

From: [Eric Derleth](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Cc: [Marc Theiler](#)
Subject: Marijuana Handler Permits
Date: Thursday, November 17, 2016 10:34:30 AM

Hello from Soldotna...

I'm one of the owners of Red Run Cannabis Company (and Red Run Cannabis Cultivators). We were granted both of our licenses yesterday after the investigators visited our store.

My question is about three employees who submitted all their paperwork and fees for the Marijuana Handler Permits. Can you provide me any updates for the following three folks?

- 1) Ryan Walker
- 2) Hanna Walker
- 3) George Frazier

Sorry to bug you with this, but we were hoping to open next week and those people are all crucial to the operation.

Thank you for your help!
Eric Derleth

From: [Franklin, Cynthia A \(CED\)](#)
To: [Ryan Probasco](#)
Cc: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: RE: Marijuana License Application Question - Residency
Date: Tuesday, November 15, 2016 3:53:17 PM

Thanks for your explanations. At this time, the regulations required PFD residency qualification and nothing else can be substituted. If your wife is eligible for the pfd, she could apply for the license alone, but if you are not eligible you cannot be on the license.

Cynthia Franklin, Director
Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office
907-269-0351

From: Ryan Probasco [mailto:rcpalaska@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2016 2:09 PM
To: Franklin, Cynthia A (CED)
Subject: Re: Marijuana License Application Question - Residency

Hi Cynthia,

Thank you for your reply.

It's unfortunate that the PFD is the only resource used for validation. I understand the reason for the in-state mandate, but I wonder if there is another way to validate someone's residency? Believe me, I do not enjoy having to spend as much time as I do away from my family. After the recent vote in the Valley, I was starting to look at this industry as something new and exciting that could help pave the way to me being back in Alaska full time.

I know that if I were to pursue this business, it's at least a couple years before I would be ready. I own a home where my family and I live in Palmer. My wife and I also manage a rental property here. Maybe in that time I may find a job back in Alaska, if I'm lucky. But if not, and we applied for a license as a partnership, would the board simply reject our application because I am not eligible for the PFD, yet she is? There must be some other way to establish that I am not an outside agency.

Thank you again for your time. I understand your office must be very busy right now. I apologize for all the questions, but I really didn't even want to look into the marijuana industry until after the vote in the Valley went through.

Best Regards,
-Ryan Probasco

On Tue, Nov 15, 2016 at 9:21 PM, Franklin, Cynthia A (CED)
<cynthia.franklin@alaska.gov> wrote:

Under the current regulations you will not be eligible to apply for a license until you meet the PFD residency requirements. We have to be able to assure the federal government that we are keeping the industry inside state lines.

Thanks,

Cynthia Franklin, Director
Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office
[907-269-0351](tel:907-269-0351)

From: Ryan Probasco [mailto:rcpalaska@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2016 3:06 AM
To: Franklin, Cynthia A (CED)
Subject: Marijuana License Application Question - Residency

Hi Cynthia,

I am an Alaska resident who lives in Palmer, but given my work situation, I don't qualify for a PFD. I spend approximately 220 days a year overseas on a rotation with an oil and gas service company. Does my situation make it impossible for me to be a partner in a company who is applying for a marijuana license?

I currently attend UAA as well, and to meet their residency standards I had to show evidence of my roots in Alaska (family members, homes and businesses I own, etc...). Does the Marijuana Control Board have a similar process?

Thank you for your time. I'm starting to do some research into the process of starting a business and I keep getting hung up on the residency aspect given my situation.

Best Regards,
-Ryan Probasco
rcpalaska@gmail.com

From: [jason.media](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Transportation
Date: Tuesday, November 15, 2016 3:17:18 PM

What is the law regarding in state transportation of personal use marijuana on airlines?

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Franklin, Cynthia A \(CED\)](#)
To: [Ryan Probasco](#)
Cc: [Marijuana Licensing \(CED sponsored\)](#); [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: RE: Marijuana License Application Question - Residency
Date: Tuesday, November 15, 2016 12:21:31 PM

Under the current regulations you will not be eligible to apply for a license until you meet the PFD residency requirements. We have to be able to assure the federal government that we are keeping the industry inside state lines.

Thanks,

Cynthia Franklin, Director
Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office
907-269-0351

From: Ryan Probasco [mailto:rcpalaska@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 15, 2016 3:06 AM
To: Franklin, Cynthia A (CED)
Subject: Marijuana License Application Question - Residency

Hi Cynthia,

I am an Alaska resident who lives in Palmer, but given my work situation, I don't qualify for a PFD. I spend approximately 220 days a year overseas on a rotation with an oil and gas service company. Does my situation make it impossible for me to be a partner in a company who is applying for a marijuana license?

I currently attend UAA as well, and to meet their residency standards I had to show evidence of my roots in Alaska (family members, homes and businesses I own, etc...). Does the Marijuana Control Board have a similar process?

Thank you for your time. I'm starting to do some research into the process of starting a business and I keep getting hung up on the residency aspect given my situation.

Best Regards,
-Ryan Probasco
rcpalaska@gmail.com

From: [Kim Herning](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Re: Requirements for teaching people to make their own edibles
Date: Monday, November 14, 2016 5:34:31 PM

Ms. Franklin,

Thank you for your reply. This is where things get confusing as I will not be manufacturing marijuana products for sale.

I would be selling the service of instructing others having them use their own products for their own consumption. But it sounds like from your response and briefly going to your website what I would be doing would still be considered manufacturing marijuana products. Is this correct ?

Thank you.

Kim Herning

From: Marijuana, CED ABC (CED sponsored)
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2016 4:19 PM
To: Kim Herning ; Marijuana, CED ABC (CED sponsored)
Subject: RE: Requirements for teaching people to make their own edibles

Ms. Herning,

You are talking about marijuana product manufacturing. You cannot have a business that manufactures marijuana products unless it exists under the businesses set out in AS 17.38. That is not what you are describing, but to manufacture marijuana products, you need a license. Please visit our website to educate yourself on how to apply for a product manufacturing license.

<https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/web/amco/>

Cynthia Franklin, Director
Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office
907-269-0351

From: Kim Herning [mailto:kherning@acsalaska.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2016 2:14 PM
To: Marijuana, CED ABC (CED sponsored)
Cc: Kim Herning
Subject: Requirements for teaching people to make their own edibles

Hello,

I am considering starting a business where I would teach people to make their own edible marijuana products with their own marijuana in their own homes.

I possibly might bring my students to my home to do the same thing, but always using my student's marijuana.

What would I need to do to satisfy Alaska State regulations to operate this business ? Thank you for the information.

Sincerely,

Kim Herning

(907) 488-6443

From: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
To: [Kim Herning; Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: RE: Requirements for teaching people to make their own edibles
Date: Monday, November 14, 2016 4:19:04 PM

Ms. Herning,

You are talking about marijuana product manufacturing. You cannot have a business that manufactures marijuana products unless it exists under the businesses set out in AS 17.38. That is not what you are describing, but to manufacture marijuana products, you need a license. Please visit our website to educate yourself on how to apply for a product manufacturing license.

<https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/web/amco/>

Cynthia Franklin, Director
Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office
907-269-0351

From: Kim Herning [mailto:kherning@acsalaska.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2016 2:14 PM
To: Marijuana, CED ABC (CED sponsored)
Cc: Kim Herning
Subject: Requirements for teaching people to make their own edibles

Hello,

I am considering starting a business where I would teach people to make their own edible marijuana products with their own marijuana in their own homes. I possibly might bring my students to my home to do the same thing, but always using my student's marijuana.

What would I need to do to satisfy Alaska State regulations to operate this business ? Thank you for the information.

Sincerely,
Kim Herning
(907) 488-6443

From: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
To: [Ray, Samantha L \(HSS\)](#)
Cc: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: RE: 3 AAC 306 Regulation of a Marijuana Industry regulatory question
Date: Monday, November 14, 2016 3:34:42 PM

Hi Samantha,

You are correct on both counts. The buffer zone prohibiting a marijuana premises is 500 feet from a school. Although school is not defined, the board has clearly indicated that a day care facility is not a school.

A marijuana facility may not advertise within 1000 feet of a broader category of child centered facilities. A retail store is only allowed to have one sign up to 4x4 feet in a window or attached to the building. Any other signage inside 1000 feet from a day care could be challenged as an advertisement. The advertising restriction only applies to retail stores at this time. No other type of marijuana facility may sell marijuana to a consumer.

Cynthia Franklin, Director
Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office
907-269-0351

From: Ray, Samantha L (HSS)
Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2016 9:49 AM
To: Marijuana, CED ABC (CED sponsored)
Cc: Hulquist, Christina M (HSS); Collier, Staci L (HSS)
Subject: RE: 3 AAC 306 Regulation of a Marijuana Industry regulatory question

Good afternoon,

I am following up on this email that was sent on 10/28/2016 and was wondering if there might be any guidance/feedback that can be provided.

Thank you,

Samantha Ray
Project Assistant
Department of Health and Social Services
Child Care Program Office
(907) 269-4681



Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message including any attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Ray, Samantha L (HSS)
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 8:16 AM
To: Marijuana, CED ABC (CED sponsored)
Cc: Hulquist, Christina M (HSS)
Subject: 3 AAC 306 Regulation of a Marijuana Industry regulatory question

Good morning,

Currently, the State of Alaska Child Care Program Office is reviewing 3 AAC 306 Regulation of Marijuana Industry. We just wanted to confirm some information and make sure that we are understanding these regulations correctly.

Under 3 AAC 306.010 License restrictions, the section prevents a marijuana establishment to have a license issued within 500 feet of school ground, a recreation or youth center, building in which religious services are regularly conducted, or correctional facility (paraphrased). From our understanding in 3 AAC 306.990 Definitions, recreation or youth center does not include a child care or day care facility (licensed center, licensed group home, licensed home). At one point in time proposed language was to be inclusive of all child serving facilities.

