1	
2	STATE OF ALASKA
3	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
4	DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
5	DIVISION OF CORE ORATIONS, BUSINESS AND FROI ESSIONAL LICENSING
6	REAL ESTATE COMMISSION
7	MEETING MINUTES
8	MEET ING MINOTES
9	December 16, 2020
10	December 10, 2020
11	By authority of AS 08.01.070(2), and in compliance with the provisions of AS 44.62, Article
12	6, a scheduled meeting of the Real Estate Commission was held December 16, 2020, at
13	the State of Alaska Atwood Building, 550 W. 7 th Avenue, Suite 1550, via Zoom,
14	Anchorage, Alaska.
15	Attoriorage, Ataoka.
16	
17	Wednesday, December 16, 2020
18	Agenda Item 1 - Call to Order
19	Chairperson PeggyAnn McConnochie called the meeting to order at 9:02 a.m., at which
20	time a quorum was established.
21	
22	Roll Call – 1(a)
23	Members Present via Zoom
24	PeggyAnn McConnochie, Broker, 1st Judicial District, Chairperson
25	Margaret Nelson, Broker, Broker at Large, Vice Chairperson
26	David Pruhs, Broker, 4 th Judicial District
27	Cheryl Markwood, Broker, Broker at Large
28	Jaime Matthews, Public Member
29	Jesse Sumner, Public Member
30	
31	<u>Unexcused Absent:</u>
32	Samuel Goldman, Broker, 3 rd Judicial District
33	
34	Staff Present:
35	Nancy Harris, Project Assistant
36	
37	Staff Present via Zoom:
38	Shyla Consalo, Executive Administrator
39	Autumn Miller, REC Investigator
40	Jared Famularo, Investigator – REC Probation Monitor
41	Erika Prieksat, Senior Investigator
42	Marilyn Zimmerman, Paralegal
43	
44	Guests Present via Zoom:
45	Errol Champion, Broker, Coldwell Banker Race Realty, Juneau
46	Kassandra Taggart, Broker, Real Property Management Last Frontier, Anchorage
47	Renae Miller, Broker, MARC Realty, Anchorage
48	Lonnie Logan, Broker, Realty One Group Aurora, Anchorage
49	Tammy Sweet, Salesperson, Fernandez Real Estate Group, Wasilla
50	Tara Van Orsdal, Salesperson, Denali Real Estate, Anchorage
51	Laura McMillon, Compliance Administrator, The CE Shop
52	Maude Morse, Alaska Housing Finance Corporation
53 54	Maria Celli, Alaska Housing Finance Corporation
54 55	Julie Burns, Public Member
55	Tim, Association Unknown

Real Estate Commission Meeting Minutes December 16, 2020 Page 2 of 17

Approval of Agenda – 1(b)

Commission Members reviewed the meeting agenda.

On a motion duly made by Ms. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Matthews, it was

RESOLVED to approve the meeting agenda for December 16, 2020.

All in favor; Motion passed.

Statements of Conflicts of Interest – 1(c)

There were no conflicts of interests.

Agenda Item 2 - Public Comments

There were no public comments.

Agenda Item 3 - Approval of Meeting Minutes

September 23, 2020 Meeting Minutes – 3(a)

On a motion duly made by Ms. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Pruhs, it was

RESOLVED to approve the September 23, 2020 meeting minutes.

All in favor; Motion passed.

Agenda Item 5 – Committee Reports

Property Management Committee – 5(a)

Ms. Taggart, Chair of the Property Management Committee, presented the Commission with best practices for property management contracts and disclosures, and would like it to be posted to the REC website for their consideration. Ms. Taggart explained that this best practice goes over what brokerages might want to consider within their handbook of how they handle management and lease contracts. It also lays out what licensees should consider when handling management and lease contracts. This best practice is part two of the Committee's three-part project. The next best practice the Committee will be working on is a best practice regarding security deposits, escrow deposits, and trust accounts.

Ms. McConnochie thanked Ms. Taggart for the report, and the excellent job the Committee is doing. She asked if Ms. Taggart would explain the thought process in creating the document prior to anyone asking questions.

Ms. Taggart explained that beginning last January, the Committee did a series of brainstorming exercises with everyone attending the Committee meetings (typically ranged from 7 – 20 people). They took into account common complaints filed and confusions/problems within the industry. The goal was to put together a tool that went over the common practices within the property management industry to help avoid confusions, complaints, and problems. And, instead of making one large best practice that covered these common practices, the Committee decided to break it up into three best practices under the following categories: reporting; contracts and disclosures; and security deposits, escrow deposits, and trust accounts. Once the categories were established, the

Real Estate Commission Meeting Minutes December 16, 2020 Page 3 of 17

108 Committee completed the brainstorming sessions in these segments and worked through each segment until an approved product was completed.

Ms. Nelson commended Ms. Taggart and the Committee on their efforts thus far, and stated they were doing a fantastic job.

On a motion duly made by Ms. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Matthews, it was

RESOLVED to adopt the Property Management Committee's best practices for property management contracts and disclosures to be published on the REC website.

All in favor; Motion passed.

