1 2	State of Alaska Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development				
3 4	Division of Corporations, Business and Professional Licensing				
5	BOARD OF MASSAGE THERAPISTS				
6 7 8	MINUTES OF THE MEETING <u>December 6-7, 2018</u>				
9 10 11 12	Written meeting minutes reflects a brief overview of the business conducted by the board during their meeting. For a more detailed account, please request a copy of the meeting's audio recording at https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/web/cbpl/PublicRecordsRequests.aspx.				
13 14 15 16	By authority of AS 08.01.070(2), and in compliance with the provisions of AS 44.62, Article 6, a scheduled meeting of the Board of Massage Therapists was held telephonically on December 6-7, 2018.				
17 18	Agenda Item 1 Call to Order/Roll Call:				
19 20 21	On the record at 9:00 a.m.				
22	Board Members present, constituting a quorum:				
David Edwards-Smith- Board Chair, Licensed Massage Therapist Traci Gilmour, Licensed Massage Therapist Ron Gibbs, Licenses Massage Therapist Jill Motz, Licensed Massage Therapist Rebecca McCoy, Public Member					
29 30	Division Staff present:				
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Dawn Dulebohn, Occupational Licensing Examiner Dawn Hannasch, Records and Licensing Supervisor Sonia Lipker, Senior Investigator Christina Bond, Investigator II Charles Ward, Acting Deputy Director Marylene Wales, Accountant III Jun Maiquis, Regulations Specialist Sher Zinn, Regulations Specialist				
41	Joining Telephonically:				
42 43 44	Erika McConnell, Director, Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office Robert Carter, Agronomist III, Department of Natural Resources				
45 46 47	Agenda Item 2 Ethics Reporting:				

The Board Chair opened the floor to any board member that may have an ethics violation or inquiry. None were presented.

Agenda Item 3

Review/Approve Agenda:

The board reviewed the agenda and discussed any proposed changes. Chair Edwards-Smith proposes the board add items to discuss such as previous denials being contacted regarding the board's new Fitness to Practice procedures under Agenda Item 14, 6 application reviews under Agenda Item 14, and FSMTB Executive Summit under Agenda Item 8

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously, it was RESOLVED to ACCEPT the agenda as amended.

Agenda Item 4

Investigative Case Review and Probation Reports

Chair Dave Edwards-Smith calls a short recess at 9:06 a.m.

Back on the record at 9:15 a.m.

Investigators Sonia Lipker and Christina Bond join the meeting telephonically at 9:15 a.m. Supervisor Hannasch left at 9:15 a.m.

Investigator Lipker begins the Investigative Case Review. She states that during the period of August 21- November 30, 2018 16 cases were opened and 17 cases were closed. 20 matters remain on-going and/or under active investigation. There are no license actions to present at this time.

Chair Edwards-Smith requests comparable data from Investigations regarding licensing case load. Investigator Lipker states that she will look at programs with approximately the same number of licensees and will present the data at the next board meeting. Board member Traci Gilmour inquires about 2 cases on the list that are more than 12 months old. Investigator Lipker reports that those cases are open and active and awaiting cooperation from the Respondent. Ms. Gilmour asks if cases ever "age out" and Investigator Lipker replies that cases will always have to have some sort of conclusion.

Investigator Bond begins with the Probation Report. She states there are 8 licensees on probation and everyone is in compliance. Board member Ron Gibbs asks about the probation process and how the licensees are check up on. Investigator Bond replies that it will depend on the stipulation of their consent agreements but normally there are background reports and self- attestations in the form of quarterly reports. OLE Dulebohn reminds the board that Investigator Carl Jacobs sent a list of requirements for probation and it is located in the November 2018 board packet.

Christina Bond and Sonia Lipker left the meeting at 9:22 a.m.

Agenda Item 5

Review Previous Task Lists

OLE Dulebohn presents items from the September 10-11 and November 15, 2018 board meetings. Some of the highlights are:

- The Paralegal reports that continuing education must be competed between October 1 and September 30 of a licensing period.
 - The implementation of MTLD has been reviewed by Program Coordinator Colleen Kautz who has decided that, at this time, the state's IT department does not have the resources (time) to take of a project of this complexity and magnitude.
 - Board member Jill Motz has contacted Linda Story at Alaska Career College for a letter of commitment to allow applicants to complete remedial hours as determined by the board. As of this board meeting it has not been received.

There was comment by Ms. Gilmour that some therapists that took advantage of early renewal in July 2017 took CE classes in August believing since they have individually renewed, the class they took in August would could count towards the 2019-2021 licensing period. OLE Dulebohn replies that renewing a license so early, while appreciated, is a choice but the licensing period doesn't actually end until September 30. The Board asks OLE Dulebohn to craft an FAQ on this subject and post it to the website.

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to ALLOW continuing education for the 2017-2019 renewal period to begin on July 1, 2017 and end on September 30, 2019.

Agenda Item 6

Division/Financial Update

Acting Deputy Director Charles Ward and Accountant III Marylene Wales join the meeting at 9:40 a.m.

Mr. Ward and Ms. Wales addresses the board regarding the Division Financial Update.

FY 2018 Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures 1st - 4th Quarter:

121	

MAS	2018		
10.17	H 15		
\$347,666	Total Revenue		
-275,296	Direct Expenditures		
-105,954	Indirect Expense		
\$381,250	TOTAL EXPENSES		
-33,584	Annual Surplus		
264,127	Beginning Cumulative		
	Surplus		
\$231,543	2018 Ending		
	Cumulative		
	Surplus		

The board asked Ms. Wales for specifics for Inter-Agency Safety, Inter- Agency Legal, and Inter-Agency Hearing/Mediation. She was also asked to find comparative data for the years 2016-2018 and with other programs with the same licensee base in order to trend costs for the future. OLE

Dulebohn reminds the board that Melissa Dumas reported that information to the board in the

September meeting. OLE Dulebohn will resend Ms. Dumas's report to the board for their reference. Ms. Wales will compile reports and submit them to the board.

There was a decrease in revenue for 2017 but that would be related to the decrease in licensing fees. A fee analysis is scheduled for March of 2019 and Ms. Wales will have those numbers available in the June 2019 meeting for board review and approval. On a side note, Ms. Wales reports that massage therapists account for 1.7% of licensees in Alaska. Mr. Ward and Ms. Wales reports that they believe the board is in a good financial position to go into a non-renewal fiscal year.

The Division website has Quarterly Reports for all boards.

Mr. Ward and Ms. Wales left the meeting at 10:08 a.m.

Agenda Item 7 National Certification Board for Therapeutic Massage

Chair Edwards- Smith directs the board to the discussion on the National Certification Board for Therapeutic Massage (NCBTMB). He has submitted research showing that the NCBTMB only reviews and authorized schools in order for candidates to sit for their exam.

Ms. Motz and Ms. Gilmour have a discussion on the research Ms. Motz completed on how the NCBTMB vets their instructors for continuing education. Ms. Motz states that she is unimpressed with their requirements and their main concern seems to be self-serving as an income generator. She believes they have a good intention but there is not much criteria that needs to be met before they approve schools or instructors. She believes the board should contact NCBTMB to see if they would be open to suggestion on this topic.

The board goes on to discuss allowing NCBTMB to be included as a nationally recognized accrediting agency as defined in AS 08.61.100(1)(B). Chair Edwards-Smith states that NCBTMB's website states that they are not an accrediting agency. He also mentions that one of the requirements to sit for their current board exam is that you must already be licensed. Ms. Motz states that the NCBTMB used to have a national licensing exam prior to January 31, 2015 (which is when the Federation of State Massage Therapy Boards instituted the MBLEx) which the board still accepts as part of the requirements of licensure.

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to NOT RECOGNIZE National Certification Board for Therapeutic Massage as a nationally recognized accrediting agency in reference to AS 08.61.100.

