1 2 3	State of Alaska Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development Division of Corporations, Business and Professional Licensing		
4 5	BOARD OF MASSAGE THERAPISTS		
6 7 8	MINUTES OF THE MEETING <u>June 10-11, 2019</u>		
9 10 11 12 13	Written meeting minutes reflects a brief overview of the business conducted by the board during their meeting. Fo a more detailed account, please request a copy of the meeting's audio recording at: https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/web/cbpl/PublicRecordsRequests.aspx.		
14 15 16 17	By authority of AS 08.01.070(2), and in compliance with the provisions of AS 44.62, Article 6, a scheduled meeting of the Board of Massage Therapists was held by video conference on June 10-11, 2019.		
18 19	Agenda Item 1 Call to Order/Roll Call:		
20 21	On the record at 9:07 a.m.		
22 23	Board Members present, constituting a quorum:		
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	David Edwards-Smith- Board Chair, Licensed Massage Therapist Traci Gilmour, Licensed Massage Therapist Ron Gibbs, Licenses Massage Therapist Jill Motz, Licensed Massage Therapist Julie Endle, Public Board Member		
31	Division Staff present:		
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Dawn Dulebohn, Occupational Licensing Examiner Carl Jacobs, Investigator III Amber Whaley, Senior Investigator III Billy Homestead, Investigator II/Probation Monitor Melissa Dumas, Administrative Officer II Sher Zinn, Regulations Specialist II Sara Chambers, Director, Corporations, Business, and Professional Licensing		
40 41	Joining Telephonically:		
42 43 44 45 46 47 48	Lorena Haynes, Director of Government Relations, Federation of State Massage Therapy Boards Jolene Goeden, Special Agent, Federal Bureau of Investigations		

Agenda Item 2 Ethics Reporting:

The Board Chair opened the floor to any Board member that may have an ethics violation or inquiry. None were presented.

Agenda Item 3 Review/Approve Agenda:

The board reviewed the agenda and discussed any proposed changes.

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously, it was RESOLVED to ACCEPT the agenda as amended.

Agenda Item 4 Review/Approve Past Meeting Minutes

Chair Edwards-Smith complimented that the 43 pages of minutes were very comprehensive, well reflective, and gave very good historical reference. Public Board Member Julie Endle asks that the February 28-March 1, 2019 minutes be amended for context. Ms. Endle would like line 960 amended to read "newly appointed public member Ms. Endle". This change was approved by the board chair and OLE Dulebohn was instructed to make the correction.

In a motion duly made by Julie Endle, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the meeting minutes from February 28-March 1, 2019 as amended.

In a motion duly made by Ron Gibbs, seconded by Traci Gilmour, and passed unanimously, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the meeting minutes from April 9, 2019 as written.

Agenda Item 5 Old Business

<u>Investigative Tasks #5-7 from February 28- March 1, 2019 meeting:</u>

The board reviewed the Investigative Tasks from the February 28- March 1, 2019 meeting.

Disciplinary Matrix Revision

 Chair Edwards-Smith leads the discussion on the feedback received from Investigations and Department of Law regarding the board's proposed disciplinary matrix. The Chair addresses several points:

• <u>Unlicensed Practice</u>- Investigations suggested that the board lower their proposed fine as to barr compliance with licensure. As the board's goal was to have a deterrent for Unlicensed Practice (ULP), the Chair suggests an option of reducing or lowering the fine for ULP if and when the offender becomes licensed. Board Member Jill Motz references a case where she was a Reviewing Board Member (RBM) where the offender knew they needed a license but practiced without one for two years anyway. This individual made a lot of money during this time. Ms. Motz does not believe that suspending a ULP fine will teach offenders to not operate without a license. Ms. Motz believes the amount of the ULP fine needs to be a

deterrent because the cost of investigating these offenders is significant and comes from the licensees licensing fees. She goes on to say that she feels that having the fine be more than the cost of licensure is reasonable.

Board Member Traci Gilmour weighs in on Ms. Motz's argument. Ms. Gilmour absolutely agrees that the fine for ULP should be more than the cost of licensure. She thinks that, in the big picture, the cost of licensure is \$550 and thinks, depending on the amount you charge per hour and the hours you work, is a week's worth of work. Ms. Gilmour reviews the proposed fines and believes they will be a great deterrent.

Board Member Ron Gibbs states that it should not be profitable to be unlicensed and practice massage in Alaska.

Chair Edwards- Smith summarizes the conversation by stating that having a deterrent for ULP is important to the board and massage therapists do have an opportunity to make quite a bit of money in a little bit of time.

Investigators Amber Whaley and Carl Jacobs joined the meeting telephonically at 9:19 a.m.

- Code of Ethics Violation- Chair Edwards-Smith begins the conversation by directing the board to 12 AAC 79.900 Code of Ethics 1, 2, 7, 8, 10 and reminding the board this could include harm to a client. A therapist could harm a client by misrepresenting their qualifications or improper draping. He suggests adding a Fitness to Practice (FTP) interview to the Disciplinary Actions options. Ms. Gilmour joins the discussion by adding her assenting opinion. Ms. Motz agrees that adding the FTP interview is a good idea. Mr. Gibbs reaffirms that the FTP interview would only come after a full Investigations review.
- Fraud in Obtaining/Retaining a License- Chair Edwards- Smith directs the board to a comment made by Chief Investigator Greg Francois that AS 08.61.060 has a "hearing" qualifier. The board would like feedback from LAW on what qualifies as a hearing for the board to be able to impose a sanction. Is it a board review or an Administrative Law hearing? Investigator Jacobs also points out that this statute also states that the recipient of disciplinary action must be someone "licensed".

OLE Dulebohn states for the record that her assertion is that the board's meeting on a subject is considered a hearing since the board has the final say on all matters licensing according to AS 08.61.020(7). Mr. Gibbs states that the historical precedent with initial applications is review the information and then, if disciplinary action is needed, to ask that the applicant sign a consent agreement as a condition of licensure. The license is then granted and the consent agreement is enforced once the applicant becomes a licensee. Chair Edwards-Smith references the feedback from LAW and Investigations that the board should not be using AS 11.56.210 for enforcing discipline for falsification of application so since the board would like to continue to enforce discipline for that infractions, they would need to do so under AS 08.61.060. Ms. Gilmour states that any disciplinary action the board has ever imposed from falsifying an application to ULPs depends on the person being granted licensure. If they withdraw their application, then the board has no authority to punish a member of the public.

Since there seems to be differing opinions on whether the board can use AS 11.56.210 as a statutory reference to discipline licensees who falsify their application, the board asks that OLE Dulebohn send Director Sara Chambers an invitation to join them to discuss this matter.

146 147 148

142

143

144 145

- 149 150 151
- 153 154 155

152

156

- 161 162 163 164 165
- 166 167 168 169
- 170 171 172
- 173 174 175

176 177 178

183 184

- False or Misleading Advertisement- Chair Edwards-Smith asks the board to review AS 08.61.060. He points out that any violation of False or Misleading Advertisement could be enforced under this statute and 12 AAC 79.900. He muses whether the person violating this article would get two different fines. Mr. Gibbs argues that the statute gives the board the authority but the regulation just outlines the circumstance. The Chair speaks to Investigators Jacobs and Whaley for guidance and Investigator Jacobs replies that, while it is possible for a person to violate multiple statutes and regulations, the board will use this disciplinary matrix as a guide for consistency and they will able to determine which disciplinary actions are used.
- Fraud in Obtaining and Retaining a License- Chair Edwards-Smith notes that Investigations wanted clarity in why the board separated these infractions and whether they could be combined. OLE Dulebohn volunteer's historical knowledge that the board wanted these separate because "obtaining" meant initial application and "retaining" meant renewal of license. The board discusses combining the two into one category of "Fraud in Securing a License" since they have the same details and AS 08.61.060 says "secured". Investigator Jacobs asks the board if they plan on modifying the disciplinary action for this category. The notes from Investigations and LAW remind the board that they do not have statutory authority to require an applicant to wait 4 years until reapplication and case president shows the board does not Deny or Revoke licensure for this infraction. Ms. Gilmour suggests replacing the current language with a consent agreement and fine range. Chair Edwards-Smith asks Investigator Jacobs about revocation in the event that the board finds out after a license has been issued that someone lied or provided false documents in order to obtain a license and Mr. Jacobs replies that with the removal of 11.56.210, Fraud in Securing a License would be the board's reference for an applicant failing to disclose information on their application. Should the board find someone obtained a license fraudulently by submitting untrue documents, the board should open an inquiry with Investigations where revocation is a possibility. Mr. Jacobs goes on to state that, based on Law and Investigations feedback to not use 11.56.210, this reference on the Matrix would be used for people who committed fraud in obtaining a license and were not caught initially and the most applicable for people who falsified their applications.

Director Sara Chambers joined the meeting at 9:49 a.m.

AS.11.56.210- Ms. Chambers addresses the board concerning the Unsworn Falsification statute AS 11.56.210 that is on all of the Corporations, Business, and Professional Licensing (CBPL) applications and which the board would like to use in disciplining applicants who falsify their applications. Chair Edwards-Smith states that both Investigations and Law are discouraging the board from using this statute since it is not specifically one from the Board of Massage Therapy. Ms. Chambers reviews the material and states that she can see the points made from the Investigations team. If the board wanted to use this statute, it would not be with the usual process. It would be a criminal process that would be outside of CBPL civil investigative process and could potentially be very expensive to the board. Ms. Chambers goes on to state that it's not that this statute isn't applicable but that any situation that would use it would need to go to Law to prosecute outside the board. Investigator

Jacobs concurs with Director Chambers assessment and expands that criminal prosecutions have a different burden of proof, significant investigatory, and legal costs.

191 192 193

194

195

196

197

198

189 190

Board Member Jill Motz asks if the board is duplicating their work trying to use AS 11.56.210 when they have AS 08.61.060 for Fraud in Obtaining a License. She asks if the board couldn't simply use that statute to discipline persons who lie on their application as to stay within the board's purview. Chair Edwards-Smith agrees with Ms. Motz and suggests that the board remove AS 11.56.210 and only utilize AS 08.61.060 as reference in Fraud in Obtaining a License for persons who falsify their application. Investigatory Whaley addresses the board to say that she believes the board should stay within Title 8 in general but that things can be referred to Law and Title 11 when the need arises.

199 200 201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211212

213

214

215

OLE Dulebohn asks to address Director Chambers on the definition of "hearing" in the opening sentence of AS 08.61.060. Ms. Chambers asks the investigators how this has historically been interpreted and Investigator Jacobs replied that he was the one who brought this to the board's attention and recommended a Department of Law ruling. He goes on to say that Investigations has historically interpreted this statute as the board being the one's having the hearing for items such as failing to disclose or other application matters but really that part of statute hasn't been addressed. Mr. Jacobs goes on to explain that this and the part of statute stating that "impose a disciplinary sanction under AS 08.01.075 on a person licensed under this chapter..." should have a Department of Law review for interpretation as it hasn't been addressed from the Investigations side. Ms. Chambers agrees that Law should weigh in on this topic. She gives some background on the introduction of the Administrative Law system regarding boards and gives examples of language that is sometimes cannibalized from other, older boards when writing the statutes for new boards maybe aren't as applicable as they should be. She asks that when the board gets the reply from Law on this matter that they include her on the reply as she feels that this is not an issue unique to the massage board. Ms. Chambers leaves the meeting thanking the board for being thoughtful, caring, and all of their great work.

216217218

219

220

Sara Chambers left the meeting at 10:00 a.m.

Lorena Haynes from FSMTB joined the meeting telephonically at 10:00 a.m.

221222223

TASK: Consult LAW on whether "hearing" in AS 08.61.060 means the board reviewing the file and "licensee" pertains to the fact that the board doesn't implement disciplinary action via consent agreement until after the license has been issued.

225226227

228229

230

224

• Fraud in Securing a License (formerly Fraud in Obtaining/Retaining a License)- the board continues to discuss the details of this item. Board Member Julie Endle reiterates the board's feeling that the penalty for this infraction include a stiff fine as to deter applicants from falsely completing their applications which leads to time and money lost to the board on reviews, investigations, and potential legal fees.

231232233

234

235

While waiting for Division's representative, the board asks for a short break. Chair Edwards-Smith calls for a 5 minutes break at 10:03 a.m.

Back on the record at 10:08 with Melissa Dumas, Administrative Officer II.

Melissa Dumas, Administrative Officer II, arrives to present the board with the Division Financial Update. Ms. Dumas goes over the finances of the board for 3rd Quarter of FY2019 which ran from July 1, 2018- March 2019.

FY 2019 Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures 3rd Quarter:

244

MAS	2019	
\$62,666	Licensing Revenue	
142.282	Direct Expenditures	
79,466	Indirect Expense	
\$221,748	TOTAL EXPENSES	
(159,082)	Annual Surplus (Deficit)	
\$231,543	Beginning Cumulative	
	Surplus	
\$72,461	Ending	
	Cumulative	
	Surplus	

The Division website has Quarterly Reports for all boards.

Ms. Dumas states that the board will be going into their renewal year with a surplus and since massage licenses will be renewing in September, the board will generate the revenue that will carry them through the next two years. Chair Edwards-Smith asks OLE Dulebohn about the question raised in the last meeting of how much revenue is generated in non-renewal years from new applicants. OLE Dulebohn states that that information is available in the Administrative Statistics under Agenda Item 14 (the answer is there were 36 licenses issued for a total of \$19, 800).

The board discusses their recommendation to Division to not raise the licensing fees for this renewal. Ms. Motz directs the board to the history in the financial report and shows that many of the board's expenditures are down from previous years. Ms. Dumas points out that the board's Contractual Expenditures for FY18 was \$114,466 and that the board is in the 3rd quarter for FY19 and they are only at \$28,404. Ms. Motz feels as though the board is in a good place financially and, even though Division encouraged the board to raise licensing fees, thinks that the big items that rose the costs up have been resolved.

