1	STATE OF ALASKA
2	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
3	DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
4	
5	ALASKA STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY EXAMINERS
6	MINUTES OF MEETING
7	OCTOBER 12, 2018
8	
9	By authority of AS 08.01.080(2) and in compliance with the provision of AS 44.62, Article 6, a scheduled
10	meeting of the Board of Veterinary Examiners was held on October 12, 2018,
11	at 550 West 7 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 1500, Anchorage, AK.
12 13	Agenda Item 1 - Call Meeting to Order
14	
15	Dr. Hagee called the meeting to order on Friday, October 12, 2018, for the Board of Veterinary
16	Examiners at 9:15 a.m. This meeting was public noticed on September 14, 2018, on the Alaska Public
17	Notice system and in the Anchorage Dispatch News.
18	
19	Present, constituting a quorum of the board were:
20	James Hagee, DVM, Chairman, Trapper Creek, AK
21	Hal Geiger, PhD, Secretary, Public Member, Juneau, AK
22	Tamara Rose, DVM, Fairbanks, AK
23	Rachel Berngartt, DVM, Juneau, AK
24	Chris Michetti, DVM, Anchorage, AK
25	
26	Present from the Division of Corporations, Business and Professional Licensing:
27	Sara Chambers, Deputy Director
28	Jeanette Akers, Investigator III
29	Patricia Lonergan, Licensing Examiner
30 31	Aganda Itam 2 Annyaya Driay Maating Minutas
32	Agenda Item 2 – Approve Prior Meeting Minutes
33	Dr. Hagee asked if everyone had an opportunity to review the meeting minutes from the May 11, 2018
34	meeting. Dr. Geiger advised that titles used within the meeting minutes were not consistent and should
35	be amended. The board had no other revisions to the meeting minutes.
36	g
37	Deviated to Agenda Item 4 - Ethics
38	
39	Dr. Berngartt and Dr. Geiger signed the Ethics Disclosure Form as the American Association of Veterinary
40	State Boards paid for Airfare, Lodging, Meals, Ground Transportation and Parking for both Dr. Berngartt
41	and Dr. Geiger to attend the annual meeting. Dr. Hagee approved the disclosures as the Ethics
42	Supervisor. Dr. Hagee reminded all board members that if they are contacted by licensees regarding any
43	concerns the licensees may have, board members need to refer the licensees to the licensing examiner.
44	
45	Agenda Item 3 – Review and Approve Agenda
46	
47	The board reviewed and made no changes to the agenda.

# <u>Agenda Item 5 – Correspondence</u>

The board reviewed a request from a licensee for board consideration under 12 AAC 68.047(f)(1) for an extension to complete continuing education this renewal cycle.

On a motion duly made by Dr. Tamara Rose, seconded by Dr. Hal Geiger and approved unanimously it was:

Resolved to approve per 12 AAC 68.047(f)(1) that Dr. James Leach has until conclusion of the NWRA seminar in St. Louis, March 5-9, 2019 to complete required continuing education credits.

Board Member	Yea	Nay	Recuse
Dr. Hal Geiger	X		
Dr. Rachel Berngartt	Х		
Dr. Chris Michetti	X		
Dr. Tamara Rose	X		
Dr. James Hagee	X		

# Agenda Item 6 – Investigative Report

Investigator Jeanette Akers joined the meeting advising the board that currently there are 5 open cases, two cases have been closed, and one licensee is in compliance and in good standing on probation. The board reviewed the probation process with Investigator Akers.

## Agenda Item 7 – Budget Report

Staff advised that 4<sup>th</sup> quarter financials are not yet available.

# Agenda Item 8 – Old Business/Tasks

 The board reviewed and discussed the letter to the governor that Dr. Hagee drafted as the Chairman of the Board of Veterinary Examiners and the letter that Dr. Hagee drafted as a private individual. The board resolved to send the original letter they previously approved to the governor and to the legislature. The board also discussed sending a letter to the Board of Pharmacy.

On a motion duly made by Dr. Geiger, seconded by Dr. Michetti, and approved unanimously it was:

Resolved to move forward with the draft letter as currently written to the governor with the board concerns regarding the PDMP, to submit it to staff for possible revisions, the final letter to be on board letterhead and to send the letter to the governor and legislature within two weeks.

