1	STATE OF ALASKA		
2	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT		
3	DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING		
4	BOARD OF MASSAGE THERAPISTS		
5			
6	MINUTES OF THE MEETING		
7	September 9, 2021		
8			
9	By the authority of AS 08.01.070(2) and AS 08.86.030 and in compliance with the provisions of AS 44		
LO	Article 6, a scheduled meeting of the Board of Massage Therapists was held via videoconference and a		
l1	State Office Bldg., 9th Floor, Conference Room C, September 9, 2021		
L2			
L3	Agenda Item 1 Call to Order/Roll Call:		
L4			
L5	The meeting of the Board of Massage Therapists was called to order by David Edwards-Smith, Chair at		
L6	9:06 a.m. Members present were:		
L7			
L8	Board Members present, constituting a quorum:		
L9			
20	David Edwards-Smith, Board Chair, Licensed Massage Therapist		
21	Jill Motz, Vice Chair, Licensed Massage Therapist		
22	Kristin Tri, Licensed Massage Therapist		
23	Julie Endle, Public Member		
24			
25	Division Staff present:		
26			
27	Emily Sullivan, Licensing Examiner		
28	Reid Bowman, Records and Licensing Supervisor		
29	Cynthia Spencer, Records and Licensing Supervisor		
30	Colleen Kautz, Program Coordinator		
31	Marilyn Zimmerman, Paralegal		
32			
33	Public Joining Telephonically		
34			
35	Heather Kazmark, Public		
36	Tobias Wright, Applicant for Massage Therapist Licensure		
37			
38	Agenda Item 2 Review/Approve Agenda		
39			
10	The board reviewed the agenda and added an item to review an application and add an item under		
11	correspondence process and removed certain application review discussion items.		
12			
13	In a motion made by Jill Motz, seconded by Kristin Tri, and passed with unanimous consent, it		
14	was RESOLVED to APPROVE the agenda as amended.		
15			
16	Agenda Item 3 Ethics Reporting		
17			
18	The Board reviewed the provided ethics information. There were no ethics violations reported.		

50 51		Agenda Item 4 Investigations
52 53 54	Shauna the mee	Muraco, Investigator and Karina Medina, Investigator, and Amber Whaley, Investigator, joined ting.
55 56	Investiga	ative Report
57 58 59	_	ator Shauna Muraco informed the board there are currently 12 open cases, and since the last here have been 7 closed cases.
60 61	Probatio	on Report
62 63 64	_	ator Karina Medina informed the board that there are eight licensees currently on probation of these licensees remaining in compliance with their agreements
65 66 67 68 69 70		In a motion duly made by Julie Endle, seconded by Jill Motz, it was RESOLVED to ENTER into Executive Session in accordance with AS 44.62.310(c), and Alaska Constitutional Right to Privacy Provisions, for the purpose of discussing "subjects that tend to prejudice the reputation and character of any person, provided the person may request a public discussion;" All Division staff to remain during Executive Session.
71 72	The Boa	rd entered executive session at 9:21 a.m., and returned from executive session at 9:59 a.m.
73 74	The boa	rd made the following motions regarding the cases they reviewed in executive session:
75 76 77 78 79 80		In a motion made by Julie Endle, seconded by Kristin Tri, with Jill Motz abstaining, and passed by a majority with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the Imposition of Civil Fines for case #2019-001067.
81 82 83 84 85 86		In a motion made by Julie Endle, seconded by Kristin Tri, with Jill Motz abstaining, and passed by a majority with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the Imposition of Civil Fines for case #2019-001328.
87 88 89 90 91 92	I	In a motion made by Kristin Tri, seconded by Julie Endle, with Jill Motz abstaining, and passed by a majority with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to approve the Imposition of Civil Fines for case #2020-000060.
93 94 95 96 97 98		In a motion made by Jill Motz, seconded by Julie Endle, and passed unanimously with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to ACCEPT the voluntary surrender of license for case #2021-000192.