Ms. Dumas reminds the board that a potentially large expenditure that has not posted is the Inter-Agency Safety (fingerprinting). Department of Public Safety (DPS) hasn't billed Division since September 2018 so Ms. Dumas is unsure of how much an impact that figure will have on the board's surplus. As of this report, the board has only been billed \$1,269 (an e-mail from Ms. Dumas later in the meeting stated that the fingerprint fees for November 2018- April 2019 were \$3,689).

Melissa Dumas left the meeting at 10:16 a.m.

Investigators Homestead and Jacobs joined the meeting telephonically at 10:17 a.m.

Agenda Item 7 Investigative Case Review and Probation Reports

Investigator Homestead begins with the Probation Report. He states there are 9 licensees on probation and everyone is complying. Compliance means that all are subjected to a criminal background report and self-reporting on their status quarterly. There is a notation on Arturo Ramirez file that he will be presented with a License Surrender option since his license has been lapsed since 9/30/2017. Investigator Homestead will update the board on the outcome Mr. Ramirez's decision on the License Surrender.

Investigator Jacobs begins the Investigative Case Review with the permission of the Board Chair for the period of February 22 – May 24, 2019. He states that the division opened 9 matters, closed 11, and there are 16 matters that remain open. Mr. Jacobs states that are some resolutions to present the board with at their next meeting. Matters opened by the Paralegal in Juneau, regarding continuing education audits and license actions resulting from those matters were not covered in this report.

There are three matters for the board to review today: one unlicensed practice, one conduct, and one license surrender. Investigator Jacobs informs the board that he is there to answer any questions the board may have and asks if the board would like to go into Executive Session to discuss any case details.

 Board member Ron Gibbs asks if the board is made aware of the conclusion of the 11 closed cases. Investigator Jacobs replies the details listed are the violation type and the entire board would have seen any of the cases that resulted in an action except for the Advisement Letters since those have been determined by the Reviewing Board Member (RBM) in the last few months. Mr. Gibbs clarifies that any Closure that results in a License Action was seen by the entire board.

Board Chair Edwards- Smith asks if all board members have reviewed the cases brought before them they all replied that they had. Mr. Edwards-Smith goes on to ask if anyone feels the need to go into Executive Session to discuss this case and they all replied that they did not. The Chair asks for a motion on this case.

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to ACCEPT the Consent Agreement as written for Jalisa Jarrett #2018-001276 with an amendment to extend the payment deadline from 120 to 180 days at the request of Ms. Jarrett.

OLE Dulebohn asks Investigator Jacobs if the amendment of the consent agreement to extend the payment deadline to 180 days would alter the consent agreement significantly enough that the Board Chair should wait to sign a new draft. Investigator Jacobs replied that, although he would consult Senior Investigator Lipker and Chief Investigator Francois, Investigation was mainly looking for the board's consent to accept payments outside of the drafted range and he will determine the best course of action. Mr. Jacobs affirms that the Board Chair should sign the Order and that Investigations will work out the details with the Respondent.

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to ACCEPT the License Surrender as written for Skyler Shary #2018-001048.

In a motion duly made by Jill Motz, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to ACCEPT the Consent Agreement as written for Ying Zhao aka Jessica Toth #2018-000882.

Before Investigator Jacobs leaves the meeting, he reiterates Investigations request to see the board's updated Disciplinary Matrix prior to its implementation by the board.

Board Member Traci Gilmour asks to address Investigator Jacobs on an issue she was made aware of and whether she, as a board member, could file a complaint. Investigator Jacobs replied that she could make a complaint that would trigger a review to see if a violation has occurred. He goes on to say that, while it is preferred that the person reporting has 1st hand knowledge of a complaint, it is not required. Ms. Gilmour goes on to state that she has been made privy to the knowledge that a therapist has offended multiple times but no one will report it to Investigations and she doesn't know what to do with that information. Mr. Jacobs states that he wouldn't never like to discourage a board member or member of the public from complaining, but it would be better for the case if the complainant has 1st hand knowledge of the potential violation. Ms. Gilmour states that she will follow up with Investigator Jacobs on this topic after the meeting.

 OLE Dulebohn asks the Chair if, before Investigator Jacobs leaves the meeting, he would like to address board members upholding the Disciplinary Matrix when they are Reviewing Board Members (RBM)? Ms. Motz chimes in that she had received conflicting information when performing her duties as a RBM. In the end, she took the advice from Investigations that, although the board had already made their wishes known in the February 28-March 1, 2019 meeting concerning Letters of Advisement, she should go against the board's decision and continue to recommend that Letters of Advisement be issued and not send the file to the whole board for review. Ms. Motz urges the board to adopt the amended Disciplinary Matrix during this meeting since the only changes that were made were at the request of Investigations and/or Department of Law. Investigator Jacobs states that while it would be ideal for Investigations to review the amended product and make final recommendations, it is ultimately the board's decision. Ms. Motz worries that if the board waits for both Investigation and Law to weigh in on the final version of the Matrix, the board will be going a while other quarter without having a applicable Matrix implemented.

 Chair Edwards-Smith asks Investigator Jacobs opinion on the board using the "working document" until the final notes by Investigations and Law can be reviewed by the board in the September meeting since the only changes the board is proposing to make are all recommendations by Law and Investigations. Mr. Jacobs replies that without having a bigger picture of the changes the board wants to make, he can't weigh in on that request. Mr. Edwards-Smith asks OLE Dulebohn to read the changes the board had discussed from her notes earlier in the day. Some proposed changes would be:

- Combine "Fraud in Obtaining a License" and "Fraud in Retaining a License" to one category and renaming it "Fraud in Securing a License".
- The disciplinary action in the new "Fraud in Securing a License" will be changed to Consent Agreement and Fine at Board Discretion up to \$2,500.
- Deleting AS 11.56.210- "Falsification of Application"- the board will address any applicants falsifying their application under the newly created "Fraud in Securing a License."

• All Crimes of Moral Turpitude will be combined into one category. AS 08.61.040 will be added to the Statute/Regulation Reference.

Investigator Jacobs addresses the board to remind them that, if the board proposes removing AS 11.56.210 then AS 08.61.060 will be the category that board will now be handling falsification of application. As of this moment, the board is still implementing Non-Disciplinary Letter of Advisement (NDLA) and not fines or consent agreements. Board Member Julie Endle asks how RBM decide on a fine amount when the Matrix states "at board discretion"? Board Member Traci Gilmour responds that how the amount is determined is by previous case reviews and case precedent provided by Investigations to help them determine disciplinary action. OLE Dulebohn interjects that there has been some deviation from the February meeting when it comes to one RBM deciding for the entire board whether or not disciplinary action should be taken in regard to applicants falsifying their application. Chair Edwards-Smith asks the board if they would like to revisit the conversation of all disciplinary matters going before the entire board before a decision is made. Ms. Motz interjects that this Matrix mostly removed Letters of Advisements as an option. She thinks that the board is dealing with a very short-term problem and sending these to the entire board would just increase the time it takes to decide. Chair Edwards- Smith advises the board that, until the Matrix is adopted, any decision on discipline (including NDLA) should go before the entire board. Ms. Gilmour joins the conversation by stating that she feels the board should trust the RBM judgement. OLE Dulebohn reminds the board that they were very upset in previous meetings when a NDLA went out on the recommendation of one board member and when the entire board later reviewed a particular application and wanted to implement a Civil Fine for a quite extensive omission of criminal history, they couldn't because the applicant had already been notified by Investigations that the case had been closed and no action would be taken. She goes on to inform the board that, up until a few months ago, her experience with the board since October 2017 showed no NDLA were going out on the recommendation of one board member. RBM would recommend a course of action to the entire board who would then decide on disciplinary matters as a whole. OLE Dulebohn asks that the board please make a determination on this so that she could post the Matrix on the website so that the public is fully aware what will incur a disciplinary action as both an education and a deterrent to people deceitfully filling out or omitting information on their applications, which would reduce Investigative time and cost. Ms. Motz reiterates that she believes NDLA to be a short-term problem and Chair Edwards-Smith states that he hears that the board wants to continue NDLA as they are applicable.

Investigator Jacobs chimes in to inform the board that no other board sends all disciplinary matters to the entire board. He goes on to say that, regarding the NDLA issue, the board may do what it likes but based on the removal of AS 11.56.210 the board may wish to add NDLA to AS 08.61.060 unless it recognizes that every infraction where someone fails to disclose any relevant criminal history, no matter the duration, amount of time, or offense, will require consent agreements to be entered into each and every time, which is out of precedent with what other boards are doing and may not be a good use of board resources. He also goes on to state that the fine amounts may not be clear to new board members when some have a range and some have an "up to" so that future board may recognize the flexibility in issuing those fines. OLE Dulebohn suggests that since the board is getting rid of the "Falsification of Application" category because of the statute attached to it, the board may want to utilize the disciplinary actions from that category to the new "Fraud in Securing a License". She goes on to state that this would give a 1st Offense with a Civil Fine of \$250 and 2 or more Offenses with a Consent Agreement with Fine of \$250 per offense. OLE Dulebohn

366367

368

369

370

371372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381 382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391 392

393

394

395

396

397

398 399 400

401 402

403

404

405

406 407

408

409

410

continues that this would address some major issues with this category: lower the fine, make it a Civil Fine so it doesn't need a Consent Agreement, and educates the applicant/licensee base. Ms. Motz questions the structure suggested by OLE Dulebohn because it doesn't account for more serious fraud such as falsifying documents which would need a more serious action. Ms. Motz continues the discussion with Chair Edwards-Smith that the falsification of application would be good for a \$250 civil fine but the board needs to leave themselves a place to address the more serious issues. OLE Dulebohn reminds the board that the Examiners do not take diplomas, transcripts, or exam scores directly from the applicant so they do not have an opportunity to alter/falsify and submit them. OLE Dulebohn gives the board some inside information on how Division checks to ensure that certain documents are coming from the appropriate agency/institution.

Chair Edwards-Smith states that he feels the board has enough information to make a motion on the Disciplinary Matrix later in the meeting. Board member Ron Gibbs asks OLE Dulebohn to email him a copy of the draft Disciplinary Matrix. OLE Dulebohn e-mailed the draft Disciplinary Matrix to all board members at that time.

 Investigator Jacobs adds one last thing to the discussion: last year the board sought out the ability to use Civil Fines in place of consent agreements. He reminds the board that Civil Fines can be used for simple application matters like failing to disclose criminal history that would simplify the process and make it unnecessary for consent agreements to be used. Investigator Jacobs feels that given the many uses for AS 08.61.060 the board may want to leave themselves open for a wide variety of license actions based on case precedent.

Carl Jacobs left the meeting.

 Role of Record Keeping- Board Member Traci Gilmour presents a situation to the board regarding therapists roll in record keeping and chart notes. Ms. Gilmour wants to make it very clear that chart notes need to stay in the studio/business. It would be a HIPAA violation to take them home. She wants to ensure that therapists are not getting fined for misunderstanding Standards of Practice (SOP). Ms. Gilmour wants to ensure that, as new people are hired in by the State, they understand the nuances of the massage industry and she referenced when the board had to education OLE Dulebohn when she first started with the board about SOP. Both Ms. Gilmour and Mr. Edwards-Smith thought that adding a FAQ may be a good idea.

TASK: Traci Gilmour will write an FAQ regarding charting/recording keeping/SOP #2 and submit it to OLE Dulebohn to add to the board's website.

Regulations Specialist Zinn joins the meeting at 11:00 a.m. Chair Edwards-Smith calls for a brief break at 11:04 a.m. Back on the record at 11:08 a.m.

Agenda Item 9 Regulations

Continuing Education- Ethics Requirements- 12 AAC 79.210

Board Member Ron Gibbs starts the conversation stating he believes an ethics requirement is important. He initially thought that a requirement every 2 or 3 renewal cycles would be a good idea

until OLE Dulebohn informed him how hard it would be to track everyone's renewal cycles since they are all licensed at different times. Chair Edwards-Smith suggested having a specified renewal year when ethics would be required. OLE Dulebohn suggests a minimal amount of the continuing education requirement for every renewal be ethics with the specific course being left up to the licensee. Mr. Gibbs wonders if any other program requires ethics as part of their continuing education to which Regulations Specialist Zinn replies that there are other programs that require ethics, they are required every renewal period, and are normally about 2 hours. Ms. Motz asks whether compliance would be checked during the renewal audit and OLE Dulebohn answered in the affirmative. Ms. Motz continues that she would like to poll the licensee base for their input and was reminded by the Chair that the public and licensees will be able to voice their opinion during the Public Comment period of the regulations process.

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to AMEND 12 AAC 79.210 to add 2 hour continuing education ethics requirement to every renewal cycle.

TASK: David Edwards-Smith will complete the regulations questionnaire for the continuing education ethics requirement regulations project and submit it to OLE Dulebohn.

Amend Standards of Practice #4- "Current" License- 12 AAC 79.900

Chair Edwards-Smith begins the discussion that the word "current" be added to Standards of Practice #4.

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to AMEND 12 AAC 79.900 Standards of Practice #4 to add "their current" in front of "State of Alaska" and "current" in front of the word "proof".

TASK: Traci Gilmour will complete the regulations questionnaire for the amending 12 AAC 79.900, Standards of Practice #4 regulations project and submit it to OLE Dulebohn.

Amend Rejected Regulations Change- In class, supervised- 12 AAC 79.100(2)(A)(B)

Chair Edwards-Smith states that he received feedback from Law stating that more definition was needed for what could be completed online. Regulations Specialist Zinn discusses the Law recommendations and explains that the board is advised to separate what can be done online and what must be done in person so that the applicants have a clear picture. Board Member Jill Motz starts the conversation on "in class, supervised" since she did much of the research on this project. She references the curriculum breakdown that the board had agreed upon that states that "practical application may not exceed 20% of the total hours of the program." Ms. Motz goes on to state that just theory should be allowed to be completed online as this is a hands-on profession and only 500 hours of a 625-hour program should count as "theory". Chair Edwards-Smith instructs the board that this breakdown is consistent with Entry Level Analysis Project (ELAP).

