

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

**STATE OF ALASKA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY AND
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS,
BUSINESS & PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS**

**MINUTES OF MEETING
September 4, 2015**

By authority of AS 08.01.070(2) and AS 08.36.040 and in compliance with the provisions of Article 6 of AS 44.62, a scheduled meeting of the Board of Dental Examiners was held September 4, 2015, at 550 W. 7th Ave, Suite 1270, Anchorage, Alaska.

The meeting was called to order by Dr. Thomas Wells at 8:41 a.m.

Roll Call

Those present, constituting a quorum of the board, were:

Dr. David Nielson – Dentist - Anchorage
Dr. Paul Silveira – Dentist – Valdez
Dr. Steven Scheller- Dentist - Fairbanks
Paula Ross – Dental Hygienist - Anchorage
Dr. Thomas Kovaleski – Dentist - Chugiak
Dr. Thomas Wells, President – Anchorage

Absent:

Robyn Chaney- Public Member – Dillingham, excused
Gail Walden – Dental Hygienist – Wasilla, excused

In attendance from the Division of Corporations, Business & Professional Licensing, Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development were:

Stacia Erkenbrack, Licensing Examiner – Juneau
Jasmin Bautista, Investigator – Anchorage
Todd Araujo - Juneau
Sara Chambers, Operations Manager - Juneau

Agenda Item 1- Agenda

Kovaleski asked for clarification regarding a pedodontist who came in as a credential applicant but technically could have come in by examination since she had a two year pediatric certificate she obtained after graduating in 2013. Kovaleski

47 stated a two year certificate after graduating from school allows a dentist to come in
48 by exam and the board does not have to interview as in credentialing. Kovaleski still
49 wanted to interview this applicant but stated there were others coming through in
50 the same position. They should be licensed as exam. The discussion of specialty
51 license ensued as did whether these applicants had a license or WREB. Kovaleski
52 explained the two year program takes the place of the WREB. Graduation, WREB or
53 two year program should come in by exam. Scheller did not agree with that since
54 the State of Alaska no longer has a specialty license. Wells and Silveira agreed with
55 Scheller. It was discussed that the regulation be changed where the applicant was
56 required to have a license in another state since the state legislature changed the
57 original statute and Alaska no longer had a specialty license. The board now wants
58 to add the specialty license under regulation for public safety. This item no longer
59 needed to be added to the agenda since discussion had already taken place.
60

61 **Agenda Item 2- Minutes**

62
63 The Board reviewed the minutes from the May 15, 2015 board meeting. Kovaleski
64 needed to be changed to living in Chugiak, not Fairbanks and the dates needed to be
65 changed from 2nd page to finish from February 20, 2015 to May 15, 2015. On line
66 236, page 8 the word needed to be changed from fell to failed.
67

68 **On a motion duly made by Nielson, seconded by Kovaleski and approved**
69 **unanimously, it was**

70
71 **RESOLVED to approve the minutes of the May 15, 2015 minutes with**
72 **above-mentioned changes.**
73

74 **Agenda Item 3- Ethics**

75
76 Wells discussed ethics regarding interview questions. It was discussed that a board
77 member would abstain from voting on an applicant or situation that involved the
78 board member, their practice or their finances, personally. The board agreed that
79 they would like to see all consent agreements before they are presented to the
80 applicants. There were no ethics violations to report.
81

82 83 **Agenda Item 4- Regulation Review/Adoption**

84
85 The board reviewed and considered public comment from the regulations project that
86 had been proposed and comment period had ended May 26, 2015. Nielson mentioned the
87 ADS comments regarding 28.630(b) and the word "may" vs "shall". The Alaska Dental

88 Society felt it should stay “will”. Kovaleski explained it was because the board did not
89 know if there would be staff or funding to do that. It was discussed that the raise in
90 sedation fees were done so that those inspections would be covered. After great
91 discussion it was determined the word “may” would be left as is and “at its discretion”
92 would be added.