Agenda Item 6 – Old Business

AREC Property Transfer Disclosure Form Re-Write – 6(a)

Mr. Pruhs stated he's not been able to get a meeting together with Ms. Markwood to discuss his progress on the document; however, he did obtain some additional information from Errol Champion that will be helpful in their efforts moving forward. Mr. Pruhs indicated he would be meeting with Ms. Markwood next week to wrap up his portion of the project.

Ms. McConnochie thanked Mr. Pruhs for the update, and requested they get their draft out to Ms. Consalo as soon as possible, so it can be distributed to all Commission members for review/input prior to the next meeting. The goal is to have something that can be discussed and considered at the next meeting.

Regulation Revisions Status Update – 6(b)

Ms. Consalo explained Mr. Maiquis was unable to attend the meeting to give his report due to being inundated with extra tasks as a result of losing several staff members in the Division. Ms. Consalo explained that Mr. Maiquis has also been very busy with processing new emergency regulations on several of the healthcare boards because of the new COVID guidance and emergency order extensions. Mr. Maiquis apologized that he has not been able to work on the Commission's regulation project, but stated he hopes to have our regulation project out for public comment by the next meeting.

<u>Disciplinary Matrix Review – 6(c)</u>

Ms. Consalo explained that Investigations provided her with an excel spreadsheet of case precedent for different types of real estate violations from January 2016 to August 2020. Within the program Investigations uses to record investigation cases, there are certain categories investigators have to classify the types of violations. Ms. Consalo used those categories to organize the flow of the matrix she drafted, which is why it looks different from the old matrix. Ms. Consalo explained that because of how previous investigators categorized violations, there were quite a few categories of violations that did not have case precedent, so the main purpose in reviewing this document is to go over those categories without precedent and determine what the Commission would like that precedent to look like for those violations, moving forward.

Ms. Nelson asked why the case precedent provided only went back to 2016. Ms. Consalo stated she's not sure, but assumes it's because Investigations felt that 2016 was far enough back to provide a solid reference base for case precedents. Ms. Nelson then commented that most of the Commission members have been involved a lot with these cases, and there was a case she worked on that did not have case precedent so a

Real Estate Commission Meeting Minutes December 16, 2020 Page 4 of 17

decision was made based on what she thought was the right thing to do. Ms. Nelson asked if that becomes the precedent and will the document be updated when they make decisions on cases where there's no precedent. Ms. Consalo explained that is why they are reviewing the document today, so that there will be a baseline for those cases in the future. Unfortunately, because there was not another report pulled between August 2020 – December 2020, any case precedent that may have been developed during that time will not be on this document, as drafted. However, this is part of why the document must be reviewed and approved by both investigations and Department of Law prior to bringing it before the Commission for adoption and use. Additionally, after speaking with Investigator Whaley regarding the categories of violations that did not have precedent, she suggested the best course of action would be for the Commission to discuss what they felt would be the best course of action for those types of violations and how to address them moving forward.

Ms. McConnochie asked Ms. Consalo to explain the process of getting this document approved, and how the decisions the Commission makes today is going to influence what happens tomorrow. Ms. Consalo explained that whatever decisions are made today will be updated and reflected in the matrix. It will then be submitted to Investigations for review, to ensure the recommendations are within known precedent then they will give the approval for the document to be sent to the Department of Law for review. If Investigations or the Department of Law have any changes or recommendations, the document will come back to Ms. Consalo, and she will present those changes to the Commission for discussion. This process will repeat itself until the document is approved by Investigations and the Department of Law. Once Ms. Consalo has those approvals, the document will be presented to the Commission for adoption and use.

Ms. Nelson commented that this document is a serious document and was not sure the Commission should act as a whole by going through each section and recommending disciplinary sanctions. She suggested the Commission create a Committee to do this, so a more comprehensive report can be provided to the Commission for adoption.

Ms. Markwood thanked Ms. Consalo for putting the document together, and stated it's going to be very useful once it's approved. As Commission members, any time they are asked to review an investigation, it's a serious matter. By having this document available to help in determining what the best approach on a case would be is incredibly important. Ms. Markwood agrees with Ms. Nelson that this is such a serious document that the Commission does need to look at this very carefully, but she recognizes that regardless of what the Commission decides, the document will need to go through Investigations and the Department of Law before anything is finalized. Investigations and Department of Law are going to be looking at this a lot more closely than they as Commissioners are, and she's going to hold their comments much weightier than what is decided today. By moving this to a Committee, the problem is that they, as Commissioners, are not allowed to meet with more than three members. So, this is the appropriate place to be discussing each of these line items. Ms. Markwood suggested the Commission review the matrix today so it can be moved forward in the process to get finalized and approved.

Ms. McConnochie asked for the input from the rest of the Commission members on this matter. Mr. Pruhs and Mr. Sumner agreed with moving forward with the review. Ms. Matthews stated that, as a public member, she didn't have the history and background on this document like the licensed Commission members did; however, she does think it's a lot of information to go through today and it might be beneficial to have a separate Committee review the document.

Real Estate Commission Meeting Minutes December 16, 2020 Page 5 of 17

Ms. McConnochie thanked everyone for their comments, and suggested they try to go through the document, and if at any point they feel it needs to be sent off to a Committee for review, then they'll address it at that time. Prior to reviewing the document, it was discussed and agreed by the Commission members that minor violations consisted of those violations that were technical in nature, and posed no direct threat or harm to the public. Minor violations also excluded any violations that involve a licensee's inability to practice safely.