Regarding the NCBTMB being approved as a course sponsor for continuing education, the board believes that this will be an ongoing discussion and should evaluate courses on a case-by-case basis. Ms. Motz interjects with information from the research that she has done on how NCBTMB chooses their instructors and its potential for harm. NCBTMB allows instructors to attest to their competency without verifying specialties or that they are staying within their scope. Ms. Motz goes on that there are vulnerable populations at risk and it is the board's job to protect the public. When Ms. Motz contacted NCBTMB they told her that they do not recheck an instructor's qualifications to teach every class. Chair Edwards-Smith states that when he called NCBTMB he was given different information. It is agreed that the board would like to send a letter to NCBTMB to ask

how they keep their instructors accountable with an outline on how they qualify instructors. Chair 175 176 Edwards-Smith volunteers to contact NCBTMB for a statement letter on how they approve

instructors and programs.

177 178 179

Ms. Gilmour would like OLE Dulebohn to draft a FAQ describing how anyone would complain if they take an unsatisfactory continuing education course.

180 181 182

Agenda Item 5 (cont.) Review Previous Task Lists

183 184

Sexually Explicit Advertising

185 186

187

188

189 190

191

192

Ms. Motz reports on her research on Sexually Explicit Advertising. In her research, Ms. Motz could not find a cohesive, specific definition and instead suggests that advertising should be "oriented towards wellness, therapy, massage, or be related to the conduction of massage business." The board agrees that any complaint would be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. To answer a question from the November 15, 2018 meeting, Regulations Specialist Zinn was consulted and she confirms that the board has the right to regulate advertising for both therapists and establishments. The board states that they would like to consult LAW on this subject when AAG Harriet Milks is in attendance tomorrow.

193 194 195

Agenda Item 9 Report on FSMTB Annual Meeting

196 197

198

199 200

201

Chair Dave Edwards-Smith reports on the FSMTB Annual Meeting that he attended in October 2018. During the meeting he came to appreciate the work that the Alaska board is doing even more. He did notice that boards were starting to see anti-regulation actions. After consulting other states, he reiterates how important it is to form relationships with legislators to keep them apprised of your profession. Ms. Gilmour volunteers to start meeting with legislators and staff and mentions that is a great reason to have the board's next in-person meeting in Juneau.

202 203 204

205

206 207

208

One of the bullet points in the report under the heading of Human Trafficking, Fraud, and Prostitution has to do with how Tennessee requires persons accompanying/translating for applicants ("handlers") to state appointments regarding licensure should have to show identification. A conversation ensues on the legality of doing that in Alaska. In addition, the board would like a LAW consultation on this topic and whether an affidavit of assistance can be required for anyone helping an applicant fill out their application for licensure.

209 210 211

The Chair continues by saying that this was a very valuable experience and he would like to continue sending a delegate from Alaska.

212 213 214

Administrative Business Agenda Item 14

215 216

Proposed Regulations

217 218

219

220

221

The board reviewed the proposed regulations that closed public comment on December 3, 2018 that included:

- Hours will be increased from 500 to 625 hours on July 1, 2019
- Curriculum breakdown for both 500 and 625 hour programs

- 222 Reduction of fingerprint requirements for renewal to at least once every 6 years
 - Reduction of bloodborne pathogens requirement to applicants applying by examination from 4 to 2 hours.
 - Acceptance of apprenticeship program standards

223 224

> The board reviewed the comments submitted to the regulations specialist and found none that impacted the regulation as written.

228 229 230

231

232

In a motion duly made by Jill Motz, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to ADOPT the regulations that closed public comment on December 3, 2018 (DOL File #2018200985).

233

OLE Dulebohn will mail the Adoption Order for these regulations for signature.

234 235

Notification to Previously Denied Applicants

236 237 238

239

240

241

Given the adoption of a new Fitness to Practice Review as drafted by Acting Director Sara Chambers, the board reviews how they would like to re-open files of applicants previously denied because of criminal activity. In the interest of due process, the board will allow applicants with criminal activity the opportunity to submit additional documentation and participate in an interview before a decision of licensure is made by the board.

242 243 244

245

246 247

248

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED that the licensing examiner will CONTACT all applicants denied on the basis of criminal activity (background reports) to ask if the applicants would like their file re-opened to utilize the board's new Fitness to Practice review process. Applicant's that appealed and went through a ALI process will not be notified for reconsideration.

249 250 251

FSMTB Executive Summit

252 253

254

Chair Edwards-Smith asks the board to consider sending OLE Dulebohn to the FSMTB Executive Summit in April 2019. He believes that the Summit is a benefit to the board and it is 100% funded by FSMTB.

255 256 257

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE travel for Dawn Dulebohn to attend the FSMTB Executive Summit in April 2019 for additional training.

259 260 261

258

FSMTB State Licensure Roster

262 263

264

265

266

The Federation for State Massage Therapy Boards (FSMTB) has compiled a list of requirements for licensure by state. OLE Dulebohn has suggested these might be a valuable tool in evaluating applications by credentials to ensure that applicants are applying with a state license that meets or exceeds the standards set by Alaska in accordance with AS 08.61.040(9)(A).

Upon review, inaccuracies were detected in the Summary of Initial Education Curriculum 267 268

Requirements for State Massage Therapy Licensure. Under the "Approved School" heading for

Alaska, it was noted that "schools must be recognized by one of the following accrediting/credentialing agencies: AMTA, ABMP, NCBTMB, COMTA." The only national agency the board currently recognizes to accredit schools is the Commission on Massage Therapy Accreditation (COMTA).

272 273 274

275

269

270

271

In a motion duly made by Jill Motz, seconded by Traci Gilmour, and passed unanimously, it was RESOLVED to AUTHORIZE OLE Dulebohn to contact FSMTB about the corrections to their information on Alaska's school authorizing agencies.

276 277 278

Chair Edwards-Smith asks that OLE Dulebohn make FSMTB aware that we will be using their roster as a research tool. Board member Ron Gibbs has asked that OLE Dulebohn create a matrix using this information for the board to use as a tool in reviewing applications for licensure.

280 281 282

279

Review/Approve Past Meeting Minutes

283 284

285

286

The board reviewed minutes from the September 10-11, October 12, and November 15, 2018 meetings. Mr. Edwards-Smith, Ms. Gilmour, and Ms. Motz had questions and/or comments on the minutes. Ms. Gilmour noted a typo in the October 12, 2018 minutes, line 261 to change the word "clause" to "class".

287 288 289

290

291

292 293 OLE Dulebohn also asks permission from the board to add the following disclaimer to the top of all written minutes: Written meeting minutes reflects a brief overview of the business conducted by the board during their meeting. For a more detailed account, please request a copy of the meeting's audio recording at: https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/web/cbpl/PublicRecordsRequests.aspx. The reason being that everyone will be aware that meeting minutes are a summary written by the licensing examiner. The board agreed that would be helpful to persons reading the minutes.

294 295 296

297

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the meeting minutes from September 10-12, October 12, and November 15, 2018 as amended.

298 299 300

Correspondence

301 302

Traci Gilmour out at 11:45 a.m.

303 Back at 11:47 a.m.

304 305

306

307

308

The board reviewed correspondence sent in by Michael Hollstein. Mr. Hollstein has requested that the board allow him to apply by transition. The board discussed the request and ultimately decided to uphold regulation 12 AAC 79.120 that states that to apply by this method applications must have been received before July 1, 2017. They ask that OLE Dulebohn craft a response to Mr. Hollstein's correspondence on behalf of the board.

309 310

The board reviewed correspondence sent in by the Rolf Institute of Structural Integration. The 311 board does not feel that this letter impacts their current standard for RISI graduates. Board member 312 Jill Motz notes that the letter states "while this program does exceed the minimum requirements, 313 students should not consider the program as a terminal certificate for massage alone, but rather as

preparation to be Structural Integrators. No reply was requested or assigned to be crafted by the board.

OLE Dulebohn asks the board if the recently approved massage curriculum breakdown will be applied to every transcript from applicants applying by examination to determine education requirements have been met or just the transcripts coming from schools that do not have a defined massage program (such as RISI). The board answered that, yes, they will apply the curriculum breakdown to all transcripts going forward.

Meeting Calendar

No changes to the meeting calendar were made at this time.

Chair Edwards-Smith called a break for lunch at 11:53 a.m. until 1:15 p.m.

Agenda Item 10 Public Comment

Back from lunch at 1:12 p.m. Board members Edwards-Smith, Gilmour, Motz, McCoy, and Gibbs present.

While waiting to see if anyone wants to speak at public comment, Chair Edwards-Smith digressed into the next item on the agenda.

