

The State of Alaska Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers Draft Agenda April 12, 2022

Join Zoom Meeting Link: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84831394844

Call In #: 1 -253-215-8782 or 1-669-900-6833

Meeting ID: 848 3139 4844 **Passcode:** 054446

1.	10:00 a.m.	Call to Order/ Roll Call	Chair Stetson		
2.	10:10 a.m.	Review/Approve Past Meeting Minutes • January 11, 2022 • March 9, 2022	Chair Stetson		
3.	10:15 a.m.	Public Comment			
4.	10:30 a.m.	Investigations • Investigative Report	Investigator		
5.	11:00 a.m.	Division Update	Ms. Dumas		
6.	11:30 a.m.	Regulation Project • Online education updates	Chair Stetson/ Ms. Sather		
	12:30 p.m.	Lunch			
7.	1:00 p.m.	Audit Training	Ms. Zimmerman		
8.	2:00 p.m	Board Business • Board Topics/Questions	Chair Stetson		
		Adjourn			

CONFIDENTIAL

ETHICS SUPERVISOR DETERMINATION FORM

(Board or Commission Member)

Board or Commission:		
Member Disclosing Pote	ential Ethics Violation:	
does or would viola		the attached ethics disclosure form Identify applicable statute below.)190.
Signature of Designated	Ethics Supervisor (Chai	ir)
Printed Name of Design	ated Ethics Supervisor	_
Date:		
COMMENTS (Please at	tach a separate sheet for	additional space):

Note: Disclosure Form must be attached. Under AS 39.52.220, if the chair or a majority of the board or commission, not including the disclosing member, determines that a violation of AS 39.52.110-39.52.190 will exist if the member participates, the member shall refrain from voting, deliberating, or participating in the matter. A member will not be liable under the Ethics Act for action in accordance with such a determination so long as the member has fully disclosed all facts reasonably necessary to the determination and the attorney general has not advised the member, chair, or board or commission that the action is a violation. Forward disclosures with determinations to the State Ethics Attorney as part of your quarterly report. Quarterly reports are submitted to Litigation Assistant, Opinions, Appeals & Ethics, Department of Law, 1031 W. 4th Avenue, Suite 200, Anchorage, AK 99501.

Continuing Education Statement

FOR DIVISION USE ONLY

Real Estate Appraisers Program

PO Box 110806, Juneau, AK 99811-0806

Phone: (907) 465-2550

Email: RealEstateAppraisers@Alaska.Gov

Website: ProfessionalLicense.Alaska.Gov/RealEstateAppraisers

Continuing Education (Credit for	Partici	pation
------------------------	-------------------	----------------	--------

This form certifies attendance at a meeting of the Alaska Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers for continuing education credit. 12 AAC 70.210(g)(1-5)

		Circt			Mid	dla.			Loot
Full Legal Name:	First		Middle					Last	
Alaska Certification	on #:								
				mm/dd/yyyy					
Board Meeting Da	ite:			,,,,,,			Teleconference		
Attendance Hours	s:						Claimed: ours Max)		
I certify the information reported above is true and correct and that I maintained attendance for the duration of the hours claimed.									
Signature: Date:								mm/dd/yyyy	
						'			
	BEL	ow is	FOR	R DEPARI	MENTENTAL	AND BO	DARD US	E O	NLY
Verified Hours of A									
Via Electronic or OR	Mail Ba	allot:		Approved	☐ Denied				
Via in Person Board Meeting:									
Board Member Signature: Date:									
lf denied, reason f	or deni	al:							