221

222 223

225

226

227

Agenda Item 4 – Investigative Report

224 Probation Report – 4(a)

Erika Prieksat, Senior Investigator, presented the probation report to the Commission and introduced the new REC Probation Monitor, Jared Famularo. There are 2 licensees on probation, and 1 licensee released from probation since the last report. Everyone on probation is currently in compliance.

228229230

231232

Statistical Report – 4(b)

Autumn Miller, REC Investigator, presented the investigation statistics for the reporting period of September 10, 2020 through November 30, 2020. There are 36 open matters and 15 matters were closed from the last report.

233234235

236237

Ms. Nelson commended Ms. Miller for doing a good job on the investigations. She has worked with Ms. Miller on a couple of difficult cases recently, and has found her to be very professional. She does a great job dealing with some very difficult people, and Ms. Nelson really appreciates all the hard work. Ms. McConnochie echoed Ms. Nelson's gratitude.

238239240

CE Investigative Matters – 4(c)

242243244

241

RESOLVED to go into Executive Session in accordance with AS 44.62.310(c) for the purpose of discussing subjects that tend to prejudice the reputation and character of any person.

On a motion duly made by Ms. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Markwood, it was

245246247

All in favor; Motion passed.

248249250

The Commission went into Executive Session at 9:40 a.m.

251 252 On a motion duly made by Ms. Markwood, seconded by Ms. Matthews, it was

253

RESOLVED to come out of Executive Session.

254255

The Commission came out of Executive Session at 9:53 a.m.

256 257

On a motion duly made by Ms. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Markwood, it was

258259260

261

RESOLVED to approve the request for consideration in case #2020-000836.

262 263

Roll call vote: Ms. Markwood – no, Ms. Nelson – no, Mr. Pruhs – no, Ms. Matthews – no, Mr. Sumner – no, and Ms. McConnochie – no.

264 265 266

Motion failed.

	Real Estate Commission
	Meeting Minutes
	December 16, 2020
	Page 6 of 17
267	
268	The Commission denied the request for consideration in case #2020-000836.
269	On a markley disk, was de has Mar Nalago, as a souded has Markley and Markey
270 271	On a motion duly made by Ms. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Markwood, it was
271	RESOLVED to accept the Consent Agreement in case #2020-000912.
273	REGOLVED to adocpt the Gondent Agreement in dase #2020 000012.
274	Roll call vote: Ms. Markwood – yes, Ms. Nelson- yes, Mr. Pruhs – yes, Ms.
275	Matthews – yes, Mr. Sumner – yes, and Ms. McConnochie – yes.
276	
277	Motion passed.
278	The Commission accounted the Concept Agreement in accommon #2020 000042
279 280	The Commission accepted the Consent Agreement in case #2020-000912.
281	On a motion duly made by Ms. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Markwood, it was
282	on a motion adily made by mor noted in a coordinate by mor marking all that
283	RESOLVED to accept the Consent Agreement in case #2020-000913.
284	
285	Roll call vote: Ms. Markwood – yes, Ms. Nelson- yes, Mr. Pruhs – yes, Ms.
286	Matthews – yes, Mr. Sumner – recused, and Ms. McConnochie – yes.
287	Mation passed
288	Motion passed.

289 290

The Commission accepted the Consent Agreement in case #2020-000913.

291 292 293

Break at 10:00 a.m. Reconvened at 10:10 a.m.

294 295 296

297

298 299

300

301

Agenda Item 6 – Old Business

Disciplinary Matrix Review – 6(c)

The Commission went through each category individually to ensure there were no clarifications or questions on violations with case precedent, and to address/make recommendations on violations that did not have case precedent.

302 303

There were no questions re: the Advertising category.

304 305

306 307 On the Breach of Fiduciary Duty category, Ms. Consalo explained there was no case precedent for this category, so the Commission needed to determine an amount to recommend for the Imposition of Civil Fine, and the terms for the Consent Agreement.

308 309

On a motion duly made by Ms. Markwood, seconded by Mr. Pruhs, it was

310 311

312

RESOLVED to set the Imposition of Civil fine for Breach of Fiduciary Duty to "up to \$2,500".

313 314

All in favor; Motion passed.