Agenda Item 11 ACPE School Approvals

In an e-mail on November 9, 2018 from Kierke Kussart from Alaska Commission on Postsecondary Education, Ms. Kussart informed the board that at the ACPE meeting on October 30, 2018, the Alaska Academy of Advanced Cosmetology has been reauthorized for 2 more years through October 31, 2020.

Agenda Item 10 (continued) Public Comment

Joining the meeting telephonically for Public Comment is:

Lisa Hunt, Licensed Massage Therapist

The board prepared to hear public comment. Having only one person, Board Chair David Edwards-Smith allotted Ms. Hunt 5 minutes for her comments. Ms. Hunt's statement was as follows:

 "Ok. I was listening today to the discussion on apprentice programs for massage therapists and if the regulations and things haven't been decided yet, I would like to encourage you guys to look at how Hawaii has done their school program because that's the program that I originally went through with licensing and I think that Hawaii has a lot of things in common with Alaska. We are both dealing with remote situations and challenges in those ways and then with their grandfathering they were looking at trying to protect the group of people that learned massage generationally and handed down. In the future, trying to support that structure as well as schools. When we look at ours, how we did it (when I was there, at least) they had Level One schooling that contained the basics that we want all therapists to know like anatomy and physiology. A basic idea on the different

categories and modalities are and basic information that we want all therapists to be competent in knowing. That would cover all things that we be in a state test. And then they have a Level 2 training that could be either an apprenticeship program with a bit of continuing ed or more continuing ed. where you would go into your specific training if you wanted to specialize your career. Otherwise, the apprenticeship program that they had made it so that you would be with a therapist that would be a licensed massage therapist for a minimum of two years. Every therapist could have two apprentices. There was also a limit on the number of conglomerations that you could have, like, you could have a massage establishment with three massage therapists working and each one of those were allowed to have two massage apprentices. Anyway, the board can look into the details of that but it may be something we would want to entertain 'cause it was a really nice way to incorporate new therapists into working area of massage therapy. I thought it was a really nice way to enter into it all and I think it might work well for Alaska. I forget what the other statement I wanted to make was...thank you for your time and efforts in all of these matters."

Board Chair Edwards-Smith thanked Lisa for her public comment. Seeing no one else signed up for public comment, the board moves on to other business. The board found themselves with 15 minutes before the next scheduled agenda item. They decided to take this time to review the 6 applications in their file.

Chair Edwards-Smith called a short break at 1:22 p.m. Back on the record at 1:31 p.m.

Agenda Item 12

Use of CBD Oil

In addition to all board members, joining the meeting at 1:32 p.m. are Regulations Specialist Jun Maiquis, Rob Carter with the Department of Natural Resources (DNR), and Director Erika McConnell with the Alcohol and Marijuana Control Office (AMCO).

 Chair David Edwards-Smith begins the discussion with some history on the board meetings and to state that the board's current position is that the board doesn't have the authority to regulate whether massage therapists could use cannabidiol (CBD) oils. He referenced the Standards of Practice and Code of Ethics that massage therapists must practice with consultation and permission of the client. There are currently massage therapists in Alaska that are promoting CBD Oil Massage and Mr. Edwards- Smith wants to know the legality of using the substance.

Board member Traci Gilmour joins the discussion by stating that CBD is available commercially; nation-wide and online. Board member Rebecca McCoy states that she sells CBD oil in her shop for human and pet consumption since there is nothing that prohibits her from selling it in her retail store.

Director of AMCO, Erika McConnell gives a brief history of marijuana and its products to the board:

Prior to April 13, 2018, all products made from *Cannabis Sativa L* were defined as marijuana and were subject to the restrictions and requirements of AS 17.30.08 which governs commercial marijuana establishments and established the Marijuana Control Board. Everything that came from the plant was under the jurisdiction of the Marijuana Control Board (MCB) with minor exceptions.

- While AMCO has seized some unregulated CBD, the board has directed staff (because of limited resources) to focus enforcement efforts on licensees and unlicensed businesses that are selling conventional marijuana rather than focusing on health food stores and retail establishments selling CBD.
- On April 13, 2018, Senate Bill 6 became law. That bill changed the definition of marijuana to carve out industrial hemp. It defined industrial hemp as "all parts and varieties of the plant *Cannabis Sativa L* containing not more than 0.3% of Delta 9 THC (tetrahydrocannabinol)."
- SB 6 also created a regulatory program for industrial hemp that is under the purview of the Department of Natural Resources which would remove CBD from the jurisdiction of AMCO.
- On a final note, once CBD oil is created, it is impossible to know if it came from industrial hemp or marijuana. When extracts of industrial hemp are concentrated, you can end up with a product that has more THC than expected. The Department of Law has determined that industrial hemp may only be grown, processed, and marketed through the DNR program and that it is not to be imported from other places. It is known that CBD is available from a variety of markets and in various products.

Rob Carter from Department of Natural Resources (who is currently drafting regulation for industrial hemp) shares some insights:

- SB 6 has outlined the identification and the process of industrial hemp and industrial hemp products. Industrial hemp and industrial hemp products are grown, manufactured, and offered for sale because prior to the 2014 Farm Bill (Agricultural Act of 2014), industrial hemp (including its plants and plant parts) were federally illegal. Technically even this bill did not legalize industrial hemp, production, or marketing of any of its plant parts unless an individual state has, on law, has an industrial hemp pilot program that is regulated by each individual state.
- SB 6 has provided the law and now the Department of Agriculture is building the regulatory framework for a pilot program that will allow for registrations for production, cultivation, and marketing of plant, plant parts, and extracts such as CBD.
- Currently, any CBD or industrial hemp plant or plant part that is within the state is technically illegal.
- Alaska has SB 6 but it has not been interpreted into regulation yet. Once regulations are
 finished, they will be out for public comment and anyone who wants to produce, cultivate,
 or market plants or plants parts (such as CBD oil products) are encouraged to comment. SB
 6 did not mandate a deadline for regulations but did have an immediate effective date to
 allow DNR to draft regulation.
- All industrial hemp products will need to meet or exceed Alaska's testing standards before they will be allowed to be offered for sale or transport within the state.

Chair Edwards-Smith clarifies by stating that once regulation is in place, any massage therapist looking to use CBD oil in a massage, would need to register with DNR to market the product and be in compliance with the law. DNR will have to provide a list of registrants to the Department of Public Safety (DPS) and AMCO each year. Mr. Carter goes on to offer to provide the list of registrants each year to the Board of Massage Therapists as a courtesy.

- 456 Board member Ron Gibbs brings up the question of: Does the board want to start regulating the
- topical lotions/oils that a therapist uses? He feels since there is another agency that would oversee 457
- CBD oils, there would be no need to over-regulate. Board member Jill Motz wonders if the board 458
- 459 has a culpability or a responsibility to keep the public safe in this regard. If licensees are breaking
- the law in the course of providing massage therapy, where is the boards role? 460
- 461 The board requests that an FAQ be drafted on the subject on CBD with a link to Alaska Plant
- Materials Center and the Department of Law Consumer Advisory on Unregulated CBD Oil. Board 462
- member Jill Motz requests that in addition to the FAQ, a mass e-mail be sent out to licensees on this 463
- subject. The board discusses that, while they do not normally send out e-mail notifications because 464
- they trust that licensees will take on the personal responsibility to obey the law and keep themselves 465
- 466 educated, since they have already decided to send out a mass e-mail on continuing education date
- ranges, the CBD information can be included in that e-mail. 467

The board thanks both Ms. McConnell and Mr. Carter for joining the meeting and providing such important information.

470 471 472

Rob Carter and Erika McConnell left the meeting at 1:59 p.m.

473

Agenda Item 14 (continued) Administrative Business

474 475

476

- Chair Edwards-Smith calls a short recess at 2:00 p.m.
- Back on the record at 2:04 p.m. 477

478 479

Review Applications

480

The board takes time to review the 6 applications put before them today.

481 482

- 483 In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, it was RESOLVED to
- ENTER into Executive Session in accordance with AS 44.62.310(c), and Alaska 484
- Constitutional Right to Privacy Provisions, for the purpose of discussing "matters which by 485
- 486 law, municipal charter, or ordinance are required to be confidential". OLE Dulebohn to
- remain during Executive Session. 487

488

- 489 Off the record at 2:05p.m.
- Back on record from Executive Session at 2:21 p.m. 490

491 492

Agenda Item 13

Review Drafted Regulations

493 494

Meeting is joined by Regulations Specialist Sher Zinn at 2:22 p.m.

