1	STATE OF ALASKA
2	DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
3	DIVISION OF OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING
4	BOARD OF REGISTRATION FOR ARCHITECTS, ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS
5	ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS
6	MINUTES OF MEETING
7 8	WHILL TES OF WILL TING
8 9	June 3-4, 2004
9 10	June 3-4, 2004
10	By authority of AS 08.01.070(2) and in compliance with the provision of AS 44.62, Article 6,
12	the Board of Registration for Architects, Engineers and Land Surveyors, (AELS) held a meeting
13	Runcorn Room #300, Natural Sciences Building, 900 Yukon Drive, Fairbanks, Alaska 99775.
14	
15	Thursday, June 3, 2004
16	
17	Agenda Item 1 – <u>Call to Order and Roll Call</u>
18	
19	The Chair called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.
20	
21	Members present and constituting a quorum of the Board were:
22	Donald Iverson, PE, Electrical Engineer, Chair
23	Clifford Baker, PLS, Land surveyor
24	Boyd Brownfield, PE, Civil Engineer
25	Craig Fredeen, PE, Mechanical Engineer
26	Robert Gilfilian, PE, Civil Engineer, Secretary
27	Richard Hughes, PE, Mining Engineer
28	Kenneth Maynard, FAIA, Architect
29	Scott McLane, PLS, Land Surveyor
30	Kimberly Mills, Public Member
31	Patricia Peirsol, Architect, joined the meeting at 9:12 a.m.
32	
33	Absent was:
34	Linda Cyra-Korsgaard, Landscape Architect, Vice-Chair
35	
36	Representing the Division of Occupational Licensing:
37	Nancy Hemenway, Executive Administrator
38	Eleanor Vinson, Licensing Examiner
39	John R. Clark, Investigator
40	
41	Joining a portion of the meeting, in person, were:
42	Scott Johnson, Architect, Fairbanks North Star Borough
43	Dr. Bob Carlson, Ph.D., PE, UAF Engineering

The Chair asked the Board members to give brief introductions as there are five new Board
 members at this meeting and Board members and staff introduced themselves.

4

5 Agenda Item 2 – <u>Review/Revise Agenda:</u>

67 The draft agenda:

8 9

TENTATIVE AGENDA

Thursday, June 3, 2004

10	Thursday, Julie 3, 2004	
TIME	TOPIC	LEAD PERSON
1. 9:00 a.m.	Call to Order/Roll Call/ Introductions of New members	Chair/ Examiner
2. 9:05 a.m.	Review/Revise Agenda	Chair/Board
3. 9:10 a.m.	Ethics Report	Chair/Board
l. 9:15 a.m.	Review/Approve Minutes Quarterly Board meeting (Feb. 04 Qtr mtg)	Chair/Board
5. 9:30 a.m.	Correspondence: See List in Packet	Chair/Board
5. 10:00 a.m.	Investigator Report – John Clark Discussion Issues- Board members role in Investigative process- confidentiality Cease and Desist Order-Final- Incidental Practice Issues	Investigator/ Board
7. 11:00 a.m.	Subgroups:	Chair/Board
	A. Engineering Disciplines (sub-disciplines)1. Idaho/PNWER Resolution for Canadian applicants2. Comments from people interested in becoming registered as Control Sys. Engr.	Chair/Board
	 B. Land Surveyor/Engineering Practice 1. Email of 4/28/04 from Elaine Nefzger, MOA requirement forsite plans –PLS 2. Email from Randy Johnson, Fbx North Star Borough, requests guidance 3. Email from Lance Duncan re: Land Surveyor Practice (references G&O #5) 	
	C. Landscape Architect Practice Email from Tim Krug, regarding plantings required for subdivisions, requests guidance	
3. 11:45 a.m.	Meet with Attorney (if necessary) (by teleconference)	Board/Attorney
2:00 noon	Lunch	
0. 1:15 p.m. 10. 2:15 p.m.	Public Comment (a) Public noticed 4/26/04 ending 5/28/04 at 5:00 p.m.	Chair/Board Chair/Board
	 12 AAC 36.062, .063, .064, .065, .068 Remove outdated language and add advanced degree for landscape architect (b) Public noticing ended 5/12/04, adopted at Feb 04 meeting: 12 AAC 36.040, .061, 063 - Sent to Dept. of Law for review. Held over to June 04 meeting specifications. (c) Specifications, 12 AAC 36.185 Sealing Specifications Comments Received White paper by Lance Duncan 	
11. 2:45 p.m.	Application Reviews (Executive Session –until completed)	Chair/Board
5:30 p.m.	RECESS UNTIL 8:00 a.m. Friday, June 4, 2004	

11 12

TIME	TOPIC	LEAD PERSON
12. 8:00 a.m.	Call to Order/Roll Call	Chair/Licensing
	Executive Session to Complete Application Review -Continued,	Examiner/Board
	(if necessary)	
13. 8:15 a.m.	Regulations (if needed)	Investigator/Board

Friday, June 4, 2004

14. 8:30 a.m.	Steve Shuttleworth, Building Official	Chair/Building Official
15. 10:30 a.m.	Meet with David Brower, Attorney (by teleconference) Discussion Items	Chair/Attorney
16. 11:15 a.m.	Budget Summary Report	Chair/Board
17. 11:30 a.m.	 Meet with Rick Urion, Director (by teleconference) Budget, Legislative Report 	Director/Board
12:00 noon	Lunch	
18. 1:15 p.m.	Legislation: Recap:	Chair/Board
10. 1.1 <i>5</i> p.m.	HB 252/SB 207 Term Limits, CE (passed, awaiting signature)	Chail/Board
	HB 268 Specialty Contractors (died H/RIs)	
	HB 148 Land Surveyor Standards (died H/L&C)	
19. 1:30 p.m.	Old Business:	
19. 1.50 p.m.	 Land Surveyor Subdivision /Overlap w/Engineering 	Chair/Board
	(Randy Johnson, FNSB-carried forward 2/04- (Subgroup- #7B-2	
	 Arctic Engineering-responses from Oregon State & No.Design 	
	 Host Western Zone Meeting 2005 	
	Emergency License	Gilfilian/Peirsol
	 Model Law Land Surveyor 	McLane
20. 2:00 p.m.	New Business:	Chair/Board
20. 2.00 p.m.	Hearing Officer Decision: Scott Bosse', PLS by Comity	Chair/Doard
	 Continuing Education Providers (Requested Cyra-Korsgaard) 	
	 Continuing Education Floviders (Requested Cyta-Roisgaard) Continuing Education- Mandatory Program 	
	 Mentors for New Board members 	
	 PNWER Resolution (See Subgroup – 7A Engr. Subgroup) 	
21. 2:30 p.m.	Read Applications into Record	Chair/Licensing Exam
22. 2:45 p.m.	Goals and Objectives	Chair/Board
23. 3:20 p.m.	Administrator's Report	Chair/Administrator
24. 3:30 p.m.	Board Member Reports	Chair/Board
24. 5.50 p.m.	WCARB (Portland)	Peirsol
	Western Zone (Las Vegas)	Chair/Iverson/McLane
	AKLS Workshop (Anchorage)	McLane/Baker
25. 3:50 p.m.	Review Calendar of Events, Confirm AELS Meeting Dates:	Chair/Board
	2004 : Aug 19-20 Soldotna; Nov 18-19 Anchorage	
	Confirm NCARB attendees, confirm NCEES attendees,	
	2005 AELS Board meeting dates:	
	Feb 10-11 Jnu; May 19-20 Fbx; Aug 18-19 & Nov 17-18 Anch	
4:00 p. m.	Board Member Comments, Task List, and Housekeeping	Chair/Board
-	(Collect TA's and receipts, Sign Wall Certificates)	

2 The Chair asked if there were any revisions to the agenda and there were none.

On a motion duly made by McLane, seconded by Gilfilian, and unanimously adopted, it was

5 **W**

3

7 8

RESOLVED to adopt the draft agenda.

9 The Chair indicated there were no objections and the agenda was adopted.

- Agenda Item 3 <u>Ethics Report</u>
- 1213 The Chair asked if there were any ethics disclosures by members.

1 2	Maynard indicated he was asked to participate in a case by the investigator, and additionally teaches a portion of the Northern Design class.
3	
4 5	The Chair stated he need not report these items under the disclosure section but would be taken up when the agenda item was up for action by the Board.
6	
7 8	The Chair noted there were no ethics disclosures to report.
9 10	Agenda Item 4 – <u>Review/Approve Minutes</u>
10 11 12	The Chair asked for any corrections or additions to the February 19-20, 2004 draft minutes.
12	On a motion duly made by McLane, seconded by Gilfilian, and unanimously adopted, it
14	was
15	
16 17	RESOLVED to <u>APPROVE</u> the following motion: To adopt the minutes from the February 19-20, 2004 meeting.
18	
19	The Chair indicated the draft February 19-20, 2004 minutes were adopted with no additional
20 21	corrections or additions.
22	Agenda Item 5 – <u>Correspondence</u>
23	Agendu Item 5 <u>Correspondence</u>
23 24 25	The Chair brought up correspondence:
25 26 27	E-mail dated 5/9/04 from ABET urging jurisdictions to use its service.
28	The Executive Administrator explained that the Engineering Credentials Evaluation
29	International, ECEI, is a branch of the Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology,
30	Inc., known as ABET and provide foreign degree translations and evaluations. The Board
31	currently uses all accrediting agencies accepted by the University of Alaska. While the Board
32	staff does not endorse any one agency, they do provide information to candidates of the
33	affiliation of ECEI to ABET so candidates can make informed choices. The ECEI will indicate
34	on its report any deficiencies between the ABET accredited degree in engineering or land
35	surveying and the degree being evaluated.
36	
37	E-mail dated 4/23/04 from the Fairbanks Fire Marshal, Thomas DePeter, Jr. with
38	assurances and reorganization information.
39	
40	The Executive Administrator explained that she invited the Fairbanks Fire Marshal to attend the
41	AELS meeting but he was unable to do so. He asked that she pass on his assurances his office
42	requires stamps for individual disciplines and he is in the process of reorganizing and hiring a
43	full time plans examiner that should improve processing time.
44	
45	Gilfilian asked to invite the Fire Marshal to attend the next meeting, the August meeting as it is
46	helpful to have face-to-face interaction.
47	
48	E-mail dated 5/19/04 from Jared Keyser with suggestion of an additional FAQ to
49	specifically address commercial modules.

