CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

STATE OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF INSURANCE PO BOX 110805 JUNEAU, AK 99811-0805

Order # TA 07-01)
In the Matter of Audit of	_)
Denali Alaskan Insurance LLC)
•	_)

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. An audit report of Denali Alaskan Insurance LLC, licensed in the state of Alaska, has been issued by the State of Alaska, Division of Insurance to Denali Alaskan Insurance LLC.
- 2. The audit report of Denali Alaskan Insurance LLC (TA 07-01) has been transmitted to James Campbell, Compliance Officer, Denali Alaskan Insurance LLC (Auditee), and Auditee has been accorded at least 30 days' opportunity to review and comment on this audit report.
- 3. The director of the Division of Insurance has fully considered and reviewed the report and any relevant portions of the auditor's work papers to the extent she considered necessary.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The written audit report referred to in Finding of Fact No. 1 was issued in accordance with Alaska Statute (AS) 21.06.150(b).
- 2. The actions set forth in finding of Fact No. 2 were conducted in accordance with AS 21.06.150(b).
- 3. The director of the Division of Insurance has reviewed the audit report and any other relevant work papers as set forth in Finding of Fact No. 3 to the extent she considered necessary in accordance with AS 21.06.150(b).

ORDER

	~~	~~	~ ~~	
1,11	1C	(11)	DER	,
1 1	1.5	1 115	1700	
	1	\sim \sim		

- 1. Pursuant to AS 21.06.150(b)(1), the audit report of Denali Alaskan Insurance LLC (TA 07-01) is approved as filed.
- 2. Pursuant to AS 21.06.060, the audit report shall be kept in the office of the director of the Division of Insurance and be open to public inspection.

This order is effective October , 2007.

Dated this 5 day of October, 2007 at Juneau, Alaska.

Linda S. Hall, Director

State of Alaska

Division of Insurance

PREMIUM TAX AUDIT OF

Denali Alaskan Insurance LLC Anchorage, Alaska

TA 07-01

As of December 31, 2006

Issued by
DIVISION OF INSURANCE
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT
STATE OF ALASKA



FINAL REPORT: October 5, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAG	Ε
1)	TABLE OF CONTENTS2	2
2)	SALUTATION3	3
3)	SCOPE OF AUDIT	3
4)	METHODOLOGY4	1
5)	PREMIUM TAX AUDIT	5
6)	SUMMARY AND SUBSEQUENT EVENTS11	Į
7)	AFFIDAVIT13	3



Frank H. Murkowski, Governor William C. Noll, Commissioner Linda S. Hall, Director

September 4, 2007

Linda S. Hall, CPCU, CIC Director, Division of Insurance Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development 550 West 7th Avenue, Suite 1560 Anchorage, AK 99501-3567

Pursuant to Alaska Statute (AS) 21.06.130, the Alaska Division of Insurance performed a limited and targeted premium tax audit of Denali Alaskan Insurance LLC (the broker) on May 21, 2007 through May 23, 2007, in the broker's Anchorage office. The audit was conducted by Rebecca Nesheim, tax auditor for the Alaska Division of Insurance.

Denali Alaskan Insurance LLC

SCOPE OF AUDIT

This premium tax audit was called to review compliance with the surplus lines statutes AS 21.34, and regulations 3 AAC 25 and the premium tax regulations 3 AAC 21.550 – 570. This is the first premium tax audit of Denali Alaskan Insurance LLC conducted by the Alaska Division of Insurance.

Subject Matters Audited

Denali Alaskan Insurance LLC is an Alaska licensed surplus lines broker based in Anchorage, Alaska. The division elected to audit their monthly filings, premium taxes paid, and filing fees paid, for accuracy and timeliness as well as required due diligence and disclosures to the insured.

Time Frame

The audit covered this broker's surplus lines business for the two years of January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2006.