99	<u>B.J.</u>				
100					
101	In a motion made by Jill Motz, seconded by Julie Endle, and passed unanimously with a roll				
102	call vote, it was RESOLVED to ACCEPT the consent agreement for case #2021-000434.				
103					
104	<u>J.H.</u>				
105					
106	In a motion made by Julie Endle, seconded by Kristin Tri, with Jill Motz abstaining, and passed				
107	by a majority with a roll call vote, it was RESOLVED to ACCEPT the voluntary surrender of				
108	license for case #2019-001329.				
109					
110	<u>E.V.</u>				
111					
112	In a motion made by Jill Motz, seconded by Julie Endle, and passed unanimously with a roll				
113	call vote, it was RESOLVED to accept the voluntary surrender of license for case #2020-000170.				
114					
115	Case Review: Precedent and Civil Fines				
116					
117	Ms. Motz expressed concern about the time and investigative cost associated with two investigative				
118	cases that were departures from case precedents, which caused delays Ms. Motz requested information				
119	about the delays and what can be done to avoid them in the future. Ms. Whaley explained that the				
120	investigative unit will always move forward with what the board recommends the investigative unit				
121	needs, even if it is outside of case precedents or the disciplinary matrix. However, in order for the				
122	investigative unit to move forward, they need justification written in on the review form.				
123					
124	Ms. Muraco explained the reason the two cases were delayed is because she and Ms. Motz discussed				
125	the justification and corresponded via email, because the the justification was missing from the review				
126	form. The board and investigators agreed that moving forward, all recommendations and explanations				
127	will be written in detail on the review form.				
128					
129	Ms. Whaley suggested that the board have an investigative unit refresher at the next board meeting.				
130					
131	Agenda Item 5 Board Procedure Review				
132					
133	Communication With Licensees Regarding Licensing Matters				
134					
135	Chair Edwards-Smith stated that a licensee contacted him personally, and divulged information that may				
136	result in a finding if the person is audited. If this case comes before the board Chair Edwards-Smith				
137	stated, he would recuse himself.				
138					
139	Ms. Motz stated she has experienced the same issues with licensees messaging her via Facebook and				
140	text. Ms. Motz explained that when a licensee messages her, or texts her, she will send an email to the				
141	licensing examiner and include the message from the licensee in the email.				
142					
143	Ms. Motz stated it was her understanding that questions regarding public information such as the				
144	board's website and FAQ's, as massage therapists, not board members, they can answer questions.				
145					
146	Chair Edwards-Smith stated that was a great way of handling these kinds of situations and would send				
147	Ms. Sullivan documentation for the phone call with the licensee.				
148	Ms. Sullivan stated that the board is requesting licensees refer to the board's website and reading the				
149	FAQ's. Ms. Sullivan explained that she has noticed that many new licensees look to senior massage				
150					

therapists as a resource for information instead of going to the board's website and reading the information provided as well as the FAQ's. As a result of this, misinformation or old information is spread to the licensees causing confusion and a lack of answers to their questions.

Ms. Motz asked Ms. Sullivan the frequent or most popular questions she gets regarding applications by examination. Ms. Motz also stated that while she agreed that they need to send the licensees to the website, the website and FAQ's could be organized in a way that is easier for licensees to navigate. Ms. Motz suggested the FAQ's be updated to delete old questions, reorganize content, and clarify some answers.

The board and Ms. Sullivan agreed that one of the biggest issues is getting the licensees to read the information that the board provides.

The board discussed adding more information to the applications as well as links that direct the applicants to the FAQ's. Ms. Sullivan stated that since the division controls the website and applications, that would be a conversation to have with supervisor Cynthia Spencer, as she would have more insight into what can and cannot be changed or added.

Ms. Motz asked the board if they were interested in making the FAQ update a project, the board agreed to make a project.

