	MOTION	0 Abstentions TAURIAINEN moved to approve the following mobile intensive cared paramedics for licensure in Alaska:				
		Bealer, Paul Jason	Gormley, Terrance J.			
		Brisbois, Keith	Hill, Gordon Russell			
		Brown, Megan Elizabeth	Ives, Micheal Seberson			
		Cook, Lisa Marie	Otis, Daniel James			
		Cooper, Sarah Elaine	Perry, Tiffani Jae			
		Fierro, Bryan Allen				
14	2 <sup>nd</sup>	HALL				
15 16 17 18	VOTE	7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes 0 Abstentions				
18 19						
20 21	MOTION	TAURIAINEN moved to approve the following physician assistants for licensure in Alaska:				
		Kessler, Adam David	Prouty, Mary Elizabeth *			
		Loubert, Robin Ranee	Schmidt, Tori			
22 23 24	* Unauthorized to practice; no collaborative plan 2 <sup>nd</sup> HALL VOTE 7 Yea votes (Tsigonis, Cullen, Hall, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen) 0 Nay votes					
25		0 Abstentions				
26						
27	Board Discussion	Use of Subpoenas				
28 29 30 31 32	Mr. Tauriainen asked to discuss subpoenas briefly. Ms. Gallant told the board earlier that at the October meeting, representatives from the Attorney General's office would be present to discuss legal procedures and processes.					
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	Ms. Gallant explained that subpoenas are a tool that is used to gather information in the course of an investigation. Often, other entities wish to cooperate but for their own protection, it is helpful to subpoena the information needed. The board, as an agency, has the subpoena power. The board may more fully discuss this issue at the October meeting with attorneys from the Attorney General's office.					
39 40 41 42 43 44	MOTION 2 <sup>nd</sup> VOTE	STINSON	meeting of the Alaska State Medical Board. all, Puckett, Resinger, Stinson, Tauriainen)			

$\frac{1}{2}$	The meeting adjourned at 11:02 am.				
2 3 4 5 6 7	Respectfully submitted:	Approved:			
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Leslie A. Gallant Executive Administrator Alaska State Medical Board	Jean . Tsigonis, MD Chair Alaska State Medical Board			

H:\2008\July 24 - 25 Meeting – FINAL.doc