315 316

On a motion duly made by Ms. Markwood, seconded by Mr. Pruhs, it was

317 318

319 **RESOLVED** to set the Consent Agreement terms for Breach of

Real Estate Commission Meeting Minutes December 16, 2020 Page 7 of 17 Fiduciary Duty to "up to a \$5,000 fine, up to 2 years of probation, and up to 9 hours of education". The limitations on practice will be taken out, and the suspension and reprimand will be left on as options for the reviewer to use at their discretion. All in favor; Motion passed. There were no questions re: the Continuing Education, Criminal Action – No Conviction, and Criminal Action - Conviction categories. On the Falsified Application category, Ms. Consalo explained there was no case precedent for this category for an Imposition of Civil Fine, so the Commission needed to determine an amount to recommend. On a motion duly made by Ms. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Markwood, it was **RESOLVED** to set the Imposition of Civil fine for Falsified Application to "up to \$2,500". All in favor; Motion passed. On the Financial Scam category, Ms. Consalo explained there was no case precedent for this category for Consent Agreement terms, so the Commission needed to determine what terms to recommend. On a motion duly made by Ms. Markwood, seconded by Mr. Pruhs, it was **RESOLVED** to set the Consent Agreement terms for Financial Scam to "up to a \$10,000 fine, up to 5 years of probation, and up to 20 hours of education". The limitations on practice will be taken out, and the suspension and reprimand will be left on as options for the reviewer to use at their discretion. All in favor; Motion passed. At 10:55, Mr. Pruhs requested to be excused from the meeting due to having to host a radio show, and would return after the lunch break. Ms. McConnochie excused Mr. Pruhs. On the Fraud or Misrepresentation category, Ms. Consalo explained there was no case precedent for this category, so the Commission needed to determine an amount to recommend for the Imposition of Civil Fine, and the terms for the Consent Agreement. On a motion duly made by Ms. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Markwood, it was **RESOLVED** to set the Imposition of Civil fine for Fraud or Misrepresentation to "up to \$2,500". All in favor; Motion passed. On a motion duly made by Ms. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Markwood, it was

320

321 322

323 324

325 326 327

328 329 330

331332

333 334

335336

337

338339

340 341

342

343

344345

346

347

348

349

350

351 352 353

354 355

356 357 358

359

360

361 362

363

364

365366

367368369370

371372

RESOLVED to set the Consent Agreement terms for Fraud or

Real Estate Commission Meeting Minutes December 16, 2020 Page 8 of 17

Misrepresentation to "up to a \$10,000 fine, up to 5 years of probation, and up to 20 hours of education". The limitations on practice will be taken out, and the suspension and reprimand will be left on as options for the reviewer to use at their discretion.

All in favor; Motion passed.

On the Probation Violation category, Ms. Consalo explained that the licensee will already be on a Consent Agreement if this violation occurs, so the options available are a little different than a normal violation. Ms. Consalo explained there was no case precedent for this category for additional Consent Agreement terms, so the Commission needed to determine what terms to recommend.

On a motion duly made by Ms. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Markwood, it was

RESOLVED to set the Consent Agreement terms for Probation Violation to "up to an additional \$2,500 fine". The suspension will be left on as an option for the reviewer to use at their discretion, and the rest of the terms will be taken out.

All in favor; Motion passed.

On the Substance Abuse category, Ms. Consalo explained this violation was not very common in the real estate industry, and is also different with regard to the recommendations available and typical for a Consent Agreement for this type of violation. Ms. Consalo explained there was no case precedent for this category, so the Commission needed to determine an amount to recommend for the Imposition of Civil Fine, and the terms for the Consent Agreement.

On a motion duly made by Ms. Markwood, seconded by Ms. Nelson, it was

RESOLVED to set the Imposition of Civil fine for Substance Abuse to "up to \$2,500".

Commission members discussed the motion on the table.

On a motion duly made by Mr. Sumner, seconded by Ms. Markwood, it was

RESOLVED to amend the main motion and set the Imposition of Civil fine for Substance Abuse to "up to \$1,500".

Motion failed. The original motion on the table passed.

On a motion duly made by Ms. Markwood, seconded by Ms. Nelson, it was

RESOLVED to set the Consent Agreement terms for Substance Abuse to "up to a \$5,000 fine and up to 18 months of probation". The education will be taken out, and the remaining recommendations will be left on as options for the reviewer to use at their discretion.

All in favor; Motion passed.

Real Estate Commission Meeting Minutes December 16, 2020 Page 9 of 17 On the Supervision category. Ms. Consalo explained there was no case precedent for this amount to recommend. \$2,500". All in favor; Motion passed.

category for an Imposition of Civil Fine, so the Commission needed to determine an

On a motion duly made by Ms. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Markwood, it was

RESOLVED to set the Imposition of Civil fine for Supervision to "up to

On the Trust Account category, Ms. Consalo explained there was no first or minor offense for this category because trust account violations are serious matters, and should be treated as such - the Commission agreed. Ms. Consalo explained there was no case precedent for this category for Consent Agreement terms, so the Commission needed to determine what terms to recommend.

On a motion duly made by Ms. Markwood, seconded by Ms. Nelson, it was

RESOLVED to set the Consent Agreement terms for Trust Account to "up to a \$5,000 fine, up to 2 years of probation, and up to 12 hours of education". The remaining recommendations will be left on as options for the reviewer to use at their discretion.

Commission members discussed the motion on the table.

On a motion duly made by Mr. Sumner, seconded by Ms. Markwood, it was

RESOLVED to amend the main motion and set the fine for Trust Account to "up to \$50,000". The remaining recommendations in the main motion to stay the same.

All in favor; Motion passed.

On the second Unlicensed Practice category, Ms. Consalo explained there was no case precedent for this category for an Imposition of Civil Fine, so the Commission needed to determine an amount to recommend.

On a motion duly made by Ms. Matthews, seconded by Ms. Markwood, it was

RESOLVED to set the Imposition of Civil fine for Unlicensed Practice to "up to \$2,500".

All in favor; Motion passed.

Ms. Markwood commented that she would like to recommend a change to the fine amount for the current case precedent for Unlicensed Practice. Because the Commission would like to set the fine amount for the first or minor offense to \$2,500, she would like to recommend that the fine amount for any subsequent or serious offense be \$5,000.

