From:	BONITA ADERMAN
To:	Marijuana Licensing (CED sponsored)
Cc:	lynnreich@hotmail.com; Gator01
Subject:	Objection to a specific Application # 13299 DBA Down to Earth Farms
Date:	Monday, June 26, 2017 9:55:38 PM

To whom it may concern:

The following letter is intended to list objection to the specific request for a Limited Marijuana Cultivation Facility. The application that I am expressing objection to is License #13299 , Business License # 1053901, DBA Down to Earth Farms, located at 20288 Birch Hill Dr, Palmer AK 99645 (physical address).

I object to the above referenced application for the following reasons:

1) The applicant for the above license is located on the property immediately next door to my property, where my family resides with under age children. The property is in full view from both my house and the street in front of the property, and has no secured areas where potential cultivation could take place.

2) The neighborhood in which the applicant seeks license is a residential neighborhood with no other commercial activities near by, without facilities or roads to accommodate a commercial business of any kind, The increased traffic supporting a commercial business would potentially impact road repairs, to an unpaved roadway, and financial impact of road repairs would be shared amongst the Mat Su Borough while only the Commercial Enterprise seeks benefit at the expense of their neighbors.

3) The neighborhood has multiple families with underage children playing, increased traffic seeking the purchase and cultivation of marijuana places these children at risk.

4) The Knik River Road, where the applicant resides, has already seen several documented cases of increased drug related crime, being a remote area, police response times are long and this establishment would bring increased risk of crime without the ability of law enforcement protections.

5) Having a Limited Cultivation Facility next door will negatively affect my property value and that of other neighbors.

6) AAC 306.025 requires that the applicant post the application at the proposed license premises, as of this date there is no record of the aforementioned notice that is required per parts 1 (A),(B), 2 (A),(B),(C),(D),all of which are required Notice for a completed Application.

7) AAC 306.030 states the board will not approve a new license in an area outside, but within 50 miles of, the boundary of a local government unless the board receives a petition to issue the license signed by a majority of the permanent residents residing within one mile of the proposed premises. The City of Palmer meets this standard and is listed in the spreadsheet, provided on the AMCO website, as having very clearly

opted out of any and all marijuana sales or cultivation, and as of this date there is no petition from the majority of permanent residents, in fact the majority of permanent residents are against a license being issued in this neighborhood.The above application is located within this criteria which should completely exclude it from being approved for any license.

8) AAC 306. article 7 sets forth various standards for security and surveillance of the premises, including the prevention of loitering, recognition of individuals impaired by consumption, as the above applicants location is a residential house in a residential neighborhood, it would seem highly unlikely that the standards set forth here could be met, followed, or enforced. As of present there are no visible means of security other than several "No Trespassing" signs. As the next door neighbor , to the premises,there is no apparent provision for the security and safety of the immediate neighbors, or our property and belongings that would be subject to potential theft and vandalism, due to the possibility of individuals impaired by consumption of marijuana trespassing or otherwise being in close proximity to a residential neighborhood not equipped for this type of business.

9) The application property backs up to state land with a structure and possible cultivation sites extending onto state land

10) Zlorg LLC is listed as the entity name for the application notice with only one name of Evelyn Reich listed, as there are multiple adults potentially living at the address, they should be listed on the application as this would meet the requirements of AAC 306.015 which states that all individuals with a "direct or indirect financial interest" be listed on the application, this does not appear in the Notice for Application.

I would summarize that I strongly object to the issuance of a License for Limited Cultivation of Marijuana at the address in the referenced application notice. There have been several other submissions stating further objections from other affected members of this neighborhood, and i would support and agree with their objections, in addition to what I have listed here. I would also submit that the vast majority of property owners in the neighborhood would object as well, but I am concerned that the short falls and lack of adherence by the applicant to the notification procedures spelled out by regulation will impose a time burden that may prohibit further timely submission of objection, by those who are directly and negatively impacted by this potential application. I would strongly urge you to consider denying the application due to the numerous negative health and crime impacts of bringing of drug cultivation facility into our residential neighborhood.

Regards,

Nick and Bonita Aderman
gator01@mtaonline.net (contact email address)