Review/Approve Past Meeting Minutes

- January 11, 2022
 - March 9, 2022

1	
2 3	STATE OF ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
3 4	DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
5	
6	BOARD OF CERTIFIED REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS
7	MEETING MINUTES
8	
9	January 11, 2022
10 11	"These <u>draft minutes</u> were prepared by the staff of the Division of Corporations, Business and
12	Professional Licensing. They have not been reviewed or approved by the Board." By authority of
13	AS 08.01.070(2), and in compliance with the provisions of AS 44.62, Article 6, a scheduled
14	meeting of the Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers was held January 11,2022, at the State
15	of Alaska Atwood Building, 550 W. 7 th Avenue, Suite 1550, via Zoom, Anchorage, Alaska.
16	g, coo,
17	
18	Tuesday, January 11, 2022
19	Agenda Item 1 - Call to Order
20	Chairperson Ashlee Stetson called the meeting to order at 10:04 a.m., at which time a quorum
21	was established.
22	
23	Nancy Harris, Executive Administrator for the Real Estate Commission, introduced staff, and
24	explained that she would be assisting the Board in running their meeting with the help of new Appraiser Board Occupational License Examiner, Sara Sather.
25 26	Appraiser board Occupational License Examiner, Sara Sattler.
27	Roll Call
28	Members Present via Zoom
29	Ashlee Stetson, Public Member, Chairperson
30	Val Kudryn, Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser
31	Leon McKean, Mortgage Industry Executive
32	
33	Members Absent:
34	Mae Hayes, Certified Residential Appraiser
35	OL KID
36	Staff Present:
37 38	Nancy Harris, CBPL REC Executive Administrator Sara Sather, CBPL APR Licensing Examiner
39	Erica Prieksat, CBPL Investigator
40	Anna Gabriel, CBPL Investigator
41	Melissa Dumas, CBPL Administrative Operations Manager, via Zoom
42	
43	Public in Attendance-via Zoom:
44	None
45	
46	Review/Approve Agenda
47	Board Members reviewed the meeting agenda.
48	On a modian mode dishaha Ma Malkana a sa
49	On a motion made duly by Mr. McKean, seconded by Mr. Kudryn, it was
50 E1	RESOLVED to approve the meeting agenda for January 11, 2022.
51	NEOCEVED to approve the ineeting agenua for January 11, 2022.

52 53

The motion passed unanimously.

54

55 56

Ethics Report

57

There were no ethics reports to be made.

58 59

Continuing Education Statement

61 62

60

63

64 65

66 67

68 69 70

71 72

73 74

75 76

77 78

79 80

81 82 83

84

85 86

88 89 90

91

92

87

93 94 95

96

97 98

99 100

101 102

Chair Stetson asked if there was anyone present who would like the meeting to count as Continuing Education. Mr. Kudryn indicated he would like the meeting to count towards his continuing education credits.

Agenda Item 2 – Review/Approve Past Meeting Minutes October 5, 2021

Ms. Harris asked for clarification on lines 109-111 of the October 5, 2021 meeting minutes. Board members reviewed and were unable to speak toward the intent of the statement. The Board agreed to strike lines 109-111 from the meting minutes. There were no other questions

On a motion duly made by Mr. Kudryn, seconded by Mr. McKean, it was

RESOLVED to strike lines 109, 110, and 111 from the minutes and approve

the meeting minutes for October 5, 2021.

The motion passed unanimously.

Agenda Item 3 - Public Comment

There was no public comment.

Agenda Item 4– Investigations

Investigative Report

regarding the minutes.

Investigator Anna Gabriel introduced herself and provided a review of the investigations report. She stated there were 7 open matters from September 21, 2021 – December 28, 2021 and 4 matters were closed. Chair Stetson asked for clarification regarding an open case from 2019, as it did not fit the pattern of other open case dates. Senior Investigator Erica Prieksat stated that date is for the last status update change. This is an open case and she is unable to openly discuss any details. If the Board has questions regarding the case, the investigators can look up additional details. Chair Stetson did not have further questions. There were no further questions.

Agenda Item 5 – Division Update

Melissa Dumas, CBPL Administrative Operations Manager, provided a division update for FY21 4th quarter and FY22 1st quarter. Mr. Kudryn asked for clarification if the \$14,131 under Investigative Expenditures was for staff investigators or out of house services. Ms. Dumas explained this fee is for out of house services when the Department of Law is contacted. In house investigator fees are billed under Personal Services.