NH/dgl/492nh 090704b

- The Board briefly discussed and Gilfilain suggested that the subgroup on Building Officials
- 3 Manual take up the concern.
- 4

5 Mr. Clark suggested the modules are similar to a steel building designed out of state and would 6 require site adaptation for assurances of compliance to codes and to meet the arctic engineering 7 requirement for safety issues.

8

9 Agenda Item 6 – <u>Investigator's Report</u>

10

Mr. Clark discussed issues of confidentiality and the Board member's role in the investigative 11 process. The investigator contacts a board member to have concurrence that an investigation 12 should move forward to obtain a cease and desist order or a memorandum of agreement and if 13 details of the case are reviewed or discussed, the Board member cannot vote on the case when it 14 comes to voting. The investigator will also poll members to obtain a majority opinion before 15 taking final action. In investigative matters, Board members cannot discuss the case with anyone 16 and must maintain confidentiality. When a Board member is involved in the specifics of a case. 17 the Board member must recuse himself or herself from voting on the issue. 18

- 19
- Brownfield asked for clarification because it seemed the Board member was working without much information.
- 21

23 The Chair explained it was true but the investigator contacts the Board to advise them that they

have probable cause to take action and in such matters they are often not given specific

information because the Board must vote on the final action as a whole.

26

27 Clark cautioned the Board in speaking to private parties who often will call a Board member

when an investigation is in progress to attempt to have the action halted. He indicated it is

important to ask a party if there is an ongoing investigation and if there is an action pending, the

30 Board member must advise the party they cannot discuss any aspect of the case and must recuse

- 31 themselves when the Board acts as a whole.
- Mills explained that a lot of people will contact you and if they have an issue you can ask them
 to put it in writing and submit it to the Board for consideration at the next Board meeting.
- 35

36 Gilfilian suggested for clarification purposes, refer them to the Executive Administrator.

37

38 The Executive Administrator added that although an action may become public, such as when a

hearing is held on an applicant file, the Board still cannot have informal discussions outside the
Board meeting. Ex parte communications require the other party be included and the Board

40 Board meeting. Ex parte communications require the other party be included and the Board 41 member must maintain confidentiality and hold discussions at the meeting on the record, or if

42 necessary, in Executive session.

43

44 The Board held a discussion about the hearing officer process and the new central panel

45 legislation that passed the legislature this year.

46

The Chair indicated that incidental practice of minor importance is an issue that the subgroup must address and would be a priority as an issue to resolve for the coming year.

- 1 Fredeen raised the issue of emails between Board members being in conformance with the Open
- 2 Meetings Act.
- 3

4 The Executive Administrator suggested the Board ask its attorney to respond. She indicated that

- subgroup meetings must be public noticed in conformance with the Division's policies and it helps if she is advised by the 10^{th} of the month so those meeting can be added to the Division's
- routine public noticing. If it is not possible to do so, the Board staff can ask to have it published
- 8 in the newspaper but it is costly to do so.
- 9
- 10 The Chair reiterated it is best to plan the subcommittees and not decide to meet without lead 11 time.
- 12
- Peirsol asked Board members to ensure they hold subgroups in a room with a teleconferenceability.
- 15

17

19

- 16 The Board held a short discussion about stop work orders.
- 18 The Chair asked for a status on the stop work order legislation.
- 20 The Executive Administrator noted a bill was never introduced before the Legislature.
- Gilfilian added the Board had some public comment in opposition to instituting a stop-work
- order because the decisions may be made by the Department of Law and not by the Board.
- 24

25 Clark indicated he does not have the authority to stop construction but he can request the Fire

- Marshal to take action and sometimes projects are halted. However, the cease and desist order is
- 27 made to a person, not a project, and sometimes a project continues and the parties pay the fine
- rather than halt the project.
- 29
- 30 The Chair added he thinks it helps to build relationships with code regulating agencies.
- 31

Mills felt that the Board is not trying to stop work, but is trying to educate the public and bring

- registrants or those in unlicensed practice into compliance. She believes some are not willing to
- 34 do what is required and enforcement actions are sometimes still necessary.
- 35
- Peirsol mentioned the letter the Board had hoped to send out to contractors but the Division's
 Director did not allow the Board send the letter.
- 38
- Maynard explained if plans are flawed, the Board has a responsibility to stop the project because of the responsibility to the public safety.
- 41
- 42 Clark cautioned the Board that the investigators must be consistent in how they close cases. He
- 43 explained that if a corporation is not licensed and complies by getting licensed the investigator
- 44 would write a letter once they are in compliance and the case is closed with that advisory letter.
- 45 If the Board wants to move in a direction different from the usual order of how the investigators
- 46 are conducting its business, it must be a Board decision.
- 47

- 1 Peirsol pointed out that the investigators' report indicates the year that each case was opened and
- 2 explained that the more we rely on the state attorney, the longer it takes to complete the action.
- 3 She added that Clark has worked to bring people into compliance whenever possible.
- 4

5 The Chair asked the Board to assist Clark where possible and expressed his appreciation for the 6 work the investigators are doing.

- 7
- 8 Break: 10:08 a.m.
- 9 Reconvene: 10:25 a.m.

1 Agenda Item 7 – <u>Subgroups</u>

2

The Chair indicated the Board would break into subgroups and held a short discussion to assign
 subgroup chairpersons. He rearranged the subgroups into two groups: The Engineering
 Disciplines Subgroup, and the Incidental Practices Subgroup.

6

7 The Chair noted the Engineering Disciplines Subgroup will discuss Control Systems Engineering 8 and Canadian Reciprocity issues. This subgroup will also oversee the broader issue of general

- 9 licensing systems for engineering.
- 10

11 The Board had had previous subgroups (the Land Surveyor and Engineer Practices Subgroup,

12 and the Landscape Architect subgroup) but it appears they were both working on defining the

boundary between professions. The new subgroup will blend the groups and it will now be

called the Incidental Practices Subgroup. The subgroup will discuss today the Land

15 Surveyor/Engineering Practice issues, including emails asking the Board for guidance or offering

suggestions (Nefzger, Johnson, Duncan). This subgroup will also work on Landscape Architect

17 and overlaps between architecture, engineering, and land surveying.

- 1819 He assigned subgroup Chairs as follows:
- 20

21 Gilfilian was assigned as Chair of the subgroup on Engineering Disciplines, to discuss control

- 22 systems engineering, and Canadian Reciprocity issues.
- 23

24 Maynard was assigned as Chair of the subgroup to discuss incidental practice of minor

importance and will cover overlap between engineers and architects, combining the agenda items

²⁶ "B" and "C"; landscape architecture and engineering or land surveying; and land surveying and

- 27 engineering with respect to subdivisions.
- 28

Maynard asked the Executive Administrator to find model laws and legislation from other jurisdictions in order to provide guidance to the subgroup.

31

The Executive Administrator explained the Board worked on this issue previously and she had polled other jurisdictions to find definitions. Most indicated they relied on their ethics laws to keep people working within their area of expertise. When they did not do so, the jurisdiction

35 enforced unlicensed practice.

36

Peirsol added that some information is also in the Building Official's manual posted to ourwebsite.

39

40 The Executive Administrator explained that Washington state is in the process of working to

define "incidental practice and overlap in practice" and they may provide a basis for developing
 a definition.

43

Clark offered that some states define incidental practice based on the square footage of a project
 or on overall cost to determine when an engineer and an architect is not required. Any change the

46 Alaska Board makes would require legislation to change the current exemption.

47

The Chair suggested at least one engineer and one land surveyor and architect should participate in each group and the subgroups met at 10:45 a.m.

1				
2	A. Engineering Disciplines (sub-disciplines)			
3	1. Idaho/PNWER Resolution for Canadian applicants			
4	2. Comments from people interested in becoming registered as Control System			
5	Engineers			
6	8			
7	B. Land Surveyor/Engineering Practice			
8	1. Email of 4/28/04 from Elaine Nefzger, MOA requirements for site plans			
9	2. Email from Randy Johnson, Fairbanks North Star Borough, requesting guidance			
10	3. Email from Lance Duncan re: Land Surveyor Practices (reference G&O #5)			
10	C. Landscape Architect Practice			
	1. Email from Tim Krug, regarding plantings required for subdivisions-requests			
12				
13	guidance			
14	The Chain reconversed the Decard at 11,27 are and calved for reports			
15	The Chair reconvened the Board at 11:27 a.m. and asked for reports.			
16				
17	Maynard noted the members who met were: McLane, Baker, Brownfield, Mills, and Peirsol.			
18	Additionally, Cyra-Korsgaard serves on this subgroup, but she is excused from today's meeting.			
19				
20	Maynard indicated it would not be easy to define minor importance but he felt that the group			
21	would look at:			
22	• Other state's information on what works and what does not work;			
23	• Define areas of conflict between professions;			
24	• Check professional college curriculums as they are not likely the same now as 20 years ago			
25	when the exemptions were adopted			
26	• Define overlaps and give examples so people have an idea of the direction the Board is			
27	taking.			
28				
29	Brownfield felt it may be helpful to get professional societies involved in the process.			
30				
31	Gilfilian reported on the Engineering Discipline subgroup. He noted the board members who			
32	participated were Fredeen, Hughes, and Iverson. At this time the subgroup was not			
33	recommending moving forward with adding new disciplines for licensure and felt the system			
34	offered ways for control systems engineers to obtain licensure. Control systems engineers could			
35	work in the field of Electrical or Mechanical Engineering. He stated the group felt it could look			
36	for ways to reduce the experience required for comity applicants and to give credit for the			
37	experience they acquired in another discipline towards licensure in Alaska.			
38	enpenere aleg acquired in another discipline to wards needs are in Anaska.			
39	Gilfilian indicated he was working on the PNWER resolution and may have a resolution to bring			
40	forward tomorrow, when the PNWER Resolution would be taken up under Agenda item # 20,			
	New Business.			
41 42	New Dusiness.			
	The Chair asked the Executive Administrator to find out how many other states license control			
43				
44 45	systems engineers.			
45	The Executive Administrator responded the would do so but to remember only shout 12			
46	The Executive Administrator responded she would do so, but to remember only about 13 jurisdictions are discipling specific states and the remainder license angineers as non discipling			
47 48	jurisdictions are discipline specific states and the remainder license engineers as non-discipline			
48	specific engineers.			
49				