Under 3 AAC 306.360(c)(1) Restriction on advertising of marijuana and marijuana products, advertising of marijuana or marijuana product may not be placed within 1,000 feet of the perimeter of any child-centered facility etc. (paraphrased). Which would still allow for a business to open near a child care facility because (a) allows a business to have no more than three signs, "(c) A retail marijuana store may not place an advertisement for marijuana or a marijuana product, except as provided in (a) of this section,".

Would you please confirm that a marijuana establishment can or cannot open/operate a business next to a child care facility.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Have a wonderful day,

Samantha Ray
Project Assistant
Department of Health and Social Services
Child Care Program Office
(907) 269-4681



Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message including any attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: [Kim Herning](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Cc: [Kim Herning](#)
Subject: Requirements for teaching people to make their own edibles
Date: Monday, November 14, 2016 2:13:58 PM

Hello,

I am considering starting a business where I would teach people to make their own edible marijuana products with their own marijuana in their own homes.

I possibly might bring my students to my home to do the same thing, but always using my student's marijuana.

What would I need to do to satisfy Alaska State regulations to operate this business ? Thank you for the information.

Sincerely,

Kim Herning

(907) 488-6443

From: [Franklin, Cynthia A \(CED\)](#)
To: [CannaMedAK](#)
Cc: [Marijuana Licensing \(CED sponsored\)](#); [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: RE: Different license types
Date: Monday, November 14, 2016 12:13:22 PM

Either of these license types could utilize that piece of equipment. The product manufacturing license allows the licensee to make marijuana concentrates AND marijuana products, be they edibles, drinkables, salves, etc. It has a 5000/year license fee.

The concentrate manufacturing license allows the license to make marijuana concentrates. This type of license does not permit the licensee to produce products like those above, or even marijuana bud and kief rolled together in a joint. It permits the manufacture of marijuana concentrates only and has a 1000/ year licensing fee.

Hope this helps. Please direct further questions to marijuana@alaska.gov for general questions and marijuana.licensing@alaska.gov if you are in the application process.

Cynthia Franklin, Director
Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office
907-269-0351

From: CannaMedAK [mailto:cannamed@mtaonline.net]
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2016 11:22 AM
To: Franklin, Cynthia A (CED)
Subject: Different license types

Hi,

We are considering buying a CO2 extraction machine that would take cannabis "trim" and convert it into cannabis butter/dab/oil/etc. Would this require a "concentrate manufacturing facility" license or a "product manufacturing" license?

Please describe the difference between the two licenses.

Thanks,
CannaMedAK

From: "cynthia franklin" <cynthia.franklin@alaska.gov>
To: "CannaMedAK" <cannamed@mtaonline.net>
Cc: "marijuana licensing" <marijuana.licensing@alaska.gov>
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2016 2:49:59 PM
Subject: Re: \$50/oz tax

The tax is collected by Revenue from cultivators. 50 per ounce for flower and bud, 15 per ounce for leaf and trim.

Cynthia Franklin
Director, AMCO

On Oct 24, 2016, at 2:47 PM, Franklin, Cynthia A (CED)
<cynthia.franklin@alaska.gov> wrote:

The tax is collected at the cultivator leg

Cynthia Franklin
Director, AMCO

On Oct 23, 2016, at 11:51 AM, CannaMedAK
<cannamed@mtaonline.net> wrote:

Hi Ms. Franklin,

Who will end up paying the \$50/ox tax.....the growers, product manufacturers, retailers or labs? Or, will it be divided up equally among all parties?

Thanks,
CannaMedAK

From: [Marc Theiler](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Red Run Cannabis Cultivators #10052
Date: Thursday, November 10, 2016 9:54:52 AM

AMCO (Enforcement),

Our plants are all tagged and entered into Metrc. We are ready for an inspection.

Thank you,

☐ Sent with [Mailtrack](#)

photo



Marc Theiler
CEO,
Red Run Cannabis Company

(907) 953-9453 |
marc@redruncannabiscompany.com | redruncannabiscompany.com |
5455 Kenai Spur Hwy, Kenai, AK 99611



What's Up: Obsession has an odor Tunnel vision has a tell Fanatical behavior Seems to fit you well Fixate on what you think you kn...

[Read More](#)

From: [Ray, Samantha L \(HSS\)](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Cc: [Hulquist, Christina M \(HSS\)](#); [Collier, Staci L \(HSS\)](#)
Subject: RE: 3 AAC 306 Regulation of a Marijuana Industry regulatory question
Date: Thursday, November 10, 2016 9:49:15 AM

Good afternoon,

I am following up on this email that was sent on 10/28/2016 and was wondering if there might be any guidance/feedback that can be provided.

Thank you,

Samantha Ray
Project Assistant
Department of Health and Social Services
Child Care Program Office
(907) 269-4681



Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message including any attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Ray, Samantha L (HSS)
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 8:16 AM
To: Marijuana, CED ABC (CED sponsored)
Cc: Hulquist, Christina M (HSS)
Subject: 3 AAC 306 Regulation of a Marijuana Industry regulatory question

Good morning,

Currently, the State of Alaska Child Care Program Office is reviewing 3 AAC 306 Regulation of Marijuana Industry. We just wanted to confirm some information and make sure that we are understanding these regulations correctly.

Under 3 AAC 306.010 License restrictions, the section prevents a marijuana establishment to have a license issued within 500 feet of school ground, a recreation or youth center, building in which religious services are regularly conducted, or correctional facility (paraphrased). From our understanding in 3 AAC 306.990 Definitions, recreation or youth center does not include a child care or day care facility (licensed center, licensed group home, licensed home). At one point in time proposed language was to be inclusive of all child serving facilities.

Under 3 AAC 306.360(c)(1) Restriction on advertising of marijuana and marijuana products, advertising of marijuana or marijuana product may not be placed within 1,000 feet of the perimeter of any child-centered facility etc. (paraphrased). Which would still allow for a business to open near

a child care facility because (a) allows a business to have no more than three signs, “(c) A retail marijuana store may not place an advertisement for marijuana or a marijuana product, except as provided in (a) of this section,”.

Would you please confirm that a marijuana establishment can or cannot open/operate a business next to a child care facility.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Have a wonderful day,

Samantha Ray
Project Assistant
Department of Health and Social Services
Child Care Program Office
(907) 269-4681



Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message including any attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: [lanebackstrom45](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Handler card
Date: Wednesday, November 09, 2016 2:52:47 PM

I have a quick question for you. As long as I have a handlers card, I can be a courier for dispensaries correct?

Thank you

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.

From: [Jason West](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Owner addresses
Date: Monday, November 07, 2016 12:19:13 PM

Hello, I have a question regarding the contact information that's listed on the public notices.

While we have no problems supplying the AMCO with whatever information they need, we did not anticipate this level of harassment from the public and other businesses that use our phone numbers and addresses to contact or identify our homes. We have received unwanted phone calls and mail due to this information being made available. People have even taken screen shots of this Public Notice and put it on social media, which has lead to further harassment.

Is it possible to change our listed mailing addresses at this point to a PO Box? We have no problems with the Board having personal information, but seeing our addresses published by the public on social media has taken this all too far.

If it will set back the process, we can wait, but any advice you have on the subject would be appreciated. We'd like to change this publicly displayed information as soon as possible.

Thanks!

--

Jason West
Babylon Company, LLC
License number 10322

From: [Daniel Clift](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\): Smadar Warden](#)
Subject: Cannabaska
Date: Monday, November 07, 2016 10:38:32 AM
Attachments: [Alaska Sense LLC-RETAIL DESIGN.pdf](#)

Good morning, marijuana control board.

My client Alaska sense is developing a marijuana retail facility at 523 W Tudor Road. They are 550' from an a play field.

They would like to post signage on their building, could you email me the regulations on these:

can marijuana products be advertised?

if marijuana product cannot be advertised, can we locate signage that has the business name and doesn't list any overt marijuana products?

Thanks

Daniel H. Clift

DETERMINE DESIGN LLC

903 W Northern Lights Blvd, Ste 206

Anchorage, Alaska 99503

To view our work and keep in touch visit our website-

determinedesign.com

Work: (907)339-9100

From: [KRIISTINA KRUSOVO](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FROM KRIISTINA KRUSOVO
Date: Sunday, November 06, 2016 2:14:50 AM

Beloved,

I am a stage 4 cancer patient and have recently been informed by my doctor that the complication in my body system will lead to my imminent death since no medication can alleviate the high system of deformation I am encountering at this time in my system.

I have an inheritance from my late father that i think i have no use for with this latest update so am looking around for a trust worthy person i can authorize for the claim and use it for humanitarian purposes.

Will give more details when i get a reply from you.

Thanks Kriistina

From: [Arends J R](#)
Subject: SEAZIP Offshore Service
Date: Friday, November 04, 2016 9:39:58 AM

Hi,

We are Oil field Construction firm and we need an attorney to assist us in drafting a purchase and Sales agreement with a buyer in your area. Are you able to take this matter? If not, a referral will be appreciated.

Regards,
Arends J R
SEAZIP Offshore Service
P.O. Box 3 8860 AA Harlingen
Korte Lijnbaan 25 8861 NS Harlingen
E- Mail : seazip-offshoreservice@europe.com

From: [Franklin, Cynthia A \(CED\)](#)
To: [Ginny Jacober](#)
Cc: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: RE: Marijuana store at 7101 Arctic Boulevard
Date: Wednesday, November 02, 2016 2:53:02 PM

Hi Virginia,

The federal drug free school zone is 1000 feet measured in a radius.

The Alaska state drug free school zone is 500 feet.

The regulation made by the Marijuana Control Board regarding a buffer zone between commercial marijuana establishments and schools is 500 feet measure from the outer boundaries of the school property to the front door of the establishment.

These questions and more are answered in the FAQ section of our website at <https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/web/amco/>

Hope this helps.

Cynthia Franklin, Director
Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office
907-269-0351

From: Ginny Jacober [mailto:g.l.jacob@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2016 2:48 PM
To: Franklin, Cynthia A (CED)
Subject: Marijuana store at 7101 Arctic Boulevard

Dear Cynthia,

Where are the Federal boundaries for the Drug Free School Zone for Campbell Elementary on Rovenna Street?