495 496

Code of Conduct

497

The board discusses the update to Code of Ethics #8 in regard to sexual advertising and it's 498 regulation. Ms. Zinn states that she consulted Assistant Attorney General Harriet Milks when it 499

came to regulating advertising of massage establishments and was informed that board has the 500

501 authority to regulate advertising. Ms. Zinn goes on to say that if the board has the authority to

regulate advertising for an establishment then they also have the authority to regulation advertising 502

503 for individual massage therapists. Board Member Ron Gibbs interjects that there could be a loophole for advertising that is on social media or un-paid. Ms. Zinn replies that that would be a question for the Attorney General. Board member Jill Motz answers that recently she had reported someone to Investigations and was told by Investigations that there is a difference between personal social medial and business social media accounts when it comes to content.

The updated Code of Conduct #8 would read: "I will not, in any circumstance, initiate or engage in sexual conduct, activities, advertising, or sexualizing behavior involving a client, even if the client attempts to sexualize the relationship." The board has no amendment to its drafted language.

In-Class Supervised

Ms. Zinn leads the discussion on a regulation further defining "in-class supervised" to read: "(c) In this section, 'in-class supervised instruction' means education received either in a physical classroom or through online distance education. Online courses must meet the requirements of (b)(2)(A) or (B) of this section".

Ms. Zinn goes on to talk the financials of posting these for public comment (\$500.00 for the Anchorage Daily News posting and 55 cents an envelope to mail notices to licensees and interested parties) and the board decides that to be fiscally responsible, they will wait and publish these in conjunction with the Massage Establishment Licensing (MEL) regulations that it plans to complete the following day in order to get ahead of legislative session.

In a motion duly made by Jill Motz, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE regulation changes for "Code of Conduct" and "In-Class Supervised" to go out for public comment.

The board follows up with Ms. Zinn on the Department of Law questions from the November 15, 2018 meeting:

• If the board decides to license establishments owned only by non-therapists, they may not inspect establishments owned by licensed massage therapists (exempt establishments).

• The board can require LMT's to have a valid identification on them at all times. Valid ID would be a state or federally issued ID with picture, birthdate, and expiration date. This is to ensure that the licenses displayed match the massage therapists currently working.

The board can create regulations for massage establishment licensing that states that the primary place of business cannot serve alcohol.
The board can require liability insurance for a massage establishment but Ms. Zinn would

like the board to double check that with Ms. Milks at tomorrow's meeting.
The board cannot require therapists working in massage establishments be United States citizens. They can require that therapists are legally allowed to work in the U.S.

Sher Zinn left the meeting at 2:43 p.m.

Agenda Item 14 (continued) Administrative Business

Chair Dave Edwards-Smith directed the board to go back to reviewing application.

Review Applications (continued)

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, it was RESOLVED to

553 ENTER into Executive Session in accordance with AS 44.62.310(c), and Alaska

Constitutional Right to Privacy Provisions, for the purpose of discussing "matters which by

law, municipal charter, or ordinance are required to be confidential". OLE Dulebohn to

556 remain during Executive Session.

Off the record at 2:44 p.m.

Back on record from Executive Session at 3:07 p.m.

Board member Rebecca McCoy left the meeting at 3:00 p.m.

In a motion made by Jill Motz, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote noting the absence of Rebecca McCoy, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the application for a massage therapist license for Christina Barela.

In a motion made by Jill Motz, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote noting the absence of Rebecca McCoy, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the application for a massage therapist license for Kukapuouhaa Gunderson PENDING a passing score on the MBLEx.

In a motion made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote noting the absence of Rebecca McCoy, it was RESOLVED to REFER the file for K.K. back to Investigations for additional information and further review.

574 for **K.K.**

In a motion made by Jill Motz, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote noting the absence of Rebecca McCoy, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the application for a massage therapist license for Chad Morgan.

 In a motion made by Jill Motz, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote noting the absence of Rebecca McCoy, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the application for a massage therapist license for Jeanette Santana.

In a motion made by Jill Motz, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote noting the absence of Rebecca McCoy, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the application for a massage therapist license for Darla Velador.

Document Signing

Approved meeting minutes for June, September, October, and November 2018 meetings, a wall certificate for Jayme Powers, and Order of Adoption for Regulations will be sent to Chair Dave Edwards-Smith for his signature.

Agenda Item 15 Adjourn or Recess

Chair Edwards-Smith has recessed for the day and will reconvene the meeting at 10:00 a.m. on December 7, 2018. Off the record at 3:39p.m.

599 Friday, December 7, 2018 600 601 Agenda Item 16 Call to Order/Roll Call 602 On the record at 10:04 a.m. 603 604 605 Board Members present, constituting a quorum: 606 David Edwards-Smith, Board Chair-Licensed Massage Therapist 607 Traci Gilmour, Licensed Massage Therapist 608 Ron Gibbs, Licenses Massage Therapist 609 Jill Motz, Licensed Massage Therapist 610 611 Rebecca McCoy, Public Member 612 613 **Division Staff present:** 614 Dawn Dulebohn, Occupational Licensing Examiner 615 Greg François, Chief Investigator 616 Sher Zinn, Regulations Specialist II 617 Harriet Dinegar, Department of Law 618 Sara Chambers, Acting Director 619 Dawn Hannasch, Records and Licensing Supervisor 620 621 622 Observing Telephonically: 623 Michelle McMullen 624 625 Review Agenda 626 Agenda Item 17 627 628 Agenda was reviewed by the board. 629 In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously 630 631 with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the agenda for December 7, 2018. 632 633 Agenda Item 18 **Disciplinary Matrix Revision** 634 Chair Edwards-Smith led the board to discuss what was once the "Barrier Crimes Matrix". He 635 directs the board to change it from "barrier crime" to something that would warrant a mandatory 636 637 board review. The board determined that a prostitution conviction is a crime that would automatically trigger a Fitness to Practice review. 638 639 640 To clarify, Sara Chambers asked if all items that are listed on the current "Barrier Crimes Matrix" that are not in would be stripped out of the matrix and was given an affirmative by the board. 641 Board member Traci Gilmour suggests starting a matrix from scratch. The board tasked OLE 642 Dulebohn to create a new matrix that will be presented at the next meeting for review and adoption. 643 644 Ms. Chambers interjects that there is nothing statutorily that allows the board to blanketly deny a license because of a criminal conviction. The board will always have to review the applicants 645 646 criminal background and apply the new Fitness to Practice process to determine if an individual can

practice competently and safely. If the board feels like there is a specific crime that would not allow an individual to practice competently and safely within a given time frame, that would need to be addressed in regulation and all its processes.

Assistant Attorney General Harriet Milks suggests the board holding off on assigning a time frame 651 652 to crimes since they are a relatively new board with not a lot of board experience. She goes on to state that the board should consider how convictions of certain crimes really do impact the profession. Ms. Milks goes on to state that the board needs to be aware that taking action against 654 these prospective licensees based on a previous conviction has to be related to the purposes of the statute and be consistent. Chair Edwards-Smith replies that the board knows that every applicant 656 requires due process and goes on to state that the matrix is just a tool for the investigative team and the board as well as an informational tool for applicants to know the boards expectations. 658

OLE Dulebohn makes the clarifying statement that a Fitness to Practice Review/Interview will be automatically triggered by the board's initial denial of an applicant that is based on a criminal conviction.

Ethics Courses

647

648

649 650

653

655

657

659

660

661 662

663

664 665

666 667

668

669

670 671 672

673 674

675 676

677

678 679

680 681 682

683 684

685

686 687

688

689 690

691 692

693 694

Board member Traci Gilmour presents some ethics courses that she believes should be included in every consent agreement for people conditionally licensed that have criminal convictions of moral turpitude. She talks about the lack of ethics courses by massage therapists and thinks that it should be part of everyone's continuing education. Ms. Gilmour states that all ethics courses should be over 3 hours.

Chair Edwards-Smith thinks that this is a good point and great information. He references a report by NCBTMB that itemizes specific subject matter that the board could require be taught instead of mandating a specific companie's class.