Board Member	Yea	Nay	Recuse
Dr. Hal Geiger	X		

Dr. Rachel Berngartt	X	
Dr. Chris Michetti	Х	
Dr. Tamara Rose	Х	
Dr. James Hagee	X	

89

On a motion duly made by Dr. Berngartt, seconded by Dr. Michetti and approved unanimously it was:

95

Resolved to send the Board of Pharmacy a letter explaining the Board of Veterinary Examiners concerns of our profession regarding the PDMP along with copy of the board's letter to the governor. Dr. Berngartt will draft the letter and send it to staff. This letter to the Board of Pharmacy should be sent within two weeks of the letter to the governor.

Board Member	Yea	Nay	Recuse
Dr. Hal Geiger	Х		
Dr. Rachel Berngartt	Х		
Dr. Chris Michetti	X		
Dr. Tamara Rose	Х		
Dr. James Hagee	Χ		

96 97

98

99

100101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

The board reviewed the public comment from the fee increase and the email from Director McCullough included in the board packet. The board acknowledged that they were not against any type of fee increase, they were in favor of a much more modest increase, and wanted to protect Veterinary Technicians and newly graduated incoming Veterinarians to the extent possible. Dr. Berngartt shared with the board that she had a conversation with Sher Zinn, Regulations Specialist II, regarding what happens with the public comments that are received. Dr. Berngartt advised that public comment is considered, not necessarily acted upon. Dr. Berngartt clarified that the board wanted to help out newly graduated Veterinarians who were financially disadvantaged after graduating from college, not necessarily, all new Alaska Veterinarians, and it does not appear that was parsed out in the Director's recommendations. Chairman Hagee raised concern that the Department does not realize they are spending licensee money without the consent of the licensees, and appears to be continuously raising fees without any fiscal saving or cutbacks. Dr. Geiger commented that actually, the spreadsheet they were provided in the last board meeting showed that fees had been decreased multiple times, until they were lowered too much. Dr. Geiger reminded the board that is not the role of the Board of Veterinary Examiners to determine how the executive branch should be run. The board should be communicating comments in a helpful manor to the Director, and should provide constructive comments about the fees. Dr. Michetti suggested that in the future the board can recognize that fees need to be addressed, provide a more detailed recommendation, while acknowledging their hope that the department is being fiscally responsible. The board also agreed they should encourage licensees contact the licensing examiner and their legislative representatives, should they be asked about licensing fees.

116117118

119

120

121

122

The board discussed Veterinary Facility Definition and Veterinary Manager and reviewed the AAVSB Practice Act Mode. Dr. Geiger reviewed that 12 AAC 68.910(a) states a licensed veterinarian or veterinary medical facility shall maintain an individual record on every client or patient consultation. However, no definition is provided for what a medical facility is and without a definition for what a medical facility is, regulatory oversite is not possible. Over the last several meetings the board realized

that one specific veterinarian is not necessarily assuming control over the records, there is a notion of a senior veterinarian, or a veterinarian manager within the facility that is responsible for the records. A visiting veterinarian performing relief work does not take all the medical records with them for the animals they have seen once they leave. There is a perception that the veterinarian, who we license, keeps the records, but in actual practice it is the facility where the services are performed that maintains the records. The board would like to update regulations to reflect how the business of the practice is actually operating. The board recognizes that there is usually a senior veterinarian with some ties to a legitimate veterinary facility and that is the person who should be responsible for the records. Dr. Berngartt provided the example of a veterinarian who is working at a shelter with the shelter software, they do not take those records with them when they leave, the shelter keeps the records and currently we do not have the ability to regulate the shelter facility. The board does see a need to ensure that the facility keeps the patient records and be able to provide those copies to owners of the patient. The board briefly discussed registering veterinarian medical facilities, as most other states require that veterinary medical facilities be registered, so it's not just having a business license, but also having a facility permit. The board believes there should be a regulation defining what a Veterinary Facility is and defining the responsibilities of a Veterinary Manager of a facility. This will resolve a long standing inconsistency within current regulations and provides for public protection.

139 140 141

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136137

138

On a motion duly made by Dr. Berngartt, seconded by Dr. Michetti and approved unanimously it was:

142143144

Resolved to start the process of regulation of Veterinary Facilities and Veterinary Manager based on the guidelines within the AAVSB Practice Act Model.