- 1 The Chair asked Subgroups to meet in the interim and report back to the Board at its August
- 2 2004 meeting.
- 3

At 11:40 a.m., Scott Johnson, Fairbanks North Star Borough, joined the meeting. He asked to testify under Old Business on the issue of land surveying and engineering in the development of

- 6 residential subdivisions.
- 8 The Board held a short discussion and advised Mr. Johnson he could participate tomorrow under 9 New Business.
- 10

12

7

11 Agenda Item 8 – <u>Meet with Attorney</u>

The Chair indicated the Board wanted to speak to Mr. Brower about e-mails between Board members and would take up the matter tomorrow under agenda item # 5, Meet with Attorney.

16 Break for lunch: 11:45 p.m.

- 17 Reconvene: 1:09 p.m.
- 18

¹⁹ The Chair indicated everyone was present except Cyra-Korsgaard who is excused, and Peirsol,

- 20 who joined the meeting at 1:23 p.m.
- 21

22 Agenda Item 9 – <u>Public Comment</u>

23

24 Dr. Bob Carlson, Ph.D., PE, University of Alaska, Fairbanks (UAF), joined the meeting and welcomed the Board to the campus. He explained that the University has had a Department of 25 Mining since 1922 and currently anticipates creating a new Department of Engineering and 26 Mining in the School of Engineering on July 1, 2004. All of the engineering departments will be 27 under the College of Engineering and Mining. In addition to the five instructional departments 28 that previously were part of the College of Science, Engineering and Math (now to become the 29 30 College of Math and Science), and the School of Mineral Engineering (SME), the new College will have an engineering research institute that will be responsible for oversight of the research 31

centers and labs formerly under the Institute of Northern Engineering and SME. The UAF is in
 the process of conducting a national search for the new Dean. He suggested the Board

recommend to the chancellor and provost that the new Dean of the School of Engineering and

- 35 Mining be licensed or qualified for PE licensure in the State of Alaska.
- 36 37

At 1:25 p.m., Investigator John Clark left the meeting.

- 38
 39 Dr. Carlson mentioned there are many engineering students in the master's level programs that
 40 do not have an ABET accredited undergraduate program and he expects this to continue.
- 41

42 Dr. Carlson mentioned two professors have been involved in overseeing the engineering

43 examination in Fairbanks, Dr. Perkins and Dr. Bennett. They may wish to assist the Board, but

44 may be involved in offering a review course to students. They do not review the examinations

and Dr. Perkins did not like signing a statement that he could not participate in review courses.

47 Dr. Carlson mentioned the UAF has offered a regular semester course for Arctic Engineering

since 1955 and has also offered a video course since the 1970s. The video course is being

49 dropped and only a few students are still in the process of completing the course.

1 2 Dr. John Zarling is currently working on an on-line or CD format class that will be offered for college credits and as continuing education credits. The course will probably come before the 3 Board in the fall. 4 5 Dr. Carlson is a past-president of the Alaska Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) and provided a 6 handout of areas of interest to ASCE. All of the information can be viewed at www.asce.org and 7 includes support for continuing education; specialty certification for civil engineers; and has a 8 task force, ASCE Task Committee in Civil Engineering; and the Civil Engineering Body of 9 Knowledge for the 21st Century. 10 11 Dr. Carlson supports the Board in developing a mandatory continuing education program and 12 encourages the Board to consider the Policy 465, which supports the concept of the Master's 13 degree or equivalent as a prerequisite for licensure and the practice of civil engineering at a 14 professional level. 15 16 The Board held a discussion about the ASCE positions. 17 18 Gilfilian indicated the Board wants clear guidance from the professional societies and registrants 19 with respect to developing a mandatory continuing education program. 20 21 On a motion duly made by Gilfilian, seconded by Maynard, and unanimously adopted, it 22 23 was 24 **RESOLVED** to write a letter to the UAF Chancellor, Provost, and President 25 Hamilton that the new Dean of the school of Engineering and Mining be a person 26 who is a registered engineer in the State of Alaska or be eligible for licensure within 27 one year of appointment. 28 29 30 Brief discussion followed. 31 32 The Chair indicated there was no objection and the motion passed. 33 34 Agenda Item 10 – Proposed Regulation Changes 35 36 The Executive Administrator reviewed item (a) which is technical changes to the engineering and land surveying tables to remove outdated language. When the tables were revised in 1999, 37 the tables contained phase provisions for combined experience and education acceptable until 38 39 12/31/2001 for land surveyors and until 12/31/03 for engineers. The effect of those changes was to eliminate experience only as a means of entering the fields of land surveying and engineering 40 and to require some education. The reason for the currently proposed change to remove the 41 42 outdated language and tables is that staff found applicants could easily make a mistake when referring to the tables by not noticing the dates of the phase in language, and the phase out 43 language. 44 45 The Executive Administrator stated the Regulations Specialist, Kurt West, received only two 46 comments. In both instances, the comments referred to existing regulation, not to the proposed 47 regulation changes under consideration. Objections were made to the allowance for land 48 surveying applicants to be eligible for two years of credit for a BS degree in Civil Engineering. 49

The comments made indicate there should not be an allowance for a degree in civil engineering 1 2 since it is not related to the practice of land surveying. 3 The Executive Administrator stated the only substantive change in the proposed regulations is to 4 add a provision for landscape architects to get a one-year credit for an advanced degree, which is 5 consistent with the allowance given for other design professions the Board oversees. 6 7 8 The Board held a brief discussion. 9 10 McLane stated he did not agree with the issue raised with respect to the relevance of a civil engineering degree. He suggested the Board should consider a regulation change to give a 2-11 year education credit for a BS degree in Geomatics to applicants seeking a civil engineering 12 degree. He felt giving an education credit to civil engineering applicants for their Geomatics 13 education was basically the flip side of the 2-year education credit to land surveyors seeking an 14 engineering license. 15 16 The Chair indicated applicants must have three years education in order to receive the 2 year 17 credit and stated the consideration McLane suggested could be done in a future project but does 18 not affect the proposed project currently before the Board. 19 20 The Executive Administrator indicated there are no costs to the public with the regulatory action 21 being taken. 22 23 24 The Chair reiterated that the Board has considered public comments and paid special attention to the cost to private persons of the regulatory action being taken. 25 26 27 Fredeen advised that the proposed regulation changes are very hard to follow. 28 29 The Executive Administrator noted that a justification for the change should have been enclosed 30 for those who were on the interested party's list. The State of Alaska has certain formats they use to public notice on the State's website and it can be challenging to figure out what the 31 32 changes are in a proposed project. The Board has worked to keep the public informed but can 33 continue to expand on the public outreach. She suggested she could put the justification on letterhead with her signature so people would know who to contact with questions. Currently the 34 quarterly meeting summary and the Board's draft minutes are good ways to keep up with any 35 36 proposed actions. Those items are posted to the Board's website at http://www.dced.state.ak.us/occ/pael.htm. Anyone interested in discussing proposed regulation 37 38 changes could also call her at 907-465-1676 or email her at nancy_hemenway@dced.state.ak.us. 39 40 Gilfilian concurred that the justification should be on our letterhead and added the Department of Environmental Conservation has a letter written "in plain English" to inform what regulatory 41 42 actions are being taken. 43 On a motion duly made by, seconded by Mills, and unanimously adopted, it was 44 45 **RESOLVED to APPROVE the following motion:** 46 Approve the regulation under Tab 10 (a) and ask the Executive Administrator to 47 have the regulations specialist forward the project to the Department of Law for 48 49 review.

- 1
- 2 Tab 10 (a) is listed on the agenda as:
- 3 Public noticed 4/26/04 ending 5/28/04 at 5:00 p.m. 12 AAC 36.062, .063, .064, 065, 068
- 4 Remove outdated language and add advanced degree for landscape architect.
- 5
- 6 The Board held a brief discussion.
- 7
 - The roll call vote was taken as follows
- 8 9

Board member	Yea	Nay
Baker	Х	
Brownfield	Х	
Fredeen	Х	
Gilfilian	Х	
Hughes	X	
Iverson	X	
Maynard	X	
McLane	Х	
Mills	Х	
Peirsol	Х	
Total	10	0

11 The Chair noted the motion passed and asked the Executive Administrator to forward the project

- 12 to the Regulations Specialist to submit to the Department of Law for final review.
- 13

The Executive Administrator explained the next item was on the agenda as a reference since the project was sent to the Department of Law. The Board held over one proposed regulation, the sealing of specifications proposed. She explained that some people were mistaken about the

17 current requirements and apparently did not realize the statute already requires final

18 specifications to be sealed.

19

20 The Board held a discussion.

21

Maynard suggested registrants could do something similar to the requirements of the U.S. Postal service and put all the stamps on one sheet, and not interspersed among the specifications.