93

94 **On a motion duly made by Scheller, seconded by Kovaleski, and approved**
95 **unanimously, it was**

96

97 **RESOLVED to adopt the Professional Regulations, Chapter 28. Board of**
98 **Dental Examiners with changes adopted below.**

99

100 **On a motion duly made by Nielson, seconded by Ross, and approved**
101 **unanimously, it was**

102

103 **RESOLVED to adopt the regulation 12 AAC 28.630(b)(b) with the**
104 **change, the board “may, at its discretion”, require an on-site inspection**
105 **of the permittee’s**

106

107 **On a motion duly made by Kovaleski, seconded by Silveira, and approved**
108 **unanimously, it was**

109

110 **RESOLVED to adopt regulation 12 AAC 28.340(4) (F) (i) with the change**
111 **“currently valid cardiopulmonary resuscitation certification” to match**
112 **all of the other regulations.**

113

114 It was noted that on page 2, under 12 AAC 28.905. Ethical Standards. (a) That the
115 date should be June 24, 2014, corrected from June 24, 2013. The board asked that
116 typo be changed.

117

118 **On a motion duly made by Nielson, seconded by Scheller, and approved**
119 **unanimously, it was**

120

121 **RESOLVED to adopt regulation 12 AAC 28.906. (1)Disciplinary**
122 **sanctions. With the change “to make those records available to the**
123 **board and/or the board’s representatives”.**

124 **On a motion duly made by Nielson, seconded by Ross, and approved**
125 **unanimously, it was**

126

127 **RESOLVED to withdraw regulation 12 AAC 28.955(c) (5).**

128

129 **On a motion duly made by Nielson, seconded by Kovaleski, and approved**
130 **unanimously, it was**

131

132 **RESOLVED to organize a workgroup to simplify radiology. Nielson will**
133 **investigate and lead the group.**

134

135 **Off the record at 9:45 a.m.**

136 **On the record at 9:47 a.m.**

137

138 **Agenda item 5 – Law Department**

139

140 The board contacted Todd Araujo with the Law Department. Nielson asked about
141 dental ownership and close any loopholes for a non-dentist to be able to own a
142 practice, or more specifically to run a dental practice in the state of Alaska. Araujo
143 shared provision AS 08.36.367 Ownership of a Dental Office or Facility. In
144 subsection (a) - Only a person who holds a valid license out of this chapter may own,
145 operate or maintain a dental office or clinic. Wells stated there was a loophole in
146 the provision since it did not specify that the licensee must be living in or be a
147 resident of the state. We had people that own the business but were not present in
148 the state so how could they really manage it for the best interest for the people of
149 the State of Alaska. Subsection (c) was discussed and whether an outside entity
150 would have control over what the dentists in the state did or interfered with
151 professional judgment of the licensed dentists that worked within the entity, that
152 would affect patient care. Scheller asked if the out of state dentists that get licensed
153 and just buy a dental practice in the State of Alaska could influence the way the
154 dentists practiced their dentistry within that practice, as far as the way they would
155 treat patients or the materials used for patients. Nielson asked if the board was able
156 to protect the dentists and their patient relationships so there was no undo pressure
157 on them to do things they were not comfortable doing or did not want to do,
158 according to the way the BODE statutes and regulations were written now. Araujo
159 responded that if the business or entity was one-hundred percent owned by a
160 dentist or dentists licensed under this chapter, under this board, that the entity was
161 in full compliance with our statutory and regulatory scheme that one might ask
162 where was the tension. Nielson asked about regulation 12 AAC 28.730. Control Over
163 Professional Dental Matters And Operation Of Dental Equipment. Nielson asked if
164 that addressed the concern. Araujo answered that seemed to allow the board to
165 determine whether a person was practicing dentistry or asserting control over
166 dental matters. Nielson asked that if a licensee had a complaint regarding an entity,
167 would that licensee have anywhere to go to be heard. Araujo stated there was if
168 what was being asserted to do was out of bounds and not just a difference in opinion
169 of procedure. Neilson asked if it was reasonable for the board to require residency