Chair Edwards-Smith asks if the board defined what an acceptable monitoring program was in the last meeting. The board discusses potential online monitoring programs for courses. Ms. Motz maintains that the two programs that offer online education for massage are endorsed by the Distance Education Accrediting Commission (DEAC) which is a online monitoring program. The schools that Ms. Motz has researched learn in a modular style which does not allow the students to advance to the next step until they have passed the one they are currently in. USCI in Colorado also requires students to take an in-person test based on all the content they took online. Chair Edwards-Smith asks the board if they feel that making the DEAC part of the regulation would reduce the confusion on what qualifications an online school should have in addition to being nationally accredited or state approved and Ms. Motz responds that she feels that a program being accredited/approved should be enough. The board referenced their old meeting minutes and found no definition for online monitoring program. Ms. Motz reiterates the conversation by stating that this motion should not only address the theory/hands-on split but also the DEAC or other board approved accrediting program.

> Regulations Specialist Zinn went on to inform the board that the reason they need to define what can be done online is that one of the AG's told her that they know of a program where clinical work can be done online. Ms. Motz responds that allowing clinical work online is not the board's intention. Massage therapy is a hands-on profession and they want clinical work to be done in person. Ms. Zinn recommends that the board spell out what may and may not be done online, such as 500 hours of a 625-hour program will be theoretical and can be done online and more than 125 hours of clinical work will not be accepted by the board. She goes on to state that the board spelling out the hours instead of doing a percentage would be easier for applicants to understand. Ms. Zinn asks the board for clarification on how many hours will be allowed to be taken online. Ms. Motz responds that the board has developed a breakdown for curriculum that will be accepted by the board. In that breakdown it specifies that 20% should be (hands-on) practical application (clinical) hours. Ms. Motz goes on to state that the board feels that all theoretical learning (500 hours of a 625-hour program) should be allowed to be done online and that only the 20 % (125 hours of a 625hour program) will be needed to be completed in person for applicants that want to take their massage education online. The board feels that this option to do the bulk of education online will greatly benefit people in Alaska, as people are often in isolated areas and not easily able to attend the 3 state approved massage schools located in Anchorage and Juneau.

Chair Edwards- Smith speaks with Ms. Motz about ensuring that the in-person clinical hours are supervised and that the motion reflect that. Ms. Motz agrees that adding the word "supervised" for the clinical hours (practical hours) is good quality control.

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to AMEND 12 AAC 79.100 to read "in class supervised" means education received either in a physical classroom or through online distance education. Online courses must meet the requirements of (b)(2)(A) or (B) of this section and may not include more than 125 hours of a 625-hour program (20%) for clinical, supervised portion. Online portion must include a board approved distance online monitoring program.

TASK:

Jill Motz will complete the regulations questionnaire for in class supervised/online education requirement regulations project and submit it to OLE Dulebohn.

558

The board discusses that the time is 12:00 p.m. and although they still have 3 regulations projects to go over, they should break for lunch. Ms. Zinn affirms that she will be available to attend the board meeting after lunch and public comment at 1:15 p.m.

559560

Agenda Item 10 Lunch

561562563

Chair Edwards-Smith calls a lunch break at 12:01 p.m. Back from lunch at 1:15 p.m. with the entire board present

564 565

Agenda Item 11 Public Comment

566567568

569

570 571

572

573

574

575576

577

578

579

580 581

582

583

584

585 586

587

The board prepares to hear public comment. Tarika Lea joins the meeting telephonically and expresses a wish to be heard during Public Comment. Chair Edwards-Smith invites Ms. Lea to speak. Ms. Lea's comment is as follows: "Presently there is an option that continuing education, which is required for the needed credit for licensure in the State of Alaska, can be completed through a board approved, massage therapy or bodywork therapy school or training program. So, one of my 1st questions is: why is this not sufficient and, as Dawn brought up during the last meeting, you may need to change your present regulations if you do not want to approve of the CE courses. So, in my weaving of my career and contribution to the field, I also wish to ask about accepted ACPE exempt status and/or review of credentials. For example, my experience of 50 years of both private and teaching nationally and internationally, plus having a post-secondary authorized school in Fairbanks since 1992, I feel that I qualify to be able to teach continuing ed classes. So, I don't know where I fit in because of my participation as a post-secondary school and now exempt, I am now wanting the board to take a look at my bio. This is my comment. I don't know if a suggestion might be considered which is to create, from the field, a review committee comprised of those in the field that report to the board acceptable education classes and their recommendation after they review and interview those teaching. That's my nugget; as tight as I could make it. Dawn mentioned that you were just listening to comments so let it just go on record that I got the information of the task suggested to me this last week. I will be sending that information on in case I can have the board to accept so I can do classes this summer. That would just be my highest potential contribution that I would love to do since I am going to be focused on Alaska this summer. Thank you very much for listening to me."

588 589 590

Chair Edwards-Smith announced that Public Comment is now closed.

591 592

Agenda Item 9 Regulations (continued)

593 594

Regulations Specialist Sher Zinn rejoined the meeting at 1:20 p.m.

595 596

Chair Edwards-Smith directs the board to go back to Agenda Item 9.

597 598

Review & Amend Renewal & Reinstatement Regulations- 12 AAC 79.200

599 600

601

602 603 Chair Edwards-Smith thanks Regulation Specialist Zinn for compiling the language for this project. He asks that Ms. Zinn walk the board through the situation that determined that this change would be recommended to the board. Ms. Zinn and OLE Dulebohn rehashed the process that they realized that the language change was needed during a conversation about renewal applications and

supporting documentation. Ms. Zinn demonstrates to the board that their current regulation requires "a massage therapist applying for license renewal must submit (4) a current certification in cardiopulmonary..." whereas (5) says "documentation that the massage therapist satisfied the continuing education requirement...". This is being interpreted by Division to mean that the actual certificate for CPR must be submitted but the licensees need only indicate on their application that their continuing education has been completed to comply with regulation. She states that if the board's intention is that the licensee only attest on the renewal application that they have completed the requirements for renewals then they need to use the same verbiage in their regulations.

Ms. Zinn goes on to inform the board if they would like to have someone with a lapsed license have different requirements for renewal, they need to use different words to indicate that in regulation. She also goes on to explain to the board why adding "concluded during the concluding licensing period" is essential so there is no room for interpretation outside of the board's intent. Chair Edwards-Smith expresses gratitude that someone hasn't tried to take advantage of this lack of clarification. Ms. Zinn goes on to share how some other professional board's handle date ranges for reinstatement requirements and late renewal fees.

The board talks about standards for reinstatement to possible amend 12 AAC 79.200. At the board's request, OLE Dulebohn ran down their current standards for reinstatement. The board discusses how they are not in favor of giving licensees a buffer after licenses expire on September 30 since they are given 90 days of notice from Division. The board also talks about how expirations are not a moving deadline and all therapists are notified when they receive their license when it will expire.

 The board discusses different license status such as active, lapsed, and expired. Ms. Motz brings the subject of person's licensed by Transition having to reapply by a current method if they let their license lapse to which OLE Dulebohn replies that this subject has come up before in meeting and although the 1st board intended that stipulation to be in statute, it never made it into law and is not enforceable. The board goes on to discuss the centralized statute giving licensees 5 years to renew their lapsed license before it expires and the licensee has to reapply. Upon hearing board discussion on 5 years being too long to allow massage therapists to lapse, Ms. Zinn contributes that the board can make a regulation modifying the time a massage therapist can be lapsed before their license expires. Ms. Zinn informs the board that it is their decision to decide if they want lapsed licensees to have to complete continuing education (CE) for all the periods they have been lapsed for or just the last licensing period. Ms. Gilmour and Mr. Gibbs are in favor of a lapsed licensee making up the CE for the previous licensing period. Ms. Motz is in favor of the licensee making up any and all CE that they have missed while their license has been lapsed.

 In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Rob Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to AMEND 12 AAC 79.200(4) to change "a current certification" to "documentation of" and (5) add "completed during the concluding license period".

The board continues discussions on adding Reinstatements to 12 AAC 79.200. The board discusses reducing the amount of years a license can be lapsed before it expires. Ms. Motz brings up that she believes that if massage therapists do not use their skills that they will fall below the level that the public expects from them as professionals. OLE Dulebohn informs the board that many people that let their license lapse if because they have moved out of state with no intention to return. They

are frequently still practicing in another state which means they are probably completing continuing education mandated by the new jurisdiction, they just didn't keep their license up in Alaska. Ms. Endle contributes that she agrees with Ms. Motz in the fact that if you aren't currently practicing your craft, you may lose some of your skills. Ms. Endle continues by stating that she believes it isn't fair to all the other licensees who kept their license current and had to pay all the fees and do all the continuing education, that someone who has been lapsed many years be able to come in and do significantly less and be given back their license. Ms. Gilmour believes that people that lapse might have reasons such as personal, medical, or geographic location and it's not because they are just trying to get out of continuing education. She goes on to state that taking time off should not be a barrier to getting re-licensed. Ms. Motz states that in order for her to get on board with this new regulation, she would either like to see a shorter lapse period or requirements for lapsed licensees to have to make up all the continuing education that they have missed. Both Mr. Gibbs and Mr. Edwards-Smith are in favor of lapsed status being reduced from 5 to 3 years and the licensee completes the previous cycle of continuing education.

Chair Edwards-Smith hears a draft motion language from OLE Dulebohn. He asks if a person lapses would it be automatically required that the person submit a fingerprint card with reinstatement paperwork? OLE Dulebohn responds that fingerprints would be required in accordance with statute and board mandate. For example, if fingerprints are not required for the 2019 renewals, someone renewing for that period will not be required to submit fingerprints. But if there was a period that the licensee lapsed that had a fingerprint requirement, they too would have to submit fingerprints with their reinstatement.

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to ADD Reinstatements to 12 AAC 79.200. To renew a license lapsed up to 3 years, the licensee must submit a completed application, pay applicable fees, provide proof of documentation of continuing education completed during the concluding licensing period, proof of documentation of CPR in accordance with AS 08.61.030(6), and submit fingerprint card & processing fees required by Department of Public Safety in accordance with AS 08.61.030(5).

TASK: Traci Gilmour will complete the regulations questionnaire for Renewal/ Reinstatement regulations project and submit it to OLE Dulebohn.

Medical/Military Service Exception for Continuing Education

 Chair Edwards-Smith directs the board to address the next regulations topic of a Medical/Military exception concerning continuing education for licensees. Ms. Motz begins the discussion by asking if it would be relieving them of all their continuing education requirements and how the board would qualify that decision. Mr. Gibbs contributes to the conversation by asking why there would be an exception for military personnel. Chair Edwards-Smith replies that military personnel could be called into active duty that could preclude them from being able to complete their CE requirement. Mr. Gibbs states that he doesn't think that would be very applicable to Alaska's licensee base since there are not many, if any, active military also working as massage therapists. Ms. Motz joins the conversation asking why anyone would be exempt from CE as it can be done completely online. OLE Dulebohn directs the board's attention to a regulation she has pulled from the Board of Veterinary Examiners stating some reasons that a person could not obtain CE hours for renewal or reinstatement may be due to a reasonable cause or excusable neglect like chronic

illness, retirement, personal or family hardship, or similar circumstances as determined by the board. She reminds the board that these are current questions that have come in from the licensing base as well as one of the items in today's Correspondence.

Mr. Gibbs states that he would worry about a potential lawsuit if the board makes an exception for some but not all. Chair Edwards-Smith feels like this topic may need more research on how other massage boards handled them and does not feel like it will be able to be voted on during this meeting.

TASK: Research Medical/Military Exemptions for continuing Education in other states. Jill Motz will research Medical (acute and chronic illness) and Ron Gibbs will research Military.

Amend Credentialing Entity 12 AAC 79.110(2)(B)

Chair David Edwards-Smith was tasked with completing the Regulations Questionnaire for the amendment for 12 AAC 79.110(2)(B) in the February 28- March 1, 2019 meeting. The questionnaire was never received by OLE Dulebohn. Chair Edwards-Smith submitted the form by e-mail during the June 10-11, 2019 meeting.

Sher Zinn left the meeting.

Lorena Haynes, Director of Government Relations, Federation of State Massage Therapy Boards joins the meeting telephonically.

Ms. Haynes addresses the board offering her services assist the board in their tasks for the recently discussed regulations projects. She states that she has access to nationwide databases and gave OLE Dulebohn permission to distribute her contact information to the board. OLE Dulebohn informs the board that Ms. Haynes was the one who compiled the current state licensing requirements that the board uses as reference material. Mr. Gibbs thanks Ms. Haynes and says that he will be contacting her about her assistance.

TASK: OLE Dulebohn will send Lorena Haynes contact information to the board.

Agenda Item 12 Administrative Business

Administrative Statistics

Chair Edwards-Smith states that he likes the Administrative Statistics information that OLE Dulebohn started including in the board packets to be a matter of public record.

03/02-06/10/2019

ITEM	AMOUNT	COST/ REVENUE
Applications Received	56	
Applications Reviewed by the	37	
board		

Rolfers Issued Massage	1	
License using the Board's		
Curriculum Breakdown (not		
Grandfathered)		
Licenses Issued	36	36 X \$550.00= \$19,800
Applications Denied	0	
Applications in Process	86	
Phone Calls Received	353	
E-mails Sent	1191	
Returned Letters	11	11 x .55 = \$6.05
Returned Certified Mail	3	3 X \$7.45= \$ 22.35

Mr. Edwards-Smith points out that the board received \$19,800 for issued licenses in the non-renewal year of 2018.

 Board member Jill Motz comments, for the record, that she appreciates having the Rolfer column and (although she can't mention his name on the record) that he deserves "kudos" for going through the pathway to licensure that the board created with Rolfers in mind. OLE Dulebohn lets the board know that she felt this was important information to share with the public. She goes on to state that she still gets phone calls from Rolfers wanting to know if the board has a pathway to licensure for them and it was her way of getting that information out on a different platform.

Meeting Calendar 2019

The board reviews the meeting calendar dates for the in-person meeting on September 19-20 and the video conference on December 2-3, 2019.