24

The Executive Administrator explained the requirements under 12 AAC 36.185 already outline that registrants are taking responsibility for their own discipline, not for specifications outside

- 26 that registrants a27 their discipline.
- 28

29 Fredeen felt registrants are concerned there must be a stamp with each section.

30

Gilfilian thought the proposed change was straight-forward but questions the reference to "all"

documents as it is different from the statute. The proposed regulation unintentionally goes

33 beyond specifications.

34

35 The Board held a discussion, referred to the original language the Board wrote, the attorney's

36 suggestion, and decided the regulation needed to be reworded to reflect the Board's intention.

The Board's original issue was to find a way to sanction the action by some individuals of not

NH/dgl/492nh 090704b

1 2	specifically sealing the specifications submitted with the sealed and signed plans. The Board wanted to avoid opening up the statute for clarification and felt it could do so in regulation by
2	making the assumption that design professionals are taking responsibility for the specifications
4 5	they submit with plans within the scope of their individual practices.
6	Gilfilian suggested he would work with the Executive Administrator and Maynard and bring
7 8	back the regulation to the August AELS Board meeting.
8 9	Break: 2:40 p.m.
10	Reconvene: 2:52 p.m.
11 12	Agenda Item 11 – <u>Application Reviews</u>
13 14	On a motion duly made by Gilfilian, seconded by Brownfield and, adopted unanimously, it
15	was
16 17 18	RESOLVED to go into executive session for the purpose of reviewing applicant files.
19 20	The Board went into executive session at 2:52 p.m.
20 21 22	The Licensing Examiner placed a sign on the door that the Board was now in Executive Session.
23	The Board recessed at 5:45 p.m. until 8:00 a.m., Friday, June 4, 2004.
24 25	
26 27	<u>Friday, June 4, 2004</u>
28 29	Agenda Item 12 – <u>Call to Order/Roll Call</u>
30 31	The Chair called the meeting to order at 8:00 a.m. The Board came out of executive session at
32 33	8:05 a.m. and the Licensing Examiner called the roll.
34	Members present and constituting a quorum of the Board were:
35	Donald J. Iverson, PE, Electrical Engineer, Chair
36	Clifford Baker, PLS, Land surveyor
37	Boyd Brownfield, PE, Civil Engineer
38	Craig Fredeen, PE, Mechanical Engineer
39	Robert Gilfilian, PE, Civil Engineer, Secretary
40	Richard Hughes, PE, Mining Engineer
41	Ken Maynard, Architect
42	Scott McLane, PLS, Land Surveyor
43	Kimberly Mills, Public Member
44	Patricia Peirsol, Architect
45	
46	Excused was:
47	Linda Cyra-Korsgaard, Landscape Architect, Temporary Board Member,
48	Vice-Chair
49	

1	Representing the Division of Occupational Licensing:
2	Nancy Hemenway, Executive Administrator
3	Eleanor Vinson, Licensing Examiner
4	
5	Participating for a portion of the meeting by teleconference was:
6	David Brower, Assistant Attorney General, Department of Law
7	Scott Bosse', PLS Comity applicant, Juneau, Alaska
8	
9	Participating for a portion of the meeting in person were:
10	Steve Shuttleworth, Fairbanks Building Official
11	800 Cushman St, Fairbanks, AK 99701
12	
13	Randy Johnson and Scott Johnson, Fairbanks North Star Borough
14	PO Box 1267
15	Fairbanks, AK 99701
16	
17	Pat Kalen, Alaska Society of Professional Land Surveyors
18	1041 Chena Ridge Road
19	Fairbanks, AK 99701
20	
21	Agenda Item 13 – <u>Regulations (Continued)</u>
22	
23	The Chair asked if there was further action to be taken and there was not any action needed.
24	
25	Agenda Item 21 – <u>Read Applications into the Record</u>
26	<u> </u>
27	The Chair asked to move forward on the agenda to Agenda Item 21 since Mr. Shuttleworth was
28	not expected until 8:30 a.m. and there were no objections.
29	1 5
30	On a motion duly made by Gilfilian , seconded by Mills, and unanimously adopted, it was
31	
32	RESOLVED to <u>APPROVE</u> the following list of applications for comity and
33	examination as read, with the stipulation that the information in the applicant's file
34	will take precedence over the information in the minutes:
35	
36	The Licensing Examiner, Eleanor Vinson, read the following into the record:
37	The following applicants are approved for professional exams, or for comity, as

38 follows:

	APPLICANT	DISCIPLINE	EXAM-	BOARD ACTION
			COMITY	
1.	Alexander, Michael	Mechanical	Comity	APPROVED
2.	Ault, Charles	Civil	Comity	APPROVED
3.	Bourez, James	Civil	Comity	APPROVED
4.	Byraiah, Puttaiah	Electrical	Comity	APPROVED
5.	Cassady, L. Wayne	Civil	Comity	APPROVED
6.	Cooper, Katrina	Petroleum	EXAM	APPROVED
7.	Crapps, John	Civil	EXAM	APPROVED
8.	Elliott, Steven	Civil	Comity	APPROVED
9.	Kalajdzic, Srdan	Architect	EXAM	APPROVED
10.	King, Brian	Mechanical	Comity	APPROVED

1			
11. Klement, Anthony	Civil	Comity	APPROVED
12. Kontess, William	Architect	Comity	APPROVED
13. McLay, Bruce	Mechanical	Comity	APPROVED
14. McMartin, Rebecca	Civil	EXAM	APPROVED
15. Meltzer, Douglas	Civil	Comity	APPROVED
16. Mullis III, James	Electrical	Comity	APPROVED
17. Nagata, Carel	Architect	EXAM	APPROVED
18. Olberding, Lizbette	Architect	EXAM	APPROVED
19. Payne, Jr., Roy	Mechanical	Comity	APPROVED
20. Ridolfi, Bruno	Mining	Comity	APPROVED
21. Ruff, Christopher	Petroleum	EXAM	APPROVED
22. Timm, Ross	Architect	EXAM	APPROVED
23. Vigilia, Jr., Rufino	Civil	Comity	APPROVED
24. Wilm, Petra	Architect	EXAM	APPROVED
25. Ziemer, David	Architect	EXAM	APPROVED
26. Casey, Nancy	Landscape	EXAM	CONDITIONALLY APPROVED
	Architect		PENDING FEES
27. Carstens, Gerald	Civil	Comity	CONDITIONALLY APPROVED
29 Fastingha Ushanna	Petroleum	EVAM	PENDING ARCTIC COURSE CONDITIONALLY APPROVED
28. Egejimba, Uchenna	Petroleum	EXAM	PENDING ARCTIC COURSE
29. Haris, Ali	Civil	Comity	CONDITIONALLY APPROVED
29. Haris, Ali	CIVII	Conney	PENDING ARCTIC COURSE
30. Kimerer, Mark	Landscape	Comity	CONDITIONALLY APPROVED
50. Isincici, Mark	Architect	Conney	PENDING ARCTIC COURSE
31. McKeegan, Patrick	Architect	Comity	CONDITIONALLY APPROVED
			PENDING ARCTIC COURSE
32. Palm, T. Arthur	Mining	Comity	CONDITIONALLY APPROVED
	C	•	PENDING ARCTIC COURSE
33. Reza, Ali	Mechanical	Comity	CONDITIONALLY APPROVED
			PENDING ARCTIC COURSE
34. Roy, Wayne	Electrical	Comity	CONDITIONALLY APPROVED
			PENDING ARCTIC COURSE
35. Baranosky, Mark	Civil	Comity	CONDITIONALLY APPROVED
			PENDING VERIFICATION OF PE
			EXAM & CURRENT LICENSE &
AC 11 11 17 11			TRANSCRIPT
36. Harshbarger, Kelly	Civil	EXAM	CONDITIONALLY APPROVED
			PENDING ARCTIC COURSE & FE
37. Hickok, Tanya	Civil	EXAM	VERIFICATION CONDITIONALLY APPROVED
37. Hickok, Tanya		LAAN	PENDING VERIFICATION OF 2 MO.
			WORK EXPERIENCE
38. Ma, Haittao	FE	EXAM	Approved
			**
39. Venner, Jyl	FE	EXAM	Approved

3 The Chair noted there were no incomplete or denied applications to read into the record. There

4 were no objections and the motion passed.

56 The Chair moved to Agenda Item #18, Legislation.

7

8 Agenda Item 18 – <u>Legislation</u>

The Executive Administrator explained HB 252 contained three provisions: term limit changes, 1 2 authority to create a mandatory continuing education program, and partially exempt position for the Executive Administrator. 3 4 5 The Board held a discussion about continuing education with some members believing registrants already participate in continuing education and a mandatory program creates a 6 unnecessary governmental layer. Other members believed it was necessary to support 7 8 continuing education as a requirement of license renewal because it provides for public safety by ensuring its registrants are keeping up with trends and keeping active through ongoing 9 professional learning. 10 Peirsol requested the Executive Administrator to obtain a copy of the study done by the 11 California Board of Architects that concluded you could not substantiate any measurable proof 12 that a mandatory continuing education program would positively impact public health and safety. 13 14 Baker felt continuing education needed to be a part of the registrant's career because of 15 technology changes. 16 17 Gilfilian thought that in the last year alone, the Board has seen growing support from the 18 engineering community for continuing education (CE). 19 20 The Executive Administrator advised that, from the last National Council of Examiners for 21 Engineers and Surveyors, 24 jurisdictions require continuing education as a condition of license 22 renewal and another 8 or so jurisdictions are studying continuing education. 23 24 25 Fredeen added that mechanical contractors have to have continuing education credits so it is 26 difficult to say mechanical engineers are not required to have CE. The contractors have a means 27 to track CE so, if the Board uses the same system, it should not be a burden. 28 Mills felt it could also be a means of eliminating people not willing to do the CE and possibly 29 30 those registrants are most likely to endanger health and welfare. 31 32 The Chair asked for a Subgroup Chairperson to look into Continuing Education and assigned 33 Brownfield to spearhead the effort. 34 The Chair asked the Executive Administrator to explain what transpired with her position and 35 36 she gave a brief history of the Executive Administrator position. The position was initially created in the budget process, and during a reclassification of the position, it was reclassified to 37 Classified because partially exempt positions are specifically listed in statute and there was no 38 39 such language in statute for the AELS Board. The AELS Board sought remedy through legislative request in its annual report to the Legislature last year. The Alaska Professional 40 Design Council found a sponsor for term limits and continuing education and worked with 41 42 Representative McGuire to add in the statutory provision for the Executive Administrator. The Board now has specific authority to assign duties to the Executive Administrator. Although the 43 Board has always assigned duties, the change gives direct authority privileges to the Board. 44 45 The Chair noted that it is in the position description for its administrator to represent the AELS 46 Board at regional and national meetings but the current director has curtailed the travel. 47 48