170 in Alaska. Araujo answered this would take statutory change and that his guess was
171 no other state had that requirement and how many dentists do we have in the state
172 that were not actual residents but were critical to the industry because they spent a
173 sufficient amount of time here. What would be considered residency? The board
174 would have to convince a lot of policy makers and the legislature that there was a
175 critical need in this area for this reason. Kovaleski asked about the Supreme Court
176 ruling in February regarding dentists that could not prevent non-dentists from
177 doing bleaching in malls and other assorted places. The board had a request for
178 actual dental staff, not under the supervision of a dentist, to do bleaching at a mall
179 type setting. Kovaleski asked if the board was required to follow what the North
180 Carolina Supreme Court said in February, that basically anyone can do dental
181 bleaching anywhere, or can the board push back? Araujo stated that case had many
182 layers to it, issues that did not implicate this board. Scheller asked if the board had
183 latitude on what kind of questions the board could ask the applicants. Araujo
184 answered as long as the questions related to statutory or regulatory requirements.
185 Nielson asked if they could ask why an applicant let another state license lapse or
186 why they lost their license. Wells asked if the board could ask where or what kind of
187 practice they intended to be involved in. Araujo answered yes. As long as it was not
188 of the personal variety, such as asking what they do in their personal time. The
189 board could ask what they wanted regarding application as long as it was a
190 legitimate question and not of the personal nature. Wells asked about teeth
191 whitening clinics and asked if the Attorney General's office would stand behind the
192 board if they stick to regulations regarding non-dentists performing dental duties.
193 Nielson stated that the big question was what constituted performing dentistry
194 without an actual dentist present. Kovaleski stated to further complicate things, he
195 could go online and order bleaching trays to do it himself. He asked where the line
196 on dentistry falls. Araujo will research and have an answer for the board for the
197 December 4, 2015 board meeting. Silveira stated that you could also do online
198 orthodontics. Wells stated he had a flier that a clinic has advertised general
199 anesthesia and they did not have a permit to do general anesthesia and asked what
200 the board needed to do to have this stopped or investigated. Araujo stated he
201 should give the flyer to the investigator to confirm what was going on.

202
203 **On a motion duly made by Kovaleski, seconded by Nielson, and approved**
204 **unanimously, it was**

205 **RESOLVED to go into executive session in accordance with AS**
206 **44.62.310(c) (2)(3), for the purpose of discussing various cases**

207

208 Staff to stay

209

210 Off record at 10:29 a.m.

211 On record at 11:24 a.m.

212

213 **Agenda item 6 – Investigative Report**

214

215 The board stay in executive session for the investigation report.

216

217 Break at 11:24 a.m.

218 On record at 11:28 a.m.

219

220 **Agenda Item 6 – Public Comment**

221

222 Brynn Moe appeared before the board to ask that the board consider extending the
223 date for payment for her consent agreement. She explained that she thought she
224 had sent payment in with renewal and had not received the letters sent by Paralegal,
225 Charles Ward. She apologized for the oversight and stated that just having had
226 twins and other circumstances in her life, that paying the full fine would create
227 great hardship for her and her family. The board stated they would take this under
228 advisement. She thanked the board and departed.

229

230 **On a motion duly made by Ross, seconded by Nielson, and approved**
231 **unanimously, it was**

232

233 **RESOLVED to go into executive session in accordance with AS**
234 **44.62.310(c) (2), for the purpose of discussing Brynn Moe.**

235

236 Staff to stay

237

238 Off the record at 11:30 a.m.

239 On the record at 11:46 a.m.

240

241 The board discussed regulations and changes they would like to make with
242 radiology. Wells asked about where the anesthesia regulations were in the process.
243 The examiner told him they are currently with Department of Law but neither the
244 regulations specialist nor the examiner has heard an update.

245

246 Break for lunch at 11:57 p.m.

247 Back from lunch at 12:58 p.m.

248

249 **Agenda Item 7 – Personal Interviews**

250

251 The board welcomed Dr. Steven Paige to the Board meeting and explained the interview
252 process. Dr. Paige appeared in person. Dr. Silveira introduced himself as the reviewing
253 member of his application and stated that on question number 2, " Have you had a
254 professional license denied, revoked, suspended, or otherwise restricted,
255 conditioned, or limited or have you surrendered a professional license, been fined,
256 placed on probation, reprimanded, disciplined, or entered into a settlement with a
257 licensing authority in connection with a professional license you have held in any
258 jurisdiction including Alaska and including that of any military authorities
259 or is any such action pending?" and question number 4, "Have you ever been the
260 subject of a report from the National Practitioner Data Bank or the American
261 Association of Dental Boards Clearinghouse?" Dr. Paige had answered no on both
262 questions and that after subsequent investigation found that these were not good
263 answers. Dr. Paige stated he was not even aware of question number 4 until now.
264 Dr. Paige stated this was a misunderstanding; he had no intention of trying to hide
265 anything. He stated 14 years ago he had called his lawyer and his lawyer told him
266 this was an informal disposition; the lawyer told him it was not a formal disciplinary
267 action and that Dr. Paige should just sign it, that it would be easier on everybody.
268 So, being young, and listening to his attorney, he signed it. He was then told by his
269 lawyer that if future questions regarding this issue came up, and it did not say
270 formal disciplinary action, put no. Dr. Paige stated he had been answering no since
271 then and in all these years, this is the first time he had heard anything. Dr. Paige
272 stated that there was no intention of trying to hide anything from anybody, he was
273 very aware the board would read this. It was just a misunderstanding of how it was
274 written and what the lawyer had told him years ago. He apologized for the
275 misunderstanding. Dr. Paige confirmed that he has applied for licenses in other
276 states and has not had this problem. He had no idea he had been reported to the
277 NPDB and was surprised to learn of this.