On a motion duly made by Ms. Matthews, seconded by Ms. Markwood, it was

RESOLVED to change the fine amount in the Consent Agreement for a

436 437

438

439

440

441 442

426

427 428

429 430

431 432

433

434 435

443 444 445

446 447

448 449

450

451 452 453

455 456

454

457 458 459

460

461 462 463

464 465 466

467 468 469

> 470 471 472

474 475 476

473

Real Estate Commission Meeting Minutes December 16, 2020 Page 10 of 17

second or serious offense for Unlicensed Practice to "up to \$5,000".

All in favor; Motion passed.

On the Violation of Licensing Regulation category, Ms. Consalo explained there was no case precedent for this category for an Imposition of Civil Fine, so the Commission needed to determine an amount to recommend.

On a motion duly made by Ms. Markwood, seconded by Ms. Matthews, it was

RESOLVED to set the Imposition of Civil fine for Violation of Licensing Regulation to "up to \$2,500".

All in favor; Motion passed.

 Break at 11:28 a.m. Reconvened at 11:34

2020 AREC Strategic Plan Review – 6(d)

Ms. McConnochie congratulated the Commission members and staff for all the hard work they've done over the last 9 months in completing items from the Strategic Plan that was created in March. It has been a huge accomplishment to get as far as we have, and it could not have been done without everyone's collaborative efforts.

Ms. McConnochie stated she would be going through each of the Strategic Plan's tasks to help identify what tasks the Commission should be looking to start, and go over what tasks have been completed to date. Ms. McConnochie started with the first task: "With agreement of the appropriate departments, upload informational videos done by appropriate personnel on: 1) how to file a complaint against a licensee and its process; and 2) if a complaint is filed against a license what the process is for a license. Additionally, provide best practice 'white papers' on various topics as approved by the Commission for placement on our website." Ms. Consalo provided a status update on this task by informing the Commission that her time between the last meeting and this meeting was primarily consumed with the drafting of the disciplinary matrix. Unfortunately, that was not a task included on the Strategic Plan, but it was a matter identified by the Commission on several occasions that warranted attention. Ms. Consalo stated she was recently able to start working on the script for the video. The slides are already done, she just needs to finish the script and record over the slides once the script is done. Ms. Consalo indicated her goal was to have a draft video to the Chief Investigator for review by the next meeting.

Ms. McConnochie moved to the second task: "Provide the Commission for consideration, what they should have jurisdiction over in property management; i.e. financial responsibilities of the property owner or property manager. Then, provide next steps should the Commission agree to move forward with recommendations. And, provide a list of property management FAQs (frequently asked questions) for both licensed property managers and property owners, to be approved by the Commission then placed on our website." Ms. McConnochie stated this task continues to be a work in progress, but the Property Management Committee has done a phenomenal job in chipping away at this, and has already provided several valuable best practice documents that have been posted on the website.

Real Estate Commission Meeting Minutes December 16, 2020 Page 11 of 17

532 Ms. McConnochie moved to the third task: "Refine and rework current processes, to 533

- increase the speed of actions to keep outside licensees and/or non-licensees from
- 534 transacting business for which an Alaska real estate license or broker's license is required.
- 535 Then, put this information on the Commission website and make sure it is easily
- 536 accessible." Ms. Nelson stated this one has been on her mind, but unfortunately, she
- hasn't been able to put anything together and requested this task be added to the 537

538 Strategic Plan for 2021.

539 540

541

542

543

544

545

546

Ms. McConnochie moved to the fourth task: "Define minimum standards, based on what other jurisdictions have successfully implemented, for team advertising (i.e. the requirement to include brokerage information, minimum size etc)." Ms. McConnochie stated this task is currently be worked on, and Ms. Nelson has created a best practice document that will be discussed later in the meeting. This will definitely be another task that will need to be carried over to the 2021 Strategic Plan, and may result in the Commission taking a look at and discussing possible regulation changes regarding advertising.

547 548 549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559 560

Ms. McConnochie moved to the fifth task, which consists of five separate sub-tasks: "Assist staff to clear up the following issues:

- How "inactive status" is logged/started and the McConnochie & Staff notification to the affected licensee
- How required education, for those who apply for license by endorsement, is defined and verified
- Provided a military spouse has a current license in good standing in another state, streamline the process when they apply for an Alaska real estate license
- Change how the Commission receives and disseminates license history; i.e. paper versus digital, or some other process
- Define and simplify the criteria for getting or upgrading an Alaska real estate license; i.e. referring to criminal history and what specifically constitutes a valid reason a license will not be given/upgraded and the timing since conviction or completion of sentence"

561 562 563

564

565

566

567 568

569

570

571 572

573

574

Ms. McConnochie noted that Commission has completed two of these five sub-tasks, and thanked Ms. Consalo and Ms. Harris for all the hard work they've been doing in helping to complete these items. The two sub-tasks that have been completed are the "streamlining the licensing process for military spouses", and the "changing how the Commission receives and disseminates license history" tasks. Ms. McConnochie asked Ms. Consalo to explain a little more about the streamlined licensing process for military members and their spouses. Ms. Consalo stated the Division has an expedited request for military members and their spouses to submit with their application, so it places their application at the top of the list to process. For real estate license applications, this request isn't necessary because the real estate inbox is caught up, and we are able to process license applications very quickly now. That tool is still available to them if they wish to use it, but it isn't necessarily needed for real estate applications, as there is no current delay in processing times.