145146147

Veterinary Facility means any building, place or mobile unit from which the practice of Veterinary Medicine and Veterinary Technology is conducted.

148149150

Veterinary Manager is a Veterinarian who registers to assume responsibility for the Veterinary Facility registration, management and operation of a Veterinary Facility.

151

Board Member	Yea	Nay	Recuse
Dr. Hal Geiger	X		
Dr. Rachel Berngartt	X		
Dr. Chris Michetti	X		
Dr. Tamara Rose	X		
Dr. James Hagee	X		

152153

154155

156

157

158

The board completed the regulation change questionnaire indicating questions they need clarification on from the regulations specialist. The purpose of the board in starting this regulation process is to put safeguards in place to ensure the minimum amount of administrative processes and no fee or minimal fee be put in place to accomplish the goal ensuring clients can obtain their pet medical records and that facilities have standards that are equivalent throughout the profession. The board wants to ensure that if someone has their animal spayed at the fire hall during a spay/neuter clinic, they have access to those records after the event has ended.

159 160

161

## Agenda Item 9 - Veterinary-Client-Patient Relationship

The board reviewed the Veterinarian-Client-Patient Relationship within the AAVSB Practice Act model and has had time to contemplate the previous comments from Dr. Gerlach and Dr. Michael Murphy presented to the board at the May 11, 2018 board meeting.

On a motion duly made by Dr. Berngartt, seconded by Dr. Michetti and approved unanimously it was:

Resolved to start the process beginning a regulations project defining the veterinarian-client-patient relationship.

Veterinarian-Client-Patient Relationship (VCPR) exists when:

- 1) Both the Veterinarian and Client agree for the Veterinarian to assume responsibility for making medical judgments regarding the health of the Animal(s); and
- 2) The Veterinarian has sufficient knowledge of the Animal(s) to initiate at least a general or preliminary diagnosis of the medical condition of the Animal(s); and
- 3) The practicing veterinarian has made provision for follow-up in case of adverse reactions or failure of the regiment of therapy.

Board Member	Yea	Nay	Recuse
Dr. Hal Geiger	X		
Dr. Rachel Berngartt	Х		
Dr. Chris Michetti	X		
Dr. Tamara Rose	Х		
Dr. James Hagee	Х		

The board completed the regulation change questionnaire for the Veterinarian-Client-Patient Relationship. The board believes it is important to define the Veterinarian-Client-Patient Relationship as the FDA has amended their animal drug regulations. If the board does not define the VCPR, veterinarians in the state of Alaska will be responsible for meeting the federal definition. The board will also need to ensure that the Veterinary Feed Directive is incorporated within the VCPR language to ensure the federal VFD would not create an undue burden on Alaska fish hatcheries, the board would like to make sure they receive guidance from the regulations specialist, or the attorney to ensure the regulation meets all necessary requirements.

#### Agenda Item 10 - Public Comment

There were no members of the public in attendance at the meeting nor did any member of the public call into the meeting.

#### Agenda Item 11 – PDMP Legislative Options

Deputy Director Sara Chambers joined the meeting via teleconference. She advised the board that she wanted to assist the board where she is able in adopting regulations needed to comply with the existing PDMP state law and help the board navigate the process of changing the existing PDMP state law, if that

is their goal. Deputy Director Chambers confirmed that the board would like to be exempt from participating in the PDMP. Dr. Geiger advised that earlier in the meeting the board had approved the letter Dr. Hagee authored, as the board wanted to document their consensus of issues impacting the board's ability to enforce the law and the problem for licensees to comply with the PDMP law. Deputy Director Chambers advised the board that she would be willing to make sure that letter was delivered to the governor's legislative office. Deputy Director Chambers also confirmed with the board that it is a ceremonial letter, and noted that is different than seeking legislative change through the governor's office.

Deputy Director Chambers asked if the board had invited an attorney to speak with the board regarding the PDMP and the law's requirements. The board advised that they had requested to have an attorney but were advised that one could not be requested. Deputy Director Chambers indicated that the board had every right to request an attorney and that the PDMP law has been in effect for a year and the board and licensees are at risk for not fully complying with those mandates. The board reiterated that there is currently not a unique identifier for animals and that prescriptions are made out for the owner of the animal. One animal could be presented to multiple veterinarians and each person who presented themselves as the owner of the animal would receive that prescription. The board does not have a way to regulate the general public and veterinarians do not have a way to know a specific animal has already been presented for evaluation and treatment. Dr. Hagee read the abstract from Robert Simpson, DVM treatise on Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs.