Agenda Item 6 – Regulations Project
<u>12 AAC 70.111(b) – clean up</u>
Nancy Harris, CBPL REC Executive Administrator, explained that they found a clerical error on
12 ACC 70.111(b) that should reference (c) as the licensee type. Board members reviewed
regulations and agreed the clerical error should be corrected.
On a motion duly made by Mr. Kudryn, seconded by Mr. McKean, it was
RESOLVED to strike subparagraph (b) and insert subparagraph (c).
RESOLVED to strike subparagraph (b) and insert subparagraph (c).
The motion passed unanimously.
The motion passed analimisasiy.
Lunch break at 12:50 p.m. resume at 1:30 p.m.
Back on record at 1:31 p.m.
Roll call was taken to reestablish a quorum. All members from this morning were present.
SB21-Military Licensing
Nancy Harris, CBPL REC Executive Administrator summarized last meeting's discussion on
SB21 Military Licensing and the need for all programs within the division to implement
regulations allowing for a temporary license issuance within 30-days of an active military
member or their spouse for someone who holds an active, unencumbered license in another
jurisdiction. With January 1, 2022 being the effective date of these regulations, the Board will
need to draft new regulation for SB21-Military Licensing. The Board discussed templates of both
licensing by reciprocity and courtesy. Based on both of these formats, included citation
references, and consideration of new regulations 12 AAC 02.956, 12 AAC 02.957, and AS
08.01.063 A new regulation was drafted for temporary licenses for an active military member or
of active duty military spouse. The Board is moved forward with department review and public
comment.
On a motion duly made by Mr. Kudryn, seconded by Mr. McKean, it was
On a motion duty made by wir. Rudryn, seconded by wir. wickean, it was
RESOLVED to approve draft regulations for requirements to, comply
RESOLVED to approve draft regulations for requirements to, comply
with AS 08.01.063, .065 and .100, to move forward with board review and public
with Ao co.c 1.000, 1000 and 1100, to move forward with board review and public
comment.
The motion passed unanimously.
The monon purcous anumously.
Agenda Item 7 – Application Review
Voting on pending applications
There are no open applications at this time.

154 CE Audits

Nancy Harris, CBPL REC Executive Administrator explained that all complete CE audit packets that have been received are on OnBoard and asked if the Board would like to discuss any of the packets further. With no Board questions regarding any of the audits the Board agreed to continue their review and vote online outside of the meeting.

Agenda Item 8 - Board Business

Work Product Review/Approval Process

Ms. Harris asked for clarification on the Board's preferred sequence of events in regards to requesting work product for review during the application process.

Chair Stetson stated that she can't speak to that, as she does not review work product, and asked Mr. Kudryn's input as he has conducted reviews.

Ms. Harris restated asking if there was a preferred direction to streamline processes and make it more efficient for the applicant, as when they apply it is part of the application process to have work products for review. Do they want that completed before going to the Board for approval for the exam or can the request include both? When do they want to choose the work product, is it before the complete application goes to the Board for review or do they want it as part of the application at this time?

 Mr. Kudryn suggested looking at what has been done in the past, as he is fairly new himself. Mr. Kudryn explained when the Board votes on an applicant they are looking that at all information is provided, fees paid, meets qualification, no concerns, and requesting work product is part of the process. Mr. Kudryn stated he doesn't mind staff requesting work product and reviewing at this time. He explained if there is something of concern on the applicant it would be beneficial to know before reviewing the work product.

 Ms. Harris clarified, that this vote includes approval for the exam and licensure if exam passed and work product approved. Mr. Kudryn confirmed he believes this is the process. He followed up with asking what happens when work product is unsatisfactory. Ms. Harris stated she will reach out to the previous APR supervisor for clarification on that.

Board Topics/Questions

Mr. McKean asked about the status of online continuing education. As discussed at the last meeting the Board's intent is to address concerns and the growing need for allowing more hours towards online education. Ms. Hayes was doing research on this issue and is absent from today's meeting. This question will be brought back up to her at the next board meeting. Mr. Kudryn expressed that the board has been operating under the emergency regulations and has had lenience regarding online or in person courses due to the COVID-19 pandemic and access to educational classes.

Next board meetings for fiscal year 2022:

- April 12, 2022
- June 7, 2022

The start time for each of these quarterly meetings is set at 10:00 a.m.