P.O. Box 110805, Juneau, Alaska 99811-0805
Telephone: (907) 465-2515 Fax: (907) 465-3422 Text Telephone: (907) 465-5437
Email: insurance@commerce.state.ak.us Website: http://www.commerce.state.ak.us/insurance/

3

METHODOLOGY

The tax auditor sent the call letter and initial data requests to Denali Alaskan Insurance LLC's office in Anchorage, Alaska. A list of all Alaska business transactions with nonadmitted insurers was requested for the period to be audited. Denali Alaskan Insurance LLC complied timely with all data requests. The number of policies and endorsements written during the audit period was 34; therefore, all 34 were included in the audit.

The following are the procedures steps for the audit:

1) Verify that monthly premium reports and accompanying forms were filed in a timely manner.

i) Audit steps taken:

(1) Reviewed the monthly files sent to the Alaska Division of Insurance and verified the policy was included in the monthly filing based upon the effective date of the policy.

(2) Verified a statement of exempt premiums was filed when appropriate.

2) Verify the accuracy of the premium calculation

i) Audit steps taken:

- (1) Reviewed policy, declaration page, and invoices to determine how they calculated the premium.
- (2) Verified the correct premium was reported on the monthly reports as filed.
- 3) Verify the accuracy of all monthly reports filed.

i) Audit steps taken:

(1) Reviewed the policy & declaration pages in each file.

(2) If the effective date did not match the monthly filing, reviewed the correspondence to ensure the documentation in the file matched when the policy was booked. Most of these occurred with endorsements that often require additional information and sometimes company approval before it can be added to the policy. The effective date may be a month or more prior to the actual book date or invoice date. In this case, the invoice date usually determined in which month the endorsement is required to be filed.

(3) Verified the monthly reports as filed with the division were accurately filled out to reflect the correct effective date, premiums, taxes and fees based upon the information in the files.

4) If the placement is a multi-state placement, check the calculation and reasonableness of the methodology to allocate.

i) Audit steps to take:

- (1) Verify the allocation of premium for each state is reasonable and the calculation is accurate.
- 5) Verify quarterly, if required, and annual premium tax reports were filed in a timely manner.

i) Audit steps taken:

- (1) Confirmed when the quarterly and annual premium tax reports were mailed to the Alaska Division of Insurance.
- 6) Verify the accuracy of the quarterly, if required, and annual premium tax reports
 - i) Audit steps taken:

	(1) Verified the quarterly and annual premium tax reports as filed with the division	
	were accurately filled out to reflect the correct premiums, taxes and fees.	
7) Verify	if tax and filing fee payments were made timely and by ACH	
i)	Audit steps taken:	
	(1) Confirmed when the payments were made to the Alaska Division of Insurance	
	and the payment method	
8) Verify	tax and filing fees payments were made accurately	
i)	Audit steps taken:	
,	(1) Confirmed if the tax and fee payments matched the monthly reports as filed.	
9) Review	w effort made to place insured with an admitted insurer	
i)	Audit steps taken:	
~)	(1) Verified an affidavit of due diligence was in the file and the declinations filled	
	out. If it was marked as using the placement list, the list effective at the time of	
	placement was reviewed to ensure the risk was on the list	
10) Davier	w disclosures for use of non-admitted insurer	
i)	Audit steps taken: (1) Positive of the correspondence and other documentation in the files to find a copy.	
	(1) Reviewed the correspondence and other documentation in the files to find a copy	
	of the notification sent to the insured that meets the requirement in AS 21.34.110	
~ ~	(2) Reviewed the evidence of insurance for compliance with AS 21.34.100(e).	
	m-coverage is placed with an eligible non-admitted insurer	
i)	Audit steps taken:	
	(1) Reviewed the white lists to ensure the company was eligible at the time of	
	placement	
12) Review	w notice regarding nonrenewal and premium increase	
i)	Audit steps taken:	
	(1) Verified in each file there was a policyholder notice regarding nonrenewal and	
	premium increase included with the policy or other evidence of insurance.	
Accentah	le Error Rate:	
	er's acceptable error rate for the above referenced standards and tests must be less than	
10%.	of a cooperate offer face for the accordinated buildings and took many so took many	
10/0.		
	PREMIUM TAX AUDIT	
1. Verify	that monthly premium reports and accompanying forms were filed in a timely manner.	
	AS 21.34.080 and .170, 3 AAC 25.100 and 25.090	
	TILL 0005 (11 compared and accompanies former was filed late	
	y: The January 2005 monthly premium report and accompanying forms was filed late	
with all subsequent monthly filings made timely. Each monthly filing had the correct forms		
	monthly premium report summary, report of surplus lines transaction, affidavit of due	
diligence	(up until the statute change) and statement of exempt premiums (when necessary).	
Result: Pa	ssed (23 monthly passed & 1 failed, error rate 4%)	
Recomme	ndation: It is recommended that Denali Alaskan Insurance continue to mail the reports	
timely.		
··· ·		