575 576 577

578 579

580

581 582

583 584

Ms. McConnochie then asked Ms. Consalo to give a brief explanation on how the Division has been operating under COVID restrictions to give licensees and the public an idea of the difficult circumstances we've been working though, and how that has affected processing times. Ms. Consalo explained that for the most part, staff has been working or able to work a part-time telecommute schedule, and Ms. Harris has been able to be in the office full time since June. However, with the current increased rate of infections occurring, staff has been directed to go to a full-time telecommute schedule, if possible. Ms. Consalo indicated she was able to obtain approval for Ms. Harris to work a part-time telecommute

Real Estate Commission Meeting Minutes December 16, 2020 Page 12 of 17

schedule and be in the office three days a week to ensure licenses were being mailed out and phone calls/messages were being answered. With regard to application processing, Ms. Consalo explained that the applications go through the admin team first. They process the payments, date stamp the documents, and scan the documents into our database, which is where staff is able to see and process the documents. That process can typically take anywhere from three to five days before staff can see it in the system. Once it hits the system, Ms. Consalo indicated she is typically able to turn things around in a day or two, depending on what's going on that week. Occasionally, there are delays on the admin side of things that are out of Commission staff control that could cause processing times to be a little longer; however, those situations are uncommon and processing times are still less than 2 weeks. Ms. McConnochie commended Ms. Consalo and Ms. Harris for the amazing job they are doing in ensuring everything is processed as quickly as possible, even during these difficult and unusual circumstances.

Ms. McConnochie moved to the sixth task: "Review all regulations and propose one major regulation change (clean up) which will bring regulations into the 21st century." The Commission has done its job with this task, and it is now in the hands of the Regulation Specialist for finalization and public comment. Mr. Maiquis' goal is to have this done by the next meeting.

Ms. McConnochie commended the Commission for the remarkable amount that has been completed thus far. Ms. McConnochie suggested that Commission members start thinking about goals they'd like to accomplish over the next year, so they can be brought for discussion and consideration at the next meeting. At the March meeting, the Commission should take a look at what was reviewed today, combined with any additional goals, to help create the Strategic Plan for 2021.

Break for lunch at 11:49 a.m. Reconvened at 1:00 p.m.

Agenda Item 8 – Division Update

REC Revenues and Expenditures Report – 8(a)

Sharon Walsh, Deputy Director of the Division of Corporations, Business, and Professional Licensing, presented the Division Update.

Ms. Walsh presented the report for the fourth quarter of 2020, ending June 30, 2020 and the first quarter of 2021, ending September 30, 2020. For the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2020, she stated the current revenue was \$618,451. Expenditures totals were as follows: There was non-investigative expenditures of \$87,702 and investigative expenditures of \$98.664, for a total of \$186,366 in direct expenditures. There were indirect expenditures of \$167,178 (this includes internal administration, department, and statewide costs), leaving the total expenditures at \$353,544. There was a total surplus of \$1,047,062.

For the first quarter of fiscal year 2021, she stated the current revenue was \$69,005. Expenditures totals were as follows: There was non-investigative expenditures of \$22,038 and investigative expenditures of \$20,443, for a total of \$42,481 in direct expenditures. There were indirect expenditures of \$41,795 (this includes internal administration, department, and statewide costs), leaving the total expenditures at \$84,276. There was a total surplus of \$1,031,791.

Real Estate Commission Meeting Minutes December 16, 2020 Page 13 of 17

638 Agenda Item 7 – New Business

639 Advertising FAQ & Discussion Re: Possible Advertising Regulation Changes Project – 7(a) 640 & 7(b)

Ms. Nelson stated she did a review of the Commission's current regulations regarding advertising, reviewed 35 other state policies and regulations regarding advertising, and put together a full proposal on advertising regulations to review. However, for now, she put together a best practice document to help educate and remind licensees on current advertising rules. There have been a lot of complaints and calls coming in regarding advertising issues, and this will hopefully help both licensees and the general public understand what is required. Md. Nelson also stated she was going to work on a booklet containing frequently asked questions about advertising.

The Commission discussed a few of changes/adjustments to be made to the best practice document for better clarity. The following changes were recommended: Add additional regulation references; re-configure paragraph #1 to make it clear that a brokerage can have a home office, as long as they meet the applicable registration and signage requirements; state "any and all social media platforms"; change "broker's name" to "brokerage/brokerage name"; change "is" to "may" before the statement regarding grounds for revocation or suspension.

On a motion duly made by Ms. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Markwood, it was

RESOLVED to adopt the Advertising best practices, as amended, to be published on the REC website.

All in favor; Motion passed.

Ms. McConnochie thanked Margaret for all the hard work she's done on this document, and the regulation changes that will be put up for discussion at a future meeting.