1 2	The Board held a short discussion about its support of the travel and the importance of networking with other administrators and participating in the entire meeting, not just the specific
3	time slot designated to the administrator's forums. The Chair noted it was important for the
4	administrator to also participate in workshops and the business meetings, which have direct
5	benefits to the Board as this allows the administrator to become familiar with new and ongoing
6	issues the Board faces.
7	
8	The Executive Administrator explained some travel is prepaid in dues so the Board loses the
9	funding, although registration fees are not generally included in the prepaid travel. Most
10	administrators are attending the meetings and Alaska does not have peer representation at the
11	meetings. She explained the current administration is seeking savings but the travel costs are
12	passed on to the AELS registrants.
13	The Choir advised that the Doord would like to have its administrator travel to regional and
14 15	The Chair advised that the Board would like to have its administrator travel to regional and national conferences and the matter should be discussed with the Director.
16	
17	Agenda Item 14 – <u>Building Official</u>
18	
19	Steve Shuttleworth, Building Official, City of Fairbanks, joined the meeting at 8:30 a.m.
20	
21	The Chair introduced new members and explained the Board asked the Building Official to bring
22	any questions that have arisen and hopes to continue the relationship that the Board is trying to
23	build with the code officials. He explained it helps us understand what the Building Officials
24	function is and the Board's role, too.
25	
26	Shuttleworth stated that things are going pretty well in Fairbanks and he enforces the AELS
27	statutes and regulations whether he agrees with them or not. He felt it was not reasonable to
28	require multiple stamps for smaller projects, particularly for mechanical and electrical work and
29	cited some specific projects.
30	
31	Shuttleworth noted there is so much construction now there are not enough engineers and
32	architects to do the work. Building Officials do not work for the state or federal government.
33	Instead, the Building Officials work for the city and they do what they think is right but try to
34	adhere to the rules.
35	
36	The Chair noted that the Board is realistic in its expectations and has begun a process to clarify
37	and look at the situation.
38	
39	Peirsol added that the Board has routinely been inviting Building Officials from around the State
40	to participate at its meeting and the Board has asked similar questions and has received similar
41	feedback. It is important to the Board to work collectively with Building Officials to identify
42	issues and try to find solutions.
43	
44	Shuttleworth explained that the City has adopted a landscaping ordinance and he anticipates the
45	FNSB will soon adopt a similar ordinance with respect to landscape architecture. The Building
46	Officials work with individuals, and understand some of the concerns individuals have, for
47	example, the damage that some trees might do at a car dealership, and the City of Fairbanks
48	works to ensure the intent of the ordinance is met.
49	

- 1 Maynard asked how the Building Official can ensure the public is not endangered if a registrant 2 crosses discipline lines
- 2 crosses discipline lines.3
- 4 Shuttleworth stated in many instances it is clear for mechanical and electrical engineering but
- 5 there are some problems defining what is minor or major with respect to the engineering and 6 architecture.
- 7
- 8 Shuttleworth said the main area of difficulty is the exemption for residential construction up to a
- 9 four plex whereas the exemption could easily apply to a larger building and it would be the one
- 10 area that could assist building officials the most would be to change the requirement to a six-plex
- 11 or to tie the cap to property value or potential loss of life.
- 12
- 13 Mills asked where the line would be drawn.
- 14
- 15 Peirsol asked if the Building Official ever refers cases to the Board's investigator.
- 16 Shuttleworth responded he did not because he simply does not have the time to be involved in
- depositions and that process. He does not work for the Board but works for the City of
- 18 Fairbanks.
- 19
- 20 Peirsol indicated the Board's goal is to bring registrants into compliance and views stop work
- 21 orders and revocations as a last measure and a failure, not a success.
- 22
- The Chair thanked Mr. Shuttleworth and assured him the Board would try to continue to keep the dialogue going.
- 25

1 Agenda Item 18 – Legislation

2

3 The Chair reverted back to Agenda item 18, Legislation, and specifically to HB 252/SB 207.

4 The Chair indicated he had not covered term limits and wanted to report the bill contains a

5 provision specifying that serving less than two years of a four-year term would not count towards 6 a full term.

6 a ful 7

8 The Chair indicated the commencement dates of the Board terms are now out of sequence and 9 asked the Executive Administrator to explain.

10

11 The Executive Administrator explained five Board member terms were set to expire in July, two in July 2003 and three in July 2004. Term dates reverted back to March 1st in the year the terms 12 ended as a result of legislation that passed the legislature in 1996 (HB 359 (L&C, Chair 80 SLA 13 96). Contained within that bill is a provision under Section 12 that amended AS 39.05. That 14 section specifically reads: "The term of office of a position or membership ends on March 1 of 15 the year when the position's or membership's term expires except as otherwise provided in a law 16 relating to positions or memberships on a specific board or commission." To implement the bill. 17 term changes apparently have been updated by the Boards and Commission's staff at the time 18 when reappointment or appointments were made. However, when the current administration 19 appointed new members, it took the three terms ending in July 2004 and changed the date back 20 to March 1, 2004 for Board members Miller, Brown, and Kalen. Because there was a big delay 21 in appointing the mechanical engineer and the mining engineer whose terms ended July 1, 2003, 22 (whose terms according to AS 39.05 should have been converted to March 2003) the 23 24 administration appointed members in April 2004 and set the ending term dates as March 1, 2008. According to AS 39.05 those terms end March 1, 2007. In setting all five board members ending 25 March 1, 2008, the staggered terms required in AS 08.48.011 are no longer staggered terms. 26 27 28 The Chair indicated this creates a problem for the Board for continuity and it hopes the terms will be remedied by the sunset audit that will result in legislation in 2005. 29 30 Mills felt that this might also be a time to expand the mining engineer position to include 31 32 petroleum engineering since there are so few Mining Engineers in the State. 33 34 Peirsol asked to have that item put on the agenda for August 2004. 35 36 McLane suggested also making the landscape architect a voting member. Peirsol added that having the public member an attorney was invaluable and wondered if the 37 Board should add another public member. 38 39 The Executive Administrator stated HB 268 Specialty Contractors died in the House Rules 40 Committee as the specialty contractors had not weighed in on the matter. 41 42 The Chair indicated he attempted to send a letter to solicit support or comment for the bill but the 43 Director would not allow the letter to be sent since it referred to legislation. 44 45 The Board held a brief discussion and would like to continue its work to address a statute change 46 for specialty contractors. 47 48

McLane indicated HB 148 Land Surveyor Standards died in the House Labor and Commerce 1 2 Committee but the Alaska Society of Professional Land Surveyors were working to try to adopt minimum standards for mortgage surveys within the Land Surveyor standards of practice. 3 4 5 The Board held a short discussion. 6 Break: 9:25 am 7 8 Return: 9:45 am 9 10 Agenda Item 19 – Old Business 11 The Chair stated that there were responses from Oregon State University, which is currently 12 inactive, and the UAA, Northern Design course. The Board had requested additional 13 information from the two course providers. 14 15 Maynard stated that there was a text published on the Northern Design course and passed around 16 a copy for the Board to review. He indicated the Board asked for specific information on roads 17 and hydrology, which is addressed within the course and he referred members to Tab 19. 18 19 20 On a motion duly made by Gilfilian, seconded by Fredeen, and unanimously adopted, with Maynard abstaining, it was 21 22 **RESOLVED to APPROVE the following motion:** 23 24 Accept the Northern Design Course as an approved arctic engineering course. 25 26 The Board held a brief discussion regarding arctic engineering course and permafrost. 27 28 The Chair noted there were no objections, and the motion passed. 29 30 Agenda Item 16 – Budget Summary Report 31 32 The Chair brought up the Budget Summary Report not yet discussed. He reviewed for new 33 members a brief summary of direct and indirect costs, currently at 12.92% of the agency indirect costs, and mentioned a former Board member, Lance Mearig, who spearheaded the review of 34 agency costs. 35 36 The Executive Administrator suggested one significant change has happened as the result of the 37 38 current budget process. The agency lost approximately 20% of its investigative staff. She 39 expressed concern that the AELS Board may not get the level of investigation it has currently 40 been receiving. She stated that the Board previously requested an additional half-time investigative slot and was granted that for a current total of 1.5 investigators. If the agency now 41 42 has four fewer investigators, the Medical Board or the Nursing Board may be given higher priority for investigators. Recently the investigator was denied site travel to Fairbanks and the 43 road system. Those types of decisions by the Director can lower the number of potential cases 44 and the public safety. For example, last year, the investigator found a doctor's office where day 45 surgery will be performed had been built without the aid of an architect or an engineer. Site 46 inspections have had a high priority for the Board and the Board will need to address this issue in 47 48 its annual report to the Legislature. 49

- 1 The Board held a short discussion about direct, indirect costs, expenditure authority and who will 2 oversee the process.
- 4 The Chair asked if anyone was interested in taking on this assignment.
- Brownfield stated he has some experience in this area and would take it on. His approach is to
 talk to the parties and write letters as a last resort.
- 8 9