Board member Ron Gibbs shares that, in his research, most content is fairly universal between all ethics courses. Ms. Motz wants the board to compile a range of courses that therapists can choose from, like what the board is doing with continuing education subjects. The Chair tasks the board with doing further research on ethics courses so that a curriculum can be outlined and approved in the next board meeting.

Holding Applications for Background Reports Agenda Item 19

Chair Edwards-Smith addresses a concern brought up by Division in regard to the board not reviewing applications until it receives background reports on the applicant. Ms. Motz reminds the board of a discussion with legal earlier in her board tenure in which it was stated that there was no mandate requiring the board issue a license without a background report. Ms. Motz goes on to express frustrations with Division over conflicting information on topics such as the barrier crimes matrix. Ms. Motz states that the board was previously advised that it is a very lengthy and costly process to revoke a license once it has been issued so it is a better process to wait for the background reports before issuing a license.

Chair Edwards-Smith frames the board's position on background checks: "The board considers the practice of licensing massage therapists, after a clear background check, a matter of public safety.

The board understands that the intimate and vulnerable nature of clients require a licensed massage therapist to be competent and safe to practice. The board is doing everything they can to prevent a case of a client to falling victim to an actor who has not been thoroughly vetted for crimes of moral turpitude. Waiting for a clear background check is in compliance with AS 08.61.030(9) and (5) gives the board the tool to complete that." He goes on to state that (5) gives the power to fingerprint and goes hand-in-hand with (9) that requires the board to review cases of criminal convictions. Mr. Edwards-Smith muses that the board cannot determine if a person has committed a crime of moral turpitude and has been vetted appropriately if (5) and (9) do not go together. In the board's interaction with Law on this subject, the board never felt as though it was going against directives.

> Ms. Milks responds to the Chair by stating that AS 08.61.030(5)(9) are somewhat inconsistent. (5) states that applicants just need to be fingerprinted and pay the applicable fees and (9) states that the board shall issue a license to practice massage therapy to someone who has not been convicted of certain crimes. She goes on to state that the board is correct in its interpretation because it is hard to know who has been convicted unless the board waits for the background report. Ms. Milks continues by stating that the board may have a compelling reason to lean more heavily on (9) than (5). That decision would be a policy call by the board, who has the authority to interpret the statutes in a way that places more emphasis on (9) than (5). She goes on to state that if the board says their #1 goal is to protect the public and the board cannot do that unless the persons convicted of crimes of moral turpitude have been weeded out as a matter of public safety, the board can withhold active licensure until the background report has been received. Ms. Milks suggests the board revamp 12 AAC 79.130(c) to reflect their valid argument concerning public safety. She goes on to state that the applicant base be made aware that the board waiting for the background reports may add additional time to their application processing but it will be something they need to prepare for when applying for a massage therapist license. To expedite the process, some boards have delegated authority to their licensing examiner and that is something the board can consider. If the board chooses to delegate authority, would need to be clearly formulated into regulations.

 OLE Dulebohn states, for the record, that in the initial licensing period the Department of Public Safety was returning background checks in an excess of 3 months but, according to her records, in 2018 the Department of Public Safety has been returning background reports within an average of 1-2 months. She goes on to say that when people ask questions about the application process, she always informs applicants of the background report processing time and encourages them to send their fingerprint card and fingerprint processing fees in ahead of their application so background report processing can begin and will not hold up board review. The board requests OLE Dulebohn to craft and post a FAQ on fingerprint processing and the reasoning behind sending the cards in early.

 Ms. Motz joins the conversation by stating that though it may be frustrating to applicants, most professions that require background reports do not work with vulnerable populations that may find themselves in various stages of undress. Being that the board considers public safety to be of the utmost importance, she does not think it unreasonable that therapists performing services be thoroughly vetted before being released on the public. Ms. Chambers responds by stating that she wanted an open dialogue with the board, on the record, to explain and understand why they are operating differently than any other licensing program that requires fingerprinting. In her research, Ms. Chambers found no board vote or action that clearly laid out the board's reasoning for not reviewing applications until a background report has been received by Division. Without having the board's position clearly laid out, the Division cannot properly respond to concerns from applicants,

governor's office, ombudsman's office, or legislators. Ms. Chambers goes on to state that, with the board making this distinction on the record, Division can now respond to inquiring authoritative bodies and applicants that the board has the right to make these decisions, the process was logically considered, they have the authority to make such decisions, and have instructed staff to process applications in this way.

Board Chair Edwards-Smith requests council from Ms. Chambers on language for a position statement about fingerprint processing and background checks in regard to application review by the board. With permission from Ms. Chambers, Ms. Milks answers the Chair's question by advising the board to make a statement on their website, inform applicants in whatever means possible, but whenever the board does something that will have an impact on the general public and licensees in general, ultimately the decision should be made into a regulation. She goes on to say that it is particularly important with the unclear statutes so the public knows how the board is harmonizing the two sections. Ms. Milks recommends that the board look into amending 12 AAC 79.130(c) to make their position clear that the application process will process, however, the license will not be issued until the criminal background check is received. Going through this process will assure applicant's that their application is not being "stalled" pending receipt of background reports.

Ms. Motz asks questions about DPS's timeframe of processing background reports from fingerprint cards. OLE Dulebohn informs her that there is no timeframe and it would depend on DPS's workload on any given day. Ms. Motz suggests that schools send in their student's fingerprint cards up to 3 months ahead of graduation to allow for background report processing times. OLE Dulebohn replies that, although an FAQ may be valuable to students too, more often the not, the people upset by the time that background reports take are persons applying by credentials. Applicants applying by Credentials often move to Alaska before applying for licensure and are inundated with the stress of moving to a new state, not having any income, and not understanding the hold-up that background check processing presents.

Public board member Rebecca McCoy asks why the board doesn't have a time frame for application processing stated in statute or regulation and why there is no temporary licensing? OLE Dulebohn responds that there is no timeframe for application processing because there are many factors outside of the control of the applicants, staff, and board. Exam scores, transcripts, and license verifications must come directly from the school or agency and background reports must come from the Department of Public Safety. OLE Dulebohn goes on to state that the reason there are no temporary licenses for massage therapists is because that would not line up with the board's primary concern (which is to protect public safety).

Board member Ron Gibbs reminds everyone that the board has had incompetent licensing examiners in the past that the board trusted to follow statutes and regulation by approving applications pending a clear background check. That examiner instead issued licensed without background reports being received by Division. Though Mr. Gibbs acknowledges that the board has a different caliber of examiner now, they will never know who the state will assign their board as a license examiner in the future. Chair Edwards-Smith thanks Mr. Gibbs for his insight and recalls that licenses being issued by previous examiners was actually a ding on the board's last audit/sunset review. The Chair goes on to interpret that if the auditor construed the act of issuing a license without a background report as a negative, that reinforces the board's position that background reports should always be received before licenses are issued to an applicant.

The board discusses the language it would like to present for a position statement on how background reports impact the application process. To allow crafting of the position statement language, the Board Chair calls for a short break.

793 794 795

791

792

Off the record at 11:12 a.m. Back on the record at 11:19 a.m.

796 797 798

799

800 801

In a motion made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, a position statement was ADOPTED that, in the interest of public safety, the Board of Massage Therapists will consider an application file incomplete and not reviewable by the board until a state and national criminal history background report has been received by Division.

802 803 804

805 806

The board would like to clarify that the administrative processing of applications will continue up until the background report has been received by Division and that incomplete applications impact the date on which the board will review completed applications. Staff will still process incoming documents and send status updates prior to background reports being received.

807 808 809

Board Chair Edwards-Smith asks for council from AAG Harriet Milks on language to the suggested amendment to regulation 12 AAC 79.130(c). Ms. Milks asks the board to proceed as scheduled while she considers the request.

811 812 813

810

Agenda Item 5 (continued) Review Previous Task Lists

814 815

816

817

818

819

820

Traci Gilmour takes the break in schedule as an opportunity to address the board on an incomplete item on the task list. Ms. Gilmour was supposed to contact the fire marshal concerning inspections and thought she did not contact them, she did research some of the codes and consulted Regulations Specialist Sher Zinn on the subject. Her research led to a blanket statement that the board could use stating that according to AS 08.10.170 that all establishments and therapists must abide by fire safety codes in Alaska. That statement would also need to include all local fire and safety codes as well.