Election of Vice Chair & Education Liaison – 7(c)

Ms. McConnochie asked if there was anyone who would like to nominate a Commission member for the Education Liaison. She explained the job of the Education Liaison is to help Ms. Harris if she runs into an issue with a course she is looking to recommend approval or denial. Ms. McConnochie explained a real estate license is not required for this position, and Ms. Harris does not reach out with issues often, so it does not require a lot of extra time. Mr. Sumner was nominated for the Education Liaison. There were no further nominations.

On a motion duly made by Mr. Sumner, seconded by Ms. Markwood, it was

RESOLVED that Mr. Sumner would be the Education Liaison for the Commission for 2021.

All in favor; Motion passed.

Ms. McConnochie asked if there was anyone who would like to nominate a Commission member for the Vice Chair. Ms. Markwood was nominated for Vice Chair. There were no further nominations.

Real Estate Commission Meeting Minutes December 16, 2020 Page 14 of 17

On a motion duly made by Ms. Nelson, seconded by Ms. Matthews, it was

RESOLVED that Ms. Markwood would be the Vie Chair for the Commission for 2021.

All in favor; Motion passed.

<u>2021 Meeting Dates – 7(d)</u>

Ms. McConnochie asked everyone to pull up their calendars for 2021, so they could decide which dates would work best for the next year of Commission meetings. All Commission members agreed to the following meeting dates for 2021:

- March 17th
- June 16th
- September 15th
- December 15th

 Ms. Consalo then gave a quick explanation and reminder of the attendance policy for meetings, and the importance behind this policy. She explained Commission members must notify her at least 30 days in advance if they will not be able to attend a meeting for a non-emergency reason, and it will be up to the Commission Chair if that absence is excused or not.

Agenda Item 9 – Executive Administrator's Report

Education Statistics – 9(a)

Ms. Harris presented the education report for December 16, 2020. She reported that as of December 7, 2020, there were 13 pre-licensing courses; 4 broker upgrade courses; 319 elective courses; 36 designated courses; and 71 post-licensing courses approved, with a total of 443 courses approved. Ms. Harris stated there are currently 67 approved instructors.

Licensing Statistics – 9(b)

Ms. Consalo presented the licensing report for December 16, 2020. She reported that as of December 8, 2020, there were 2,796 active licensees; 25 inactive licensees; 378 lapsed licenses with 7 of those for non-compliance of PLE; there were 296 transfers; 51 licensees who completed their PLE requirements; 4 license upgrades from salesperson to associate broker; 1 license upgrades from salesperson to broker; and 2 licensees on probation.

Current Office Procedures – 9(c)

Ms. Consalo covered most of this while going over the progress on the 2020 Strategic Plan, and reiterated the most recent change within the Division regarding physically working in the office, and what the change looked like for Commission staff. Ms. Consalo will be working from home 100%, and Nancy will be working from home part-time – she will physically be in the office on Wednesdays – Fridays until further notice.

RISC E&O Claims Report – 9(d)

- 738 Ms. Consalo presented the report for RISC E&O claims for their third quarter (Jul Sept).
- 739 Total closed claims were \$3,000, and the total open claims were \$16,000, for a total of
- \$19,000 in claims for the third quarter. Two of these claims were the same claims/cases
- reported in the second quarter report, so there was one new claim opened in the third
- 742 quarter.

Real Estate Commission Meeting Minutes December 16, 2020 Page 15 of 17

Recovery Fund Balance Report – 9(e)

The Commission reviewed the Recovery Fund Balance Report as presented. Ms. Consalo presented the Recovery Fund Report for the fourth quarter, ending September 30, 2020. The Commission received a total of \$18,395 for license fees processed between July and September, leaving the current total fund balance at \$327,850. The projected balance for the end of the fiscal year is \$211,011 with an average 2-year licensing cycle fund balance of \$269,431.

Application Matters – 9(f)

On a motion duly made by Ms. Markwood, seconded by Ms. Matthews, it was

RESOLVED to go into Executive Session in accordance with AS 44.62.310(c) for the purpose of discussing subjects that tend to prejudice the reputation and character of any person.

All in favor; Motion passed.

The Commission went into Executive Session at 2:00 p.m.

On a motion duly made by Ms. Markwood, seconded by Ms. Matthews, it was

RESOLVED to come out of Executive Session.

The Commission came out of Executive Session at 2:36 p.m.

On a motion duly made by Ms. Markwood, seconded by Ms. Matthews, it was

RESOLVED to approve the license application for Julie Burns, license #166982.

Roll call vote: Ms. Markwood – no, Ms. Nelson – no, Mr. Pruhs – no, Ms. Matthews – no, Mr. Sumner – no, and Ms. McConnochie – recused.

Motion failed.

The Commission denied the license application for Julie Burns, license #166982.

On a motion duly made by Ms. Markwood, seconded by Ms. Matthews, it was

RESOLVED to approve the license application for Alicia Hinzman, license #168456.

Roll call vote: Ms. Markwood – yes, Ms. Nelson- yes, Mr. Pruhs – yes, Ms. Matthews – yes, Mr. Sumner – yes, and Ms. McConnochie – yes.

Motion passed.

The Commission approved the license application for Alicia Hinzman, license #168456.

At 2:41 p.m., Mr. Pruhs lost his internet connection, and was unable to return to the meeting.