OLE Dulebohn informs the board that there are Fitness to Practice Interviews scheduled for the September meeting. Ms. Motz informs the board that she will not be in attendance of the 2nd day of the September meeting. Board member Traci Gilmour acknowledges the current mandate from the Governor that board's only meet in person once a year, however she requests from the Chair that should there be a reason for the board to meet with the legislature in the spring, that that meeting date be requested and justified by the board.

Meeting Calendar 2020

OLE Dulebohn request the board discuss possible options for meeting dates for 2020. She requests (per Division mandate) that the board choose the month with a few options for dates so that she may choose which works best with other programs scheduled meeting dates.

Investigator Jacobs joins the meeting telephonically at 2:30 p.m.

The board discusses dates for 2020 and came up with the following:

March 2-3, 2020- Video conference originating in Juneau with a room reserved in Anchorage for board member use.

June 18-19, 2020- Video conference originating in Juneau with a room reserved in Anchorage for board member use.

September 10-11, 2020- In Person meeting in Anchorage

December 7-8, 2020- Video conference originating in Juneau with a room reserved in Anchorage for board member use.

Agenda Item 13 Relationship with Law Enforcement/ Human Trafficking

Special Agent Jolene Goeden joins the meeting telephonically at 2:36 p.m.

Special Agent (SA) Jolene Goeden joins the meeting to make a presentation to the board on Human Trafficking in Alaska and asks OLE Dulebohn to facilitate her PowerPoint presentation.

Ms. Goeden has worked trafficking cases in Alaska since 2004. The FBI's collaboration with Alaska started with the Anchorage Vice Unit and then with the State Troopers (AST). Now the task force is one member of the FBI that works with the Anchorage Police Department (APD). Ms. Goeden is now a supervisor for a task force that handles both adults and children. She states that there are 4 people on her team and their assignments are very involved and busy. Ms. Goeden's team fields referrals from Anchorage Police Department and Alaska State Troopers and has recently received some from the Alaska Board of Massage Therapist via the board's investigator, Carl Jacobs.

SA Goeden's presentation will be an overview of the statutory definition of trafficking (which is different that the social services definition of trafficking).

• There are two types of human trafficking: forced labor and sex trafficking. Most trafficking that happens in the massage industry is sex trafficking.

 • A Commercial Sex Act means that there would be prostitution (by someone over 18) achieved by force, fraud, or coercion. One of the biggest hurdles for law enforcement is proving the force, fraud, or coercion.

 Sex trafficking is forced prostitution. The definition of prostitution is the giving or receiving of sex or a sex act in exchange for compensation (compensation can be anything of value- it does not have to be money).
Alaska State Statute- Sex Trafficking in the 3rd Degree- AS 11.66.130

(a) A person commits the crime of sex trafficking in the 3rd degree if, with intent to promote prostitution, the person

Manages, supervises, controls, or owns, either alone or in association with others, a place of prostitution;
 is other than a patron of a prostitute induces or causes a person 20 years of according

 2. is other than a patron of a prostitute, induces or causes a person 20 years of age or older to engage in prostitution;

 3. is other than a prostitute receiving compensation for personally rendered prostitution services, receives or agrees to receive money or other property under an agreement or understanding that the money or other property is derived from prostitution; or

- 4. Engages in conduct that institutes, aids, or facilitates a prostitution enterprise.
- 821 (b) Sex trafficking in the 3rd degree is a class C felony

This statute allows the state to charge owners or managers with wrong doing and not just the person performing the sex acts.

• Some common barriers for victims seeking help:

- 1. Fear of retaliation, incarceration, deportation, the unknown, withdrawal (drugs)
- 2. Lack of trust, knowledge, support, communication abilities, transportation, awareness of the situation.
- 3. Inability to break away from cultural/religious beliefs, escape due to captivity/isolation, believe that the future can be different.

In order for a case to be made, the victim needs to cooperate with law enforcement. Victims are not prosecuted in human trafficking cases.

- Common tactics to keep control of the workers in Alaska are:
 - 1. Isolation- women live in the massage parlours, work in the massage parlours, and are rarely allowed to leave without an escort.
 - 2. Exhaustion- parlours are often open 14 hours a day and 365 days a year. There is very little time off.
 - 3. Injuries- massage parlour trafficking doesn't see as much violence as you would see in other trafficking. The tactics used are more manipulative and coercive. SA Goeden states that most women that she has spoken to in Anchorage are older women and the story might have been different in their younger years.
- SA Goeden reports that there is prostitution going on in massage parlours in Anchorage. The issue is to determine if it's prostitution or trafficking.
- The difference between prostitution and trafficking:
 <u>Prostitution</u> happens between two people: person performing sex act and person receiving sex act
 - <u>Trafficking</u> happens with at least between three people: person performing sex act, person receiving sex act, person benefiting from sex act.
- Recruiting Methods: newspaper, radio, internet, malls, schools, neighborhoods, social media, recruiters. Recruitment can be partially deceptive (money they will make, not charged for room and board), fully deceptive, or physical force. Most recruiters come from the victim's home country.
- Traffickers are looking for vulnerability such as low self-esteem, family issues, financial hardship, mental health issues, and prior victimization.
- What is known about massage parlours in Alaska is they tend to be Asian based, the women work on a circuit and move frequently to other states/locations, there is a language barrier, they are working off a debt, they only earn tips (or a small portion of the fee), they live and work out of the massage parlour, and they tend to be isolated.
- Indicators of Trafficking in Massage Parlours: Controlled movement (owner or madam transports them), owner paid for travel, owner holds their documents, owner speaking for working, coached or rehearsed backstory, license/decree appears fraudulent, items on application do not make sense (same person pays fees, teaches CPR, notary, etc.), they are moved around between massage parlours, and living conditions (locks on doors, etc.).
- Some factors that contribute to victim's situation: poverty stricken, war, repression, economy, natural disasters, lack of opportunities in home countries, limited educational background, single parents, desperate time, history or child maltreatment, homeless, runaway, or throwaway youth.

- Some challenges in prosecuting are that a victim needs to tell story, documents, locating victims, determining true identity of victim, language barriers, trust of law enforcement.
 - Some forced labor industries in Alaska: Fishing industry, massage parlours, domestic servitude, restaurant work, nail salons, seasonal workers.
 - How to Engage a Victim: avoid communicating disgust or disdain, avoid being judgmental and biased, avoid switching intermittently between treading the reporter as a offender and then a victim, avoid disputing facts or comment on the victims motivation, recognize various symptoms of trauma and coping mechanisms, build rapport with the victim; learn about the person, actively listen in a comfortable, non-threatening environment, prepare yourself for an unaware victim, and collaborate with other professionals.
 - If you suspect trafficking or want to talk through a scenario, contact FBI Special Agent Jolene. Goeden directly at 907-265-8119 or jagoeden@fbi.gov or 24 hours a day at 907-276-4441.

SA Goeden concludes her presentation and asks if there are any questions from the board. Chair Edwards-Smith starts off by stating the board is currently working on regulating massage establishments and wants to know if he can send the current language to her department for feedback. SA Goeden states that she would be willing to read through the language and work with the board to increase visibility by reaching out to victims that are not from Alaska and the inspectors that go in to check licenses and conditions.

TASK: Chair Edwards-Smith will send draft regulations for massage establishments to SA Goeden for feedback.

The Chair goes on to address what SA Goeden said about getting referrals from the board. OLE Dulebohn is asked what the procedure is when making referrals to the FBI. OLE Dulebohn responds that she first will identify red flags from training she has received from the Federation of State Massage Therapy Boards Executive Summit, then she sends them to her Supervisor for review, the Supervisor then makes a determination on whether they should be sent to Corporations, Business, and Professional Licensing's Investigative Department, who will then review the file and determine if it should be sent on to the FBI.

OLE Dulebohn states that two FBI referrals have been made in the last few months because the applicant's fees, notary, and CPR were all linked to one person who didn't even live in the same state as the applicants.

Hearing no more questions from the board, Chair Edwards-Smith thanks Ms. Goeden for her time and valuable information.

SA Goeden and Inv. Jacobs leave the meeting. Chair Edwards-Smith calls a short break at 3:27 p.m. Back on the record at 3:32 p.m.

Agenda Item 12 Administrative Business(continued)

Annual Report

Chair Edwards-Smith directs the board to the 2019 Annual Report. The report is meant to be a brief overview of 2019 and a calendar of events for 2020. The board commends Chair Edwards-Smith on his completion of the Narrative Statement and Potential Regulations & Legislation.

FARB Member Board Invitation

The board reviews the invitation they were sent to join the Federation of Associations of Regulatory Boards(FARB). FARB is a not for profit corporation formed in 1974 to promote public protection and provide a forum for information exchange for associations of regulatory boards and their affiliates with interests in professional regulation.

FARB's objectives are to exchange information and engage in programs and joint activities with member boards and associations, to provide a forum for cooperation in solving the mutual problems of participating associations across jurisdictions and professions, to engage in activities to improve the standards of professions, the delivery of services, and the services of regulatory licensing agencies, to provide educational opportunities and legal updates for lawyers who represent regulatory boards, to share information of the education of professionals, including accreditation of schools, colleges, and continuing education programs, and to foster communication and discussion about the latest assessment techniques for association of regulatory board and their members.

Chair Edwards-Smith goes on to inform the board that dues are \$175 a year for a regulatory membership. Some benefits of membership are: discounted registration to FARB conferences, free access to FARB model documents, discounted subscription to FARB's Top Regulatory Cases, and access to the FARB community for information exchange.

 Ms. Gilmour asks if the board pays for this membership, if they would be paying for anyone other than their staff or board members to go. OLE Dulebohn replies that that would be the board's decision. OLE Dulebohn has been previously informed by her superiors that normally only senior level staff are allowed to go to conferences like FARB but because the board chose to send her to this in lieu of going to FSMTB's Annual Meeting, she was allowed. Coming back from the conference she brought valuable ideas such as the criminal history prescreening that Louisiana is doing and the information for the Administrative Statistics report that is now a staple in board meeting packets. OLE Dulebohn states that the board would still have to go through the process of administrative approval for the funding of this membership.

 Ms. Gilmour states that she doesn't think it's fair for the board to have to fight for any travel they deem is important for board members but not for the licensing examiner. OLE Dulebohn reminds the board that all travel has to be justified and that, under the current administrations travel policy changes, even travel that is 100% 3rd party booked/reimbursed is getting denied. OLE Dulebohn reminds the board that her travel for this years' FSMTB Executive Summit was denied and OLE Dulebohn paid to go out of her own pocket and took her own personal days to attend. She was eventually reimbursed by FSMTB for her expenses but not her time off work.

 Ms. Gilmour asks, if the board does vote to approve the membership and attend the FARB Forum, if it will be justified to the licensees that this travel was a good use of time and resources. OLE Dulebohn replied that all travel that goes through the state (whether funded by the board or not), is required to have the traveler complete a Travel Action Summary upon their return itemizing the cost saving measures that were used and the information that was gained by the travel. Those forms are

part of the public board packet for the next board meeting. OLE Dulebohn believes that if you justify, on the record, what you did, what you learned, and how the public benefits from it, there is a better public understanding of the need for the travel.

TASK: Board will research the benefits of joining FARB in order to make an informed decision on membership during the September 2019 meeting.

Correspondence

Betz

The board reviews correspondence from Joelyn Betz on May 1, 2019. Ms. Betz asks the board about deferring the renewal of her license due to debilitating vertigo that has prevented her from working or spending any significant time on a computer. These reasons have kept Ms. Betz from renewing her CPR or continuing education which are requirements for renewal.

OLE Dulebohn replied to Ms. Betz email on May 9, 2019 letting her know that there is no stipulation in Statutes and Regulations to allow for an exception for someone with a medical reason. She lets Ms. Betz know about lapsed licenses, expired licenses, and the regulations process.

The board discusses Ms. Betz' situation and ask OLE Dulebohn to reply that they are currently researching a regulation to address exemption for medical conditions but at this time the only options available are the one's OLE Dulebohn outlined in her May 9, 2019 e-mail.

TASK: OLE Dulebohn to respond to Ms. Betz' correspondence to the board.

<u>Brown</u>

 The board reviews correspondence from Katherine Brown from May 2019. The board remarks that OLE Dulebohn handled this situation quite well. Ms. Gilmour states for the record that, even though Ms. Brown references her, that she does not recall any professional relationship with Ms. Brown. The board reviews statute on the question of completion of the national massage competency exam and reiterate that it is a requirement of AS 08.61.030 and AS 08.61.040. Ms. Motz comments that Ms. Brown makes a great argument for herself but agrees that all applicants must take and pass the MBLEx or NCBTMB (prior to January 31, 2015) as these are the only two national massage competency exams that the board recognizes at this time. Ms. Motz goes on to state that this requirement is clear on both the application and statutes and regulations.

The board goes on to deny an exception to regulation to allow Ms. Brown to apply by Transition (Grandfathered) after the July 1, 2017 deadline.

The board asks OLE Dulebohn why this correspondence was brought to the board when she has addressed all of Ms. Brown's questions and concerns intelligently and thoroughly. OLE Dulebohn replies that anytime someone asks for their correspondence to be reviewed by the board, it goes on the agenda whether she feels she has addressed their concerns completely or not.

Mr. Gibbs asked if Hawaii's licensing standard includes a completion of a national exam and whether the board has licensed applicants from Hawaii before. OLE Dulebohn replies that Hawaii

does not require passage of a national exam but some applicants from there have opted to complete the MBLEx anyway since it is the only national exam at this time. OLE Dulebohn goes on to state that the board has reviewed applications before and have approved a license pending the successful completion of the MBLEx. With the recent passage of 12 AAC 79.130 in April 2019, the board now can only review completed applications.

TASK: OLE Dulebohn should reply to Ms. Brown's correspondence by referencing this portion of the minutes and the board's discussion.

Review/Update "No Investigations Needed List from November 2015

OLE Dulebohn directs the board to the list they drafted in November 2015 of criminal offenses they believe are not worthy of an investigative review. Ms. Gilmour states that she does not believe the board should review and revamp something they have already decided upon. Chair Edwards-Smith reviewed the list and saw no changes were needed at this time.