821 822 823

Agenda Item 19 (continued) Holding Applications for Background Reports

824 825

826

827

828

Ms. Milks signals the board that she has prepared possible language regarding an amendment of 12 AAC 79.130(c). Ms. Milks reiterates that this language is subject to the full and complete public process. She feels the language is reasonable and supported by the board's expression of its position regarding application processing and background reports. The board hears the suggestion and find that the language is clear and concise and moves forward with a motion.

829 830 831

Chair Edwards-Smith takes advice from Sara Chambers on moving the lunch break up from 1:00 p.m. Shortly thereafter the Chair had technical difficulties disconnecting him from the meeting so the board decided to break for lunch earlier than originally anticipated.

832 833 834

- The board breaks for lunch. Off the record at 11:37 a.m. 835 Back on the record at 12:47 p.m.
- 836

837 838

Agenda Item 19 (continued) Holding Applications for Background Reports

In attendance are Sara Chambers, Dawn Hannasch, Harriet Milks, Greg François, Traci Gilmour, Rebecca McCoy, Ron Gibbs, Jill Motz, and David Edwards-Smith.

In a motion made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to AMEND 12 AAC 79.130(c) to read: "The request under (b) of this section for a criminal justice information report does not delay administrative processing of the applicant's license application" and INSERT "an application will not be considered complete until the criminal justice information required in (a) is received by the board."

Chair Edwards-Smith will complete the Regulation Changes Questionnaire that needs to accompany this regulation project and submit it after the meeting concludes.

Agenda Item 20

Massage Establishment Licensing (M.E.L.)

Michelle McMullen joins the meeting telephonically at 12:54 p.m. to observe.

Ms. Chambers reveals to the board that with the departure of Director Janey McCullough and her being made Acting Director, she has made herself more familiar with current legislation. In preparation for the meeting earlier this week, Ms. Chambers discovered something concerning in the HB 110 legislation passed in 2018 so she sought a consultation with Harriet Milks and others in the Department of Law. Ms. Chambers regrets to inform the board that the statute that was passed does not give the board the authority to license massage establishments.

 The statute passed gives the board the authority to regulate massage establishments but there is nothing in the statute that specifically said the board could set a barrier or threshold to entry (which is licensure). Ms. Chambers conducted research, read through all the minutes from legislative committees and applicable documentation, and found there was a very clear assumption and expectation on the part of the board, division director, and legislators participating on those committees. However, the actual wording of the statute does not give the board the authority to license massage establishments.

Ms. Chambers proposes the following options moving forward:

1. Since the board has done extensive work on the licensing regulations and the intent of the legislature was clear, the board should proceed in the planned discussion for today and work on moving the process forward. The board still has the ability and expectation to regulate massage establishments on July 1, 2019. The board can just pull back on the licensing aspects moving forward.

2. The board can work quickly to secure a legislative sponsor before the 2019 legislative session that would propose legislation on the board's behalf. The sponsor would ask to amend the 2018 legislation to read "licensure and regulation of massage establishments" and allow it to move forward in the legislature. There would be no need for a fiscal note since there would be no change from last year from what was expected and adopted. If it all worked out, the original implementation date of July 1, 2019 could stand.

Ms. Chambers concluded her monologue by apologizing to the board and offering her and Ms. Milks services in helping the board move forward. Ms. Milks adds that it may look to some that the

new statute giving the board the authority to regulate massage establishments is the same as licensing but it really is not because, if you are not issuing a license, there is no license for the board to revoke as a consequence of actions if they misbehave. She believes that the board should move forward with amending the statute for the board to gain licensing rights for massage establishments.

Chair Edwards-Smith begins the conversation by asking how it was possible to regulate establishments without licensing. He muses by stating that the board would need to define what a massage establishment is and disciplinary sanctions associated with that would need to be a fine since the board could not take away their right to be in business without them having a license. Ms. Milks agrees with the Chair's summation of the situation. The board can issue an approval or endorsement and discipline through fines but without the statutory authority to license, there is no license to revoke as a disciplinary action. The Chair goes on to ask of Ms. Milks whether the board will still have the authority to inspect properties for compliance with regulations. Ms. Chambers replies that having the authority to regulate gives the board ability to state what can and cannot happen in a massage establishment. She goes on that it would seem reasonable that the board be able to investigate establishments to ensure the standards outlined in regulation are being met.

 Ms. Chambers explains to the board that authority to license cannot exist without regulation but regulations can exist without the power to license because licensing is an entry to practice. As it stands, anyone can have a massage establishment, but in doing so must abide by the board's statutory ability to set regulations during the course of providing massage therapy services. She expands by saying that the current statute doesn't give the board a license to take away but it allows the board to set standards for that faction of the industry. The Chair surmised that having only regulatory power eliminates the application process but does not eliminate the board from addressing a situation at a massage establishment, should it arise. Ms. Chambers goes on to say that by the board defining a massage establishment, it will clear the way for enforcement of regulation in the same way that the board defined who and who was not a massage therapist.

Ms. Chambers continues the conversation by stating that the board could require registration of massage establishments even though there would be no criteria for entry. A possible trigger to that registration is obtaining a business license for a massage establishment. Another program that has registration in lieu of licensing is the Board of Chiropractic Examiners who has the ability require registration of assistants and interns.

The Chair and Ms. Chambers discuss whether the board wants to enact dual requirements for establishments concerning massage establishment registration. The Chair reflects that the reason for exemption (when there was going to be establishment licensing) was to spare qualified health care professionals the application process and cost but if there is no longer an application process and fee, exemptions are a moot point.

Sher Zinn joined the meeting at 1:06 p.m.

 The board expresses their disappointment and frustration at the news that they do not have the authority to license massage establishments. They have worked very hard for so long to get legislation passed to license establishments, were told they have been granted the correct legislation, and have been working on regulations for establishment licensing for months only to be told they have wasted their considerable amounts of their personal time and money as well as the board's time.

Mr. Gibbs informs the board that he fails to see the similarities of what the chiropractors have done with registering assistants and what the massage board is trying to do with establishments. Mr. Gibbs goes on to say that the board's goal was to shut down illegal business and hinder human trafficking. Mr. Gibbs states that those goals are not the same as a profession wanting to have employees that work under the guidance of their licensees. He expresses that if the board is not going to be able to license establishments as they set out to do then the board should seek out advice on how to just not regulate establishments at all. Ms. Chambers replies to Mr. Gibbs with sympathy and understanding for his feelings and concerns. She does not know why this error was not caught by the legislators or the legislative legal team but she reiterates that what the Division can do now is help the board figure out how it would like to move forward with the legal authority it has been granted or getting the statutory authority to license if that is something the board wants to pursue.

Ms. Milks, through Sara Chambers, reminds the board that with regulatory authority, the board can fine, send cease and desist letters, and inspect properties which are sufficient tools too achieve their goals of assisting law enforcement in hindering human trafficking. Ms. Chambers reminds the board that they are not a law enforcement body and will never be able to shut down human trafficking in Alaska. What the board does have is the ability to alert law enforcement of things untoward in the industry by being a screening tool. The Chair replies that the board is aware of the board's role with law enforcement but felt like licensing to allow inspections would give authorities access to enforce human trafficking violations that otherwise may not be discovered. Chair Edwards-Smith goes on to state that the disclosure that the board does not have the authority to license establishments may be a good thing because it would greatly decrease the costs to the board with the removal of the application process. He feels that as long as the board has the authority to regulate and inspect establishments, the tools will still be in place for the board to have a positive impact on public safety and human trafficking.

Ms. Motz joins the conversation to express her differing opinion. She feels like the board set out to both license and regulate massage establishments. Ms. Motz worries that regulating establishments without the bees generated by applications for licensure will be a financial burden to massage therapists. She wants to know what the board is obligated to have done by July 1, 2019 and she wants to know where the board went wrong in their lobbying efforts. Ms. Motz laments that the board members spent a lot of their personal time away from their families and businesses (which equates to personal income lost) while striving to get this legislation passed with the assumption that Division and/or the legal team were supporting the board in their mission. She echoes Mr. Gibbs sentiment that the board give up on anything relating to establishment licensing and asks if that is a viable option for the board at this point.