TASK: Ms. Motz and OLE Sullivan will coordinate the FAQ update

Issues With Application by Credentials

Chair Edwards-Smith stated he would like to hear from Ms. Sullivan what roadblocks and issues she is having when processing applications by credentials.

Ms. Sullivan stated one of the most common issues encountered when processing license by credentials applications is the applicants' difficulty in meeting AS 08.61.040(9)(A) "Is currently licensed to practice massage therapy in another state or country that has licensing requirements that are substantially equal to or greater than the requirements of this state". Since less than 10 states are substantially greater than or equal to Alaska's requirements, this makes gaining licensure in Alaska difficult. Ms. Sullivan stated there are many applicants who have been licensed in another state for more than 10 years, however they attended a massage therapy school when most programs were only 500 hours. Since there are few alternative options for meeting the education requirement such as continuing education, or on the job experience this creates a heavy burden for the applicant as the only option to gain licensure in Alaska would be to re-enroll in massage therapy school.

Chair Edwards-Smith stated he would like to ask regulations specialist Jun Maiquis how much leeway the statute 08.61.040(9)(A) gives the board regarding education requirements.

Ms. Tri suggested the board look at New York's reciprocity requirements and alternative options as they have greater requirements than Alaska does to get ideas about how to handle reciprocity.

Ms. Sullivan mentioned issues getting credentials applicants to complete and submit the Transcript Analysis Form. The transcript analysis form is not included as part of the application by credentials form. Since the Transcript Analysis is helpful to the board when reviewing applications, and the board has requested to see the transcript analysis forms for credentials applications as well is it confusing for the applicant that is not included in the application. This has created possible delays in applications

202 processing times, and confusion. Ms. Sullivan suggests adding the Transcript Analysis Form to the 203 application by credentials that is available on the board of massage therapists website. 204 205 Ms. Motz suggested the board creates a possible regulations project provide a path to licensure for 206 people who have graduated from a massage therapy school in conjunction with continuing education 207 and possibly experience. 208 209 Agenda Item 6 **COVID-19 – Board Guidance Review** 210 211 Chair Edwards-Smith provided the board with current COVID-19 and evolving pandemic information and 212 encouraged the board members to read the information to stay as up to date on these issues as they 213 can. Chair Edwards-Smith stated that the currently posted board guidance requiring universal masking is 214 still in effect and has not changed. 215 216 **Agenda Item 7 Application Review** 217 <u>S.R.</u> 218 A review was held for Sung Rhim's application. Ms. Sullivan informed the board they denied Seung 219 220 Rhim's application by credentials during the June 14-15, 2021 board meeting, citing statute AS 221 08.61.040(9), as the board could not verify that the massage therapy school the applicant attended was 222 approved through the State of New York, New Jersey, or a national body. A document showing proof of 223 the school being approved through the state of New Jersey was included in their June 14-15 application 224 packet but was missed in the application review. Due to the document showing proof of accreditation, 225 the applicant meets all of the requirements for licensure by credentials (AS 08.61.040 & 12 AAC 79.110). 226 As a result of this, the board decided to rescind their previous motion made in the June 15, 2021 board 227 meeting. 228 229 After reviewing and discussing Seung Rhim's application the board stated they acknowledge there is 230 serious inconsistency in this application. 231 In a motion made by Jill Motz, seconded by Kristin Tri, and passed unanimously with a roll call 232 233 vote, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the application by credentials for Seung Rhim per 12 AAC 234 79.110. 235 236 T.W. 237 238 The board reviewed and discussed the application by exam and corrected Transcript Analysis Form for 239 Tobias Wright and found they met the requirements per 12 AAC 79.100. 240 In a motion made by Julie Endle, seconded by Jill Motz, and passed unanimously with a roll 241 call vote, it was RESOLVED to APPROVE the application by exam for Tobias Wright per 12 AAC 242 79.100. 243 244 The Board recessed at 11:42 p.m. for lunch; reconvened at 1:15 pm. Emily Sullivan 245 conducted a roll call vote – all board members present. 246

247

248249

250

There were no other attendees for public comment, so the board moved on to the next agenda item.