There are two Drug Free School Zone signs on Arctic Boulevard. One sign is very close to the marijuana store on Arctic.

Sincerely,

Virginia Jacober
7231 Rovenna Street
349-7488

From: [Mallory Peebles](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: KTUU- Free marijuana samples
Date: Wednesday, November 02, 2016 12:59:40 PM

Hello,

I'm a reporter with KTUU seeking clarification on free marijuana. Can someone in the licensing business give it out to the public for free? can someone not in the marijuana business give free marijuana to a friend? Is it the location where the "transaction" occurs that dictates the legality?

Please help us clarify. I have emailed both Cynthia Franklin and Sarah Oates.

408.314.8932

-Mallory

From: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Cc: [Franklin, Cynthia A \(CED\)](#); [Oates, Sarah D \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Marijuana Regulation
Date: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 3:25:53 PM

I'm forwarding this to marijuana@alaska.gov.

Joe Samaniego

Administrative Assistant I

907-269-0352 · joe.samaniego@alaska.gov

Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office

550 West 7th Avenue, Suite 1600

Anchorage, AK 99501-3569



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail. Less paper is better for us and our environment.

From: Steven H. Perrins II [<mailto:Guide88@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 3:03 PM
To: Samaniego, Joe P (CED)
Subject: Marijuana Regulation

Hi there Mr. Samaniego,

Thanks for your time. I work seasonally out of Anchorage and just arrived home to find a pot dealer set up just 3 blocks from my house and an elementary school. I think it's very irresponsible to have these drug dealers that close to a "Drug Free School Zone". What is the process for making a regulation change or how else can we try and keep these away from our children. I am not very happy that my children will be exposed to this every time I pull out of my driveway.

Thanks for any assistance you can provide.

Steve H. Perrins II

PO BOX 220563

Anchorage, AK 99522-0563

(907) 717-7556

God Bless

From: [Franklin, Cynthia A \(CED\)](#)
To: [Janiese Stevens](#)
Cc: stevegray@gci.net; AKniaziowski@city.kodiak.ak.us; rbishop@city.kodiak.ak.us; cdavidson@city.kodiak.ak.us; gsaravia@city.kodiak.ak.us; jwhiddon@city.kodiak.ak.us; rwalker@city.kodiak.ak.us; clerk@city.kodiak.ak.us; [Marijuana_CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#); [Marijuana Licensing \(CED sponsored\)](#); [Javier, Nova \(GOV sponsored\)](#)
Subject: RE: Three Sisters Co-Op/ Kodiak local government
Date: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 2:57:12 PM

Ms. Stevens and Mr. Gray,

3 AAC 306.010(a) is very clear:

The board will not issue a marijuana establishment license if the licensed premises will be located within 500 feet of a school ground. . . The distance specified in this subsection must be measured by the shortest pedestrian route from the public entrance of the building in which the licensed premises would be located to the outer boundaries of the school ground

A local government can set its own buffer zone however it wants. It can set a 1000 foot or 2000 foot buffer zone. The only thing a local government may not do is shrink the 500 foot buffer zone to a smaller distance. As long as the local government follows its own processes, any ordinance they make regarding zoning or locations of marijuana facilities will be upheld unless the state board finds the ordinance or its application to an applicant to be arbitrary and capricious and unreasonable. See 3 AAC 306.010(c) and 306.060.

As we discussed, nothing in the state rules forces the local government to allow a marijuana establishment to operate in a place that it has zoned out by ordinance, that does not meet its local requirements, or that otherwise violates the local government rules concerning marijuana establishments. I am counting on you not to mislead your committee or to represent that I said anything other than what is in this email. I will not be used as "evidence" for an argument with your local government about what it can or cannot do. The marijuana regulations were deliberately written to provide the local government more authority over marijuana establishments than the state, including the right to opt out entirely.

Anyone copied on this email is welcome to contact me with questions. Email is the easiest way to reach me, but my direct line is also listed below. Thank you for your question.

Thank you for your question.

Cynthia Franklin, Director
Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office
907-269-0351

From: Janiese Stevens [mailto:jstevens@ak.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 12:36 PM
To: Franklin, Cynthia A (CED)
Cc: stevegray@gci.net
Subject: Three Sisters Co-Op/ Kodiak local government

Hi Cynthia,

I left a message earlier but felt it might be better to email my question. I was approved by the state for a cultivation license in Kodiak. I have been sitting on both the Kodiak Island Borough and City of Kodiak Marijuana committees to get this industry up and running in Kodiak. The Kodiak Island Borough has some folk on the committee who are raising questions we need help answering. I will also ask this same question to my legal counsel to see if they may have some insight as well..... as I understand it:

1. State of Alaska has given a 500 feet pedestrian pathway buffer from front entrance of marijuana cultivation facility to property line of school.
2. Members of the Kodiak Island Borough are indicating schools have the right to remove sex offenders from this buffer by some “power from above?!?!?” and should be able to do the same if a marijuana facility is within the 500 foot buffer zone(radius, not pedestrian pathway) the state indicates as a drug free school zone.
 - a. As a CPA I’ve read 100’s of grant agreements, both federal and state in my time, I’ve never asked the question do you have a sex offender living within the buffer zone. Grant agreements are concerned with how the money is being spent assuring it is going where it is restricted or indicated to go, ie, you get a grant for low income hot lunch program, that is what it is going to.
 - b. I could see the school having the right as a member of the community to bring to notice if someone has a parole violation as could anyone, removing them from an area they should not be in, but I don’t see how receiving federal grant money is giving them some power from above to force a cultivation facility to abide by their buffer radius instead of the pedestrian pathway.

Any insight as to an answer or where I could be directed to find it would be awesome. So, do schools have some authority to exercise these restrictions based on federal grant money, if so, what authority is it???

Janiese

Janiese Stevens, CPA
Wallstrum, Stevens, CPA, LLC
2705 Mill Bay Road, Suite 205
Kodiak, AK 99615
Phone (907) 512-2726
Fax (907) 512-2716

Notice: This E-mail (including attachments) is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 2510-2521, is confidential and may be legally privileged. Information contained in this email message is intended only for the individual to whom it is addressed and is private and

confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, please kindly destroy it and notify the sender immediately by reply email. Please take standard precautions to mitigate virus issues. Thank you for your cooperation.

A regulated communication can either be in the form of a written opinion or some other communication that is not an opinion. The preceding communication is a written communication that is not an opinion. Accordingly, it cannot, by itself, be relied upon to avoid, and assure protection from, tax penalties associated with it in any way. Such assurances, if possible, can only be obtained by securing an opinion letter. Should you wish to explore the option of receiving an opinion letter relating to the matter described above, or any other matter, please contact us so that we may discuss it with you.

From: [Johnston, Naomi A \(CED\)](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Cc: [Franklin, Cynthia A \(CED\)](#)
Subject: RE: Form MJ-10 Marijuana Handler Permit Education Course Provider Application
Date: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 9:11:28 AM

Gremlins.

Fixed it

From: Marijuana, CED ABC (CED sponsored)
Sent: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 8:39 AM
To: Johnston, Naomi A (CED)
Cc: Franklin, Cynthia A (CED)
Subject: FW: Form MJ-10 Marijuana Handler Permit Education Course Provider Application

Naomi-

Can you look into this form webpage error.

Craig J. Douglas

Administrative Officer I
Alcohol and Marijuana Control Office
<https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/web/amco/>
Main Line 907-269-0350

From: Tom Brooksher [<mailto:tbrooksher@cannabisindustryinstitute.com>]
Sent: Monday, October 31, 2016 1:42 PM
To: Marijuana, CED ABC (CED sponsored)
Subject: Form MJ-10 Marijuana Handler Permit Education Course Provider Application

Can you please email me a copy of form MJ-10?

The link on your website is broken and returns a "404 – File or directory not found" error message.

Thank you.

Tom

Tom Brooksher
President/CEO
303.882.6756



www.cannabisindustryinstitute.com

From: AnchorageMEGCluster@alaska.gov
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Delivery Status-McAfee Email Gateway Anchorage
Date: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 8:42:23 AM
Attachments: [deliverystatus.txt](#)
[RE Form MJ-10 Marijuana Handler Permit Education Course Provider Application.msg](#)

--- The following addresses had delivery problems ---

<tbrooksher@cannabisindustryinstitute.com> (Certificate rejected over TLS. (non-SSL error))

From: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
To: [Tom Brooksher](#)
Cc: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: RE: Form MJ-10 Marijuana Handler Permit Education Course Provider Application
Date: Tuesday, November 01, 2016 8:41:28 AM
Attachments: [Form MJ-10 Marijuana Handler Permit Education Course Provider Application.pdf](#)

Good Morning-

Here you are, thank you for pointing out the error. I will we with our website manger to correct it.

Craig J. Douglas

Administrative Officer I
Alcohol and Marijuana Control Office
<https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/web/amco/>
Main Line 907-269-0350

From: Tom Brooksher [mailto:tbrooksher@cannabisindustryinstitute.com]
Sent: Monday, October 31, 2016 1:42 PM
To: Marijuana, CED ABC (CED sponsored)
Subject: Form MJ-10 Marijuana Handler Permit Education Course Provider Application

Can you please email me a copy of form MJ-10?

The link on your website is broken and returns a "404 – File or directory not found" error message.

Thank you.

Tom

Tom Brooksher
President/CEO
303.882.6756



www.cannabisindustryinstitute.com

From: [Christian Focacci](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Re: Marijuana applicants in excel format?
Date: Monday, October 31, 2016 3:33:54 PM

Hi Joe,

I'm just following up on the below request. Also, if there is someone else I should reach out to, just let me know.

Thanks
Chris

On Fri, Oct 28, 2016 at 11:53 AM, Christian Focacci <chris.focacci@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi Joe,

I found the marijuana applicants list (below) in the FAQ of AMCO's website, which is awesome. Would it possible to post it online in an excel format? It's a lot easier to download and keep track of updates in excel format vs a pdf. Thanks!