Real Estate Commission Meeting Minutes December 16, 2020 Page 16 of 17

Agenda Item 10 - Commission Member Comments & Questions

Ms. Nelson stated she's been very busy lately working with the estate of Paul Moore, who unexpectedly passed away in August. He was the broker of Distinctive Properties. Ms. Nelson urged anybody who a broker to make sure they have a plan in place should they suddenly not be with us. It has been a horrible and frustrating process for the family. Passwords weren't left, access to trust accounts weren't given, and it's been very difficult. Ms. Consalo has been working with the family as well, and Ms. Nelson expressed her appreciation. Ms. Nelson wished everyone a very Merry Christmas, and is looking forward to the new year.

Ms. Markwood stated this year has been very challenging, but she's proud to have served on the Commission with everyone. She commended Ms. Taggart for her hard work on the Property Management Committee. Keeping everyone on track and steering that particular project forward has been really challenging, and she's very proud of the work Ms. Taggart has done. She commended Ms. Nelson's work on the advertising topic – it has been extremely helpful. She also wanted to note that this was Mr. Pruhs last Commission meeting, and she's been grateful to have him as both a mentor and team member on the Commission. She thanked him for all of his hard work for the real estate industry over the last few years, and she's looking forward to 2021 with everyone and all of the continued work the Commission will do. She also reiterated Ms. Nelson's sentiments regarding brokerages. She suggested putting together a best practice regarding the issue because, she also lost somebody this year that owned a property management company that did association management. When she passed away, it was very, very traumatic for everybody; and trying to get security deposits, lease agreements, etc. was very difficult. Ms. Markwood wished everybody a very Merry Christmas.

Ms. Matthews started with a question regarding the current status of timelines/delays with titles and recordings in transactions, as she has received several questions about this. Ms. McConnochie stated she would jump in with her response, but encouraged the other Commission members to add to her response if they had additional information. She explained that COVID has caused a horrible situation. They are experiencing delays with recording, getting titles and appraisals done all over the State. It's very difficult because there are people trying to get brand new loans with these low interest rates, and people trying to refinance at the same time. Ms. McConnochie doesn't know what can be done to speed it up, but it's an unfortunate circumstance that everyone is having to deal with right now until the COVID situation goes away. Ms. Matthews thanked Ms. McConnochie for her input. She thanked Mr. Pruhs for his time on the Commission, and Ms. Consalo for her comments during the meetings and the tremendous work she does in putting together the meeting packets for everyone. She thanked Ms. McConnochie for her leadership, and the great job she does running an efficient meeting. She thanked Ms. Nelson for all the time she's dedicated to the advertising best practice and future regulation changes. Ms. Matthews wished everyone a Merry Christmas, and appreciates being a part of the Commission.

Mr. Sumner wished everyone a Merry Christmas, and stated Mr. Pruhs will be missed.

Ms. McConnochie informed everyone that she was term limited out, so this was also going to be her last meeting as a Commission member. First, she thanked Ms. Harris for the amazing job she did when she had to stand in until the Commission got a new Executive Administrator. Second, she thanked Ms. Consalo for the amazing amount of work she's done to step everything up, and to get changes made to things that have been needing changed for a while. Getting the website fixed, the disciplinary matrix drafted, and

Real Estate Commission Meeting Minutes December 16, 2020 Page 17 of 17

significantly reducing application processing times - nobody could have done it without her, and she really appreciates the hard work and diligence. Ms. Harris and Ms. Consalo do the work of four people with two, and the progress over the last year has been amazing. Ms. McConnochie expressed appreciation to Mr. Sumner for his comments and insights. It's been extremely wonderful to have him on the Commission. Keep up the good work, and keep opening up and expressing how he feels about things. Ms. McConnochie thanked Ms. Matthews for being such an involved member of the public. She expressed Ms. Matthews has been one of the best public members the Commission has ever had. She's stepped up and learned, and is speaking up and asking questions that need to be asked from a public perspective. That brings something to the Commission that they haven't had in a while. Ms. McConnochie thanked Ms. Markwood for the phenomenal job she's doing, and for bringing the property management perspective in. This is something the Commission has needed for a very long time because property management is different than sales; and to be able to have her perspective on the Commission has been extremely helpful. Ms. McConnochie thanked Ms. Nelson for the incredible amount of work she's done on the Commission thus far. She's taken the rocks that have been put before the Commission and has dealt with them all with grace. In closing, Ms. McConnochie stated she has no doubt the next Commission starting in 2021 will be as good, if not better, than what the Commission is today. She can't wait to watch the Commission on the other side, and is looking forward to seeing everyone in 2021. If there are any questions going into 2021, reach out to her and she'd be more than happy to give her opinion. Ms. McConnochie wished everyone a Merry Christmas.

870 871 872

873

874 875

849

850 851

852 853

854 855

856

857 858

859

860 861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

Agenda Item 11 – Adjournment

On a motion duly made by Ms. Nelson, seconded by Mr. Sumner, it was

RESOLVED to adjourn.

876 877 878

All in favor; Motion passed.

879 880

881

Meeting adjourned at 2:53 p.m.

882 883 The next meeting will be held March 17, 2021 in Anchorage.

884 885 886

Prepared and submitted by: Real Estate Commission Staff

887 888 889

Approved:

890 891 892

Cheryl Markwood Chervl Markwood REC Chairperson

893 894

Alaska Real Estate Commission