OLE Dulebohn suggests one amendment to the board. On the list the board currently has "underage drinking". She would like the board to expand on that to add "minor consuming" and "minor possession".

In a motion duly made by Julie Endle, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to AMEND the board's "No Investigations Needed List" to ADD "including, but not limited to minor consuming" as a facet of "underage drinking" and keep the rest of the list as written.

No Investigations Needed List

 The Board of Massage Therapists has determined at its November 5-6, 2015 and June 10-11, 2019 meetings that the disclosure of one (or more) of the following convictions on an application (or in a criminal background check) will not result in a referral to Investigations unless the Division, in its discretion, reasonably believes that an attempt to secure a license through fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation has occurred.

1. Traffic Violations (minor), including, but not limited to:

Reckless driving

• Driving without a license/suspended license

• Speeding tickets, other minor moving violations

Driving without insurance Jaywalking

2. Fish and Game Violations

3. <u>Animal Offenses</u> (excluding animal cruelty or abuse)- Convictions older than 10 years from application.

4. <u>Petty Crimes</u> (misdemeanors)- Convictions older than 5 years from application, including, but not limited to:

1057		• Shoplifting	
1058		Bounced/Bad checks	
1059		Minor theft charges	
1060		Littering	
1061			
1062	5.	Theft- Conviction older than 5 years from date of application	
1063			
1064	6.	Burglary- Conviction more than 10 years from date of application	
1065			
1066	7.		
1067		Minor Consuming	
1068	_		
1069	8.	Possession or Use of Marijuana- Single offense	
1070	_		
1071	9.	<u>Driving Under the Influence/Driving While Intoxicated</u> - No more than 2 conviction in a	
1072		10-year period.	
1073	10		
1074	10	. <u>Disorderly Conduct</u>	
1075	11		
1076 1077	11	. <u>Public Inebriation</u> - Single offense	
	10	Harris Carrier and Carrier and the Farm County of the Astronomy	
1078 1079	12	. <u>Harassment</u> - Conviction more than 5 years from the date of application	
1080	12	. Assault- Conviction more than 5 years from the date of application	
1080	13	. Assault- Conviction more than 3 years from the date of application	
1082	Electio	on of Vice Chair	
1083	<u> </u>	21 02 1100 011111	
1084	Chair	Edwards-Smith is open to the election of Traci Gilmour as Vice Chair of the Board of	
1085		ge Therapists.	
1086			
1087		aties of Vice Chair would be to stand in for the Chair should he/she be unable to attend the	
1088	board meeting or be unavailable for consultation in matters of board business. This position would		
1089	stand 1	antil their term as a board member ends or they are replaced by a vote of the board.	
1090	т		
1091 1092		notion duly made by Ron Gibbs, seconded by Julie Endle, and passed unanimously roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to ELECT Traci Gilmour as Vice Chair of the	
1092		of Massage Therapists.	
1094	Doard	of Massage Therapists.	
1095	Docur	ment Signing	
1096			
1097	TASK	: OLE Dulebohn will send original signature pages with a prepaid envelope to	
1098		Chair Edwards-Smith for signature and return.	
1099			
1100	TASK		
1101		mail and signed originals via postal mail to OLE Dulebohn.	

1103	FSMTB Annual Meeting and Board Delegates		
1104			
1105	Chair Edwards-Smith suggests to the board that the newly elected Vice Chair, Traci Gilmour, atten		
1106	the FSMTB Annual Meeting on October 3-5, 2019.		
1107			
1108	Ms. Gilmour states that she would really like to experience FSMTB and provide the board with her		
1109	feedback.		
1110			
1111	In a motion duly made by Jill Motz, seconded by Rob Gibbs, and passed unanimously with		
1112	a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED that Traci Gilmour (Delegate) and David Edwards-Smith		
1113	(2 nd Attendee) will attend the Federation of Massage Therapists Annual Meeting in October		
1114	2019.		
1115			
1116	Agenda Item 9 Regulations (continued)		
1117	500 H		
1118	500 Hours vs. 625 Hours- Criteria for Applicants		
1119			
1120	OLE Dulebohn walks the board through the ways they may be asked to review an application based		
1121	on a 500-hour requirement after the minimum educational hours raise on July 1, 2019. After		
1122	consulting Harriet Milks from the Department of Law and directly referencing correspondence from		
1123	Ms. Milks on 11/28/2018 and 5/8/2019, the following criteria was established:		
1124			
1125	 Be currently (actively) enrolled in a massage school or program in accordance 		
1126	with AS 08.61.030.		
1127	 Submit the "Application by Examination" application. Completed 		
1128	application must be received on or before June 30, 2019.		
1129	 Submit any documentation that is not required to be submitted by a 3rd 		
1130	party (everything but transcripts, exam scores, and background reports).		
1131	Valid documentation must be received on or before June 30, 2019.		
1132	• All application and fingerprint fees must be paid on or before June 30, 2019.		
1133	wpp		
1134	• Complete all facets of the application process prior to application becoming		
1135	"stale" (no later than December 30, 2019 depending on submission date).		
1136	state (no later than December 50, 2017 depending on submission date).		
1137	OLE Dulebohn continues by stating that it is the hope that, by allowing this concession to the new		
1138	statute, it will give people that are currently in the process of completing a 500-hour program time to		
1139	finish it and pass the national exam without burdening them with an increased hour requirement.		
1140	Mr. Gibbs asked how this information will get out to the public and OLE Dulebohn replied that she		
1141	sent this guideline out to all the approved schools in Alaska, the Alaska Commission on Post-		
1142	Secondary Education (ACPE), and posted it on the board's website on June 4, 2019.		
1143	Secondary Education (11C1 E), and posted it on the board's website on june 4, 2017.		
1144	New Statutes & Regulations- May 2019		
1144	TNOW Statutes & Regulations- May 2017		
1145	OLE Dulebohn reminded the board that there is a new copy of Statutes and Regulations. It is		
1146	available in this board packet, on the board's website, and in their OnBoard under "Resources".		
T T + 1	available in this board packet, on the board's website, and in their Offboard under Resources.		

Agenda Item 5 Old Business (continued)

Letter of Intent from Alaska Career College

On May 9, 2019, the board received a letter of intent from Alaska Career College to be a provider of remedial education for those seeking Alaska licensure but need additional hours to meet Alaska's requirements.

Exempt Status from ACPE

The board received an Exempted Status letter for Tarika Lea's School of Integrating Shiatsu. ACPE defines Exemptions from 20 AAC 17.015(4) as a short course of study that is not more than 120 hours or 15 days in duration, including all required class, laboratory, externship, and independent study hours. Board Chair Edwards-Smith muses how Exempt Status, in any way, accredits your program. Ms. Motz and Mr. Edwards-Smith both agree that an Exempt Letter is not an accreditation of a program.

Louisiana's Potential Applicant Criminal Pre-Review

In the February/March 2019 meeting, OLE Dulebohn brought an idea to the board that had been discussed at the FARB Forum she attended in January 2019. In Louisiana, the board allows people to request a pre-review of their criminal history. The board reviews the history and makes a standing decision on whether a person's past criminal history will be a barrier to licensure when that individual applies in Louisiana. This process allows the future applicant the peace of mind of knowing that if they spend money on education, invest time in the process of becoming a massage therapist, and meet all the requirements of the state, they will be granted a license when the process has been completed.

Board Member Traci Gilmour and OLE Dulebohn submitted their research on how Louisiana does their pre-screening process for applicants with a criminal history to see if it's something the board would like to initiate here in Alaska.

OLE Dulebohn submitted a copy of Louisiana House Bill 748 and Ms. Gilmour submitted research from the LA website. OLE Dulebohn also shares some feedback that she was asked to relay by Supervisor Hannasch including taking into consideration:

- 12 AAC 79.130- "board will only review completed applications..."
- Who will fund the time it takes to process and review the criminal background reports since they have not submitted an application with the \$200 processing fee?
 If the board sets a precedent that they will review background reports prior to the
 - If the board sets a precedent that they will review background reports prior to the application process, where will the line be drawn for other requests to review documents prior to applications and fees being submitted?

Chair Edwards-Smith states that the information on what defines a crime of moral turpitude is available online. OLE Dulebohn interjects that transparency is also one of the reasons she asked to review and revamp the "No Investigations Needed" statement so that she can post it on the website for future applicant's to be able to reference prior to applying for schools or applying for licensure.

Mr. Edwards-Smith states that based on all the information that will be available, applicants can obtain their own copy of their background report and reference the list of moral turpitude available in statutes and regulations and the "No Investigations Needed" list to see if their specific criminal history will be a barrier to licensure. OLE Dulebohn comments that between those two documents and the Disciplinary Matrix that the board is currently working on, applicant's will be able to determine whether they will be denied licensure.

Ms. Motz would like to address the concerns brought to the board from Alaska Career College (ACC) concerning student/applicant criminal history. She suggests that ACC perform a background report on students who have concerns about their history so that it can be ascertained ahead of time if there will be anything that will hold up or block licensure. Ms Gilmour joins the conversation by stating that between all the information the board has/will make available, future applicants will be able to determine on their own if they have a criminal history that would preclude them from licensure in Alaska. Ms. Gilmour goes on to state that it is the applicant's responsibility to do their research to see whether they have anything detrimental in their past to hinder the licensing process and that it's not ACC's job to do that research before they will enroll students but it's their choice.

The board discusses the subject further and decides that, with all the information available to the public, they will not move forward with an initiative to implement a pre-review of criminal history for potential applicants.

TASK: OLE Dulebohn will post list of crimes of moral turpitude, "No Investigations Needed", and completed Disciplinary Matrix to the board's website.

Board Chair Edwards-Smith ask the board to ensure they have read the information he had OLE Dulebohn disseminate regarding massage establishment regulations prior to tomorrow's meeting. OLE Dulebohn reminds the board that she has also included in the board packet all the meeting minutes relating to the discussion on massage establishment licensing/regulation. She goes on to state that they are highlighted and ready for review to use as reference on the subject matter from the last year.

Agenda Item 15 Adjourn or Recess

Chair Edwards-Smith recesses the meeting until June 11, 2019 at 9:00 a.m.

Off the record at 4:34 p.m.

Tuesday, June 11, 2019

Agenda Item 16 Call to Order/Roll Call

On the record at 9:03a.m.

Board Members present, constituting a quorum:

David Edwards-Smith, Board Chair-Licensed Massage Therapist Traci Gilmour, Licensed Massage Therapist Ron Gibbs, Licenses Massage Therapist

Page | 27

Jill Motz, Licensed Massage Therapist 1245 Julie Endle, Public Board Member 1246 1247 1248 **Division Staff present:** 1249 1250 Dawn Dulebohn, Occupational Licensing Examiner Carl Jacobs, Investigator III 1251 Sonia Lipker, Senior Investigator III 1252 Sher Zinn, Regulations Specialist 1253 Greg François, Chief Investigator 1254 1255 Sara Chambers, Director of the Division of Corporations, Business, and Professional 1256 Licensing 1257 Review Agenda 1258 Agenda Item 17 1259 1260 Chair Edwards-Smith starts the day thanking the board for the great meeting on the previous day despite the frantic pace. 1261 1262 The board reviews the 2nd day agenda. Chair Edwards-Smith wants to amend the day's agenda to 1263 add the finalization of the Disciplinary Matrix. Board member Julie Endle asks when the board will 1264 1265 be addressing the Board Curriculum Breakdown and OLE Dulebohn responds that that discussion is slated for Agenda Item 19 at 10:45 a.m. 1266 1267 1268 In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Rob Gibbs, and passed unanimously, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the agenda as amended. 1269 1270 1271 **New Business** Agenda Item 18 1272 1273 Order of Remand for X.L. 1274 1275 The board is presented with an Order of Remand by Administrative Law Judge Christopher Kennedy in the matter of Xia Liu. Ms. Liu application was initially reviewed and denied by the 1276 1277 board referencing AS 08.61.040(6). ALJ Kennedy would like the board to re-evaluate the case by using the correct set of standards. 1278 1279 1280 In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Julie Endle, it was RESOLVED to ENTER into Executive Session in accordance with AS 44.62.310(c), and Alaska 1281 Constitutional Right to Privacy Provisions, for the purpose of discussing "subjects that tend 1282 to prejudice the reputation and character of any person, provided the person may request a 1283 public discussion". OLE Dulebohn to remain during Executive Session. 1284 1285 1286 Off the record at 9:08 a.m. Back on the record at 9:31 a.m. 1287 1288 1289 After lengthy discussion taking into consideration that Ms. Liu has a history of securing licensure 1290 through deceit, fraud, and misrepresentation the board came to a decision on this matter.

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Julie Endle, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to DENY the application for licensure for Xia Liu Case #2018-00166 citing AS 08.61.060(1) "After a hearing, the board may impose a disciplinary sanction under AS 08.01.075 on a person licensed under this chapter if the board finds that the person secured a license through deceit, fraud, or intentional misrepresentation.

Rescind Denials for H.K, C.S., and Y.Y.

OLE Dulebohn addresses the board in the matter of Fitness to Practice reviews. The board has previously asked OLE Dulebohn to go through previous denials to see if any of those individuals qualify for a Fitness to Practice review under the board's new standards for reviewing criminal history. After some research, OLE Dulebohn found six people that met the board's criteria and would benefit from a re-evaluation of their application. OLE Dulebohn sent them letters asking if they would like the board to reconsider them for licensure. To document their willingness to have the board re-open their files, OLE Dulebohn asked them to sign and return an Intent to Participate form which outlined several requirements they would need to fulfill to be eligible for this option such as submitting fingerprints, fingerprint processing fees, a detailed work history, letters of explanation for criminal convictions, letters of recommendation, and participation in a 30-minute interview. Of the six, three people returned the Intent to Participate forms. Two out of three applicants met the first deadline and are still eligible to participate and OLE Dulebohn is asking the board to rescind their previous denials so their files can go back into a "in-process" status so that they can make a decision on licensure for these applications after the individuals complete their interviews with the board.

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to RESCIND the DENIALS for Han Mee Kim and Yong Ki Yi to allow for participation in a Fitness to Practice procedures and interviews.