<https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/web/portals/9/pub/MCB/OtherResources/MJinitiatedapplist1.pdf>

Best
Chris

From: [Tom Brooksher](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Form MJ-10 Marijuana Handler Permit Education Course Provider Application
Date: Monday, October 31, 2016 1:42:41 PM

Can you please email me a copy of form MJ-10?

The link on your website is broken and returns a "404 – File or directory not found" error message.

Thank you.

Tom

Tom Brooksher
President/CEO
303.882.6756



www.cannabisindustryinstitute.com

From: [Franklin, Cynthia A \(CED\)](#)
To: [Christopher Wilhelm](#)
Cc: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#); [Marijuana Licensing \(CED sponsored\)](#); [CED AMCO Enforcement \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: RE: MJ transportation request
Date: Monday, October 31, 2016 10:37:33 AM

Mr. Wilhelm,

Nothing in state law or regulation prohibits transfer of marijuana from a licensed cultivator to a licensed retail store. The issue is whether or not the federal government will permit transportation that is in compliance with state law.

Here is our FAQ on this subject:

As long as the transportation is in compliance with 3 AAC 306 and the rules therein, the transportation should be permitted by the federal government. AMCO is constantly asked how marijuana can be transported since transporting marijuana by air or water is illegal at the federal level. All activities relating to marijuana are illegal at the federal level. It is illegal federally to cultivate, to make marijuana products, to sell marijuana, to test marijuana, and to distribute or transport marijuana using any means. The federal government is allowing states with marijuana initiatives to engage in those illegal federal activities under a memorandum of prosecution priority called the Cole memo. The Cole memo essentially says that if a licensed, regulated marijuana business is strictly complying with robust state regulations, it can conduct activities relating to marijuana that are otherwise illegal at the federal level. We believe this includes transportation. Therefore, if a licensed, regulated establishment transports marijuana or has it transported to another licensed, regulated establishment, that transportation should not be prosecuted at the federal level. As state regulators, we cannot control the federal government, but federal courts have recently reiterated that we are entitled to rely on the Cole memo.

I hope this helps, but we really cannot know what the federal government will do until they have done it. While marijuana is illegal at the federal level, this is a risky business.

Cynthia Franklin, Director
Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office
907-269-0351

From: Christopher Wilhelm [mailto:ketchikanwilhelm@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, October 31, 2016 10:21 AM
To: Franklin, Cynthia A (CED)
Subject: MJ transportation request

Hello Ms Franklin,

I spoke with one of your colleagues on the phone Thursday about transporting

batches of marijuana across Alaska. For example, the State has determined that mailing 4 grams to a tester in Anchorage will not be prohibited by the State. As a result, a grower in Ketchikan doesn't have to find a testing facility in Ketchikan.

If a local Ketchikan retailer wants to contract with a grower in Palmer for 4 pounds to be sent by mail, will that be allowed by the State? What policy or law does your office support with regards to transporting larger amounts to inadequately supplied retail stores?

Many thanks for your reply to this important question. My cultivators license application is on hold pending your reply.

Sincerely,

Christopher Wilhelm
PO Box 9463
Ketchikan, AK 99901

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: It's past time for cannabis café rules
Date: Monday, October 31, 2016 8:26:56 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Joseph Iyahuk [<mailto:iyahuk1991@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 3:06 AM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: It's past time for cannabis café rules

Over the summer, board members indicated their support for sensible rules for cannabis café regulations, proposed by Mark Springer. These rules are well considered and will provide a workable solution for adults who would prefer to gather socially outside a private residence.

I hope you will endorse these proposed rules at your meeting on October 27 and 28.

Adults have had the right to consume marijuana in their homes since 1975. Measure 2 introduces new privileges for adult consumers and those that serve them. But, while bars, restaurants, and sporting events all serve alcohol in many communities in our state, adult cannabis consumers are still limited to their homes.

Allowing licensed cannabis cafés would create an important option for adults to consume marijuana with others at regulated, licensed locations.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules at your meeting this month.

Joseph Iyahuk
4111 Lakeridge Court
Anchorage, AK 99502

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: It's past time for cannabis café rules
Date: Monday, October 31, 2016 8:26:48 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Bryant Saldarriaga [<mailto:bryantsaldarriaga@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 11:49 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: It's past time for cannabis café rules

Over the summer, board members indicated their support for sensible rules for cannabis café regulations, proposed by Mark Springer. These rules are well considered and will provide a workable solution for adults who would prefer to gather socially outside a private residence.

I hope you will endorse these proposed rules at your meeting on October 27 and 28.

Adults have had the right to consume marijuana in their homes since 1975. Measure 2 introduces new privileges for adult consumers and those that serve them. But, while bars, restaurants, and sporting events all serve alcohol in many communities in our state, adult cannabis consumers are still limited to their homes.

Allowing licensed cannabis cafés would create an important option for adults to consume marijuana with others at regulated, licensed locations.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules at your meeting this month.

Bryant Saldarriaga
700 Fairbanks Street
#103
Fairbanks, AK 99709

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Monday, October 31, 2016 8:26:39 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Laura Wilbanks [<mailto:lgwilbanks@gmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 11:46 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Laura Wilbanks
700 Fairbanks Street
#103
Fairbanks, AK 99709

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Monday, October 31, 2016 8:26:20 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Gabriel Antuna [<mailto:martinantuna@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 5:22 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Gabriel Antuna
5504 Big Bend Loop
ANCHORAGE, AK 99502

From: buddingalaskallc@gmail.com
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: harvesting
Date: Monday, October 31, 2016 5:37:06 AM

Good morning.

We are about to start our first harvest. I seem to remember from the Metrc class that we are supposed to provide a wet weight at time of harvest that includes the weight of the root ball. Is this correct? It just does not seem logical to weigh the root ball. It has no value. And there is no way to clean it to eliminate all of the grow medium without losing a good bit of the root mass. The weight will either be light as a result of losing root mass or heavy because some grow medium is still held in the mass.

Can you clarify?

Thanks

Bruce Martin, Owner
Budding Alaska LLC

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: MBMcAfeeSaaSReport@mcafee.com
To: [Marijuana_CED_ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: My Spam Report (marijuana@alaska.gov)
Date: Monday, October 31, 2016 1:06:54 AM

Spam Report - Monday - 2016-10-31

Warning: Do not forward this email

Friday - 2016-10-28

From	Subject	Score	Size	
Mrs.Marina Richard	Greetings dear beloved, I am Mrs...	99%	6KB	[Release Allow Deny]

[[Delete all messages](#)] [[View list of all quarantined messages](#)]

Helpful tips:

- To move an email to your inbox, click "Release" next to the desired message.
- To move an email to your inbox and add the sender to your Allowed Senders list, click the "[Allow](#)" link next to the desired message.
- To delete the email and add the sender to your Blocked Senders list, click the "[Deny](#)" link.
- Clicking on links in this report, including a date heading or message subject, will open up your web browser to your online quarantine and display the appropriate data.
- To change your preferences and spam report settings, [click here](#).

From: [Ray, Samantha L \(HSS\)](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Cc: [Hulquist, Christina M \(HSS\)](#)
Subject: 3 AAC 306 Regulation of a Marijuana Industry regulatory question
Date: Friday, October 28, 2016 8:16:30 AM

Good morning,

Currently, the State of Alaska Child Care Program Office is reviewing 3 AAC 306 Regulation of Marijuana Industry. We just wanted to confirm some information and make sure that we are understanding these regulations correctly.

Under 3 AAC 306.010 License restrictions, the section prevents a marijuana establishment to have a license issued within 500 feet of school ground, a recreation or youth center, building in which religious services are regularly conducted, or correctional facility (paraphrased). From our understanding in 3 AAC 306.990 Definitions, recreation or youth center does not include a child care or day care facility (licensed center, licensed group home, licensed home). At one point in time proposed language was to be inclusive of all child serving facilities.

Under 3 AAC 306.360(c)(1) Restriction on advertising of marijuana and marijuana products, advertising of marijuana or marijuana product may not be placed within 1,000 feet of the perimeter of any child-centered facility etc. (paraphrased). Which would still allow for a business to open near a child care facility because (a) allows a business to have no more than three signs, "(c) A retail marijuana store may not place an advertisement for marijuana or a marijuana product, except as provided in (a) of this section,".

Would you please confirm that a marijuana establishment can or cannot open/operate a business next to a child care facility.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Have a wonderful day,

Samantha Ray
Project Assistant
Department of Health and Social Services
Child Care Program Office
(907) 269-4681



Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message including any attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: [Christian Focacci](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Marijuana applicants in excel format?
Date: Friday, October 28, 2016 7:53:54 AM

Hi Joe,

I found the marijuana applicants list (below) in the FAQ of AMCO's website, which is awesome. Would it possible to post it online in an excel format? It's a lot easier to download and keep track of updates in excel format vs a pdf. Thanks!

<https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/web/portals/9/pub/MCB/OtherResources/MJinitiatedapplist1.pdf>

Best
Chris

From: marcy@lawcorner.net
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Marijuana Handler Permit Class
Date: Thursday, October 27, 2016 11:35:27 AM

Greetings,

In response to your request for information concerning the number of Marijuana Handler Permit classes held by Alaska Cannabis Industries, I would submit that I have held two classes to date.

In June, six (6) people successfully completed the class.

In August, four (4) people successfully completed the class.

Please let me know if further information is needed.

Thank-;you,

Ronda L. Marcy
Alaska Cannabis Industries
Marijuana Handler Permit Instructor

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Thursday, October 27, 2016 9:56:49 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Deb Cole [<mailto:Rubystarr39@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 9:56 AM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Deb Cole
16528 Kings Way Dr.
Anchorage, AK 99516
Anchorage, AK 99516

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Thursday, October 27, 2016 8:04:56 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Christy Moore [mailto:Christy_mike@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:44 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Christy Moore
13701 Venus Way
Anchorage, AK 99515

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Thursday, October 27, 2016 8:02:33 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Kit Kennedy [<mailto:travelinkit@gmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 6:49 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Kit Kennedy
1460 N Aspen Pl
Wasilla, AK 99654

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 2:43:29 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Theresa Myers [<mailto:alaskantwinpeaks@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 2:43 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Theresa Myers
4828 Glasgow Drive
Fairbanks, AK 99709

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 1:39:01 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Aaron Poschman [mailto:indoman_94583@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 1:35 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Aaron Poschman
2709 Trailside loop unit B
Anchorage, AK 99507

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: It's past time for cannabis café rules
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 11:46:43 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Pam McAulay [<mailto:pdmcaulay@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 11:34 AM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: It's past time for cannabis café rules

Over the summer, board members indicated their support for sensible rules for cannabis café regulations, proposed by Mark Springer. These rules are well considered and will provide a workable solution for adults who would prefer to gather socially outside a private residence.