3

Agenda Item 15 – <u>Meet with David Brower, Assistant Attorney General</u>

- The Licensing Examiner called the attorney and he joined the meeting by teleconference at 10:25a.m.
- 13
- 14 The Chair stated the Board was interested in holding informal discussions by email, and having a
- 15 few Board members meet to fact find. The Board wishes to have public input and public notice a
- teleconference to specifically work on an issue. Once the data is collected and/or
- recommendations are made, the information would be taken to the full Board for consideration.
- 19 The Board held a discussion about the Open Meetings Act.
- 20
- 21 Brower indicated it was an area that is somewhat complicated because of the way the Open
- 22 Meetings Act is written. However, common sense and practicality should enter into the
- 23 equation. The Open Meetings Act means any action taken in a closed meeting that should have
- 24 been open is voidable.
- 25
- 26 Brower felt that if a subgroup meets and comes back to the Board for consideration and action
- and if each member does research but the subgroup does not take action, the meetings do not
- require public notice. If, at some point they are going to make recommendations, then the
- 29 meeting falls under the open meetings act.
- 30
- 31 Mills thought that Brower had previously interpreted that if two Board members met with the
- 32 Fire Marshal to discuss issues with respect to sealing and signing requirements, the meeting
- 33 would have to be public noticed.
- 34
- Brower felt he may have been too restrictive with his guidance to the Board with respect to
- meeting with the Fire Marshal but he would research the matter and get back to the ExecutiveAdministrator with his recommendations.
- 38
- 39 The Chair stated that the Board is very interested in public participation, and welcomes input
- 40 from the professional societies, so it would probably public notice the meetings and
- 41 teleconference the meeting in order to get the input.
- 42
- The Chair asked if the Board could be advised through the Executive Administrator and theattorney said he would do so.
- 45
- 46 The Chair ended the teleconference at 10:47 a.m.
- 47

1	Agenda Item 17 – <u>Meet with Director by teleconference</u>
2	
3 4	The Chair indicated the Director cancelled due to a family emergency.
5	Agenda Item 19 – <u>Old Business</u>
6	
7	The Chair resumed Old Business and indicated that Randy Johnson and Scott Johnson would
8 9	join the meeting at 1:30 p.m.
10	The Executive Administrator discussed the Western Zone Meeting 2005 which will be in
11	Anchorage. The Executive Administrator indicated the Host Board has certain responsibilities as
12	noted in the Continuity Guidelines that are in the Board's packet under item # 19. She has
13	worked with the Anchorage Convention and Visitor's Bureau (ACVB) to come up with a
13	selection of hotels. The ACVB received bids from four hotels. The Captain Cook Hotel was too
14	expensive compared to the other three. The Hilton Hotel did not have meeting space available
15 16	within the hotel, which was a requirement by the NCEES. The NCEES asked the Board for a
	recommendation between two hotels that were downtown, the Marriott and the Sheraton Hotel.
17	Dr. Miller and the Executive Administrator spent several hours touring the facilities at both
18	i C
19	hotels, including dining areas, meeting rooms, hotel rooms and other amenities the hotels
20	offered. They agreed that overall the Marriott seemed to meet the needs of the Western Zone
21	with good meeting space and a location close to shopping for guests and spouses. NCEES is
22	working to finalize the hotel contract with the Marriott Hotel.
23	
24	The Chair thought about 90 people will come to the event, perhaps a few more to the May 5-7,
25	2004 meeting.
26	
27	The Chair asked for assistance by the Board members. He indicated he did some preliminary
28	checking on a possible dinner cruise out of Whittier.
29	
30	Baker suggested he would check on the train.
31	
32	Peirsol felt it was imperative to take guests out of Anchorage to give them a rural experience,
33	too.
34	
35	Gilfilian reviewed the Western Zone 2004 roster and indicated they had 70 delegates and 20
36	guests total.
37	
38	Mills offered to Chair the subgroup on Western Zone 2005.
39	
40	The Chair felt it was vital that Mills attend a meeting similar to this one, and agreed the CLARB
41	fall meeting would be the closest in size.
42	
43	The Chair noted he, himself, would not be attending the national meetings because he will be
44	going off the Board soon and felt the newer members need to attend and learn. He discussed the
45	value of learning about licensure, about participating in the committee process and encouraged
46	members to attend.
47	
48	The Chair brought up emergency licensure.
49	

1 2	Gilfilian stated he met with Vince McCoy, the Emergency Program Manager, for the Municipality of Anchorage (MOA) and found out how Anchorage runs its program. The MOA
3	will certify evaluators that are already licensed in state. He has been looking at local level, but
4	thinks the next step may be to turn to the Alaska Department of Homeland Security and
5	Emergency Management. The State would likely make decisions at that time municipalities
6	would go through the State process to provide a courtesy license on an emergency basis.
7	
8	Peirsol indicted she spoke to the Director of Emergency Services in Fairbanks. She said the
9	office was not familiar with emergency licensure but he said he would research it.
10	
11	Brownfield stated he has served on the Emergency and Homeland Security Board and would
12	help.
13	
14	Gilfilian asked if this could be put on the August meeting agenda and allow time to check with
15	the State. Discussion will include whether it is necessary to go through the process of licensure
16	or if there is another route. There may need to be a regulation project to allow for the temporary
17	license.
18	
19	Break: 11:30 a.m.
20	Reconvene: 1:00 p.m.
21	
22	The Chair reconvened the meeting at 1:00 p.m. and the Board members worked on travel
23	authorization receipts until 1:30 p.m.
24	
25	Randy Johnson and Scott Johnson, Fairbanks North Star Borough, joined the meeting at 1:30
26	p.m.
27	
28	Agenda Item 19 – <u>Old Business</u>
29	
30	The Chair referred to the agenda item:
31	Land Surveyor Subdivision /Overlap w/Engineering
32	(Randy Johnson, FNSB-carried forward 2/04- (Subgroup- #7B-2)
33	
34	The Chair announced the visitors from the Fairbanks North Star Borough (FNSB) and welcomed
35	them to the meeting and asked them to speak to the Board.
36	
37	Scott Johnson explained their roles. Scott Johnson, Architect, is the Director of the FNSB,
38	Department of Public Works, Design & Construction, and Randy Johnson is a registered civil
39	engineer on staff. As part of his duties, Randy reviews and comments on preliminary plat
40	applications for the Platting Board and issues driveway and utility permits. Scott explained that
41	he is relatively new as Director and a potentially dangerous issue has come to his attention with
42	respect to subdivision design. In some instances, land surveyors are completely designing
43	subdivisions without the expertise of a civil engineer, which in his opinion is not consistent with
44	the FNSB Title 17 nor is it consistent with AS 08.48. Title 17 is the local Borough code on
45	subdividing land that includes minimum standards for subdivision road and drainage
46	improvements. Johnson and Johnson were present to discuss a series of questions with respect to
47	roads and drainage that Randy Johnson forwarded to the Board late last fall. In reviewing the
48	Alaska statutes that define the practice of engineering and the practice of land surveying, there is
49	no provision for land surveyors to design subdivision road and drainage improvements. This

- 1 work does not constitute incidental work but the actual design/creative work for cut and fill at
- 2 steep grades, intersections that require traffic engineering, road cross sections and other road
- 3 design items.
- 4

5 The FNSB would like a consensus from the Board on the boundaries of what is considered

6 incidental practice for land survey work and what work should be performed by a Civil Engineer
 7 in regards to Subdivision road improvements.

8

9 Randy Johnson, PE, Civil Engineer, further elaborated the process. The FNSB Public Works staff provides comments to the local Platting Board. In some instances, the local Platting Board 10 has acted to grant variances, which does not receive the full support from the Public Works 11 Department. The Board approves the Preliminary Design of subdivision without much design of 12 roads and drainage structures. The developer can use the preliminary approval to construct the 13 new improvements and then ask for Public Works to inspect and approve. In some instances, the 14 roads appear unsafe, but once the subdivision is built, it is difficult to change the road layout. As 15 a civil engineer, the safety issues are of great concern to him and he feels he is assuming 16 responsibility in the approval process. Rather than have the Subdivision process politicized and 17 be involved in disputes, it would be helpful to have the Board weigh in on the matter of the 18 requirement for civil engineers for road design. The Borough does not have area wide road 19 enforcement powers...

20 21

22 Pat Kalen, ASPLS, joined the meeting at 1:40 p.m.

23

Scott Johnson elaborated on the process. The Borough Planning Department reviews the

developer's design and layout, and makes a recommendation to the Platting Board for approval

or disapproval. The Platting Board will receive public testimony on the matter and can make

changes to the plan prior to voting on the issue. Once preliminary approval is granted, the

28 developer can begin construction of all aspects of the subdivision including roads and drainage

29 structures. The FNSB Public Works Department provides comments to the preliminary plat and

30 forwards the comments to the Planning Department. Problems can occur when Public Works

31 makes recommendations or asks for specific design details from Land Surveyors. . Title 17 does

32 not specifically require professional design/creative work to be stamped by an Engineer. It is Mr.

Johnson's opinion Title 17 is being used to usurp State of Alaska statutes by allowing drainage

- and road improvements to be designed by Land Surveyors. The State regulations are not beingenforced.
- 36

37 The Board held a lengthy discussion.

38

39 McLane felt that land surveyors are able to do the design work in subdivisions.

40

Scott Johnson referred to the AELS statutes, regulations, and the Building Officials Manual on
 the description of creative work.

Baker felt Mr. Johnson left out the planning part, which allows the surveyors to do the work.

45

43

Brownfield wondered if the ordinances, if enforced, would be enough to remedy the issues.