278
279 The Board asked the standard interview questions.

280
281 The board thanked Dr. Paige for coming to the interview.

282
283 **On a motion duly made by Silveira, seconded by Kovaleski, and approved**
284 **unanimously, it was**

285
286 **RESOLVED to go into executive session in accordance with AS**
287 **44.62.310(c)(2), for the purpose of discussing the license by credentials**
288 **of Steven Paige.**

289
290 Staff to stay

291

292 Off record at 1:11 p.m.

293 On record at 1:19 p.m.

294

295 The board welcomed Dr. Jessica Blanco to the Board meeting and explained the
296 interview process. Dr. Blanco appeared telephonically. The Board asked the
297 standard interview questions.

298

299 **On a motion duly made by Kovaleski, seconded by Scheller, and approved**
300 **unanimously, it was**

301

302 **RESOLVED to approve the application for a dental license for Dr. Jessica**
303 **Blanco.**

304

305 The board welcomed Dr. Roxana Mirabal to the Board meeting and explained the
306 interview process. Dr. Mirabal appeared telephonically. The Board asked the
307 standard interview questions.

308

309 **On a motion duly made by Ross, seconded by Silveira, and approved**
310 **unanimously, it was**

311

312 **RESOLVED to approve the application for a dental license for Dr.**
313 **Roxana Mirabal.**

314

315 The board welcomed Dr. Wayne Millar to the Board meeting and explained the
316 interview process. Dr. Millar appeared telephonically. The Board asked the
317 standard interview questions.

318

319 **On a motion duly made by Nielson, seconded by Kovaleski, and approved**
320 **unanimously, it was**

321

322 **RESOLVED to approve the application for a dental license for Dr. Wayne**
323 **Millar.**

324

325 Bautista came in to discuss an imposition of civil fine with the board. This action
326 applies to technical violations such as failure to disclose, no patient care issues, no
327 reprimand or censure. It was a civil fine, generally \$1000.00. It is a public
328 document but not reported to NPDB or distributed. It will go into the licensing file.
329 The board had in the past suspended part of the fine, such as \$500.00.

330

331 **On a motion duly made by Scheller, seconded by Silveira,**

332

333 **To approve an imposition of civil fine for failure to disclose in the**
334 **amount of \$1000.00 with \$1000.00 suspended for Dr Steven Paige.**

335
336 Discussion began when Nielson stated there should be some fine to cover costs and
337 suggested \$1000.00 with \$600.00 suspended. It was agreed that the Paige did
338 nothing wrong but got bad advice. Bautista suggested the board stay with
339 precedent and stay with the \$1000.00 fine with \$500.00 suspended.

340
341 **On a motion duly made by Nielson, seconded by Kovaleski, and approved**
342 **unanimously, it was**

343
344 **RESOLVED to amend the original motion for the imposition of civil fine**
345 **for failure to disclose in the amount of \$1000.00 with \$500.00**
346 **suspended for Dr Steven Paige.**

347
348 Nielson – yea, Ross – yea, Silveira – yea, Wells – yea, Kovaleski – yea, Scheller – nay.