I hope you will endorse these proposed rules at your meeting on October 27 and 28.

Adults have had the right to consume marijuana in their homes since 1975. Measure 2 introduces new privileges for adult consumers and those that serve them. But, while bars, restaurants, and sporting events all serve alcohol in many communities in our state, adult cannabis consumers are still limited to their homes.

Allowing licensed cannabis cafés would create an important option for adults to consume marijuana with others at regulated, licensed locations.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules at your meeting this month.

Pam McAulay
P.O. Box 84442
Fairbanks, AK 99708

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 11:45:52 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: lance Powell [<mailto:lpowell1@juno.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 11:11 AM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

lance Powell
4621 golden spring circle
anchorage, AK 99507

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 9:55:22 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Brenda Dockter [<mailto:brenda.dockter@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 9:54 AM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Brenda Dockter
1302 sawmill creek road #16
SITKA, AK 99835

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 9:55:13 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Brenda Dockter [<mailto:brenda.dockter@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 9:54 AM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Brenda Dockter
1302 sawmill creek road #16
SITKA, AK 99835

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: It's past time for cannabis café rules
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 9:55:00 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Brenda Dockter [<mailto:brenda.dockter@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 9:53 AM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: It's past time for cannabis café rules

Over the summer, board members indicated their support for sensible rules for cannabis café regulations, proposed by Mark Springer. These rules are well considered and will provide a workable solution for adults who would prefer to gather socially outside a private residence.

I hope you will endorse these proposed rules at your meeting on October 27 and 28.

Adults have had the right to consume marijuana in their homes since 1975. Measure 2 introduces new privileges for adult consumers and those that serve them. But, while bars, restaurants, and sporting events all serve alcohol in many communities in our state, adult cannabis consumers are still limited to their homes.

Allowing licensed cannabis cafés would create an important option for adults to consume marijuana with others at regulated, licensed locations.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules at your meeting this month.

Brenda Dockter
1302 sawmill creek road #16
SITKA, AK 99835

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 9:12:44 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Tom Farbo [<mailto:denaliwildone@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 9:09 AM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Tom Farbo
11 Hickory
Denali Park, AK 99755

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:36:03 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: kevin irvin [<mailto:sun-dog@alaskan.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:21 AM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

kevin irvin
2000 douglas dr
wasilla, AK 99654

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: RE: Emails forwarded to marijuana@alaska.gov
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:35:30 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

okay

From: Samaniego, Joe P (CED) [mailto:joe.samaniego@alaska.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 7:47 AM
To: Peter Mlynarik; Franklin, Cynthia A (CED)
Subject: Emails forwarded to marijuana@alaska.gov

I went ahead forwarded all the emails to marijuana@alaska.gov this morning. If any additional questions let me know.

Joe



Joe Samaniego
Administrative Assistant I
joe.samaniego@alaska.gov
Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office
550 West 7th Avenue, Suite 1600
Anchorage, AK 99501-3569



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail. Less paper is better for us and our environment.

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: It's past time for cannabis café rules
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:34:50 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Jason Garron [<mailto:jason927@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 7:30 AM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: It's past time for cannabis café rules

Over the summer, board members indicated their support for sensible rules for cannabis café regulations, proposed by Mark Springer. These rules are well considered and will provide a workable solution for adults who would prefer to gather socially outside a private residence.

I hope you will endorse these proposed rules at your meeting on October 27 and 28.

Adults have had the right to consume marijuana in their homes since 1975. Measure 2 introduces new privileges for adult consumers and those that serve them. But, while bars, restaurants, and sporting events all serve alcohol in many communities in our state, adult cannabis consumers are still limited to their homes.

Allowing licensed cannabis cafés would create an important option for adults to consume marijuana with others at regulated, licensed locations.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules at your meeting this month.

Jason Garron
2790 darling
Fairbanks, AK 99709

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:29:30 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Justin Billing [mailto:gi_jud62@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 5:21 AM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

In a time when the state is cutting the citizens PFD in half to feed the ever growing inefficient government machine, You board members have drug your feet long enough let's get some tax revenue following and get our new industry started.

Thank you Justin Billing

Justin Billing
18985 W Appalachian Trail
Big Lake, AK 99652

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:28:23 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Bruce Barcus [mailto:b_barcus@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 2:41 AM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Bruce Barcus
701 S. Inner Springer Loop
Palmer, AK 99645

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:28:19 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Bruce Barcus [mailto:b_barcus@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 2:41 AM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Bruce Barcus
701 S. Inner Springer Loop
Palmer, AK 99645

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:26:49 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Tracy Wyrick-Holmberg [<mailto:tracylee@mtaonline.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 10:13 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Tracy Wyrick-Holmberg
box 918
Willow, AK 99688

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:26:42 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Jan Trojan [<mailto:jantrojanvfw@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 9:22 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Jan Trojan
P.O. Box 275
Craig, AK 99921

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:15:34 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Kimberly Edwards [<mailto:fbksmom@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 7:55 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Kimberly Edwards
1432 Laurene st.
Fairbanks, AK 99701

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:15:27 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Ambrose Stapleton [<mailto:ags405@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 7:11 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Ambrose Stapleton
619 Lower Mill Bay Road
Kodiak, AK 99615

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:15:13 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: George Bennett [<mailto:akgroy3006@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 7:06 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

George Bennett
602 Keeling Rd
North Pole, AK 99705

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:15:07 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Laura Baldwin [<mailto:laura.baldwin.ak@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 6:42 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Let's get this revenue stream started! We need all sources.

Laura Baldwin
1401 Cordova St Apt 5
Anchorage, AK 99501

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:15:01 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Thomas Carpender [<mailto:carp@me.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 6:38 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Thomas Carpender
401 Beaver Blvd
North Pole, AK 99705

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:14:52 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Louresta Dodson [<mailto:lourestadodson@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 6:08 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Louresta Dodson
33784 Nash Road , p.o. Box 3593
seward, AK 99664

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:14:47 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Jill Wittenbrader [<mailto:jillwitt@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 5:59 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Jill Wittenbrader
506 Marine Way
Kodiak, AK 99615

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:14:35 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Kymberli Pajak [<mailto:kymwasilla@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 5:31 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Kymberli Pajak
4050 E Crane Rd #1
unit 1
Wasilla, AK 99654

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:14:26 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Rick Rysso [<mailto:ryssorick@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 5:25 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Rick Rysso
325 Irwin #3
Anchorage, AK 99508

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Wednesday, October 26, 2016 8:14:19 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: stephen carter [<mailto:stephencarter@acsalaska.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 4:59 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

stephen carter
po box 61133
fbks, AK 99706

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: It's past time for cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 10:36:17 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: robert holbrook <sterlingholbrook@yahoo.com>
Date: October 25, 2016 at 16:23:06 AKDT
To: <pmlynarik@soldotna.org>
Subject: It's past time for cannabis café rules
Reply-To: <sterlingholbrook@yahoo.com>

Over the summer, board members indicated their support for sensible rules for cannabis café regulations, proposed by Mark Springer. These rules are well considered and will provide a workable solution for adults who would prefer to gather socially outside a private residence.

I hope you will endorse these proposed rules at your meeting on October 27 and 28.

Adults have had the right to consume marijuana in their homes since 1975. Measure 2 introduces new privileges for adult consumers and those that serve them. But, while bars, restaurants, and sporting events all serve alcohol in many communities in our state, adult cannabis consumers are still limited to their homes.

Allowing licensed cannabis cafés would create an important option for adults to consume marijuana with others at regulated, licensed locations.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules at your meeting this month.

robert holbrook
1895 otter slide lane
fairbanks, AK 99709

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: It's past time for cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 10:36:07 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Emery Luper <Egluper@gmail.com>
Date: October 25, 2016 at 16:15:56 AKDT
To: <pmlynarik@soldotna.org>
Subject: It's past time for cannabis café rules
Reply-To: <Egluper@gmail.com>

Over the summer, board members indicated their support for sensible rules for cannabis café regulations, proposed by Mark Springer. These rules are well considered and will provide a workable solution for adults who would prefer to gather socially outside a private residence.

I hope you will endorse these proposed rules at your meeting on October 27 and 28.

Adults have had the right to consume marijuana in their homes since 1975. Measure 2 introduces new privileges for adult consumers and those that serve them. But, while bars, restaurants, and sporting events all serve alcohol in many communities in our state, adult cannabis consumers are still limited to their homes.

Allowing licensed cannabis cafés would create an important option for adults to consume marijuana with others at regulated, licensed locations.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules at your meeting this month.

Emery Luper
3039 Davis rd apartment
Fairbanks, AK 99709

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 10:35:49 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Richard Clarke <Richc52@yahoo.com>
Date: October 25, 2016 at 16:11:12 AKDT
To: <pmlynarik@soldotna.org>
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Reply-To: <Richc52@yahoo.com>

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Richard Clarke
5201 E 22nd Ave
Anchorage, AK 99508

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 10:33:55 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: <Jackgrossl@yahoo.com>
Date: October 25, 2016 at 16:04:32 AKDT
To: <pmlynarik@soldotna.org>
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Reply-To: <Jackgrossl@yahoo.com>

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

AK 99669

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 10:33:41 PM

Begin forwarded message:

From: Tasha Grossl <Grosslphoto@yahoo.com>
Date: October 25, 2016 at 16:02:31 AKDT
To: <pmlynarik@soldotna.org>
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Reply-To: <Grosslphoto@yahoo.com>

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Tasha Grossl
35555 Kenai spur hwy #255
Soldotna, AK 99669

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 3:25:30 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: William Earnest [<mailto:mearnest.upnorth@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 3:18 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

William Earnest
126 2nd Ave Apt 19
Fairbanks, AK 99701

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: It's past time for cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 3:08:15 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Luke Bunting [<mailto:lukeak6577@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 3:07 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: It's past time for cannabis café rules

Over the summer, board members indicated their support for sensible rules for cannabis café regulations, proposed by Mark Springer. These rules are well considered and will provide a workable solution for adults who would prefer to gather socially outside a private residence.