Agenda Item 9

Public Comment

251 Agenda Item 10 FSMTB Annual Meeting – Charlotte, NC 252 253 Chair Edwards-Smith informed the board that the FSMTB annual meeting for 2021 has been canceled 254 due to COVID-19 but will offer an online meeting option. 255 256 Agenda Item 13 **Administrative Business** 257 258 Review/Approve June 2021 Meeting Minutes 259 260 The board reviewed the June 14-15, 2021 meeting minutes and did not have any edits. 261 262 In a motion made by Jill Motz, seconded by Julie Endle, and passed unanimously with a roll 263 call vote, it was RESOLVED to approve the June 14-15, 2021 meeting minutes as written. 264 265 **Previous Meeting Task List** 266 Ms. Sullivan informed the board that she will email a compiled task list from the June 14-15, 2021 and 267 September 9, 2021 meeting and email it to the board. 268 269 Regulations Specialist Jun Maiguis and RLS Cynthia Spencer joined the meeting. 270 271 Agenda Item 11 **Review of 625 Transcript Analysis Form** 272 273 The board and Mr. Maiquis discussed the ongoing problems with the licensure by credentials process 274 and what alternative options the board may have or can create to accept continuing education or work 275 experience if a credentials applicant does not meet the 625-education requirement. 276 277 Mr. Maiquis suggested the board create a regulations project to specifically outline their requirements, 278 what the board will and won't accept as alternative education, and to which applicant it applies. 279 280 Mr. Maiquis also suggested cleaning up and removing old statutes and regulations that are no longer 281 current. 282 283 Chair Edwards-Smith stated he would like to see a subcommittee for this regulations project to make 284 sure there is movement, and updates for the December 2-3, 2021 meeting. 285 286 Ms. Sullivan noted that while it does state that the Transcript Analysis Form is required in the 287 application by examination and information we send to applicants, there is not regulatory authority to 288 enforce it. Ms. Sullivan suggested adding the Transcript Analysis Form as a requirement for a complete 289 application for applications by examination as well as applications by credentials. 290 291 Chair Edwards-Smith and Mr. Maiquis agreed to add this to the massage therapists' regulations projects. 292 293 Chair Edwards-Smith and Ms. Motz volunteered to be on the subcommittee for the applications by 294 credentials regulations project. A date and time will be set for the subcommittee meeting via email 295 correspondence. 296 297 Ms. Endle asked for clarification on whether the month, day, and year need to be reflected on the 298 continuing education certificates, or if the only month and year will be acceptable. The board discussed 299 that the way the statues and regulations are currently written, there is no requirement to specify the 300

date of the month that the continuing education course was completed. The board agreed to add the day of the month on continuing education certificates as a requirement to the regulations project.

Ms. Sullivan will email the board a list of regulation considerations.

Massage Establishment Regulation Review

Ms. Motz stated she would like to know if civil fines which are generated from investigations, go to the general fund or the massage therapists program. Ms. Spencer stated that the money from the civil fines goes back to the general fund.

The board discussed the lack of current massage establishments being registered. The goal of the massage establishment registration is to hold the business owner responsible, rather than just the massage therapist. It was estimated that 100 massage establishments must register, but there are currently only 7 registered. Ms. Sullivan stated there is a lack of information about the massage establishment regulation, and confusion around the subject. The board discussed possibly sending out investigators to start enforcing the regulation.

Chief Investigator Greg Francois joined the meeting.

Chair Edwards-Smith asked Chief Francois, how does the investigative unit handles suspicions, and what is the investigative process if an establishment is not registered but should be.

Chief Francois explained that if the investigators conduct an inspection of a location and determine that the owner is in violation of the regulations, the investigator will record that as an inspection, open a case, and gather information. From there, the case will be processed in the same way regular investigative cases are processed. The investigative unit will be able to do inspections within a year; however, they are still in the process of training the investigator for the massage therapist board. When they start conducting inspections again, the investigator will tell the board that with the board's permission, they are going to inspect three locations in Homer.