Denali Alaskan Insurance Response to Exception Report received 7/13/07: Denali Alaskan Insurance understands the importance of filing the Surplus Lines Reports in a timely manner. The lateness of the January 2005 report was an isolated incident. As mentioned in the Except Report, all subsequent filings were made on time, and will be in the future to the best of our ability.			
	2. Verify the accuracy of the premium calculation AS 21.34.180		
	Comments: Five policy premiums were calculated incorrectly. Four included broker fees that should not have been included and resulted in refunds to the insured via the broker. One included a broker fee but did not include a policy fee, which results in additional tax and fees to the State. The overall refund for the five policies to the broker is \$5.55.		
	The incorrect premium error affects three others tested in the audit, the accuracy of: the monthly report, tax report and tax and filing fee payments.		
	Result: Failed (29 files passed & 5 failed, error rate 15%)		
	Observations: The broker has a manual system set up for ensuring the accuracy of the premium calculation that is prone to errors.		
	Recommendations: It is recommended that Denali Alaskan Insurance update the written procedure manual to ensure all broker fees and wholesaler access fees are not included in the calculation of premium and that all company fees are included. This may include reminder training for office staff.		
	Denali Alaskan Insurance Response to Exception Report received 7/13/07: Denali Alaskan Insurance has updated the Surplus Lines section of the Bookkeeping Manual to include corrected instructions regarding the calculation of the taxes and fees. We will also correct the billing errors on the five accounts listed under EX.4, refund the insured's over payments accordingly, and pay the State where applicable. The office staff will receive an outline of the exact steps involved in the entire Surplus Lines process which includes calculating the taxes correctly.		
	3. Verify the accuracy of all monthly reports filed AS 21.34.080 and .170, 3 AAC 25.100 and 25.090		
	Comments: The bookkeeper runs a report from The Agency Management System (TAM) to compare with the affidavits of due diligence received from the office staff. The production report is pulled by insurance company and shows a transaction type, such as CFE, that helps her identify it as a surplus lines transaction. She creates a spreadsheet for the year broken down by month and then insured. Six files contributed to inaccurate monthly filings primarily due to the report not including the policies on the initial report run and were not caught until subsequent months. Each of these six policies was filed in the correct monthly filing as an amended report. Five of these policies did not affect any other audit test; however, one affected the accuracy of		