I hope you will endorse these proposed rules at your meeting on October 27 and 28.

Adults have had the right to consume marijuana in their homes since 1975. Measure 2 introduces new privileges for adult consumers and those that serve them. But, while bars, restaurants, and sporting events all serve alcohol in many communities in our state, adult cannabis consumers are still limited to their homes.

Allowing licensed cannabis cafés would create an important option for adults to consume marijuana with others at regulated, licensed locations.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules at your meeting this month.

Luke Bunting
544 island lake rd
Kodiak, AK 99615

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 3:04:06 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Sharyle Bell [<mailto:bell5429@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 2:55 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Sharyle Bell
5010 N. Douglas Hwy. Lot 30
Juneau, AK 99801

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 3:03:45 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Dawn Morse [<mailto:Dawn.morse@mac.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 2:40 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Dawn Morse
4715 Kershner
Anchorage, AK 99517

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 2:19:01 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Sandra Thummel [<mailto:srock53@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 2:17 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Sandra Thummel
POB 8528
Kodiak, AK 99615

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 2:15:44 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Paul Pena [mailto:ak_darkstar@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 2:11 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Paul Pena
P.O. Box1966
Kodiak, AK 99615

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:53:21 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: heidi robichaud [<mailto:scrimqueen@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:53 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

heidi robichaud
1/4 mile Chilkat State Park Rd.
PO Box 1674
Haines, AK 99827

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:53:12 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Susan Vogt [<mailto:bias@alaska.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:49 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Susan Vogt
269 Bias Dr
Fairbanks, AK 99712

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: It's past time for cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:46:47 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Ryan Kitka [<mailto:Ridedirt76@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:42 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: It's past time for cannabis café rules

Over the summer, board members indicated their support for sensible rules for cannabis café regulations, proposed by Mark Springer. These rules are well considered and will provide a workable solution for adults who would prefer to gather socially outside a private residence.

I hope you will endorse these proposed rules at your meeting on October 27 and 28.

Adults have had the right to consume marijuana in their homes since 1975. Measure 2 introduces new privileges for adult consumers and those that serve them. But, while bars, restaurants, and sporting events all serve alcohol in many communities in our state, adult cannabis consumers are still limited to their homes.

Allowing licensed cannabis cafés would create an important option for adults to consume marijuana with others at regulated, licensed locations.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules at your meeting this month.

Ryan Kitka
2040 Beaver lake drive
Kodiak, AK 99615

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: It's past time for cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:41:29 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Andrew G Sablon [<mailto:drewsablon@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:30 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: It's past time for cannabis café rules

Over the summer, board members indicated their support for sensible rules for cannabis café regulations, proposed by Mark Springer. These rules are well considered and will provide a workable solution for adults who would prefer to gather socially outside a private residence.

I hope you will endorse these proposed rules at your meeting on October 27 and 28.

Adults have had the right to consume marijuana in their homes since 1975. Measure 2 introduces new privileges for adult consumers and those that serve them. But, while bars, restaurants, and sporting events all serve alcohol in many communities in our state, adult cannabis consumers are still limited to their homes.

Allowing licensed cannabis cafés would create an important option for adults to consume marijuana with others at regulated, licensed locations.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules at your meeting this month.

Andrew G Sablon
1528 Ismailov St
Kodiak, AK 99615

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:41:09 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Darin Yates [<mailto:darinyates@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:28 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Darin Yates
9138 Arlon Street
Ste. A3-944
Anchorage, AK 99507

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:25:16 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: brian kaeser [<mailto:bckaeser@outlook.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:20 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

brian kaeser
2921simpson ave
juneau, AK 99801

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: It's past time for cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:25:02 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Vincent Veit [<mailto:vincentveit@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:20 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: It's past time for cannabis café rules

Over the summer, board members indicated their support for sensible rules for cannabis café regulations, proposed by Mark Springer. These rules are well considered and will provide a workable solution for adults who would prefer to gather socially outside a private residence.

I hope you will endorse these proposed rules at your meeting on October 27 and 28.

Adults have had the right to consume marijuana in their homes since 1975. Allowing licensed cannabis cafés would create an important option for adults to consume marijuana with others at regulated, licensed locations.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules at your meeting this month.

Vincent Veit
PO Box 269
Willow, AK 99688

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:24:48 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert Champagne [<mailto:rtonychamp@gci.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:06 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Robert Champagne
P O Box 3386
Bethel, AK 99559

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:24:40 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: David Tiemessen [<mailto:dtiemessen@alaska.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:02 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

David Tiemessen
Po Box 241534
Anchorage, AK 99524

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:00:32 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Carolyn Clift [<mailto:mamacare50@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 1:00 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Carolyn Clift
4602 Hamptonn Dr.
Anchorage, AK 99504

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 12:59:52 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Lorne Miller [<mailto:mlcm@alaska.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 12:59 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: End the confusion and endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Lorne Miller
3802 Arkansas Drive
Anchorage, AK 99517

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 12:59:21 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Jason Land [<mailto:jason.land18@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 12:58 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Jason Land
9296 Rich. Hwy.
Salcha, AK 99714

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: It's past time for cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 12:57:08 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Thomas Moore [<mailto:thomasmoore500010@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 12:56 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: It's past time for cannabis café rules

Over the summer, board members indicated their support for sensible rules for cannabis café regulations, proposed by Mark Springer. These rules are well considered and will provide a workable solution for adults who would prefer to gather socially outside a private residence.

I hope you will endorse these proposed rules at your meeting on October 27 and 28.

Adults have had the right to consume marijuana in their homes since 1975. Measure 2 introduces new privileges for adult consumers and those that serve them. But, while bars, restaurants, and sporting events all serve alcohol in many communities in our state, adult cannabis consumers are still limited to their homes.

Allowing licensed cannabis cafés would create an important option for adults to consume marijuana with others at regulated, licensed locations.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules at your meeting this month.

Thomas Moore
5825 Katahdin dr
Anchorage, AK 99502

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Endorse cannabis café rules
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 12:56:50 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Paul Davis [<mailto:paulinalaska@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 12:47 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Endorse cannabis café rules

Adult consumers should have a regulated place to go to consume marijuana. Consumption should not take place on sidewalks or in public parks. Alaska's proposed rules that would establish cannabis cafés offer a sensible solution. Please endorse and adopt those rules when the board meets this week.

These rules are overdue. There has been much confusion related to consumption at private business or club locations since Measure 2's passage, and the state attorney general recently weighed in on the controversy. She made clear that public locations that allow cannabis consumption must be regulated through the state.

One of the key purposes of Measure 2 is to allow law enforcement to focus attention on serious crime. Whether it's prosecuting adults for public consumption, or pursuing businesses that cater to adults who wish to consume cannabis together, law enforcement should concentrate on other, more urgent matters.

Please endorse Mark Springer's proposed rules when the board meets this week.

Paul Davis
1231 W Northern Lights Blvd #440
Anchorage, AK 99503

From: [Peter Mlynarik](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Cc: [Franklin, Cynthia A \(CED\)](#)
Subject: FW: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 12:43:21 PM

Joe,

I was forwarding these to Director Franklin but you are probably more appropriate. If not let me know.

-----Original Message-----

From: Walter Brown [<mailto:vegaman66@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 12:37 PM
To: Peter Mlynarik
Subject: Rights under Measure 2 still not a reality

Adults should have the ability to meet outside their homes and consume marijuana in a social setting. While other states have struggled, Alaska has a solution.

I hope the Marijuana Control Board will endorse Mark Springer's proposed cannabis café rules that were considered this summer during its October meeting. Can I count on you to support his sensible proposal?

Private property owners under Measure 2 are granted the ability to prohibit or regulate marijuana consumption on their property. Those who wish to provide a regulated location for law-abiding adults to consumer marijuana socially should be able to exercise that right. The rules presented by the board would do just that, and I hope the board will adopt the proposal and endorse Mr. Springer's proposed rules.

Walter Brown
259 Klevin st unit B
Anchorage, AK 99508

From: [Ryan Wrocklage](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Sale of plants
Date: Monday, October 24, 2016 3:46:37 PM

Hello.

We have a client who will be pursuing a retail license and has asked us if they will be allowed to sell young clones to their patrons. I am unable to find a section of the statutes that deal with selling immature plants. All regulations for cultivation and retail appear to be solely in regards to harvested buds and flowers.

Thank you for your insight.

Ryan Wrocklage, LCG Lantech | Architect, LEED AP
Architecture • Engineering • Survey • Landscape Architecture
Office: (907) 245-8892 | Mobile: (313) 312-4846

From: [Marijuana Licensing \(CED sponsored\)](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#); [Marijuana Licensing \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: RE: Objection to Marijuana License number 10190
Date: Monday, October 24, 2016 12:23:19 PM

I spoke with Dr. Gray on the phone.

Cynthia Franklin, Director
Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office
907-269-0351

From: Marijuana, CED ABC (CED sponsored)
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2016 9:00 AM
To: Marijuana Licensing (CED sponsored)
Subject: FW: Objection to Marijuana License number 10190

Craig J. Douglas

Administrative Officer I
Alcohol and Marijuana Control Office
<https://www.commerce.alaska.gov/web/amco/>
Main Line 907-269-0350

From: Dr Charlie Gray [<mailto:DrCharlieGray@hotmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, October 14, 2016 10:46 AM
To: Marijuana, CED ABC (CED sponsored)
Subject: Objection to Marijuana License number 10190

Jane,

Here is a copy of my objection letter for Kim Kole. However, it also addresses the questions I have in the legality of any marijuana facility within 1000 feet of any facility that provides other services to children per 3 AAC 306.360. I would like correspondence and clarification from the director on this matter. Thank you for your time.