Deputy Director Chambers reviewed with the board that the PDMP law has been in effect for the last year and if the board would like to seek legislative change they need to find a legislator that would be willing to draft legislation, introduce the legislation in January and carry it through the legislative session and that the board will need to pursue that on their own. The division would only become involved once a bill is introduced by a legislator. Until the law is changed the board is empowered and mandated to comply with the current law. The board reiterated that the goal of the letter being sent is to raise awareness with the Governor and legislators, to start a conversation about how the profession is impacted by the legislation. The board's goal is to raise awareness that this is an actual problem. Deputy Director Chambers advised that in her experience legislators are unlikely to devote time to something that doesn't have a bill during legislative session, but if the board is having trouble finding a sponsor or don't know someone who would like to sponsor you can send a letter to the legislature and say you are looking for someone to sponsor legislation, that may lead to a sponsor. The board thanked Deputy Director Chambers for her time and discussing the available options.

The board discussed the importance of having a member of the board pursue a relationship with a legislative representative, and that they could not turn over that role to the AKVMA as the board has a responsibility to protect the public. The AKVMA is strictly a professional veterinary association. The board also discussed their surprise to hear that there is information that was presented to the legislature that specifically dealt with a national problem with veterinary prescribing, they believed inclusion in the PDMP was driven strictly by whoever held a DEA registration number.

Task: Finalize and send board letter to the Governor

248 Tas

Task: Schedule a conference call with the attorney to discuss the PDMP

#### Agenda Item 11 - PDMP Regulations

The board reviewed the proposed PDMP regulation language from AAG Megyn Weigand.

On a motion duly made by Dr. Berngartt, seconded by Dr. Geiger, and approved unanimously it was:

Resolved to move forward the Prescription Drug Monitoring Program Registration regulation to the regulation specialist.

A licensee who has a Federal Drug Enforcement Administration registration number shall register with the controlled substance prescription database under AS 17.30.200(o).

Board Member	Yea	Nay	Recuse
Dr. Hal Geiger	Х		
Dr. Rachel Berngartt	Х		
Dr. Chris Michetti	Х		
Dr. Tamara Rose	Х		
Dr. James Hagee	Х		

 The board completed the regulation change questionnaire for the Veterinarian-Client-Patient Relationship.

### Agenda Item 11 - PDMP RX Searches

The board reviewed the PDMP RX search document.

On a motion duly made by Dr. Michetti, seconded by Dr. Geiger, and approved unanimously it was:

Resolved to table the issues of the PDMP RX Searched until the board has more information, and has met with an attorney. Until then, we recommend that licensees with a DEA registration number continue to use their best judgment when using the PDMP RX Search.

Board Member	Yea	Nay	Recuse
Dr. Hal Geiger	X		
Dr. Rachel Berngartt	Х		
Dr. Chris Michetti	Х		
Dr. Tamara Rose	X		
Dr. James Hagee	X		

#### Agenda Item 11 - AKVMA Board Report

The board reviewed and discussed the fee increase they had request that fees not increase for Veterinary Technicians and newly graduated Veterinarians and initial license fees were not increased for both. They then discussed PDMP regulations that Dr. Hagee will review at the AKVMA meeting. The board recommends that licensee continue to use their best judgment when utilizing the PDMP. The board also suggested that licensees contact their legislators. The board discussed potentially having a board suggestion box, or a booth to hear from licensees and the public.

288 289

RACE standards were discussed and staff was asked to provide a proposal for approving continuing education at the next board meeting.

290 291

292293

294 295

296 297

298299

300 301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

On a motion duly made by Dr. Geiger, seconded by Dr. Michetti and approved unanimously it

Resolved to send the licensing examiner to the AAVSB Board Basics and Beyond training sponsored and paid for by the AAVSB.

The board addressed continuing education and drug company sponsorship of continuing education.