47

Gilfilian explained that he thought the issue was pretty straightforward when the issue first arose last fall. He stated he has always worked with surveyors and thought that the subdivision work

NH/dgl/492nh 090704b

- 1 was always done as a team effort between the civil engineer and the land surveyors. He thinks
- 2 the definitions of land surveying and engineering are confusing and agreed that a subgroup
- 3 should work to review the statutes and regulations and make recommendations to the whole
- 4 Board. He mentioned that the model law for surveyors does not include design.
- 5
- 6 McLane clarified that every platting authority has standards where the surveyor has to come into 7 the design phase.
- 8
- 9 Scott Johnson reiterated that road and drainage "design/creative work" is included in the
- 10 description for civil engineering. He restated that his agency is not an enforcement agency. His
- comments go to the Platting Board. The FNSB needs the state to enforce its own rules. He
- 12 explained his perspective that land surveying is the measuring and locating, vertical and
- 13 horizontal alignment; and rough planning, which is followed by the engineer planning the roads
- 14 & drainage using the information provided by the land surveyor.
- 15
- Peirsol asked Scott Johnson if she understood correctly that his staff is interpreting the statutory references and wants the AELS Board to concur, or not, with their interpretation.
- 18
- Brownfield felt the FNSB Platting board should not give approval until the subdivision design iscorrectly done.
- 21

22 The Chair indicated he did not think the AELS had completed its work and asked the subgroup

- to come back to the Board with its recommendations and interpretation by the August 2004
- Board meeting. He asked to have a civil engineer and a land surveyor working together on this issue.
- 26
- 27 Gilfilian offered to research how other cities and boroughs handle subdivisions within Alaska.
- Pat Kalen, Alaska Society of Professional Land Surveyors, asked to make statement.
- 30
- 31 The Chair asked Mr. Kalen for his input.
- Kalen felt what the FNSB was asking would require a statute change and is outside the Board's
 realm. He stated that only when plans are put out for bid is an engineer required. He indicated
- that the FNSB ordinance has never required engineers and can think of some FNSB roads where a civil engineer has been involved and the roads are some of the worst roads in the area.
- 37
- Kalen indicated he was involved in the changes to statutes and the intent was to allow land
- surveyors to plan the roads. He felt it was not appropriate for the AELS Board to act on this
- 40 matter and suggested that the appropriate level would be through ordinance changes at the
- 41 FNSB.
- 42
- Randy Johnson stated there are not problems with all subdivisions and roads done solely by land
 surveyors but he believes that there needs to be a surveyor and an engineer working together on
- surveyors but he believes that there needs to be a surveyor and an engineer working together on
 subdivisions. He does not agree that only bids require the services of a civil engineer but rather
- 46 when public health and safety is affected.
- 47
- Gilfilian agreed that public health and safety will be the concern of the subgroup and he will report back to the Board at the August 2004 meeting.

1	
2	Agenda Item 20 – <u>New Business</u>
3	
4	The Chair brought up the next item of business and indicated the Board is a little behind
5	schedule.
6 7	• Hearing Officer Decision: Scott Bosse', PLS by Comity
8	The Chair asked the Licensing Examiner to call Scott Bosse'.
9 10	Scott Bosse' joined the meeting by teleconference at 2:30 p.m.
10	Scott Bosse Joined the meeting by telecomerchee at 2.50 p.m.
11	The Chair asked him for his comments.
12	The chun usked him for his comments.
13	Scott Bosse' advised the Board he wanted to comment on his application for Land Surveyor by
15	Comity. He felt the Board changed its regulations to ensure the quality of land surveyors in
16	Alaska. But he felt the Board was doing an injustice to those who have worked their way into
17	the system from the bottom up and do not have the formal education described in regulation. He
18	stated he wished to apply for Land Surveyor by Comity and missed the Alaska deadline by 13
19	days. He was surprised the firm he was working for was not listed in the documents provided by
20	the Hearing Officer as having received notification of the proposed regulation change. He felt
21	the Board has a right to listen to individual cases and make rulings on its own and asked for
22	consideration of his application and for the Board to grant approval for his application.
23	
24	The Chair thanked him and indicated the Board appreciated his comments and will consider
25	them when reviewing the hearing officer's decision.
26	
27	The Chair asked if there were any questions and there were none.
28	
29	The Chair asked Mr. Bosse' if he had any further comments and there was no response.
30	
31	The Executive Administrator asked Mr. Bosse' if he had any further comments and he had none.
32	
33	The teleconference ended at 2:40 p.m.
34	The Chains date the Decadit many engineers of the many engineers deting her the
35	The Chair advised the Board it must approve or disapprove the recommendation by the
36	administrative hearing officer and referred the Board to options outlined in the hearing officer
37	decision. He stated the recommendation was to uphold the denial of the application based on the
38 20	current Board requirement for a minimum of two years of land surveying education.
39 40	The Board held a brief discussion about the educational requirements under 12 AAC 36.065.
40 41	The Board held a birer discussion about the educational requirements under 12 AAC 50.005.
42	On a motion duly made by McLane, seconded by Baker, and unanimously adopted, it was
43	on a motion daily made by mediane, beconded by barer, and ananihously adopted, it was
44	RESOLVED to <u>APPROVE</u> the following motion:
45	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
46	The Board accepts the hearing officer's decision that Scott Bosse's application for
47	licensure by comity be denied based on his failure to meet the education
48	requirements of 12 AAC 36.107(b).
49	

1	The roll call vote was taken as follows:	
---	--	--

Board member	Yea	Nay
Baker	Х	
Brownfield	Х	
Fredeen	Х	
Gilfilian	Х	
Hughes	Х	
Iverson	Х	
Maynard	Х	
McLane	Х	
Mills	Х	
Peirsol	Х	
Total	10	0

5

7

8 9

10

3 The Chair noted the vote was 10 yeas, 0 nays and the motion passed. The Chair signed the

4 hearing officer's decision and gave it to the Executive Administrator.

- 6 The Chair moved to the next item on the agenda, Agenda Item #20:
 - Continuing Education Providers (Requested Cyra-Korsgaard)
 - Continuing Education- Mandatory Program
- 11 The Chair indicated the continuing education program would be considered at the August 12 meeting.

13

The Executive Administrator urged the Board to consider a system that does not require individually approving continuing education courses and instead to accept courses provided by national and regional organizations, and those courses offered by professional societies or approved by them as meeting the minimum criteria for continuing education.

- 18
- 19

22

- 20 21
- PNWER Resolution (See Subgroup 7A Engineering Subgroup).

The Chair moved to the next item on the agenda, Agenda Item #20:

Gilfilian felt that the resolution needed to be addressed but the draft resolution before the Board was developed for jurisdictions that need legislative remedy. The Board can accomplish changes to Engineer by Comity issues without legislative remedy, although it would require a regulation change. He recommended the subgroup work on this issue.

- 27
- The Chair brought up mentoring of new Board members and suggested the process has already been happening during application reviews and suggested any questions new Board members have can be posed individually or to the Executive Administrator.
- 31

32 Agenda Item 22 – <u>Goals and Objectives</u>

33

The Chair indicated there would not be any review of the current Goals and Objectives at this meeting and asked this item be placed on the August AELS Board meeting agenda.

36

37 The Goals and Objectives of the Board, not reviewed this meeting, are as follows:

NH/dgl/492nh

Goal #1 – Increase Board's work efficiency.

	Objectives	Lead Responsibility	Target Date
a)	Establish an orientation program for new Board members to assist in getting up to speed as quickly as possible. Provide Sample applicant files to new members.	Miller	Ongoing
b)	Update and maintain goals and objectives.	Chair & Exec. Adm.	Ongoing
c)	Update and maintain clear record of Board operating policies and procedures previously adopted by the Board. Date and track progress of all proposed changes to these policies and procedures.	Chair & Exec. Adm.	Ongoing
d)	Automate AELS application and licensing process by:	Staff oversee and track	Ongoing
•	Distributing and receiving applications electronically		
•	Structuring database so that it minimizes manual data entry		
•	Structuring database so that it can answer queries easily.		
e)	Pursue training for Board and staff.	Board and Staff	Ongoing
f)	Pursue strategic planning.	Brown and Exec. Adm.	Ongoing
g)	Provide letter of Board's intent and understanding relating to any proposed legislative changes; develop procedures for doing the same.	Board	Ongoing
h)	Establish subcommittee work at each meeting.	Chair	Ongoing
i)	Increase dedicated attorney time.	Chair	Ongoing

1 Goal #2 – Increase Board's cost effectiveness.

2

		Lead Responsibility	Target Date
	Objectives		
a)	All Board members or administrators who attend a	Attending Board member	Every Board
	regional or national professional function on	and/or Staff	meeting;
	behalf of Board shall submit a written report to		ongoing
	rest of Board to share knowledge gained.		
b)	Examine feasibility of Board autonomy.	Gardner, Gilfilian, Kalen	6/2004
c)	Obtain and analyze Board budget annually and	Chair & Exec.	Ongoing
	request audit of income or expenses as	Administrator	_
	appropriate.		

³ 4

Goal #3 – Ensure that all individuals practicing within state are either registered or fall

within appropriate exemptions to registration.

5 6

	Objectives	Lead Responsibility	Target Date
a)	Determine what action, if any is necessary to encourage registration of University of Alaska architects, landscape architects, land surveyors and engineering faculty, state and federal design professionals.	Miller	Ongoing
b)	Advertise AS 08.48.295 provision for civil penalty for unregistered and unauthorized practice.	Brown ; Exec. Administrator	Ongoing
c)	Review "minor importance" overlap between professions.	Mills, Cyra-Korsgaard, McLane, Gilfilian, and Peirsol	Ongoing
d)	Send letter to general contractors, electrical and mechanical administrators annually.	Chair, Executive Administrator	Annually (May-June)
e)	Adequately fund investigators to pursue unlicensed activity, including site investigation	Chair/Board	Ongoing
f)	Seek additional dedicated attorney time for prosecution of unlicensed practice	Chair/Board	Ongoing

7

8 Goal #4 – Ensure all materials used to establish competency in the professions are

- 9 appropriate for use within Alaska.
- 10

Objectives	Lead Responsibility	Target Date
a) Review Arctic Course.	Gardner, Gilfilian	5/2004 partial
b) Update AKLS Exam.	Kalen, McLane	Ongoing
c) Update educational standard references for	Board and Exec.	Annually (Feb
NCARB publications in regulations.	Administrator	mtg.)