Dr. Charlie Gray
Gray Chiropractic Health Clinic LLC
360 E. International Airport Rd. #4
Anchorage, AK 99518
907-563-7700

From: [Oliver, Cortney](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Security requirements
Date: Monday, October 24, 2016 10:18:04 AM

Dear Policy Colleague,

I was hoping that I could speak with someone very briefly about security requirements for retailers (in-store) as well as security requirements for transport. Alternatively, if someone could navigate me to the information online, I would greatly appreciate it. Thank you in advance for your time.

Kind regards,

Cortney Oliver
Senior Policy Advisor, Corporate Affairs, LCBO
55 Lake Shore Blvd East, 4th Floor, Toronto, Ontario M5E 1A4
T: (416) 864-6819 E: cortney.oliver@lcbo.com

www.lcbo.com
www.vintages.com

Please discover and serve our products responsibly.

Disclaimer:

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

This message is directed in confidence solely to the person named above. The information in this message, and any attachment, may be proprietary, confidential, privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, distribution, copying or disclosure is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by telephone (at our expense) or by e-mail and delete this message, without making a copy. Thank you for your cooperation. Version française.

Avertissement :

Avis relatif à la confidentialité :

Le présent message est destiné exclusivement à la personne dont le nom figure ci-dessus. L'information qu'il contient peut être privée, confidentielle, privilégiée et exempte de divulgation aux termes des lois applicables. Si vous n'êtes pas le destinataire visé par ce message, veuillez noter que l'utilisation, la distribution, la reproduction ou la divulgation de ce message est interdite. Si vous avez reçu le présent message par erreur, veuillez nous en aviser immédiatement par téléphone (à nos frais) ou par courriel et supprimer ce message, sans en faire de copie. Merci de votre collaboration.

From: [Franklin, Cynthia A \(CED\)](#)
To: [Marijuana Licensing \(CED sponsored\)](#); [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: Marijuana Reg
Date: Monday, October 24, 2016 9:44:16 AM

Questions about signage and advertising.

Cynthia Franklin, Director
Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office
907-269-0351

From: Franklin, Cynthia A (CED)
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2016 9:43 AM
To: Koeneman, Crystal A (LAA)
Subject: RE: Marijuana Reg

Hi Crystal,

Thank you for your questions. The sign on a retail marijuana store must be in the window or attached to the outside of the premises. The section you highlighted is related to an ad. It was brought into our regulations from Colorado, where billboards are permitted. If there is a store which is 600 feet from a school, they may have a sign like all other retail stores, either attached to the building or inside the store window. The sign is different from an ad.

Hope this helps,

Cynthia Franklin, Director
Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office
907-269-0351

From: Crystal Koeneman [<mailto:Crystal.Koeneman@akleg.gov>]
Sent: Friday, October 21, 2016 12:06 PM
To: Franklin, Cynthia A (CED)
Cc: Fowler, Micaela R (CED)
Subject: Marijuana Reg

Good morning Director Franklin,

I had a couple questions for you that I was hoping you could answer regarding the marijuana regulations.

According to 3 AAC 306.010. License restrictions. (a) The board will not issue a marijuana establishment license if the licensed premises will be located within **500 feet** of a school ground, a recreation or youth center, a building in which religious services are regularly conducted, or a correctional facility.

However, 3 AAC 306.360. Restriction on advertising of marijuana and marijuana products (a) A retail marijuana store may have not more than three signs, visible to the general public from the public right-of-way, that identify the retail marijuana store by its business name. A sign may be

placed in the retail marijuana store's window or attached to the outside of the licensed premises. The size of each sign may not exceed 4,800 square inches... (c) A retail marijuana store may not place an advertisement for marijuana or a marijuana product, except as provided in (a) of this section, (1) **within 1,000 feet** of the perimeter of any child-centered facility, including a school, a child care facility or other facility providing services to children, a playground or recreation center, a public park, a library, or a game arcade that is open to persons under 21 years of age; (2) on or in a public transit vehicle or public transit shelter; (3) on or in a publicly owned or operated property; (4) within 1,000 feet of a substance abuse or treatment facility; or (5) on a campus for postsecondary education.

How can the licensed premise be 500 ft but signage cannot be within 1,000 ft? Additionally, what classifies as a school and a substance abuse/treatment facility?

Thank you for helping me understand all this. Thanks!

Crystal Koeneman
Staff to Rep. Kito
State Capitol
Juneau Alaska 99801
(907) 465-4766

Sign up for [Rep. Kito's mailing list](#)
Like us on [Facebook](#)
Follow us on [Twitter](#)

Representing Downtown Juneau, Douglas Island, Haines, Klukwan, Skagway, Gustavus, and Excursion Inlet

From: [Janice Waldron](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#)
Subject: MCB - No to background check for handler card
Date: Monday, October 24, 2016 7:17:58 AM

Restricting employment at this level in the cannabis industry with the burden of a background check is over regulating the industry. The analogy is requiring a background check for someone working in a liquor store. We voted to regulate cannabis like alcohol, but every step of the way there are regulations that go well beyond what is required of the alcohol industry. A prospective employee of a cannabis business already must pass a test they pay for to receive the handler card, which also has a fee. The owners of the cannabis business are background checked and responsible for their employees. It is excessive to expect the employee to invest above the \$100 already required to get their handler card for a \$13-15/hour job. If regulators are really interested in converting from the black market to a legal commercial industry, the prospective employees must come from the black market side themselves in many cases. This change in regulating of handlers that requires a background check will exclude people from transitioning over in many cases. In many rural areas, it will reduce an already limited employee pool and exclude rural residents of lucrative employment. I urge you not to add the requirement of the background check to the handler card. It is an undue burden at this level of employment in the cannabis industry, and is totally unnecessary with all the other restrictive safeguards that are already in place.

Janice Waldron

50844 Tartan Rd., Kasilof, AK 99610

From: [Morgan Hough](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Testing (leaf/flower)
Date: Sunday, October 23, 2016 3:32:37 PM

306.455 (b)(1) States that a cultivation facility shall, "collect a random, homogenous sample for testing by segregating harvested marijuana into batches of individual strains of ***bud and flower***, then selecting a random sample from each batch..."

This is unclear whether the batch for sampling should contain bud and flower together or if bud and flower are tested separately (even when from the same strain). Could you please clarify this ambiguity?

Thank you,
Morgan Hough

From: [Leif Abel](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment on the proposed changes to the Handler Card Requirements
Date: Sunday, October 23, 2016 1:10:50 PM
Attachments: [MCB Letter Handler Card .docx](#)

MCB,

Please find attached Letter.

Thank You,

Leif B. Abel
(907) 252-5172
leifabel@yahoo.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The content of this e-mail is confidential and intended for the recipient only. If you have received this e-mail in error please delete it immediately.

From: [dollynda fleck](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#); [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Fw: MJ Handler permit...proposed regulations
Date: Saturday, October 22, 2016 2:53:09 PM

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: dollynda fleck <jeffndol@yahoo.com>
To: "jeffndol@yahoo.com" <jeffndol@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2016 2:49 PM
Subject: MJ Handler permit...proposed reguions

Greetings, Dollynda Phelps here with Alaska Green Resources. I am an approved course provider for the Marijuana Handler Permit course in Alaska. I would like my comments submitted as public comment.

I have a big concern that requiring a background check for handler permit applicants is extreme. It will prevent many from gaining employment in the industry without much benefit. State regulations already require owners of a business to pass a background check, and it is these owners who accept responsibility for running their business successfully and meeting all compliancy requirements. It should absolutely be at the owners discretion if they choose to hire someone who has made mistakes in the past.

It also seems a bit ridiculous that an individual sweeping the floors, performing accounting duties, or trimming plants should be required to perform a background check for such simple tasks. Again, the owners of the business have accepted responsibility for these individuals work performance. It is the owners that have already passed a background check. Please do not create even further restrictions on this industry, especially when it involves telling a business owner who they can hire.

Please give consideration to these comments, it is so important we promote the industry to ensure it's success. There has been a tremendous amount of work put into it!

Thank you, have a great day.
Dollynda Phelps

From: dollynda fleck <jeffndol@yahoo.com>
To: "Samaniego, Joe P (CED)" <joe.samaniego@alaska.gov>
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2016 11:14 AM
Subject: Re: MJ Certificate

Yes, Jill Williams did pass my course, the original certificate was mailed to her as well. As per a previous conversation with enforcement, this type of certificate will not be issued again. I have been sending a scanned copy of the original with the gold star

in an email and mailing the document which was an arrived method.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

On Thu, Oct 20, 2016 at 11:10 AM, Samaniego, Joe P (CED)
<joe.samaniego@alaska.gov> wrote:

Here is the certificate.

Joe Samaniego

Administrative Assistant I

907-269-0352 · joe.samaniego@alaska.gov

Alcohol & Marijuana Control Office

**550 West 7th Avenue, Suite 1600
Anchorage, AK 99501-3569**



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail. Less paper is better for us and our environment.

From: Whiteman, Kendrick J (CED)
Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2016 10:10 AM
To: Samaniego, Joe P (CED)
Subject: MJ Certificate

Joe,
Good Morning!
Here you go.

From: [Kelly Dyer](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#); [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Comment - MJ Handlers Card Draft Regulations 3AAC 306.700 (f) & 3AAC 306.831
Date: Thursday, October 20, 2016 4:52:12 PM
Attachments: [2nd DRAFT REGS MJ Handler Permits.pdf](#)

Dear Marijuana Control Board & Staff:

Following are my comments regarding the attached proposed/draft regulations.