at all and were found during the audit. This error affects three other at the tax reports, the accuracy of the tax and filing fee payments and the filing fee payments.	e timeliness of the tax and
Result: Failed (25 files passed & 9 failed, error rate 26%)	
Observations: The manual process created by Denali Alaskan Insura prone to errors.	ance for report completion i
Recommendations: It is recommended that Denali Alaskan Insurance procedures to ensure all policies are coded correctly as surplus lines, the ability to flag which insurance companies are surplus lines, that wall policies written with those carriers are properly coded. Another no insured when a policy is written with a surplus lines carrier so that we subsequently, it will continue to be flagged each time it is opened, when endorsements are received.	If the computer system has would be one way to ensure nethod would be to flag the hen that insured is reviewed.
It is further recommended to implement a new procedure to file the n Division of Insurance later in the month due so that policies that are i month may be identified earlier to be reported timely.	nonthly reports with the invoiced in the subsequent
Once the needed procedures are in place to correct errors on the mon and payments will be automatically corrected.	thly reports, the tax reports
Denali Alaskan Insurance Response to Exception Report received 7/1 Insurance has implemented new procedures both in our computer systemsure that no Surplus Lines policies are overlooked for the reporting to reporting later in the month, the office staff are consulted on their running the monthly reports. Each member of the staff working with Surplus Lines Summary sheet detailing the procedures. Noted on this renewals in the month in which they are effective, and endorsements received.	stem and with our files to g process. Also, in addition specific renewals prior to a the files now has the same is form is the need to bill
Division of Insurance Response: Denali Alaskan Insurance should in Summary sheet that the requirement for when to report endorsements quote accepted by insured, endorsement received or endorsement investigations.	s is the earliest date of:
4. Verify the multi-state placements are filed correctly	AS 21.34.18

5.	Verify quarterly and annual premium tax reports were filed in a timely manner AS 21.34.180, 3 AAC 21	
	omments: The two annual tax reports were filed timely with the division. The broker is not quired to file quarterly.	
Re	esult: Passed (error rate 0%)	
6.	Verify the accuracy of the quarterly and annual premium tax reports AS 21.34.180, 3 AAC 21	
sev Ha rep	parts: The tax reports as filed matched the monthly reports as filed. During the audit, weral monthly filings were found to be inaccurate and premium calculations were inaccurate. And the monthly reports been accurate, the tax reports would also have been accurate as the tax ports as filed matched the monthly reports as filed. The only reason the tax reports were not curate is the audit findings. Therefore, the tax reports are considered accurate.	
Re	esult: Passed (error rate 0%)	
7.	Verify if tax and filing fee payments were made timely and by ACH AS 21.34.180, 3 AAC 21	
Comments: The two required annual payments were made by the due dates and by ACH. However, due to three policies not reported at all as found during the audit, the tax and fees not paid timely. The tax is \$325.43 and filing fee is \$120.53 for a total of \$445.96 due to the Alaska Division of Insurance.		
Re	esults: Passed (error rate 0%)	
	ecommendations: It is requested that Denali Alaskan Insurance pay the additional tax and ing fees after the final report is issued.	
Insurance will correct all billing errors in our system, file all the necessary amended rep pay all outstanding taxes and fees pertaining to each year accordingly. 8. Verify tax and filing fees payments were made accurately		

Results: Passed (error rate 0%)

9. Review effort made to place insured with an admitted insurer

AS 21.34.020, 3 AAC 25.030

Comments: Four files did not have evidence of a diligent search done prior to placing the insured with a surplus lines insurer in the files. They were not identified as surplus lines in the computer; however, at the time they couldn't find an admitted carrier to take the business, it should have been obvious the policy was placed in the surplus lines market and as such the admitted market check should have been documented.

Results: Failed (30 files passed & 4 failed, error rate 12%)

Recommendations: It is recommended that Denali Alaskan Insurance ensure current procedures for documenting compliance with the due diligence requirement in surplus lines files are followed.

Denali Alaskan Insurance Response to Exception Report received 7/13/07: A process to ensure that compliance with statutes has been implemented. Prior to binding coverage and completing an affidavit of due diligence, the producer will consult with a licensed surplus lines broker in the office to make sure that all avenues of placing coverage with an admitted insurer have been exhausted (if applicable) and noted on the affidavit.

10. Review disclosures for use of non-admitted insurer

AS 21.34.110, AS 21.34.100(e)

Comments: Out of 34 files, only one file contained the notification as required by Alaska Statute (AS) 21.34.110 to the insured prior to binding and that was made by the wholesaler to the insured.