11

12 Goal #5 – Ensure that Alaska standards stay within the national norms, and its licensing

13 systems are fair and applied uniformly.

		Lead Responsibility	Target
	Objectives		Date
a)	Monitor and review latest federal regulations,	Board and Exec.	Each Board
	state Board decisions, and national organization	Administrator	meeting;
	policies relating to NAFTA.		ongoing
b)	Obtain adequate funding to send "discipline	Board and Exec.	Ongoing
	specific" Board members/ Executive	Administrator	
	Administrator to National, and Zone meetings		
	to ensure Alaska stays informed on national		
	issues and can influence policy issues affecting		
	their professions.		
c)	Investigate drainage, soils analysis, and	Kalen and McLane	Ongoing
	hydrographic surveying under the definition of		
	land surveying.		
d)	Develop regulations to implement model law	Kalen and McLane	Ongoing
	surveying.		
e)	Research CLARB council record.	Exec. Administrator,	Ongoing
		Miller, Cyra-Korsgaard	
f)	Stay current on all competency and regulatory	Board and Exec.	Ongoing
	issues of other jurisdictions	Administrator	

Goal #6 – Improve communications with applicants and licensed professionals.

2 3

	Objectives	Lead Responsibility	Target Date
-)		Come Kanna and and staff	
a)	Structure databases so that applicants can	Cyra-Korsgaard and staff	Ongoing
	access application via internet and answer		
	queries easily (for application checklist) (See		
	Goal #1, and #4).		
b)	Update AELS Web Page, including postings of	Licensing Examiner	Ongoing
	commonly asked questions (FAQs).	Č	

Goal #7 – Improve communication with public about Licensing Benefit and Problem

3 **Resolution Process**

4

		Lead Responsibility	Target
	Objectives		Date
a)	Issue Public Service Notice with contact	Executive Administrator	Ongoing/Web
	information for complaints.		site
b)	Letter to BBB/Ombudsman re: contact for	Executive Administrator	5/2004
	complaints.		
c)	Educate Public about Benefit of using	Mills & Executive	Ongoing/Web
	Licensed Professionals (in Public Service	Administrator	site
	Notices).		

5

Agenda Item 23 – <u>Administrator's Report</u>

6 7

8 The Executive Administrator referred to the Administrator's report and advised the staff has just

9 finished up with biennial license renewals and about 44% renewed on-line as opposed to about

10 22% in the 12/31/01-12/31/03 renewal. Staff sent one reminder letter to those who did not renew

11 licenses.

12

13 The Board licensing examiners are Julie Adamson, with the alpha (A-K), and Eleanor Vinson,

14 with the alpha (L-Z)and both function well and provide good service to our applicants and

15 registrants.

16

17 The Executive Administrator referred to a table of Board Licensure Statistics and suggested the

Board compare even years to get an idea of the trends, which are pretty stable. She referred to the Licensing Examiner's report and indicated there was an increase in the number of examinees

at the April exam, that the exams went well even with calculator restrictions. She noted exam

scores had just come in earlier in the week from NCEES and for the AKLS exams, and in some

instances, the official scores are enroute. Julie Adamson is working to get score reports mailed

- 23 to candidates.
- 24

25 Agenda Item 24 – <u>Board Member Reports</u>

26

27 WCARB Region 6 Meeting, (Santa Monica), March 18-20, 2004.

Peirsol gave her report and noted she was disappointed that Daphne Brown was not able to

29 participate since her term expired. She said the meeting went well. There were presentations by

- 30 two NCARB Prize winners about their programs. They also held a workshop to explore
- possibilities for the integration of practice and education. The current NCARB officers, Bob
- Boynton, (NCARB President), and Frank Guillot (incoming President, NCARB) attended and
- 33 reported to the regions and Lenore Lucey, Executive Director, reported on NCARB
- administrative issues. Peirsol encourages our new Board member, Kenneth Maynard, FAIA, to
- 35 get involved in the committee process.
- 36

37 <u>Western Zone Meeting(Las Vegas)</u>

The Chair referred to his written report listed under TAB 24 and wanted to mention one main

- 39 concern was the security of the national examinations. The NCEES has a program they call
- 40 ELSES that provide exam administration. Currently the NCEES is working towards providing

1 2 3	proctoring and oversite of all of the jurisdictions. Alaska is moving towards this but has had concerns about costs.
5 4 5	Gilfilian advised he attended the meeting and also attended the Member Board Administrator's meeting in lieu of our Executive Administrator's approval to attend. He felt at a disadvantage
6	because the issues the administrators discuss are relevant to the licensing issues. He reported
7	that NCEES is working to address exam cost issues and believes it should be able to provide the
8	exam for an administrative fee of about \$100 per candidate. Candidates will pay NCEES
9	directly for the exam fees.
10	AKIS Workshop (Anchorage)
11 12 13	<u>AKLS Workshop (Anchorage)</u> McLane referred to the Test Development and Review Workshop Summary Report provided by Jack Warner in the packet. He felt they held a very successful workshop and have reduced the
14 15	time needed to a one-day workshop. The next scheduled AKLS exam will be held on April 15, 2005.
16 17	Agenda Item 25 – <u>Calendar of Events</u>
18 19	The Chair brought up the necessity to vote to indicate who the Alaska delegates will be to the
20 21	NCARB annual meeting in Portland on June 23-26, 2004.
23 24 25 26 27	RESOLVED to <u>APPROVE</u> the following motion: To submit the following individuals who will serve as our official delegates at the 2004 NCARB Annual Meeting & Conference, all of whom are duly appointed members of the Board:
28 29	Patricia Peirsol Kenneth Maynard, FAIA
30 31 32 33	The Chair noted the motion passed with no objections. He asked who would be the voting delegate.
34 35	Maynard responded that Peirsol would be the voting delegate.
36 37	The Executive Administrator stated she would forward the appropriate form to the NCARB office indicating the delegates and the voting delegate.
38 39 40	The Chair confirmed the AELS Meeting dates, after the Board held a discussion: 2004 : August 19-20 Soldotna; November 18-19 – Anchorage.
41	
42	2005 AELS Tentative Board Meeting Dates:
43 44	February 10-11 Juneau May 19-20 – Fairbanks
45	Aug 11-12 – Anchorage
46	Nov 17-18 – Anchorage
47 48	The Chair brought up the next agenda item.
49	Board Member Comments, Task List, and Housekeeping:

- 1 2 McLane welcomed new members. 3 4 Baker felt it was an eye opener to attend the meeting. 5 6 Peirsol felt it was good to see everyone here. 7 8 Brownfield agreed it was good to be at the meeting. 9 Fredeen thanked Board members for helping us get up to speed. 10 11 Gilfilian is looking forward to the August meeting. 12 13 Mills welcomed new members and noted it was a big change but despite the huge turnover she 14 thought the meeting went well. She thanked staff for their good job and the continuity they 15 provide. She also encouraged the Board to change the Mining Engineering position to include 16 Petroleum Engineering to have a better opportunity to find persons willing to serve on the AELS 17 Board. 18 19 Hughes is looking forward to future meetings. 20
- 21
- 22 Maynard enjoyed the meeting and requested that a note be put on the website stating that the
- 23 Northern Design Course is a full semester course and not a short course.
- 24

25 The Chair welcomed new members and thanked staff for their good performance and

- acknowledged the amount of work to put together the files.
- 27

Iverson	Correspondence
	Set up meeting with Director to discuss issues.
	Serve on Subgroups: Engineering Disciplines, Incidental Practice, Western Zone Host.
Baker	Continue work on Model Law Land Surveyor.
Brownfield	Lead Board member on Continuing Education.
	Meet with the Director on AELS issues.
	Assist in working on Temporary License for Emergencies.
Cyra-Korsgaard	Serve on Subgroups: Incidental Practice.
	Write letters to respond to correspondence.
Fredeen	Assist with Continuing Education efforts.
Gilfilian	Serve on Subgroups: Engineering Disciplines, Incidental Practice.
	Develop new language on Sealing Specifications & bring back to the August 2004 AELS meeting.
	Check with emergency services in SOA (regarding Emergency processes for design professionals) and to report back at the August 2004 meeting *Temporary License for Emergencies (Old Business).
	Chair, Engineering Discipline Subgroup (Canadian Reciprocity).

Hughes	
McLane	Continue work on Model Law Land Surveyor.
	Serve on Subgroups: Land Surveyor Practice, Landscape Architect Practice, and Canadian Reciprocity.
Maynard	Chair, Subgroup on Incidental Practice.
Mills	Chair the Western Zone Host Subgroup.
Peirsol	
Executive Administrator	Assist the Chair with general correspondence; and letter to the University recommending the new Dean of SME be licensed.
	Move regulation project forward to the Department of Law.
	Find out how many other states license control systems engineers.
	Agenda items to add:
	Continuing Education (Old Business)
	Host Western Zone Meeting 2005 (Old Business) Mining Engineering Reard member (New Paginese)
	 Mining Engineering Board member (New Business) Temperary License for Emergencies (Old Business)
	Temporary License for Emergencies (Old Business). Invite the Fire Marshal to the November 2004 Board meeting (next
	Anchorage meeting.
	Find model laws and legislation from other jurisdictions in order to provide guidance to the subgroup.
	Work on Annual Report FY 04.

3

4

On a motion by McLane, seconded by Mills, and unanimously adopted, it was

RESOLVED to adjourn the meeting at 3:33p.m.

1	
2	There were no objections and the meeting was adjourned.
3	
4	
5	Respectfully submitted:
6	1 7
7	
8	
9	Nancy Hemenway, Executive Administrator
10	
11	
12	Approved:
13	
14	
15	
16	Donald J. Iverson, P.E., Chair
17	Board of Registration for Architects,
18	Engineers, and Land Surveyors
19	
20	
21	Date: