BOARD OF EXAMINERS IN OPTOMETRY

CONDENSED MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD APRIL 03, 2025

THESE DRAFT MINUTES WERE PREPARED BY THE STAFF OF THE DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING. THEY HAVE NOT BEEN REVIEWED OR APPROVED BY THE BOARD.

Date:	April 13, 2025
Time:	08:30 AM
Location:	Teleconference
Attending:	Members: Kathleen Rice (Chair, OD), Ethan Arndt (OD), Charles Rudstrom (Public Member) Staff: Ashley Carabajal (Licensing Examiner), Reid Bowman (Program Coordinator), Sylvan Robb (Division Director), Josh Hardy (Investigator), Lisa Sherrell (PDMP Manager)
Absent:	Pamela Steffes (OD), Sheryl Lentfer (OD)

1a. Call to Order: <u>Ethics Report</u>		
Brief Discussion:	Each board member confirmed they had no ethical conflicts to disclose.	
1b. Call to Order: <u>Review/Ap</u>	proved Agenda	
Brief Discussion:	No discussion to amend the agenda.	
Motion:	Motion to approve the agenda as written. (First: Dr. Arndt; Second: Dr. Rice)	
	The motion passed unanimously.	
Action Items:	The board will follow the agenda as written unless amended later in the meeting.	
2. Public Comment		
Brief Discussion:	There was one member of the public who joined the meeting to listen in. Dr. Rice asked the	
	public member if they had any comments to make, but the member did not respond.	
Action Items:	Ms. Carabajal will let the board know if anyone joins the line for public comments.	
3. PDMP Update		
Brief Discussion:	Ms. Sherrell presented the Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP) Quarter 4, 2024 report to the board. She asked if any members had any questions or feedback and to let her know if so. Ms. Sherrell let the board know they are working on launching a new module that will be part of the PDMP that would send alerts to providers if a patient had a non- fatal overdose.	
4. Investigative Report		
Brief Discussion:	Mr. Hardy presented the investigations report to the board.	

Γ	Dr. Rice asked about the standard of care case that was closed. Mr. Hardy let the board
	know that the standard of care was about whether an appropriate diagnosis was reached
	on time. Mr. Hardy mentioned the reviewing board member did not believe a diagnosis
	would not have been found.
	Dr. Arndt asked if there are currently any investigations open for optometrists. Mr. Hardy
	confirmed there were no open cases at the time.
	Dr. Arndt mentioned the board would be discussing regulatory suggestions and one might
	involve investigations and asked Mr. Hardy if he would be available if the board had any
	questions for him.
	Mr. Hardy let the board know he can be available if needed and asked Ms. Carabajal to
	reach out if he is needed again for the meeting. The board had no further questions for Mr.
	Hardy.
Action Items:	Ms. Carabajal will reach out to Mr. Hardy if the board has any questions for him during the
	regulatory suggestions' topic on the agenda.
5. Division Update: <u>Nursing Co</u>	mpact Bill and Temporary Permits Bill
Brief Discussion:	Division Director Sylvan Robb joined the meeting to inform the board about ongoing House
	Bills (HB) and Senate Bills (SB) that Governor Dunleavy introduced.
	HB 131, SB 124 has been trying to get passed the four past legislative sessions. This bill has
	been unanimously supported by the Board of Nursing since the beginning. She explained
	our professional licensing consists primarily of Nursing program. Nursing compact bill
	HB 158, SB 145 was introduced by the governor in the 2024 legislative session. Ms. Robb let
	the board know the bill will allow applicants for full licensure to obtain a temporary permit
	if they meet a set of requirements while the department waits for the remaining
	documents.
	Letter of support from the board. Sylvan will send some to Carabajal to distribute to board.
6. Exam vs. Credentials Discuss	ion
Brief Discussion:	Ms. Carabajal stated there is a current applicant who does not have the required 3,120
	hours of active practice to apply by credentials. This applicant does not qualify to apply by
	examination due to their National Board of Examiners in Optometry (NBEO) exams
	completed over 4 years ago, which does not fall under regulations to apply by examination.
	Dr. Rice said they would need to complete the boards again to qualify to apply by
	examination if they do not meet the required hours of active practice for credentials.
	Dr. Rice says unless we change our rules for applying, we will need to make the applicants
	take the exams again. Ms. Carabajal asked if the applicant now must retake the NBEO
	exam if she already took the required injections course to apply for by credentials. Dr. Rice
	said she should retake the boards.
	Dr. Arndt said the other pathway would be to continue working in active practice to obtain
	the required 3,120 hours. The applicant can wait and earn more hours rather than retaking
	the NBEO exams.
	Mr. Bowman mentioned that some other boards have pathways to rejoin their profession.
	He mentioned Physical and Occupational Therapy Board have a pathway to allow licensees
	to join an internship under a licensed professional to earn hours to prove competency. He
	asked if the board would be interested in doing something like this in the future.
	asked if the bound would be interested in doing something like this in the jutate.