Results: Failed (1 file passed & 33 failed, error rate 97%)

Comments: The evidence of insurance in each of the files does not contain the surplus lines broker name as required by AS 21.34.100(e). One policy did not have a copy of the policy in the file to show the required stamp per AS 21.34.100(e). Three policies were not coded as surplus lines thus the required stamp was not on the copies in the files.

Results: Failed (30 files passed & 4 failed, error rate 12%)

Recommendations: It is recommended that Denali Alaskan Insurance include in their insurance proposal to the client the required information per Alaska Statute 21.34.110 as premium cannot be collected until the insured has this notice. If an insurance proposal will not be used, then another method of written notification is required prior to binding to alert the client of the non-admitted status of the insurer. It is also recommended that the stamp required by statute 21.34.100(e) be modified to include the name of the surplus lines broker or include the name by

	another means. The identification of surplus lines policies is critical for ensuring all required notifications are provided to the insured per statute.
I [Denali Alaskan Insurance Response to Exception Report received 7/13/07: The recommendation above has already been implemented in our Agency. Each quote/proposal that goes to a client will have the required notice attached and stamps affixed to the quote/proposal. If a verbal quote is provided on a Surplus Lines Policy, written notification will be mailed to the client the same day. Our Agency Surplus Lines Stamp has been modified to include the name of the broker.
]	11. Confirm coverage is placed with an eligible non-admitted insurer AS 21.34.050
	AS 21.34.030
(Comments: All transactions within the files show approved companies.
ì	Results: Passed (error rate 0%)
	Observations: Denali Alaskan Insurance appears to be careful about placing business with only those insurers on the white list.
-	12. Review notice regarding nonrenewal and premium increase 3 AAC 25.05
;	Comments: Eight files did not have evidence of the required notice of nonrenewal and premium increase being sent to the insured. When the broker noticed the required policyholder notice was not attached to the policy, the broker sent the notice along with the policy to the insured based upon bulletin 06-10.
4	Results: Failed (26 files passed & 8 failed, error rate 24%)
1	Recommendations: It is recommended that Denali Alaskan Insurance update the written procedure manual to ensure all required notifications are part of the policy. The insurer must be contacted when the notification is missing as this is a required notification to the insured.
]	Denali Alaskan Insurance Response to Exception Report received 7/13/07: The procedure manual has been updated to address this issue. All policies will be double checked to ensure compliance with reporting procedures and nonrenewal/premium increase notification.
]	Best Practices: Missing Documentation
	Comments: One policy file did not contain all the relevant documents for a transaction so that it was difficult to trace the increased premium that was reported to the Alaska Division of Insurance to the originating documents.
	mistrance to the originating accuments.