Fitness to Practice interviews for Ms. Kim and Ms. Yi are scheduled for the board's September 10-11, 2019 meeting.

TASK: OLE Dulebohn will update the board's denial list and post the updated list on the board's website.

Review Tabled Applications

Chair Edwards-Smith directs the board to review tabled applications for J.E., E.P., and M.W.

In a motion duly made by Julie Endle, seconded by Jill Motz, it was RESOLVED to
ENTER into Executive Session in accordance with AS 44.62.310(c), and Alaska
Constitutional Right to Privacy Provisions, for the purpose of discussing "matters which by
law, municipal charter, or ordinance are required to be confidential". OLE Dulebohn to
remain during Executive Session.

1337 Off the record at 9:42 a.m.
1338 Back on the record at 10:26 a.m.

After a detailed discussion of J.E.'s application, the board made a decision on this matter.

In a motion duly made by Jill Motz, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the application for Joseph Etcheverry.

After discussing the application for E.P. in length during executive session, Chair Edwards-Smith states that the board's wish is to determine that the schools that E.P. attended are approved and accredited according to Alaska statute.

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the application of Eun Young Park PENDING board review and verification of official transcripts from Body Concept Wellness and Wall Street Institute.

The board discussed this application thoroughly. Ms. Gilmour starts off referencing AS 08.61.030(8) which outlines qualifications for licensure and states an applicant must have successfully passed a national competency exam and AS 08.61.040(9) which says that applicants applying by their credentials must have credentials from a state with equal to or greater standards than Alaska; she does not believe this is the case. Mr. Edwards-Smith expands that the state through M.W. was licensed does not require completion of the MBLEx or any other national competency exam which is an Alaska requirement. OLE Dulebohn interjects that the board's reference material on this matter was from the Federation for State Massage Therapy Boards State Massage Licensure & Renewal Requirements list.

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to DENY the application for licensure for Min Wang according to AS 08.61.030(8) "The board shall issue a license to practice massage therapy to a person who has successfully completed a nationally recognized competency exam approved by the board" and AS 08.61.040(9) "The board shall issue a license to practice massage therapy to a person who is currently licensed to practice massage therapy in another state or country that has licensing requirements that are substantially equal to or greater than the requirements of this state."

Chair Edwards-Smith starts off the conversation on Board Approved Credentialing Agencies by State that is part of AS 08.61.020 as a duty of the board to determine which states have education and licensing requirements equivalent to the requirements of this state. He agrees it would be a great comprehensive tool to have a list of credentialing entities for each state (such as the California Massage Therapy Council (CAMTC) in California). Chair Edwards-Smith goes on to state that it is fortunate that the board has a membership with the Federation of State Massage Therapy Boards (FSMTB) which would gladly help the board in their research on this subject.

TASK: Chair Edwards-Smith will contact FSMTB to help the board with research regarding state approved credentialing agencies.

Chair Edwards-Smith continues by stating, for the record, that this is one of the many benefits of having the FSMTB membership is their research and historical documents. Without the aid of the

Board Approved Credentialing Agencies by State

FSMTB, this task would require hours of research which would cost the department and time for board members.

Board member Traci Gilmour feels like she may have touched on this subject when she was researching a project for the legislature. She will send that information to the board for review.

TASK: Traci Gilmour will send her research on school hour requirements by state to OLE Dulebohn to distribute to the board.

Board Member Ron Gibbs asks OLE Dulebohn if this research will yield a data base for future board use. Ms. Dulebohn responds that her intention is to, in fact, start a spreadsheet on board approved credentialing agencies for use by the board and as reference material for the public.

Board Approved National Accreditation Agencies for Schools

Chair Edwards-Smith directs the board to the information provided in the board packet on the Accrediting Commission of Career Schools and Colleges (ACCSC). Mr. Edwards-Smith read a press release stating that Commission on Massage Therapy Associations (COMTA) and ACCSC "have entered into a management agreement to strengthen forces and the opportunity to join a joint institutional and programmatic accreditation process."

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Julie Endle, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to ACCEPT the Accrediting Commission of Career Schools and Colleges (ACCSC) as a national accrediting body.

TASK: OLE Dulebohn to create a list of the state authorizing and national accrediting entities approved by the board and post them to the board's website.

Board Member Ron Gibbs points out that there is a list of regional and national accreditors that the board could research and possible add to their accepted list. Chair Edwards-Smith thinks that by doing their own research on accrediting bodies and combining it with the research that will be contributed by FSMTB, the board could possibly expand their approval entities list at the next meeting.

Ron Gibbs and Julie Endle will research the Distance Education and Training Council (DETC), Accrediting Council for Independent Colleges and Schools (ACICS), and Accrediting Council for Continuing Education and Training (ACCET) and relay that information to OLE Dulebohn to include in the September meeting packet.

Board Approved State Authorizing Agency for Schools

Chair Dave Edwards-Smith will also work with FSMTB to establish the Alaska Commission on Post-Secondary Education (ACPE) equivalency of state authorizing agencies for schools in every state. Both the Chair and the Vice-Chair agree that tapping into FSMTB's resources would be a good use of the board's membership to the organization. OLE Dulebohn agrees with the board members as she recently had to justify to Division why the board should have this membership.

TASK:

Vice-Chair Traci Gilmour states that this membership will save hours of research by OLE Dulebohn and Board Members when FSMTB already has the resources to complete the project.

1437 1438

TASK: Chair Edwards-Smith will consult FSMTB to establish a list of ACPE equivalents in every state.

1439 1440

Insurance Billing Statute

1441 1442

- Board Member Jill Motz was invited to present her research on this matter. Ms. Motz found that, according to the Director of the Department of Insurance for Alaska, that once the massage board was formed it would fall under AS 21. 36.090, a statute regarding Unfair Discrimination.
- AS 21.36.090 Unfair Discrimination states: (a) A person may not make or permit unfair
- 1447 discrimination between individuals of the same class and equal expectation of life in the rates
- charged for a contract of life insurance or of life annuity or in the dividends or other benefits
- payable thereon, or in any other of the terms and conditions of the contract.
- 1450 (b) A person may not make or permit unfair discrimination between individuals of the same class
- and of essentially the same hazard in the amount of premium, policy fees, or rates charged for a
- policy or contract of health insurance or in the benefits payable, or in any of the terms or conditions
- of the contract, or in any other manner whatever.
- 1454 (c) A person may not make or permit arbitrary or unfair discrimination between insureds or property
- having like insuring or risk characteristics, in the premium or rates charged for a policy or contract
- of property, casualty, surety, marine, wet marine or transportation insurance, or in the dividends or
- other benefits payable on the insurance, or in the selection of it, or in any other of the terms and
- 1458 conditions of the insurance.
- 1459 (d) Except to the extent necessary to comply with AS 21.42.365 and AS 21.56, a person may not
- practice or permit unfair discrimination against a person who provides a service covered under a
- group health insurance policy that extends coverage on an expense incurred basis, or under a group
- service or indemnity type contract issued by a health maintenance organization or a nonprofit
- corporation, if the service is within the scope of the provider's occupational license. In this
- subsection, "provider" means a state licensed physician, physician assistant, dentist, osteopath,
- optometrist, chiropractor, advanced practice registered nurse, naturopath, physical therapist,
- occupational therapist, marital and family therapist, psychologist, psychological associate, licensed
- 1467 clinical social worker, licensed professional counselor, or certified direct-entry midwife.
- 1468 Ms. Motz shares a few points:

1469

1470

1471

1472

- Ms. Motz recently looked up that statute with a representative from the Department of Insurance and, although it mentions 15 types of providers, that statute does not list massage therapy and 7 other boards.
- AS 21.36.090 was created in 1993 and there are many boards that are not on the list.
- Insurance companies may reference this law and use it as a reason to not pay massage therapists for coverage and billing.

- When Ms. Motz asked Division about this issue, she was advised to take it to legislation by
 Supervisor Hannasch.
 - Is this a housekeeping issue for Division given that there are multiple boards that are not mentioned?
 - Is this something the board's need to address directly, either singularly or as a group? Since she believes that this project is reasonable and important, it may be the business of the board directly.

Ms. Gilmour contributes to the conversation by divulging that she cannot work on AlaskaCare retirees and bill their insurance because she does not work in a clinic supervised by a doctor. Ms. Motz interjects that AlaskaCare retirees state plan specifically states that massage therapy will not be covered for retirees but Ms. Gilmour counters that retirees can get a massage from a licensed massage therapist working for a chiropractor and the billing is covered. Ms. Gilmour continues that since the massage board is one of many professional license programs/health care providers that are excluded, she suggests first going to other health care providers to band together to take on a project of this magnitude.

TASK: Ms. Gilmour will contact the Department of Insurance regarding AS 21.36.090.

Chair Edwards-Smith muses on whether it is the board's purview to take on this project and Ms. Motz replies that it is an issue of access to care. Chair Edwards-Smith poses the following questions: Is this project:

- 1. Under the scope of the board?
- 2. Is this a Division issue? Would it be necessary for Division to pursue this topic? Should Director Chambers be consulted?
- 3. Should the board consult other professional license boards/health care professionals to garner their take on the subject and then present this case to the legislature?

Ms. Motz agrees with Mr. Edwards-Smith. She goes on to state that she thinks it should be brought to Division again before the board takes any more steps. Ms. Motz continues that she would be very interested to see what Ms. Gilmour finds out from the Department of Insurance under providers need licenses to bill insurance.

Ms. Endle joins the conversation by stating that she has firsthand knowledge of insurance providers denying covering massage sessions and would like to see that changed as she sees massage as an important tool to healing.

Mr. Gibbs states that massage therapists not being mentioned in AS 21.36.090 could be a potential loophole that insurance companies will use to deny coverage. In his mind, the first step is consulting the other professions/health care providers that are not mentioned in statute considering it's a statute on equality. Ms. Motz continues by saying that if the board goes to the legislature about this, they should ask for an "adoption by reference" so that way boards would not have to bring this back to the legislators every time a new board is created.

Ms. Motz polls the board and Mr. Gibbs, Ms. Gilmour agree that this project is within the board's 1521 1522 scope. 1523 1524 TASK: OLE Dulebohn will send request for Division Deputy Director Sharon Walsh and the Department of Law, on the subject of Division taking on the task of 1525 updating AS 21.36.090 to include all professionally licensed health care 1526 boards. 1527 1528 TASK: 1529 Ms. Motz will research what other boards have been left off of AS 21.36.090. She will draft a letter for OLE Dulebohn to send out to other health care 1530 1531 related professional licensing boards to see if they have interest in joining a coalition and taking this topic before the legislature in 2020. The letter will be 1532 1533 reviewed and approved by the board before being sent out. 1534 1535 Agenda Item 19 Application of Curriculum Breakdown 1536 1537 On April 17, 2019 the board-added regulation, 12 AAC 79.140, went into effect giving a minimum 1538 guideline to what massage education should contain. 1539 1540 12 AAC 79.140. MASSAGE SCHOOL EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS. (a) For applications submitted on or before June 30, 2019, applicants must show successful completion of at least 500 1541 hours of education or instruction in massage therapy that includes the following subjects for the 1542 1543 minimum number of hours specified: 1544 (1) anatomy, physiology, pathology, and kinesiology: 130 hours, including instruction in (A) at least 40 hours in pathology, including indications and contraindications; 1545 (B) the muscular system; 1546 (C) the nervous system; 1547 (D) osteology; 1548 1549 (E) the circulatory system; and (F) kinesiology; 1550 (2) massage theory and practical application: 220 hours, including instruction in 1551 1552 (A) basic massage therapy techniques dedicated to the study of massage therapy and various clinically related modalities; and 1553 (B) assessment; 1554 (3) clinical practice: 110 hours, including instruction in 1555 1556 (A) universal and standard precautions; 1557 (B) self-care; (C) body mechanics; 1558 (D) draping; 1559 (E) record keeping; 1560 1561 (F) business practice and professional development; and (G) medical terminology; 1562 (4) ethics and laws: 40 hours, including instruction in 1563 1564

- (A) professional boundaries;
- (B) therapeutic relationships; and
- (C) local and state laws;
- (5) not more than 50 hours in techniques that are exempt from license requirements;
- (6) practical application, not to exceed 20 percent of the total hours of the massage program. (b) For applications submitted on or after July 1, 2019, applicants must show successful
- completion of at least 625 hours of education or instruction in massage therapy that includes the following subjects for the minimum number of hours specified:
 - (1) anatomy, physiology, pathology, and kinesiology: 162 hours, including instruction in (A) at least 40 hours in pathology, including indications and contraindications;

1565

1566

1567

1568 1569

1570 1571

1572

(B) the muscular system; 1574 1575

1576

1577 1578

1579

1580

1581 1582

1583

1584

1585 1586

1587

1588

1589

1590

1591

1592

1593

1594 1595

1596 1597

1598

1599

1600

1601

1602

1603 1604

1605 1606

1607

1608 1609

1610

1611 1612 1613

1614 1615

1616 1617

1618

1619

1620 1621

1622 1623

1624

(C) the nervous system;

(D) osteology;

(E) the circulatory system; and

(F) kinesiology;

(2) massage theory and practical application: 275 hours, including instruction in

(A) basic massage therapy techniques dedicated to the study of massage therapy and various clinically related modalities; and

(B) assessment;

- (3) clinical practice: 138 hours, including instruction in
 - (A) universal and standard precautions;
 - (B) self-care;
 - (C) body mechanics;
 - (D) draping;
 - (E) record keeping;
 - (F) business practice and professional development; and

(G) medical terminology;

- (4) ethics and laws: 50 hours, including instruction in
 - (A) professional boundaries;
 - (B) therapeutic relationships; and
- (5) not more than 50 hours in techniques that are exempt from license requirements;
- (6) practical application, not to exceed 20 percent of the total hours of the massage program.

Chair Edwards-Smith starts the conversation by referencing information he has gathered from the state of Massachusetts. Included in the application is a section that requires the applicant to break down their transcripts to match the state's education requirements. This form would put the responsibility on the applicant to show where on their transcript they have completing the Alaska board's required content. This method would also aid in the applicants understanding if and when the board determines there is an educational deficit that needs to be completed.