	Dr. Arndt is interested in hearing more about Mr. Bowman's suggestion but would like to		
	wait until everyone on the board is present at a future meeting. Dr. Rice agrees with Dr. Arndt.		
Action Items:	Ms. Carabajal will let the applicant know their options for pathways to licensure.		
7. Application/Requests Disc	ussion		
Brief Discussion:	 Ms. Carabajal let the board know the tabled Expanded Therapeutic Procedures (ETP) Request was denied by Dr. Steffes, who is not present for this meeting. She let the board know it was because the licensee requesting ETP had requested approval to perform injections, which does not require board approval to perform. The other issue was they didn't type in part of their request but wrote it on the side. Their request to perform ophthalmic procedures was not specific enough to what procedures they were requesting. Dr. Arndt said we can just ask the licensee to resubmit a more specific request and type in the handwritten procedures. Dr. Rice mentioned this has come up more than once where a licensee requests a procedure that does not need board approval. She suggested making an application for ETP Requests instead of making a written request. Dr. Arndt agrees on making a form with checkboxes and initials to check off which procedures they are requesting to perform. 		
	him know they do not have a specific list. The board discussed suggestions for creating a form for ETP requests in the future. Dr. Rice suggested updating the website for guidance on how and what can be requested for ETP. Dr. Rice is going to reach out to Dr. Steffes.		
Action Items:	Ms. Carabajal will reach out to bit steppes. Ms. Carabajal will reach out to the licensee and request they submit a new ETP request that is more specific and remove injections from the request, as it does not require board approval.		
8a. New Business: <u>Telehealth</u>	n Regulations		
Brief Discussion:	Ms. Carabajal explained to the board she had an out-of-state optometrist reach out via email asking for clarification on what the board means by "solely" in 12 AAC 48.370(b)(6) to which she asked Dr. Arndt for guidance. Dr. Arndt responded to Ms. Carabajal via email with what he believes the board means by "solely" but wanted to discuss it with the board. The optometrist planned to have doctors present for at least two weeks a month or every business day.		
	Dr. Rice mentioned our regulations do not specifically state that an optometrist needs to see someone in person before they can meet them via telehealth. She mentioned the regulations were intended to prevent this situation mentioned by the out-of-state optometrist.		
	Adding regulations to clarify (CO). Dr. Arndt mentioned presenting this when the whole board is present. Dr. Arndt will email Ashley some draft language to distribute to the members.		
	Dr. Arndt suggested updated 12 AAC 48.370(b)(6) to state "unless the patient is already established within the practice and seen in person within the last three years" or wording along those lines. He said the board will need to brainstorm on ways to make these		

	had received more than one email j the optometrist would not be allow The board wants optometrists to be Mr. Rudstrom agrees with Dr. Arnd the regulations. Dr. Arndt suggests	Inderstand. Ms. Carabajal let Dr. Arndt know that she for clarification on 12 AAC 48.370. Dr. Rice mentioned wed to dispense prescriptions via telehealth. e invested in the community and patients. It suggestion on changing 12 AAC 48.370 to better clarify waiting until a later meeting when more members are rabajal some draft language via email.
Action Items:		of-state optometrist with information from the board.
	Dr. Arndt will send draft language j Carabajal.	for updating 12 AAC 48.370 for better clarification to Ms.
8b. New Business: <u>Prescripti</u>	on Requirements	
Brief Discussion:	Dr. Arndt explains the issue with in became an issue and how to resolv	valid eye glass prescriptions and why they suddenly e it.
Motion:	optometrists that do not follow 12 the inter-pupillary distance (PD) on The board agreed to remove the PL optometrists. Dispensing opticians prescription eyeglasses on patients prescription. The board believes the Dr. Rice says it does not allow mone because you cannot measure the PL would allow monocular patients to Mr. Bowman explained the regulat Dr. Arndt asked if the board is allow valid even though it is required und because it is currently required und Mr. Bowman mentioned a member Questionnaire and the board staff of Motion to start a regulations project	ion project process to the board and how to start one. wed to let licensees know that RX's without a PD are still ler regulation. Mr. Bowman said it is best not to do that ler regulation. • of the board must complete the Project Opening will complete one as well to start the regulations project. ct to remove 12 AAC 48.920(a)(1)(C).
Deserved \/etas:	(First: Mr. Rudstrom; Second: Dr. A	-
Recorded Votes:	Dr. Rice – Y Dr. Steffes – Not Present	Dr. Arndt - Y Dr. Lentfer – Not Present
	Mr. Rudstrom - Y	
Action Items:	will complete the staff POQ and the Ms. Carabajal will send the POQ's c	Project Opening Questionnaire (POQ) and Ms. Carabajal e excerpt of the motion to open a regulations project. and excerpt of the meeting minutes to Ms. Stephanie art a new regulations project to remove 12 AAC