 Several significant issues did arise during the audit. Several files were not coded as surplus lines transactions and thus none of the required notifications to the insured were completed, no filings were made, and no taxes and fees w paid. Several of the monthly reports were not accurately filled out which leads to inaccurate tax reports and inaccurate premium tax and filing fee payments. Once the root cause of the inaccuracies is fixed with the recommendations laid out by the auditor, the resulting inaccuracies should be corrected. The manual system of ensuring the accuracy of the premium calculation and the monthly reports in use by the broker is prone to errors. The required notification to the insured regarding the use of a non admitted insurer that is regulated by the Alaska Division of Insurance, does not have a certificate of authority and 	Denali Alaskan Insurance fully converts to paperless files, the maintenance of the documents may take another format as long as it meets statute with the ability to see all transactions for a particular insured.			
Summary This was a premium tax audit of Denali Alaskan Insurance. The auditor tested 12 standards focusing on the broker's filing of monthly reports and annual tax reports and the subsequent payment of premium taxes and filing fees as well as due diligence and notification requirement for using a non-admitted insurer. The compliance officer and staff were very cooperative during the audit. The tax auditor appreciated this attitude and enjoyed working with Denali Alaskan Insurance's management a staff. Several significant issues did arise during the audit. 1) Several files were not coded as surplus lines transactions and thus none of the required notifications to the insured were completed, no filings were made, and no taxes and fees we paid. 2) Several of the monthly reports were not accurately filled out which leads to inaccurate tax reports and inaccurate premium tax and filing fee payments. Once the root cause of the inaccuracies is fixed with the recommendations laid out by the auditor, the resulting inaccuracies should be corrected. 3) The manual system of ensuring the accuracy of the premium calculation and the monthly reports in use by the broker is prone to errors. 4) The required notification to the insured regarding the use of a non admitted insurer that is regulated by the Alaska Division of Insurance, does not have a certificate of authority and not covered by the Alaska Guaranty Association in the case of insolvency was not found in	policy of Denali Alaskan Insurance to maintain complete and accurate policy files per Ala Statute. This is an isolated incident and steps have been implemented to see that the situat			
This was a premium tax audit of Denali Alaskan Insurance. The auditor tested 12 standards focusing on the broker's filing of monthly reports and annual tax reports and the subsequent payment of premium taxes and filing fees as well as due diligence and notification requirement for using a non-admitted insurer. The compliance officer and staff were very cooperative during the audit. The tax auditor appreciated this attitude and enjoyed working with Denali Alaskan Insurance's management as staff. Several significant issues did arise during the audit. 1) Several files were not coded as surplus lines transactions and thus none of the required notifications to the insured were completed, no filings were made, and no taxes and fees we paid. 2) Several of the monthly reports were not accurately filled out which leads to inaccurate tax reports and inaccurate premium tax and filing fee payments. Once the root cause of the inaccuracies is fixed with the recommendations laid out by the auditor, the resulting inaccuracies should be corrected. 3) The manual system of ensuring the accuracy of the premium calculation and the monthly reports in use by the broker is prone to errors. 4) The required notification to the insured regarding the use of a non admitted insurer that is regulated by the Alaska Division of Insurance, does not have a certificate of authority and not covered by the Alaska Guaranty Association in the case of insolvency was not found in		SUMMARY AND SUBSEQUENT EVENTS		
focusing on the broker's filing of monthly reports and annual tax reports and the subsequent payment of premium taxes and filing fees as well as due diligence and notification requirement for using a non-admitted insurer. The compliance officer and staff were very cooperative during the audit. The tax auditor appreciated this attitude and enjoyed working with Denali Alaskan Insurance's management a staff. Several significant issues did arise during the audit. 1) Several files were not coded as surplus lines transactions and thus none of the required notifications to the insured were completed, no filings were made, and no taxes and fees we paid. 2) Several of the monthly reports were not accurately filled out which leads to inaccurate tax reports and inaccurate premium tax and filing fee payments. Once the root cause of the inaccuracies is fixed with the recommendations laid out by the auditor, the resulting inaccuracies should be corrected. 3) The manual system of ensuring the accuracy of the premium calculation and the monthly reports in use by the broker is prone to errors. 4) The required notification to the insured regarding the use of a non admitted insurer that is regulated by the Alaska Division of Insurance, does not have a certificate of authority and not covered by the Alaska Guaranty Association in the case of insolvency was not found in	<u>Su</u>	ımmary		