349
350 **On a motion duly made by Scheller, seconded by Kovaleski, and approved**
351 **unanimously, it was**

352
353 **RESOLVED to approve the application for a dental license subject to the**
354 **consent agreement for Dr. Morrie Talbot.**

355
356 **Agenda Item 8 - Old/New Business**

357
358 The board called Paralegal, Charles Ward and discussed two consent agreements.
359 The first case # 2014-001808. The board discussed the consent agreement and
360 whether they had seen it or not. The board wanted to see all consent agreements
361 before it went to the licensee rather than after. Ward presented the circumstances
362 regarding the agreement. Silveira asked if the consent agreement had been run past
363 any members of the board before the agreement was signed. Ward stated it was not.
364 The board requested that any further consent agreements be presented to at least
365 one of the board members before the agreement was signed by licensee. Ward
366 stated this could be done as long as the board member that reviewed the agreement
367 recused themselves from the vote since it would be considered ex parte
368 communication. The board inquired about cost of having it presented to the board
369 before the licensee. Charles stated less than \$100.00. The board agreed they would
370 like to have all consent agreements done this way going forward.

371
372 **On a motion duly made by Scheller, seconded by Ross, and approved**
373 **unanimously, it was**

374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414

RESOLVED that all consent agreements will be presented to one board member before the agreement is presented and signed by licensee.

Nielson asked that the motion be amended to include that the board member who reviews the agreement would recuse themselves from voting when presented to the board.

On the amended motion duly made by Nielson, seconded by Scheller, and approved unanimously, it was

RESOLVED that all consent agreements will be presented to one board member before the agreement is presented and signed by licensee. The board member that reviews the agreement will recuse themselves from the vote when presented to the board for review and approval.

On a motion duly made by Scheller, seconded by Nielson, and approved unanimously, it was

RESOLVED to approve the consent agreement for Dr. Gary Sawdy.

The next case was regarding Brynn Moe. Ward presented the case and circumstances regarding the non-payment of Moe's fine per consent agreement. Should the board decide to extend the payment of the unsuspended amount of fine, the new due date would be November 27, 2015.

On a motion duly made by Scheller, seconded by Ross, and approved unanimously, it was

RESOLVED to accept the order to extend the date of payment for unsuspended amount of fine due for Brynn Moe.

The board reviewed correspondence and the board chair signed documents.

Off record at 2:33 p.m.
On record at 2:48 p.m.

Kovaleski discussed the Dental Exam Review Board. Anyone that wanted to become an examiner needed to have references in by Tuesday. Kovaleski discussed all new items involved with the examinations, including re-takes the same day. Wells did

415 not feel the letter from Dr. Logan needed to be discussed because the discussion of
416 practice ownership had already been done with the Attorney General. The boards'
417 concerns were the same as Dr. Logan and according to the Attorney General, our
418 regulations read that a corporate entity could not own a dental practice. They could
419 own the building and the property but they could not own the practice, and they
420 could not collect fees. Nielson would sit down with Logan and pick that area of
421 regulation apart. Scheller asked how SMIL dental clinic collected their fees if they
422 had to pay fees to the dentist since they could not pay fees to the corporation.
423 Nielson stated that a lot of what was needed is in regulation; it was a matter of
424 policing it. Silveira stated it could not be done by the board; it could only be done if
425 someone reported it. If someone brought the complaint, the board could enforce it,
426 but the board could not go out and investigate just to see what they were doing. The
427 Dental Society could call Jasmin and file a complaint against these guys. It was
428 confirmed that a name must be given in order for an investigation to be done.
429 Nielson suggested that a newsletter be done from the board for the ADS newsletter
430 to educate the community on what was going on. Scheller stated he would do the
431 first newsletter by the deadline of September 28, 2015.

432

433 **Agenda Item 9 – Budget Review**

434

435 The Board called Sara Chambers, Operations Manager for the Budget Review. The
436 board welcomed Chambers to the meeting. Chambers stated that she did not have a
437 comprehensive financial report for the board because the latest one she had is third
438 quarter and were in a period of time in what she liked to refer to as radio silence
439 and waiting for the shuttle to re-enter the atmosphere. While the division was re-
440 appropriating all the FY15 funds and expenditures and finalizing the last year of
441 close out, we have this waiting period but did anticipate having the boards' end of
442 year information and first quarter information toward the first of November.