	The board went off the record at 10:13AM for a short break and went on the record at	
	10:22AM. Ms. Carabajal took a roll call, and a quorum was established to continue the	
	meeting.	
8c. New Business: <u>Regulatio</u>		
Brief Discussion:	After the short break, Mr. Bowman had a slight amendment to the motion previously	
	proposed in the "Prescription Requirements" section of the agenda.	
	Motion: move to amend the previous motion to add the project is approved to go to public	
	comment unless substantive changes are suggested by the Department of Law.	
	(First: Mr. Rudstrom; Second: Dr. Arndt) – the motion passed unanimously.	
	The board reviewed two regulatory suggestions submitted by an Alaska licensed	
	optometrist. The board agreed there was not enough information to thoroughly discuss	
	these suggestions and asked Ms. Carabajal to reach out to the licensee for more	
	information on why they were being suggested.	
Action Itoms		
Action Items:	Ms. Carabajal will reach out to the licensee and request more information regarding their	
	regulatory suggestions. This will be added to the next meeting agenda if more information	
	is submitted.	
	s Discussion from Previous Meeting	
Brief Discussion:	The board discussed updating advisory #4 on the board's website to clarify if the Injection	
	Skills Examination (ISE) through the NBEO would qualify an applicant by credentials to fulfill	
	the injections requirements. The advisory states something different than our website and	
	applications and the advisory is outdated and needs to be updated.	
	Dr. Rice mentioned removing "typically" from the second bullet point and Dr. Arndt agreed.	
	The board decided to remove the second bullet point stating "applicants who have	
	successfully passed the ISE portion of the NBEO Exam Part III will typically meet the	
	requirement of AS 08.72.140. Dr. Rice decided to leave "typically" in the first bullet point	
	instead.	
	Mr. Bowman pointed out the continuing education page on our website states the ISE could	
	not be used in lieu of the injections course. Ms. Carabajal pointed out that she had been	
	telling applicants that they need to take an injections course if applying by credentials and	
	cannot use the ISE. The continuing education page and advisory #4 contradict each other.	
	Dr. Rice is okay with removing the second bullet point and removing "typically" from the	
	first bullet point on advisory #4. Mr. Rudstrom and Dr. Arndt agree.	
Action Items:	Ms. Carabajal will work with the publications team to remove the second bullet point under	
	advisory #4 and remove "typically" from the first bullet point.	
8e. New Business: <u>ARBO An</u>	nual Report and Meeting	
Brief Discussion:	Ms. Carabajal let the board know that she had been putting together the ARBO Annual	
	Report that is presented at every ARBO Annual Meeting but needed help with one question:	
	"what are three regulatory issues of greatest concern to the board?"	
	Dr. Rice said questions or issues with new applicants. She stated recent changes with our	
	applications will help and updating our webpage to make it easier for applicants to follow.	
	Dr. Rice's second issue is telehealth regulations and people applying for licensure but	
	having no intention of working here but remotely via telehealth. The board will work on	
	regulations updates for telehealth.	

	The last issue is continuing education hours and receiving numerous questions regarding the requirements for renewal. Dr. Rice liked the previous wording ("live, not live") instead of "synchronous" and "asynchronous." Dr. Arndt agrees with Dr. Rice. Dr. Arndt pointed out that we discussed this at a previous meeting to make it clearer. Ms. Carabajal will complete the ARBO Annual Report and send it to ARBO to be presented
	at the annual meeting in June 2025.
	Ms. Carabajal asked the board if anyone was interested in going to the ARBO Annual
	meeting June 22-24, 2025, in Minneapolis, MN. Each member of the board is unavailable.
Action Items:	Ms. Carabajal will complete the annual report and submit it to ARBO to be presented at the
	annual meeting.
8f. New Business: <u>Division A</u>	nnual Report – Due June 30, 2025
Brief Discussion:	Ms. Carabajal wanted to let the board know the division's annual report is coming up and the board approved report is due June 30, 2025. A member of the board should be chosen to complete the division report.
	Ms. Carabajal showed the 2024 annual report as an example of what is asked for in the report this year. Mr. Bowman let the board know this report does not need to be extremely long and it is up to the board what they would like to put in the report.
	Ms. Carabajal let the board know she has the template ready to send to whoever chooses
	to complete it on behalf of the board. Dr. Arndt asked that Ms. Carabajal sends out an email to the board with a copy of the 2024 annual report and the 2025 template for the board to review and decide on who will be taking over the report this year.
	Before the board moves to the next agenda item, Mr. Bowman wanted to let the board know about board vacancies and to reach out to any optometrists to encourage them to apply for the board to fill Dr. Steffes' vacant spot. Dr. Rice knows of a couple who have already applied but have not heard back regarding their application. The board is continuing to look for an optometrist to fill a spot on the board.
Action Items:	Ms. Carabajal will send a copy of the 2024 Annual Report and the 2025 template for the board to review and decide on who will complete the report this year.

Next Meeting:	September 25, 2025 (Tentative) at 09:00 AM
Adjournment:	11:23 AM