 appreciated this attitude and enjoyed working with Denali Alaskan Insurance's management a staff. Several significant issues did arise during the audit. 1) Several files were not coded as surplus lines transactions and thus none of the required notifications to the insured were completed, no filings were made, and no taxes and fees w paid. 2) Several of the monthly reports were not accurately filled out which leads to inaccurate tax reports and inaccurate premium tax and filing fee payments. Once the root cause of the inaccuracies is fixed with the recommendations laid out by the auditor, the resulting inaccuracies should be corrected. 3) The manual system of ensuring the accuracy of the premium calculation and the monthly reports in use by the broker is prone to errors. 4) The required notification to the insured regarding the use of a non admitted insurer that is regulated by the Alaska Division of Insurance, does not have a certificate of authority and not covered by the Alaska Guaranty Association in the case of insolvency was not found in 	foc pay	cusing on the broker's filing of monthly reports and annual tax reports and the subsequent syment of premium taxes and filing fees as well as due diligence and notification requirements		
 Several files were not coded as surplus lines transactions and thus none of the required notifications to the insured were completed, no filings were made, and no taxes and fees w paid. Several of the monthly reports were not accurately filled out which leads to inaccurate tax reports and inaccurate premium tax and filing fee payments. Once the root cause of the inaccuracies is fixed with the recommendations laid out by the auditor, the resulting inaccuracies should be corrected. The manual system of ensuring the accuracy of the premium calculation and the monthly reports in use by the broker is prone to errors. The required notification to the insured regarding the use of a non admitted insurer that is regulated by the Alaska Division of Insurance, does not have a certificate of authority and not covered by the Alaska Guaranty Association in the case of insolvency was not found in 	apj	preciated this attitude and enjoyed working with Denali Alaskan Insurance's management and		
 notifications to the insured were completed, no filings were made, and no taxes and fees w paid. Several of the monthly reports were not accurately filled out which leads to inaccurate tax reports and inaccurate premium tax and filing fee payments. Once the root cause of the inaccuracies is fixed with the recommendations laid out by the auditor, the resulting inaccuracies should be corrected. The manual system of ensuring the accuracy of the premium calculation and the monthly reports in use by the broker is prone to errors. The required notification to the insured regarding the use of a non admitted insurer that is regulated by the Alaska Division of Insurance, does not have a certificate of authority and not covered by the Alaska Guaranty Association in the case of insolvency was not found in 	Se	everal significant issues did arise during the audit.		
reports and inaccurate premium tax and filing fee payments. Once the root cause of the inaccuracies is fixed with the recommendations laid out by the auditor, the resulting inaccuracies should be corrected. 3) The manual system of ensuring the accuracy of the premium calculation and the monthly reports in use by the broker is prone to errors. 4) The required notification to the insured regarding the use of a non admitted insurer that is regulated by the Alaska Division of Insurance, does not have a certificate of authority and not covered by the Alaska Guaranty Association in the case of insolvency was not found in	1)	notifications to the insured were completed, no filings were made, and no taxes and fees were		
reports in use by the broker is prone to errors. 4) The required notification to the insured regarding the use of a non admitted insurer that is regulated by the Alaska Division of Insurance, does not have a certificate of authority and not covered by the Alaska Guaranty Association in the case of insolvency was not found in	2)	reports and inaccurate premium tax and filing fee payments. Once the root cause of the inaccuracies is fixed with the recommendations laid out by the auditor, the resulting		
regulated by the Alaska Division of Insurance, does not have a certificate of authority and not covered by the Alaska Guaranty Association in the case of insolvency was not found in	3)			
	4)	regulated by the Alaska Division of Insurance, does not have a certificate of authority and is not covered by the Alaska Guaranty Association in the case of insolvency was not found in		

Re-Audit		tua ua	. 1 1 2	
the broker wants to do to auditor's recommended correct the problems en	In closing, while the auditor found problems with the reports and payments, she also found the broker wants to do things right. This is evident in the response to the exception report. The auditor's recommended actions, including those already implemented by the broker, should correct the problems encountered and it is recommended that Denali Alaskan Insurance be reaudited within the next three years to ascertain compliance with them.			
		Submitted by: Rebecca Nesheir Tax Auditor	n	

	Affidavit
Denali Alaskan Insurance LLC As of December 31, 2006 TA 07-01	
Juneau, Alaska) September 4, 2007)) State of Alaska) ss. First Judicial District)	
	eport of premium tax audit as of December 31, 2006 of o the best of my knowledge and belief.
	Rebecca Nesheim Tax Auditor
SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before	me this day of September, 2007
"OFFICIAL SEAL" CATHERINE A. WATSON NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF ALASKA MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 1/24/2009 8	Notary Public in and for Alaska My Commission Expires 1 24 209

13