443 Chambers asked if the board had any questions regarding time-frame. The board
444 did not. Chambers then explained the revenue, although not reconciled to the
445 system, just received at the front desk, which represented 77 transactions.
446 Chambers asked the examiner if the board had a copy of the dental sealant question
447 regarding the PEW Charitable Trust report from the Senator MacKinnon's office.
448 Chambers wanted to follow up and inquire on why the state would be downgraded
449 from an A to a B minus. Chambers had requested the examiner to include this in the
450 agenda for the board to respond or have a discussion regarding the issue. Nielson
451 stated that nothing had changed since last time the state was graded. The state has
452 never had a sealant program in the schools or what is called a collaborative
453 agreement. Nielson continued that no one has taken on the task. He continued that
454 programs like that take a lot of energy and manpower to get programs like that
455 going. Nielson explained there had been other programs where they went out to

456 schools and did screenings, education and things like that, but it was organized by a
457 dentist that said I'm going to organize this. Nielson stated Kovaleski probably knows
458 more about collaborative agreements than he did and that this probably depended
459 on what school they were going to, what population they were seeing. It was more
460 who was going to run the program and who was going to take it on. Chambers
461 stated perhaps this is something that could be partnered with the school districts
462 and Health and Social Services and that this board and this industry had a very
463 esteemed reputation in their mission work and that Alaska had adequate dental
464 care, so that point was certainly not lost on her. If it was the thought of the board
465 that it would just take someone to spearhead this and some of those organizational
466 resources, Chambers would be happy to carry that message back to Senator
467 MacKinnon and her staff. Nielson stated if she was just referencing sealants, Alaska,
468 with its Denali Kid Care and very generous reimbursement rates, that kids were
469 pretty well taken care of here. It's not exactly like the states that she was probably
470 referencing. Nielson was not clear on why Alaska received a B minus when our kids
471 are better taken care of than a lot of states. Kovaleski stated that he would question
472 the assessment by the PEW Charitable Trust. In the bush of Alaska some of the
473 public health things they had done had increased sealants by significant amounts
474 and did not think anything had changed in the negative, so did not know how PEW
475 had come up with this, so he would question their assessment method. Nielson
476 stated that just a lack of a program doesn't necessarily equate to a population that
477 was in bad shape. Chambers agreed and volunteered to take this information to
478 Senator MacKinnon. Kovaleski stated that Alaska was a very difficult state to assess.
479 The board agreed that Chambers report this information to MacKinnon. Chambers
480 would forward the response to the examiner to be forwarded to the board and close
481 the loop.

482 The board asked Chambers what it would take to have an examiner full time.
483 Chambers stated that a new examiner PCN should be hopefully happening soon and
484 would be hired to help with Pharmacy and Barbers and Hairdressers. Chambers
485 anticipated that once the new position was hired and paired up with Pharmacy and
486 if cleared with the examiners supervisor, the board could possibly have an examiner
487 full time. The new examiner position would hopefully be happening this fall.
488 Chambers explained how funding is generated, legislation, and if necessary, board
489 input for support of more staff. The board thanked Chambers and Chambers
490 departed.

491 **On a motion duly made by Scheller, seconded by Ross, and approved**
492 **unanimously, it was**

493

494 **RESOLVED to go into executive session in accordance with AS**
495 **44.62.310(c)(2), for the purpose of discussing an investigative matter.**

496

497 Off the record at 3:21 p.m.
498 On the record at 3:32 p.m.

499
500 The smile whitening business was discussed. The board agreed that this was
501 considered practicing dentistry without a license. This issue had been already dealt
502 with previously when the board's investigator pulled a whitening business out of a
503 salon.

504
505 On a motion duly made by Scheller, seconded by Ross, and approved
506 unanimously, it was

507
508 **RESOLVED that in the board's opinion, a smile whitening business**
509 **without a licensed dentist on the premise is considered practicing**
510 **dentistry without a license.**

511
512 The spring dental board meeting was scheduled for February 5, 2016.

513
514 Scheller was the final vote to approve Christopher Waite-Janes as a Radiological
515 Equipment Inspector. All other board votes were given via email.

516
517 **Agenda item 10- Office Business**

518
519 Wall certificates were signed. Silveira signed in the absence of Chaney.

520
521 Meeting adjourned 4:11 p.m.

522
523

524
525

526
527

528
529


530
531

532
533

534
535

536

Respectfully submitted:



Stacia Erkenbrack
Licensing Examiner

Approved:



Thomas Wells, DDS, President

Date: Dec 4, 2015