Mr. Gibbs asks the board if there is any flexibility when they are comparing transcripts to the board's Curriculum Breakdown. OLE Dulebohn answers that while there is flexibility in the board's interpretation of what counts under each category, there is no flexibility in the number of hours that is required for each topic as the board has added it to Regulation.

Board member Julie Endle contributes to the conversation stating that she has spent hours interpreting transcripts to the board's Curriculum Breakdown and think that having the applicants interpret their transcripts would be more accurate and time saving.

Ms. Gilmour states that including the Curriculum Breakdown as a form in the application will give the applicant the ability to evaluate any educational deficits themselves so they can complete those classes prior to the board reviewing their application. The more information that can be given will empower the applicant and the board.

TASK: Ron Gibbs and Dave Edwards-Smith will work together to compile a rough draft Transcript Analysis Form based of Massachusetts and submit it to OLE Dulebohn for possible revision and to be included in the board packet for the September meeting.

OLE Dulebohn states that with Division approval, the Transcript Analysis Form would be included in and a requirement in the Application by Examination.

Agenda Item 5(continued)

Old Business

1627

1628 <u>Disciplinary Matrix Revision (continued)</u>

1629 1630

1631 1632 The board continued their amendment of the new Disciplinary Matrix. The board discussed amendments to Code of Ethics Violation, combine Fraud in Obtaining a License and Fraud in Retaining a License into a new heading of Fraud in Securing a License, and combining all the Moral Turpitude offenses.

163316341635

The board amended matrix is as follows:

1636

MAS Disciplinary Matrix/Fine Schedule

1637

1638

Updated June 10-11, 2019 board meeting

Statute/ Regulation	<u>Violation</u>	Time Frame	Disciplinary Action	<u>Fine</u>
AS 08.61.070	Unlicensed Practice *	< 90 days	Fine	\$500
		91 days-1 year	Fine	\$1000
		> 1 year	Fine	\$2500
12 AAC 79.900	Code of Ethics Violation	1st Offense	Letter of Advisement and/or Fitness to Practice Interview	n/a
		2 or more Offenses	Fine and/or Fitness to Practice Interview	\$250 per offense
AS 08.61.060	Fraud in Securing a License	1st Offense	Consent Agreement w/ fine	\$250
		2 or more offenses	Consent Agreement with fine	\$250 per item
12 AAC 79.900	Standard of Practice Violation	1 st Offense	Letter of Advisement	n/a
	(refer to SOP)	2 or more Offenses	Fine	\$250 per offense
AS 11.56.210	Falsification of Application	1st Offense	Civil Fine	\$250 Civil Fine
		2 or more Offenses	Consent Agreement w/ fine,	\$250 per item
AS 08.61.060	Engaged in Deceit, Fraud, or Intentional	1st Offense	Letter of Advisement, Fine	\$250-\$2500
	Misrepresentation in the Course of Providing Massage Services	2 or more Offenses	Consent Agreement, Fine, Ethics Course, Suspension, Revocation	\$1000-\$2500

Statute/ Regulation	<u>Violation</u>	Time Frame	Disciplinary Action	<u>Fine</u>
AS 08.61.060	False or Misleading Massage Advertisement	1st Offense	Letter of Advisement	n/a
		2 or more Offenses	Consent Agreement, Fine, Ethics Course, Suspension, Revocation	\$250-\$1000
AS 08.61.060	Convicted of Felony or Crime that Affects Ability to Practice Competently and Safely	Initial Application	Fitness to Practice Interview which could result in: Denial or Consent Agreement, Ethics Course, Probation	n/a
		2 or more Offenses	Consent Agreement with 4 years of Suspension or Revocation	n/a
AS 08.61.060	Intentionally or Negligently Engaged (or allowed another under	1st Offense	Consent Agreement, Probation, Ethics Course	n/a
	your supervision to engage) in Client Care that Did Not Meet Minimum SOP (injury or not)	2 or more Offenses	Consent Agreement, Fine, Suspension, Revocation	\$100- \$2500
AS 08.61.060	Failure to Comply with a Provision of this Chapter, Regulation, or Order of the Board	1st Offense	Letter of Advisement OR Consent Agreement w/ Probation	n/a
		2 or more Offenses	Consent Agreement, Fine, Suspension, Probation	\$100-\$2500
AS 08.61.060	Continued to Practice After Becoming Unfit (professional/addiction)	n/a	Consent Agreement w/ 5-year probation, mandatory treatment	n/a
AS 08.61.060	Engaged in Un-Ethical or Sexual Misconduct in Connection with the Delivery of Massage to a Client	n/a	Fine, Ethics Course, Probation, Suspension, Revocation	\$500-\$5000

CRIMES OF MORAL TURPITUDE					
Statute/	<u>Violation</u>	Time Frame	Disciplinary Action	<u>Fine</u>	
Regulation			_ ,		
AS 08.61.030, AS	Crimes of Moral	Initial	Fitness to Practice	n/a	
08.61.040	Turpitude as defined by	Application	Interview which could		
12 AAC 79.910	12 AAC 79.910-May 2019		result in: Denial or		
	·		Consent Agreement,		
			Ethics Course,		
			Probation		
		Renewal	Fitness to Practice	\$500-\$2500	
		Application	Interview which could		
			result in: Consent		
			Agreement w/ Ethics		
			Course & Probation,		
			Fine, OR Suspension,		
			OR Revocation		

Board Chair Edwards-Smith called for lunch at 12:07 p.m. until 1:00 p.m.

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE and ADOPT the Disciplinary Matrix and Fine Schedule as amended pending any changes recommended by Investigations and the Department of Law.

TASK: OLE Dulebohn will send the revised Disciplinary Matrix and Fine Schedule to Investigations and the Department of Law

Agenda Item 14 Administrative Business (continued)

1652 <u>Annual Report</u>

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Ron Gibbs, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, the Board of Massage Therapists APPROVED the 2019 Annual Report as written.

Agenda Item 21 Lunch

Chair Edwards-Smith calls a lunch break at 11:22 a.m.

Back from lunch at 1:31 p.m. due to technical difficulties with the entire board present.

Also present was Regulations Specialist Sher Zinn and Chief Investigator Greg Francois

Agenda Item 22 Draft Massage Establishment Regulations

Chair Edwards-Smith begins the meeting by directing the board to the outline for Massage Establishment Regulations (MER) that he has drafted from the board's previous meetings on the subject.

The Chair revisits the idea that licensed massage therapists (LMTs) do not need to be registered for establishments since they are already regulated under their professional license. Asking LMTs to

Page | 38

register for establishments will be a duplication of work for division since they have already been vetted. The board is interested in asking non-LMT owned businesses to register since they have not been vetted, regulated, or registered by the state. The Chair also brings up a point made by Sara Chambers that the board should not ask for different sets of standards between LMTs and MER. He goes on to state that the way the board can rectify that situation is to add another section to LMT Standards of Practice (SOP) and Code of Ethics (COE). His outline is the minimum standards that the board has agreed should be part of the MER. Mr. Edwards-Smith explains to the board that the only thing he had not included in his outline is language regarding inspections and that is why he asked OLE Dulebohn to distribute an additional document to the board for review prior to the beginning of today's meeting. At this time, the Chair would like to open the floor for discussion on MER.

Chief Francois left the meeting at 1:41 p.m.

Board member Traci Gilmour begins the conversation and the board discussed Self Inspection Requirements. Board member Julie Endle brings up the licensing of non-massage owned establishments and the Chair expands that it would not be a license but a registration of massage establishments that would come with a fee. The registration would require compliance with MER Standards of Practice and Code of Ethics that is identical to the SOP and COE required by LMTs. The board goes over the drafted COE that the Chair has drafted. Ms. Gilmour and Ms. Endle have a discussion about whether the SOP and COE would pertain to any other profession being housed in the establishment. Ms. Gilmour clarifies that the SOP and COE would only pertain to any massage operations in the establishment as that is what the Board of Massage Therapists has jurisdiction over. Any other profession that is operating in the establishment would be required to abide by their own profession's statutes and regulations.

Chair Edwards-Smith asks Regulations Specialist Zinn for any input on the section in his outline on Massage Establishments Standards of Operation. Ms. Zinn clarifies that the board would ask that establishments attest on their application that they will adhere to the Standards of Operation. Ms. Zinn continues that the board needs to have everything that they want the establishment to adhere to in the Standards of Operation.

Ms. Endle broaches the question of a mobile massage therapist going to a hotel to perform a massage and whether that would make the hotel owner a massage establishment and whether they would have to register as such. The Chair states that under the definition of establishment that he has drafted, that would not be an issue since that massage would be an "outcall".

 The Chair requests that Regulations Specialist Zinn review Registration of Massage Therapy Establishments regarding (a) an establishment that is not majority owned by massage therapist licensed in the State of Alaska or a licensed provider of medical services in the State of Alaska. Ms. Zinn replies that the board can state the language in that way but they would want to make a space for exceptions to registration that lists the professions that would be exempt.

 Chair Edwards-Smith goes on to the transfer of ownership section. He expands by stating that the board should disregard that section as they have discussed there is no "transfer of ownership" and if a business has a change of ownership, the new owner will need to register with Alaska within 10 business days of them acquiring the business.

Board member Jill Motz revisits the option of any medical professional can own a massage establishment without registration. She points out that, because of their previous discussions on what is a medical provider in Alaska, the board is aware of who that regulation would be exempting. Ms. Motz brings to the boards attention the list of licensed medical providers in Alaska and whether all of those operate under the same standards that would allow them to have a massage therapist under their employ and not have to register with the Board of Massage Therapists. Chair Edwards-Smith reiterates that the MER mission statement is: "Massage establishment regulations will serve the purpose of safeguarding legitimate massage establishments, therapists, and the public from illicit massage establishments and human trafficking activity." Ms. Motz goes on to give the example that a esthetician is a licensed provider of medical services but should they be exempt from registration of an establishment that houses a massage therapist. Ms. Gilmour contributes to the conversation by stating that defining the exemptions is a good thing for the board to do at this state in the regulations. Ms. Zinn informs the board that if they make a list of exemptions, she can add their statutory reference when she is writing the MERs. Board member Ron Gibbs agrees that the board should narrow the list of appropriate medical providers for exemption. The board decided to exempt acupuncturists (Jill-yes, Traci- yes, Ron-no, David-yes, Julie-yes), chiropractic examiners (unanimous yes), midwives (David-yes, Traci-yes, Jill- no, Julie-yes, Ron-yes), massage therapists (unanimous yes), medical which encompasses physicians, physician's assistants, and paramedics (unanimous yes), naturopathy (unanimous yes), physical therapist (unanimous yes), occupational therapist (unanimous yes), osteopath (unanimous yes), and nurse practioners (unanimous yes).

The Chair brings the board to discuss the 11 items that would be required for registration of massage establishments. The board discusses how it would be a double standard to require massage establishments have liability insurance when it is not required for LMTs. When the Chair gets to number 11 he references the section where he defines what Self-Inspection entails. The Self Inspection checklist will be what the board determines is the standard and included in the COE and SOP.

The Chair directs the board to proposed SOP number 4 concerning the fact that establishments will require that therapists prominently display a current Alaska massage license and make available proof of identification and licensure while practicing off site to aid in public protection and help inspectors. Ms. Endle asks if the identification would also be up on the wall at the massage establishments to which the Chair replies that the license will be displayed but the identification would be made available by the therapist on request. He continues that this version of the SOP would be required of all therapists and would replace the current SOP in 12 AAC 79.900.

The board moves on to the proposed Massage Establishments Standards of Operation. The Chair agrees that number 4 can be removed but the rest he believes are applicable. Ms. Gilmour suggests how, even though it is not required for LMTs, that the application should have a place where obtaining general liability insurance is strongly recommended to establishment owners.

TASK: OLE Dulebohn will add the board's suggestion that LMT's carry general liability insurance to the FAQ's.

The board makes some other minor changes to the Standards of Operation, changes number 3 from 3 to 5 years (knowing that patient records should be kept for 10 years), and adds a section about photography taken directly from the February 2019 minutes: "No owner, operator, or employee shall allow television, video or recording equipment in any room where massage services are being

1720

1721

17221723

17241725

1726

1727

1728

1729 1730

17311732

1733

17341735

1736

1737

1738

1739 1740 1741

1742

1743

1744

1745

1746 1747

1748

17491750

1751

1752

1753

175417551756

1757

1758

175917601761

176217631764

1765

1766

provided. A security surveillance monitor that can only receive images of the inside of the common areas of the establishment is allowed. With written client consent, a massage therapist may use video and photography equipment for therapeutic purposes." The board does discuss adding a section for restroom facilities but the board feels that it is common sense and it may be problematic for mobile massage and the fact that it is not specifically in regulation for LMTs. Additionally, SOP number 3 requires that massage therapists provide a safe and sanitary environment.

Chair Edwards-Smith asks Ms. Zinn if the board has achieved their goal of creating regulations for massage establishments but not creating a double standard for those the board has exempted? Ms. Zinn replies that she does not believe the board has created a double standard but she will write up the proposed regulations and forward it to Harriet Milks in the Department of Law for review. Ms. Zinn expands that if the board's intention is to clarify the standards that LMTs are required to follow to individuals or professionals that may not have the same the same standard of care, that is not treating those individuals differently. Ms. Zinn states that at looking at the boards exempt list, she is sure that those professions have standards that are equal to or greater than the Board of Massage Therapists. Ms. Motz brings up the idea of requiring venues and teachers to register as a massage establishment.

The proposed regulations for massage establishments are as follows:

Massage Establishment Regulations

Mission Statement

Massage establishment regulations will serve the purpose of safeguarding legitimate massage establishments, therapists, and the public from illicit massage establishments and human trafficking activity

Establishments

 Establishments majority owned by licensed massage therapists are on record of practicing in Alaska and have paid licensing fees and are therefore registered. Establishments that are not majority owned by Alaska LMTs are not on record will register with the department and provide fees to cover the administrative costs.