Board Member	Yea	Nay	Recuse
Dr. Hal Geiger	X		
Dr. Rachel Berngartt	Х		
Dr. Chris Michetti	X		
Dr. Tamara Rose	X		
Dr. James Hagee	X		

#### \_

## Deviated back to Agenda Item 8 - AAVSB Report to the Board

Dr. Geiger and Dr. Berngartt both attended the Annual AAVSB meeting and highly recommend that members of the board attend this conference every year. The AAVSB sponsors and pays all travel fees. They both advised it was an informative meeting, providing a broader perspective and they both appreciate the board allowing them to attend. Dr. Geiger said the meeting was very relevant and that the board has used tools provided by AAVSB in this meeting today to solve some outstanding issues. Dr. Berngartt indicated that the presentation by the Executive Director of AAVSB was a live interaction with a devise connected to the internet and an algorithm of artificial intelligence that is based off of 45,000 text messages between veterinarians and clients. Telemedicine is something the board will need to address. Dr. Geiger shared with the board a conversation he had with a Canadian veterinarian that had started practicing telemedicine who was very critical of answers he was provided when he was giving out signs and symptoms of rabies, in an area where rabies were a concern and it went right over the head of the person on the other end of the phone. Telemedicine is coming, there are huge dangers that we need to address. Dr. Berngartt shared with the board that the most beneficial aspect of attending the AAVSB meeting was hearing from the FTC attorney. It was stressed continuously during the presentation that the role of the board is to regulate the profession. It was encouraged during the presentation that a state attorney should attend every board meeting, it would show that the board is not just a group of market participants and ensure that the state and public interest are all being met. The importance of building relationships with other boards was also discussed. The FTC does look at what boards do, we need to be proactive and make sure that we have appropriate state oversite and we continue to do our job of protecting the public. It is important for us to be proactive about telemedicine and educate public consumers about how important a physical examination is, that yes, there is information via telemedicine, but it is not the same as receiving a physical examination. A better informed public is going to be a safer public and ultimately why we are all on this board.

323 324

325 326

## Agenda Item 12 – Applications

The board reviewed a list of ongoing items.

On a motion duly made by Dr. Geiger, seconded by Dr. Berngartt and approved unanimously it was:

Resolved to update 12 AAC 68.045(3) and 12 AAC 68.046(3) to include "or official transcripts".

12 AAC 68.045(3) a notarized copy of a diploma showing graduation from an accredited veterinarian college, <u>or official transcripts from an accredited veterinary college</u>, or Education Commission for Foreign Veterinary Graduates certificate; and

12 AAC 68.046(3) a notarized copy of a diploma showing graduation from an accredited veterinary college, or official transcripts from an accredited veterinary college, or official records showing completion of the Educational Commission for Veterinary Graduates certification process required by AS 08.98.165(a)(1);

Board Member	Yea	Nay	Recuse
Dr. Hal Geiger	Х		
Dr. Rachel Berngartt	X		
Dr. Chris Michetti	X		
Dr. Tamara Rose	X		
Dr. James Hagee	Х		

The board discussed 08.98.186, temporary. There is clear language in the statute indicating that a temporary permit is valid no longer than 60 days after issuance, but may be renewed. Staff has been issuing permits for 60 consecutive days.

# Agenda item 13 - Schedule Meetings

Teleconference – December 14, 2018, 10:00 AM – 12:00 PM
PDMP & Building board relationships, maintaining a regulatory focus
VCPR

Medical Records/Medical Facility registration

January 25, 2019 – Teleconference May 17, 2019 – Teleconference

#### Agenda Item 14 - Adjourn

The board completed the travel action summary. Dr. Hagee and Dr. Geiger signed wall certificates.

# FEB 2 0 2019

369	Dr. Hagee adjourned the meeting at 4:10 PM	FEB 2 0 2019	
370	5 ,	CBPL	
371		Respectfully Submitted:	
372		1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	
373		Ther gen for latricea dancing	an
374		Patricia Lonergam, Licensing Examiner	
375		7.1	
376		Lebruary 20,2019	
377		Date	
378			
379		Approved:	
380		( Marie / Her es	D.
381		James Harra Chairman	bu
382		James Hagee, Chairman	
383 384		Alaska Board of Veterinary Examiners	
385		1 1 25, 2019	
386		Date	
200		Date	