 Chair Edwards-Smith acknowledges the boards concerns but states that he would like to begin to move forward to complete some of the tasks at hand concerning regulation of massage establishments. He would like to have the board consider what the definition of massage establishment is and the requirements the board needs to establish in regulation. The Chair states that the board should look for the tools it will use in the event of a complaint and/or an inspection. Finally, he wants the board to think of what the disciplinary matrix will be for establishments.

Mr. Gibbs digresses from the Board Chair's direction wanting an answer for Ms. Motz questions about what the revenue source will be for regulating massage establishments. Mr. Gibbs references

what the board's investigative costs were for massage therapists and worries about what the immense cost will be to the board once investigations start doing inspections of establishments. He renews his interest in rescinding the amendment to House Bill 110 that relates to massage establishment. Ms. Motz joins the conversation with the concern of the new governor's possible take on a bill that regulates Alaska businesses. She believes the board should have a better understanding of the new administration before they entertain the possibility of going back to the legislature and sacrificing more of their personal time and money. Ms. Motz want to know what the board's minimum obligations are concerning the bill at this time.

Ms. Chambers replies to the board's questions and concerns starting with the law that says that the board shall adopt regulations governing massage therapy establishments. She informs the board that the next legislative audit will look for evidence that the board adopted regulations pertaining to massage therapy establishments. She goes on to say that there is nothing that says the board has do anything on July 1, 2019. Ms. Chambers continues that previously it was planned that the board would have a pathway to licensure for establishments by July 1st. Without authority and that expectation not being stated in statute, the board does not have to have anything ready on July 1st but they have the ability. Ms. Chambers goes on to state that this means that nothing has to change concerning massage establishments and that things will only change by the board adopting new regulations governing those establishments.

Ms. Chambers next guides the board to fee setting abilities under administrative purview under AS 08.61.090 says that "the department shall set fees under our fee setting statute for applications, license issuance, license renewal, and investigation under this chapter." She goes on to explain that investigative fees can be set under statute and she would like to have a conversation in the future with legal counsel about setting those fees for establishments so the board may use fees as a revenue source to cover legitimate expenses.

Traci Gilmour asks the Chair for the opportunity to express her thoughts on this situation for the record. She confirms with Ms. Chambers that the only word missing out of HB 110 is "license." Ms. Gilmour expresses frustration at the amount of personal time that she took to lobby the legislature to get HB 110 passed. She expresses severe disappointment in everyone who counseled the board in this matter. Ms. Gilmour regrets that the board will not be able to complete their commitment to the City of Anchorage and the Federal Bureau of Investigations in licensing massage establishments. Ms. Chambers responds to Ms. Gilmour by reiterating that the board has the authority to regulate establishments which will allow them to identify establishments and set standards for what is happening within them. Ms. Chambers feels that the board lacking licensure for establishments does not do anything for criminal law enforcement. Ms. Chambers recalls a meeting she had with the Municipality of Anchorage (prior to the formation of the massage board) in which they admitted not knowing which establishments are out there. She continues by saying if the board can provide a list to the interested parties through registration of establishments, they would be amassing a body of information that, through the Chief Investigator and his team, can work with municipal, state, and federal law enforcement. Mr. Edwards-Smith interjects that if the board can still complete the same goals without the licensing process, then he feels that is what the board needs to move forward with achieving. Ms. Chambers agrees that though they may look different, completing these goals will give a sense of peace to the board. Ms. Chambers reassures the board that she and Ms. Milks will provide adequate assistance to complete those goals effectively and efficiently. Ms. Chambers mentions that the medical board also has persons that are not

federally required to be licensed but they have been authorized to keep a registry in order to know who is practicing medicine legally in the state.

Ms. Milks weighs in on the conversation to say that she agrees with everything that Ms. Chambers said to the board and reiterates that the board still has a statute that says the board shall regulate massage therapy establishments. The board's job now is to put on the record, discuss, and accumulate all the regulations they would be normally discussing, except for licensure.

Dawn Hannasch left the meeting at 1:51 p.m.

OLE Dulebohn asked the board, for the record, if they were willing to pursue sponsorship to amend the current bill to add the word "license". The board responded that it was not willing to entertain such notion at this time. Ms. Motz asks the board to entertain the thought of stepping back from the establishment regulations to allow Division to discuss topics brought up during this meeting and allow the board to regroup.

OLE Dulebohn informs the board that if they will not be introducing legislation for 2019, their request to have their February 28- March 1, 2019 meeting in Juneau will most likely not be approved due to its considerable cost over meeting in Anchorage. Ms. Motz, Ms. Gilmour, and Mr. Gibbs do not necessarily oppose the introduction of legislation for 2019, they just do not want to discuss the topic now.

Ms. Gilmour states that she is willing to work on massage establishment definition and asks Ms. Chambers to advise the board as to what is absolutely necessary for the board to complete in the next few months. Ms. Gilmour continues that there is a responsibility for the board to meet in Juneau if for no other reason to update their legislators on what is happening with the board and build relationships for future endeavors.

 Public board member Rebecca McCoy comes forward to ask the board how they plan to compel massage establishments to voluntarily register. Ms. Chambers respond that there is a law in place that lets establishments know they will be regulated. People applying for or renewing a business license will have to first register with professional licensing and there will be a wide net cast with applicable professions to ensure everyone is informed of the current registration requirements. The board continues to discuss possibilities for grace periods, what would be involved in searching for massage establishments, human trafficking tactics, and ways to encourage establishment owners to come forward to register. Ms. Gilmour asks Ms. Chambers and Ms. Milks if a board member could walk into an establishment and compel them to comply with statute and the answer is a resounding "no".

The board recognizes that they put other regulations projects on hold in anticipation of sending out all projects together. Since there will be no draft regulations for massage establishment licensing sent to the Regulations Specialist today, the board decides to send the already approved draft regulations out for public comment and/or formatting of language.

In a motion made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to send In-Class Supervised & Code of Conduct draft regulations out for public comment.

107410751076

1077

10781079

1080

1081

1072

1073

Ms. Chambers suggests that the board create a framework that she and Ms. Milks could review for massage establishment regulations. Ms. Milks advises the board to use broad categories such as hours, physical establishment requirements, inspections, etc. and the reminds them that the specifics will be worked out later when they go to Regulations Specialist Zinn. When Ms. Milks and Ms. Chambers receive the board's list, they will go through and see how they work with regulations, what recourse the board has for person's not following regulation, investigative fees, and whether a fee can be assessed for registration.

108210831084

Missus Chambers, Milks, and Zinn left the meeting at 1:59 p.m.

1085 1086

The Chair called a short break. Off the record at 2:00 p.m.

1087 Back on the record at 2:05 p.m.

1088 1089

Greg Francois left the meeting at 2:06 p.m.

1090 1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096 1097

1098

1099

1100 1101

1102

1103

1104 1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

11111112

1113

The board discusses items to include in regulations for massage establishments. Ms. Motz revisits whether therapists will be exempt from establishment regulation to which the Chair responded that no longer matters as the purpose of exemption was to exclude therapists from the licensing application process and cost, which no longer exists. Ms. Motz and Gilmour disagrees and insist that the wish list requires exemptions. Ms. Gilmour states that she doesn't want to insult businesses owned by a licensed massage therapist or on the exemption list to make sure they are not illicit. Ms. McCoy joins the conversation by asking if all the board members are sole proprietors of their massage business to which they all agree they are (Ms. Motz's business is a LLC). Ms. McCoy continues by asking the board if they feel that they are bias because they have a special interest being that they are all massage therapists that own their own businesses. Ms. Gilmour explains that the board does not want to include LMT owned businesses in establishment regulation because they are already bound by the statutes and regulations of the Board of Massage Therapists. Ms. McCoy goes on to state that, as a licensed esthetician and a shop owner, if she chooses to rent space to someone, that person is required to have their own business license. Ms. McCoy feels like if the Board of Massage Therapists require owners of a location to get a registration to allow a licensed massage therapist to work under their roof, people will not rent to massage therapists. Ms. Motz explains that the board's intention with the introduction of this legislation, was not to burden legitimate massage therapists with too much regulation. The board's aim is to hold independent contractors that are not massage therapists, legally responsible for their actions regarding human trafficking. Ms. McCoy reminds the board that while massage therapists are under the blanket jurisdiction of the board, their establishments are not. To exclude massage therapist owned establishments from regulation would be to relinquish control over regulating their establishment as the massage therapy license is not the same as the new regulation passed in 2018. Mr. Gibbs agrees that some of the

items that the board wants to regulate through massage establishment and hold persons accountable for such as locking doors, inspections, sexual conduct, and dress are not authorized by statute for massage therapists. He continues that if a therapist is working out of their house, what's the difference between that and paying rent somewhere? Mr. Gibbs feels there is a legal question to be addressed here by LAW. At the end of the conversation, the board was still divided on whether LMT's will have to register under the new massage establishment regulations.