Chief Francois stated he will work with the senior investigator and update the board when the investigative unit will be ready to conduct inspections.

Ms. Endle asked Chief Francois how the investigative unit picks which massage establishments they will inspect. Chief Francois stated the establishments will be selected randomly; however, if the board has received a complaint or report from the public, the investigative unit can inspect the specific location.

Ms. Motz expressed that the consensus has been that if you know an establishment that may be in violation of our statutes and regulations, you cannot file a complaint unless you have had firsthand information or experience. Ms. Motz asked Chief Francois for advice or guidance for the board when they are in these types of situations. Chief Francois suggested the board encourage licensees to go to the investigative unit's website and file a complaint in writing, as well as contacting the local police. If the investigative unit receives information that does not directly violate a statute or regulation, they will pass the information to local law enforcement in the area of the state. If the individuals are unlicensed, local law enforcement has to take the lead, as the only authority the Division's investigators have is a temporary cease and desist order. If the individuals are licensed, the board can or will take license action.

The board and Chief Francois discussed utilizing the human trafficking task force as a tool to help combat human trafficking and prostitution in the massage therapy industry. Chief Francois stated that

the human trafficking task force is starting to reconvene, however they are still working on getting more people to the meetings and is unsure of when the next meeting will take place. Ms. Motz asked Chief Francois if the massage therapy board has a role within the human trafficking task force, and if they should have one of the board members attend the meetings.

Chief Francois stated that at the next meeting, the investigative unit will tell the task force that they represent the Alaska Board of Massage Therapists and ask if they would like one of the board members to join the task force as a licensed massage therapist.

Ms. Motz asked Chief Francois as a massage therapist, and not a board member, they can talk to local law enforcement about concerning establishments. Chief Francois stated that a board member has the right to talk to law enforcement about anything as a private citizen, however they cannot represent they board unless the board officially agrees.

Correspondence

The board discussed an email written to the board from an applicant requesting reconsideration of her application. The board concluded since this application has been closed, they cannot take new documentation into consideration. The board agreed to have the licensing examiner and RLS Spencer draft a letter to the applicant stating the applicant has the option to complete and submit 77 hours of remedial education per the board's previous determination or withdraw her application and request a refund for any applicable license fee.

Ms. Sullivan stated she had received a question from a licensee regarding acceptable continuing education. This licensee has not completed continuing education for the 2021-2023 renewal period but has created a 16-hour continuing education course that meets all of Alaska's standards, and is approved through NCBTMB. The licensee would like to know if she can take her course and use that to fulfill her continuing education requirements. The board consensus was to communicate the purpose of continuing education is to expand your knowledge on the massage therapy industry, rather than enroll in a course that you have created.

Ms. Sullivan asked Ms. Spencer if the division could add links to questions on the application by examination and application by credentials directly the applicant to the FAQs. Ms. Spencer stated that they cannot add links the way the board specified, but they can add links directly applicants to the website. Ms. Spencer suggested adding a section to the application informing applicants that the FAQs may cover the Transcript Analysis Form, exam requirements etc., in a bullet list format.

Chair Edwards Smith stated he would also like to emphasize the application be read thoroughly by the applicants.

Agenda Item 20 Adjourn

At this time, the board concluded all scheduled board business.

In a motion made by Jill Motz, seconded by Julie Endle, and passed with unanimous consent, it was RESOLVED to ADJOURN.

Hearing no further business, Chair David Edwards-Smith adjourned the meeting and the record ended at 4:05 p.m.

402	Respectfully submitted:
403	
404	Reid Bowman
405	Records and Licensing Supervisor
406	
407	Approved py:
408	Aparoixed by: Jill Motz
409	7477858103824AB
410	Jill Motz, Chairperson
411	Board of Massage Therapists
412	5 /7 /2022
413	Date:
414	