Establishment is defined as:

 a fixed or mobile place of business of two or more individuals or of a partnership, firm, association, corporation, or business entity or any other combination of individuals.
 a. Business that uses the word massage in any solicitation or advertisement

b. Engages in, conducts, carries on or permits massage or massage therapy to be conducted or carried on for any form of compensation.

Compensation is defined as anything of value.

 2. Anyone who houses a massage therapist (who is not a massage therapist), whether the spaces are loaned, leased, or rented.

12 AAC 79.XXX Registration of Massage Therapy Establishments

 a. An establishment that is not majority owned by massage therapists licensed in the state of Alaska or a licensed provider of medical services exempted by the board. Persons that

- provide massage therapy practices as defined in AS 08.61.100 who is not on the board's exempt list must register with the board. Registration required under this section must be received prior to transacting massage business
 - b. The owner or operator of a massage therapy establishment shall register on a form provided by the department. A completed application must include:
 - 1. Payment of registration fee
 - 2. Name of the owner(s)
 - 3. Name of the operator(s), if not the owner
 - 4. Business license number of the massage therapy establishment
 - 5. Corporate entity number if the owner is not a natural (actual) person
 - 6. Mailing and street address of the massage therapy establishment
 - 7. Name and license number of each licensed massage therapist who is employed in the establishment
 - 8. Listing of all other massage therapy establishments the applicant operates, including the business name, mailing address, and street address of each establishment
 - 9. An affidavit stating whether the operation has ever been found in violation of a provision of AS 08.61.060 is any jurisdiction
 - 10. A completed self-inspection of the premises on a form provided by the department on which the establishment owner inspects and attests compliance with the establishment Standards of Operations.

Exemption to Massage Establishment Registration

The Board of Massage Therapists exempt the following medical professions from registerint their massage establishment

- 1. Acupuncturists,
- 2. Chiropractic Examiners
- **1843** 3. Midwives

1819

1820

1821

1822

1823

1824

1825 1826

1827 1828

1829

1830

1831

1832

1833

1834

1835 1836

1837 1838 1839

1840

1841

1842

1844

1845

1847

1848

1851 1852

1853 1854

1855 1856

1857 1858

1861 1862 1863

- 4. Massage Therapists
- 5. Medical which encompasses physicians, physician's assistants, and paramedics
- 1846 6. Naturopathy
 - 7. Physical Therapist
 - 8. Occupational Therapist
- 1849 9. Osteopath
- 1850 10. Nurse Practioners

Transfer of Ownership

Transfer of ownership is not allowed. If a business has a change in ownership, the new owner will need to register with the State of Alaska within 10 business days of acquiring the business.

Fine and Disciplinary Matrix

The board may issue a cease and desist order and impose a civil fine not to exceed \$5,000 for each individual violation of this section by a massage establishment.

Self-Inspection Requirements

On a form provided by the department, the establishment owner inspects and attest compliance with establishment Standards of Operation as per Code of Ethics and Standards of Practice.

Code of Ethics

- 1. I will represent my qualifications honestly including education, certifications and professional affiliations and accurately inform clients, health care professionals and the public of the scope and limitations of my discipline. I will provide only those services I am qualified to perform.
- 2. I will provide treatment only where there is a reasonable expectation that it will be advantageous to the client and will acknowledge the limitations of and contraindications for massage therapy and refer clients to appropriate health care professionals when indicated.
 - 3. I will not discriminate against clients and/or healthcare professionals.
 - 4. I will abide by the Federal guidelines of the Health Insurance Privacy and Portability Act (HIPPA).
 - 5. I will respect the client's right to refuse, modify or terminate treatment at will regardless of prior consent given.
 - 6. I will exercise my right to refuse to treat any person or part of the body for just and reasonable cause.
 - 7. I will respect the client's autonomy by providing draping and treatment in a way that ensures the safety, comfort and privacy of the client.
 - 8. I will not, in any circumstance, initiate or engage in sexual conduct, activities, or sexualizing behavior involving a client, even if the client attempts to sexualize the relationship.
 - 9. I will refuse any gifts or benefits that are intended to influence a referral, decision or treatment or those that are purely for personal gain and I will avoid any interest, activity or influence which may conflict with my obligation as a massage therapist to act in the best interest of my client or the profession.
 - 10. I will refrain from practicing under the influence of alcohol, drugs or any illegal substances, with the exception of a prescribed dosage of prescription medication which does not impair my ability to practice safely.
 - 11. I will report in accordance to AS 41.17.070 (a)(1) any suspected child abuse or neglect.

Standards of Practice

- 1. Obey all applicable local, state and federal laws when pertaining to massage therapy.
- 2. Maintain a record of daily clientele including name and date of service and adequate progress notes when applicable.
- 3. Provide a setting that is safe and meets all applicable legal requirements for health, safety, sanitation, hygiene, universal and standard precautions, in accordance with local, state and federal regulatory bodies including guidelines set by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the National Institute of Health (NIH), and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA).
- 4. Therapists shall prominently display a current State of Alaska massage license in his or her place of business. Therapists will make available proof of licensure and legal identification while practicing off site.

Therapists shall display or discuss a schedule of fees in advance of the session that is clearly understood by the client.
 Establishment Standards of Operation
 Standards of Practice and Code of Ethics must be on premises and made available to the public on request.
 Display within full public view, a current massage license of all employed massage therapists.
 A written and or digital system of maintaining client records for at least five (5) years. This

3. A written and or digital system of maintaining client records for at least five (5) years. This includes safeguarding verbal and written confidential information of the client, unless disclosure is required by law court order or authorized by the client

disclosure is required by law, court order, or authorized by the client.

- 4. Maintain all equipment used to perform massage therapy services on the premises in a safe and sanitary condition.
- 5. Maintain compliance with all applicable state and local building and fire codes.
- 6. Provide for the removal of garbage and refuse in a sanitary manner.
- 7. Provide for safe storage, cleaning, and/or removal of soiled linens.
- 8. Rooms or any cubicle for massage or massage therapy practices may not be equipped with an externally locking door.
- 9. Establishment shall not operate or be open for business between the hours of 12:00 am and 5:00 am.
- 10. No owner, operator, or employee shall allow television, video or recording equipment in any room where massage services are being provided. A security surveillance monitor that can only receive images of the inside of the common areas of the establishment is allowed. With written client consent, a massage therapist may use video and photography equipment for therapeutic purposes.

In a motion duly made by Traci Gilmour, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to send the draft language for Massage Establishment Regulation from the June 10-11, 2019 meeting to Regulations Specialist Zinn for drafting.

TASK: Dave Edwards-Smith will complete the regulations questionnaire for the massage establishment regulations and submit it to OLE Dulebohn.

1946 Sher Zinn left the meeting at 2:50 p.m. 1947

1948 <u>Agenda Item 14 (continued)</u> <u>Administrative Business</u> 1949

1950 New Task List:

1921 1922

1923

1924

1925

1926

1927

1928

1929

1930 1931 1932

1933

1934

1935

1936 1937

1938

1939

1940

1941 1942

1943 1944

1945

TASK LIST

June 10-11, 2019

		<u> </u>			
				Distribute	
			When is the	to the	
#	Task	Who to complete?	deadline?	Board?	Completed

1 1	W. 1 (1 ' N' AC 00 (4 0 (0	1	1	1	I I
	Whether "hearing" in AS 08.61.060 means				
	the board reviewing the file and "licensee"				
1	pertains to the fact that the board doesn't				
1	implement disciplinary action via consent				
	agreement until after the license has been	Dulebohn/Department		In board	
	issued.	of LAW	8/21/2019	packet	
	Traci Gilmour will write an FAQ			-	
	regarding charting/recording				
2	keeping/SOP #2 and submit it to OLE			In board	
	Dulebohn to add to the board's website.	Gilmour/Dulebohn	ASAP	packet	
	Complete the regulations questionnaire	Giiii Gair Baiceanii	7.07.11	packet	
	for the continuing education ethics				
3	requirement regulations project and				
	submit it to OLE Dulebohn.	Edwards Smith	ACAD	No	
	Complete the regulations questionnaire	Edwards-Smith	ASAP	No	
4	for the continuing education ethics				
'	requirement regulations project and	O.I.			
	submit it to OLE Dulebohn.	Gilmour	ASAP	No	
	Complete the regulations questionnaire				
5	for in class supervised/online education				
	requirement regulations project and				
	submit it to OLE Dulebohn.	Motz	ASAP	No	
	Complete the regulations questionnaire				
	for Renewal/ Reinstatement regulations				
6	project and submit it to OLE Dulebohn.	Gilmour	ASAP	No	
	Research Medical/Military Exemptions	Motz-Medical		In board	
7	for continuing Education in other states.	Gibbs- Military	8/21/2019	packet	
				·	
	Send Lorena Haynes from FSMTB				
8	contact information to the board.	Dulebohn	ASAP	Via e-mail	
				If reply	
				from Ms.	
9	Send draft regulations for massage			Goeden is	
	establishments to SA Goeden for			rec'd: In	
	feedback.	Educand - Corriela	4545	board	
		Edwards-Smith	ASAP	packet.	
	Research the benefits of joining FARB in order to make an informed decision on				
10					
10	membership during the September 2019		- 4 4	In board	
	meeting.	Board	8/21/2019	packet	
	D14- M. D?				
11	Respond to Ms. Betz' correspondence to				
11	the board	Dulebohn	ASAP	No	
	Reply to Ms. Brown's correspondence by				
12	referencing this portion of the minutes				
12	and the board's discussion.	Dulebohn	ASAP	No	

1	Send original signature pages with a				
	prepaid envelope to Chair Edwards-Smith				
13	for signature and return.	Dulebohn	ASAP	No	
	Send digital copies of signature pages via	Balesolli	7.57.11	140	
	e-mail and signed originals via postal mail				
14	to OLE Dulebohn.	Edwards-Smith	ASAP	No	
	Post list of crimes of moral turpitude,				
	"No Investigations Needed", and				
15	completed Disciplinary Matrix to the				
	board's website after they have been				
	reviewed and approved.	Dulebohn	9/19/2019	No	
	Update the board's denial list and post the		-, -, -	-	
	updated list on the board's website.				
16	1	Dulebohn	9/19/2019	No	
	Contact FSMTB to help the board with				
	research regarding state approved			In board	
17	credentialing agencies.	Edwards-Smith	8/21/2019	packet	
	C			Via e-mail	
, -	Send legislative research on school			and in	
18	requirements in different states to OLE	O'I	0/04/0040	board	
	Dulebohn to distribute to the board. Create a list of the state authorizing and	Gilmour	8/21/2019	packet	
	9				
19	national accrediting entities approved by	Dulebohn/pending			
	the board and post them to the board's website.	research from Endle	00/10/2010	In board	
20	Research the Distance Education and	and Gibbs	09/19/2019	packet	
20	Training Council (DETC), Accrediting				
	Council for Independent Colleges and				
	Schools (ACICS), and Accrediting				
	Council for Continuing Education and				
	Training (ACCET) and relay that				
	information to OLE Dulebohn to include			In board	
	in the September meeting packet.	Gibbs/Endle	08/21/2019	packet	
21		GIDDS/ ETIGIC	00/21/2013	pucket	
	Consult FSMTB to establish a list of			In board	
	ACPE equivalents in every state	Edwards-Smith	8/21/2019	packet	
22.	Contact the Department of Insurance			In board	
	regarding AS 21.36.090.	Gilmour	8/21/2019	packet	
23	Send request for Division Deputy	Cililioui	5,21,2013	paonet	
	Director Sharon Walsh and the				
	Department of Law, on the subject of				
	Division taking on the task of updating				
	AS 21.36.090 to include all professionally			In Board	
	licensed health care boards.	Dulebohn	8/21/2019	packet	
	Possessed what other boards have been 1-6			Via e-	
	Research what other boards have been left off of AS 21.36.090. Draft letter to send			mail/In	
24	out to other health care related	Mota/Dulahaha	ACAD	board	
	out to other health care related	Motz/Dulebohn	ASAP	packet	

	professional licensing boards to see if they				
	have interest in joining a coalition and				
	taking this topic before the legislature in				
	2020. The letter will be reviewed and				
	approved by the board before being sent				
	out.				
	Compile a rough draft Transcript Analysis				
25	Form based of Massachusetts and submit				
25	it to OLE Dulebohn for possible revision				
	and to be included in the board packet for	Gibbs/Edwards-		In board	
	the September meeting.	Smith/Dulebohn	ASAP	packet	
	Send the revised Disciplinary Matrix and				
2.5	Fine Schedule to Investigations and the			In Board	
26	Department of Law	Dulebohn	ASAP	packet	
	Add the board's suggestion that LMT's				
	carry general liability insurance to the				
27	FAQ's.	Dulebohn	9/19/2019	No	
	Complete the regulations questionnaire				
	for the massage establishment regulations				
28	and submit it to OLE Dulebohn.	Edwards-Smith	ASAP	No	
	Add FAQ. 12 AAC 79.210(e)(1) means				
	the board can decide if a continuing				
29	education course relates to the profession				
	of massage therapy. Course must still be				
	approved by an institute of higher learning				
	or a local, state, or national organization				
	that serves the profession of massage				
	therapy Consult Regulations Specialist				
	Zinn before posting	Dulebohn/Zinn	9/19/2019	No	
	Send OLE Dulebohn unavailable dates				
	(such as travel or other commitment) so				
30	she can update her calendar.	Board	ASAP	No	
19	51				

Agenda Item 23 Adjourn

At this time, the board concluded all scheduled Board Business.

In a motion made by Julie Endle, seconded by Traci Gilmour, and passed unanimously, it was RESOLVED to ADJOURN.

1965 1966 1967 1968	Hearing nothing further, Chair David Edvat 2:57 p.m.	wards-Smith adjourned the meeting and the record ended
1969	Respectfully Submitted,	
1970 1971 1972	Dallle	9/19/19
1973	Dawn Dule ohn, Licensing Examiner	Date
1974 1975 1976		
1977		9-19-19
1978	David Edwards-Smith, Boards Chair	Date
1979		
1980		
1981		