- There are several positions available in the Cannabis Industry that do not handle marijuana or have access to the inventory control system.
- Businesses located in remote areas where there is a limited workforce will struggle to find employees. People who live in these areas and need a good job will be disqualified from earning a living.
- If a person is texting and has an injury accident they will be charged with a felony. I'm not sure how precluding this person from working in the Cannabis Industry preserves public safety. We are broadly disqualifying a large group of people without any discretion from earning a living who have already been punished for a crime. This would also prevent these folks with prior convictions from continuing their rehabilitation in a constructive manner through gainful employment.
- Employers should be allowed to run their business and decide who they choose to hire. The employer will conduct their own background checks and complete interviews. If the employer is satisfied with what they have learned through the interviewing process and wants to give that individual an opportunity they should be allowed to do so. Gainful employment will enable continued rehabilitation for reformed persons with prior convictions.
- There are no such regulations in the Alcohol industry that would preclude anyone convicted of a felony from working as a bartender or even a bar manager. Alcohol related employees are required an alcohol education class and pass a test in order to work in the industry. The Marijuana Handler Card requirements should more closely echo the alcohol regulations.
- AS 17.38.190(a), states that the "regulations shall not prohibit the operation of marijuana establishments, either expressly or through regulations that make their operation unreasonably impracticable. The draft regulations may approach the line of prohibiting marijuana establishments by making operations unreasonably impracticable.

Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to share my written comments in this fashion.

Sincerely, Kelly

JKD Brands, LLC

10263 Nigh Road

Unit 8

Anchorage, AK 99515

Tel. 907-929-5838

From: [Jane Stinson](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#); [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Marijuana Handlers Card Draft Regulations
Date: Thursday, October 20, 2016 3:58:15 PM

Please accept my comments stated below:

- Employers should be allowed to run their business and decide who they choose to hire. The employer will conduct their own background checks and complete interviews. If the employer is satisfied with what they have learned through the interviewing process and wants to give that individual an opportunity they should be allowed to do so. Gainful employment will enable continued rehabilitation for reformed persons with prior convictions.
- There are no such regulations in the Alcohol industry that would preclude anyone convicted of a felony from working as a bartender or even a bar manager. Alcohol related employees are required an alcohol education class and pass a test in order to work in the industry. The Marijuana Handler Card requirements should more closely echo the alcohol regulations.
- AS 17.38.190(a), states that the "regulations shall not prohibit the operation of marijuana establishments, either expressly or through regulations that make their operation unreasonably impracticable. The draft regulations may approach the line of prohibiting marijuana establishments by making operations unreasonably impracticable.
- There are several positions available in the Cannabis Industry that do not handle marijuana or have access to the inventory control system.
- Businesses located in remote areas where there is a limited workforce will struggle to find employees. People who live in these areas and need a good job will be disqualified from earning a living.
- If a person is texting and has an injury accident they will be charged with a felony. I'm not sure how precluding this person from working in the Cannabis Industry preserves public safety. We are broadly disqualifying a large group of people without any discretion from earning a living who have already been punished for a crime. This would also prevent these folks with prior convictions from continuing their rehabilitation in a constructive manner through gainful employment.

Thank you,
Jane Stinson
Enlighten Alaska, LLC

From: [Jim Dyer](#)
To: [Samaniego, Joe P \(CED\)](#); [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Comment - MJ Handlers Card Draft Regulations 3AAC 306.700 (f) & 3AAC 306.831
Date: Thursday, October 20, 2016 12:50:41 PM
Attachments: [2nd DRAFT REGS MJ Handler Permits.pdf](#)

Dear Marijuana Control Board & Staff:

Following are my comments regarding the attached proposed/draft regulations.

- There are several positions available in the Cannabis Industry that do not handle marijuana or have access to the inventory control system.
- Businesses located in remote areas where there is a limited workforce will struggle to find employees. People who live in these areas and need a good job will be disqualified from earning a living.
- If a person is texting and has an injury accident they will be charged with a felony. I'm not sure how precluding this person from working in the Cannabis Industry preserves public safety. We are broadly disqualifying a large group of people without any discretion from earning a living who have already been punished for a crime. This would also prevent these folks with prior convictions from continuing their rehabilitation in a constructive manner through gainful employment.
- Employers should be allowed to run their business and decide who they choose to hire. The employer will conduct their own background checks and complete interviews. If the employer is satisfied with what they have learned through the interviewing process and wants to give that individual an opportunity they should be allowed to do so. Gainful employment will enable continued rehabilitation for reformed persons with prior convictions.
- There are no such regulations in the Alcohol industry that would preclude anyone convicted of a felony from working as a bartender or even a bar manager. Alcohol related employees are required an alcohol education class and pass a test in order to work in the industry. The Marijuana Handler Card requirements should more closely echo the alcohol regulations.
- AS 17.38.190(a), states that the "regulations shall not prohibit the operation of marijuana establishments, either expressly or through regulations that make their operation unreasonably impracticable. The draft regulations may approach the line of prohibiting marijuana establishments by making operations unreasonably impracticable.

Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to share my written comments in this fashion.

Sincerely, Jim

Jim Dyer
Vice President – Operations
JKD Brands, LLC

Tel. 907-929-5838

Toll-Free: 866-568-0938

Cell: 907-885-5135

Fax: 907-929-5895

E-Mail: jim@jkdbands.com

Website: www.jkdbands.com

Skype: jim.dyer88

From: [Beth Brewington](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: mailing address change...
Date: Thursday, October 20, 2016 11:29:22 AM

Hi,

We would like to get a USPS box for the following businesses so that our business mail is separate from our residence and for further security, away from the physical address of the businesses

The Frost Frontier, #10161
The Frost Farms, #10162

Please let me know if that change is currently allowed, or would it cause some problematic change within our application with AMCO?

Thank you,

Beth Brewington
Owner, The Frost Frontier and Farms

From: [CannaMedAK](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: \$50/oz tax
Date: Tuesday, October 18, 2016 12:01:42 PM

Dear AMCO,

Who will end up paying the \$50/oz tax (or 5% tax in the MatSu Boro).....the growers, product manufacturing businesses, testing labs or retail shops? Or, will the tax be split among the various businesses?

Thanks,
CannaMedAK

From: [Dr Charlie Gray](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Objection to Marijuana License number 10190
Date: Friday, October 14, 2016 10:46:42 AM
Attachments: [Marijuana Objection.docx](#)

Jane,

Here is a copy of my objection letter for Kim Kole. However, it also addresses the questions I have in the legality of any marijuana facility within 1000 feet of any facility that provides other services to children per 3 AAC 306.360. I would like correspondence and clarification from the director on this matter. Thank you for your time.

Dr. Charlie Gray
Gray Chiropractic Health Clinic LLC
360 E. International Airport Rd. #4
Anchorage, AK 99518
907-563-7700

From: [Andone, Dakin](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Cannabis industry, Charlene Egbe
Date: Friday, October 14, 2016 9:22:20 AM

Mr. Bankowski,

I'm with CNN and I'm researching for a story about Charlene Egbe and the cannabis industry in Alaska. Are you familiar with her case? I'm particularly interested in the steps that she did not take that others did.

Even if you're not familiar with her situation, I was wondering if you'd be willing to speak to me about the efforts of the AMCO and the steps that are being taken towards the retail of cannabis.

Thank you,
Dakin Andone

From: [Trevor Daniels](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Questions on how a Hydroponics Store is suppose to handle delicate political matters.
Date: Thursday, October 13, 2016 1:40:24 PM

To Whom it May Concern,

My name is Trevor and I am the owner of [akgoodlight.com](#) doing business as Alaska Good Light LLC.

Questions on how a Hydroponics Store such as mine is suppose to handle delicate issues.

As you are aware, no one knows precisely or accurately what to do or not what to do with current regulations for home use.

I'd like to have a confident buy by my customers. Although I have absolute no control or omnipotent sight on what a customer will do with a grow light, I want to be able to inform them on what state statutes and regulations are on a monthly basis.

Last of all, I need to know if my business is working with due diligence. (honestly It'll easier to be in the Hydroponics business if everyone grew dahlias and barley, but we don't know who's buying from a hydroponic store. That's why there are statutes and regulations on how a Hydroponics Store is suppose to handle delicate customers who are growing for medical cannabis or other home use. Nonetheless, I need to learn proper language on the matter so my store may better handle these delicate issues with current know in states law. Therefore I need to be up to date with current state law).

I look forward hearing back from a board member and their familiarity with current regulations and delicacy of the issue.

Thank You,

Trevor Weissler
Owner
Alaska Good Light LLC
907 854-3519
Akgoodlight@gmail.com

From: [Marijuana Licensing \(CED sponsored\)](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Cc: [Marijuana Licensing \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: FW: public comments handler card
Date: Wednesday, October 12, 2016 8:18:36 AM

From: Kim Kole [mailto:kimkoleinak@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 3:36 PM
To: Marijuana Licensing (CED sponsored)
Subject: public comments handler card

I would like to state that it doesn't make any sense for people obtaining a Handler Card to have to get a back ground check. If we want to encourage people to get out of the black market and into the legitimate market, this may include some individuals who may have a felony on their records. No, we don't want them to be license holders, but there is no reason why they shouldn't be able to work under someone else.

You may also have people who have a felony for something completely unrelated to cannabis or alcohol, so it doesn't make any sense to keep them out of the industry.

Thank you for your time!

Kim Kole

From: [Susan Burrell](#)
To: [Marijuana, CED ABC \(CED sponsored\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Marijuana retail store
Date: Tuesday, October 11, 2016 4:48:50 PM

From: Susan Burrell <ssusiesfire@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2016 4:15 PM
To: sarah.oates@alaska.gov
Subject: Marijuana retail store

Hello, I originally sent this request to Sarah but her auto answer said I should send it here. My question---

I am planning on opening a retail MJ store and it was my intention to work with my landlord and pay a flat rent plus a percentage of monthly sales as this would not bury me if I have horrible business in the winter months, BUT - we were thinking it may not be allowed. I could find nothing absolute on the site or I am not understanding, so I thought I would ask you.

Landlord would have no owner interest in the business, period
I would just be figuring the second part of my rent off my total sales.

I appreciate your time on this matter.

Susie