1120 1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

11271128

Possible Working Definition of Massage Establishment

- 1. performs massage therapy as per statute for compensation
- 2. a fixed place of business of two or more individuals or of a partnership, firm, association, corporation, or business entity or any other combination of individuals which:
 - a. Uses the word massage in any solicitation or advertisement
 - b. Engages in, conducts, carries-on or permits massage or massage therapy to be conducted or carried on for money or other consideration.
- 3. Anyone who houses a massage therapist (who is not a massage therapist), whether the spaces are loaned, leased, or rented.

112911301131

11321133

1134

11361137

Establishment Wish List

- 1. Random inspection/legal access to establishments
- 2. Operations requirements (reference #4 from previous meeting)
- 3. Registry of establishment and/or self- inspection
- **4.** Massage workers in establishment
 - 5. Can the definition not include business owned by licensed massage therapists?
 - 6. Fee setting ability for investigation (to generate revenue)
 - 7. Can there be a registration fee?

1138 1139 1140

11411142

1143

Ms. Gilmour asks how the board can more effectively communicate with division and LAW to avoid issues like these in the future? OLE Dulebohn reminds the board that things sometimes get missed through no one person's fault. Board members testified, legislators reviewed, legal staff read, and no one caught this oversight because everyone had the same intention to allow the board the authority to license massage establishments.

1144 1145 1146

Agenda Item 14 (continued) Administrative Business

11471148

1149

In a motion made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE Anchorage as an alternate location for the February 28- March 1, 2019 meeting (if Juneau is not approved).

115011511152

New Task List:

TASK LIST

December 6-7, 2018

			When is the	Distribute to	
#	Task	Who to complete?	deadline?	the Board?	Completed

1 1		I	1	I	I
	Get comparable cases for other programs with		Before		
	the same number of licensees on how many INV		March 2019	Yes, via	
1	cases are pending/ have been referred	Sonia Lipker	meeting	OnBoard	
_	Create and post FAQ on CE date range for 2019	Johns Elpinoi	Before	0.1200.0	
	renewal and beyond. (Send mass e-mail to		March 2019	Yes, via	
2	licensees)	Dawn	meeting	OnBoard	
	What are the 3088- Inter-Agency Legal, 3094-				
	Inter-Hearing/Mediation, 3100- Inter- Agency			In December	
3	Safety?	Marylene/Charles	ASAP	meeting	
	Comparable data for Investigative and	, ,		Ü	
	Administrative Hearing costs for 2016, 2017,			In December	
4	2018	Marylene/Charles	ASAP	Meeting	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Before		
	Letter to NCBTMB to ask about qualifications for		March 2019	Yes, via	
5	instructors and classes	Dave	meeting	OnBoard	
			Before		
	Create/Post an FAQ about how licensees may report/complain about unsatisfactory CE		March 2019	Yes, via	
6.	courses	Dawn	meeting	OnBoard	
	Legality of asking for an applicant "handler's" ID.	200011	Before	3.120414	
	Affidavit of someone helping to fill out		March 2019	Yes, via	
7	application (included in application)	Dawn- to LAW	meeting	OnBoard	
	application (included in application)	Dawn to LAW	meeting	Oliboara	
			Before		
8	Does the board have the statutory ability to		March 2019	Yes, via	
	define "compensation" for MEL regulations	Dawn- to LAW	meeting	OnBoard	
			Before		
	Create/Post FAQ about why background reports	Davis	March 2019	Yes, via	
9.	are fingerprint card generated	Dawn	meeting	OnBoard	
	Contact applicants denied because of criminal				
10.	convictions (have not gone through ALJ process)		Before		
10.	to ask if they want their applications		March 2019	Yes, via	
	reconsidered.	Dawn	meeting	OnBoard	
	Craft reply to Hollstein correspondence		_		
11	explaining why grandfathering in is no longer an		Before		
	option, that he should apply by exam, and that	Davis	March 2019	N	
	there are study materials available for MBLEx	Dawn	meeting	No.	
	Create/Post FAQ for CBD oil usage w/ links		Before		
12	provided by DNR and AMCO. Include a SOP	D	March 2019	Yes, via	
	reference. Include on mass e-mail.	Dawn	meeting	OnBoard	
			Before		
13	Consta / Dant FAO alla di	D-	March 2019	Yes, via	
15	Create/Post FAQ about sexual advertising	Dawn	meeting	OnBoard	
	Update Disciplinary Matrix 1. Remove items not in				
14	 Remove items not in definition 				
14	2. Remove "barrier"- insert				
	automatic FTP		Before		
	3. Clean up/update according to		March 2019	Yes, via	
	23 moral turp. regs	Dawn/Sara/Hannasch	meeting	OnBoard	
	-				

1			1	1	1
			Before		
			March 2019	Yes, via	
15	Research on Ethics Courses- Curriculum	Board/Traci	meeting	OnBoard	
			Before		
	Create/Post FAQ on the importance of sending		March 2019	Yes, via	
16	your fp card early and the reasoning behind it.	Dawn	meeting	OnBoard	
	your ip our a carry and the reasoning seminal is	24		0.1200.0	
	Complete Regulations Questionnaire for 12 AAC				
17	79.130 change	Dave	ASAP	No	
	Send regulations projects from December				
18	meeting to Sher	Dawn	ASAP	No	
19.	-	·	-		
	Send massage establishment regulations "wish				
	list" to Sara and Harriet	Dawn	ASAP	No	
1	Send framework on Massage Establishment			Yes, via	
20.	regulations to Board	Sara/ Harriet	ASAP	OnBoard	
l	Submit individual questions on massage				
21	establishment regulations for Harriet	Board	ASAP	No.	
			Before		
	Look at other states definition on what is and is		March 2019	Yes, via	
22	not a massage establishment.	Board	meeting	OnBoard	
	Contact Investigations about licensees breaking				
23	the law by offering CBD oil massage	Dawn	ASAP	No.	
	Send the questions asked by the board to				
	LAW/Regulations Specialist with answers to				
24.	board members	Dawn	ASAP	Yes, via e-mail.	
			Before		
	Can an ethics course be required in continuing	_	March 2019		
25.	education regulation	Dawn	meeting	Yes, via e-mail	
			Before		
	Create/Post an FAQ on the application process	_	March 2019		
26.	with timelines	Dawn	meeting	Yes, via e-mail	
	Send samples of correspondence sent to		Before		
	applicants (status updates, sent to INV, ect) to	_	March 2019		
27.	board for general knowledge	Dawn	meeting	Yes, via e-mail	
	Ask Sara about:				
	Possible conflict of interest in exempting sole				
28.	proprietors		Before		
	2. Can there be a registration fee for massage		March 2019		
	establishments?	Dawn	meeting	Yes, via e-mail	

1154 At this time, the board concluded all scheduled Board Business.

1155

Agenda Item 23 Adjourn

115611571158

1159

In a motion made by Jill Motz, seconded by Traci Gilmour, and passed unanimously, it was RESOLVED to adjourn.

1160		
1161	Hearing nothing further, Chair David	Edwards-Smith adjourned the meeting and the record ended
1162	at 2:49 p.m.	,
1163		
1164	Respectfully Submitted,	
1165		
1166		1 1
1167	$\langle \perp \rangle \langle h \rangle \langle l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$	2/1/19
1168	To Julia	7/9/11
1169	Dawn Dulebohn, Licensing Examiner	Date (
1170		
1171		
1172		7-1-14
1173	(N)/V	3117
1174	David Edwards-Smith, Boards Chair	Date