204	
205	
206	<u>Adjourn</u>
207	
208	On a motion duly made by Mr. McKean, seconded by Mr. Kudryn, it was
209	
210	RESOLVED to adjourn.
211	
212	The motion passed unanimously.
213	
214	Meeting adjourned at 2:18 p.m.
215	
216	
217	
218	
219	Ashlee Stetson, Chair Date

1	
2	STATE OF ALASKA
3	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
4	DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
5	DOADD OF CERTIFIED REAL FOTATE ARREADEDS
6	BOARD OF CERTIFIED REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS
7	MEETING MINUTES
8 9	March 9, 2022
10	March 9, 2022 Teleconference
11	relecontende
12	"These draft minutes were prepared by the staff of the Division of Corporations, Business and
13	Professional Licensing. They have not been reviewed or approved by the Board." By authority of
14	AS 08.01.070(2), and in compliance with the provisions of AS 44.62, Article 6, a scheduled
15	meeting of the Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers was held March 9, 2022, at the State
16	of Alaska Atwood Building, 550 W. 7 th Avenue, Suite 1550, via Zoom, Anchorage, Alaska.
17	g, coo,
18	
19	Wednesday, March 9, 2022
20	Agenda Item 1 - Call to Order
21	Acting chairperson Mae Hayes called the meeting to order at 9:09 a.m., at which time a quorum
22	was established.
23	
24	Roll Call
25	Members Present via Zoom
26	Val Kudryn, Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser
27	Leon McKean, Mortgage Industry Executive
28	Mae Hayes, Certified Residential Real Estate Appraiser
29	Manchana Abasanta
30	Members Absent:
31	Ashlee Stetson, Public Member, Chairperson
32 33	Staff Present:
34	Nancy Harris, CBPL REC Executive Administrator
35	Sara Sather, CBPL APR Licensing Examiner
36	data dation, object in the localising Examiner
37	Public in Attendance-via Zoom:
38	None
39	
40	Review/Approve Agenda
41	Board Members reviewed the meeting agenda.
42	
43	On a motion made duly by Mr. Kudryn, seconded by Mr. McKean, it was
44	
45	RESOLVED to approve the meeting agenda for March 9, 2022.
46	
47	The motion passed unanimously.
48	
49	
50	
51	

Ethics Report

There were no ethics reports to be made.

<u>Agenda Item 2 – Clarification on Virtual, Online, Synchronous, and Asynchronous</u> <u>Courses</u>

AQB Update provided by Ms. Sather; occupational licensing has received an AQB update as well as questions from education providers and licensees regarding if the Board would like to follow suit with AQB now recognizing synchronous online courses to be the equivalent to traditional classroom courses. Staff wanted to present these questions and documents to the Board to see if the Board would like to proceed with accepting these updates, make any needed regulation changes, or continue as is. Mr. Kudryn stated his interest is to proceed with following with what the Board has previously been working towards in allowing online education. Ms. Hayes agreed and directed the conversation to clarify what was needed to move forward with changing the regulation. She asked if this is something they can make a motion on today. Ms. Sather stated we could proceed with drafting proposed edits, proceed with FAQs, and public comment; the speed at which this is approached is how much time is dedicated today towards proposed edits and what is left to accomplish during the April Board meeting. Ms. Harris clarified there is not a motion they can make today that would allow individuals to satisfy the online education concerns. Ms. Hayes asked if we can adopt what has been outlined in the AQB update and Ms. Harris clarified this would require a regulation change. The Board agreed to proceed with creating proposed edits to the regulations today.

12 AAC 70.215, 12 AAC 70.220(d), 12 AAC 70.140(f) were initially identified as regulations for proposed edits. The Board agreed to use AQB definitions for Synchronous, Asynchronous, Classroom, Continuing Education. The Board worked together on proposed edits of each section.

12 AAC 70.215 Mr. Kudryn spoke to not identifying anything in this section that prohibits distance education. Ms. Hayes suggested adding more clarification by defining online courses. Ms. Harris identified the section for definitions, 12 AAC 70.990, may also be applicable to updating definitions. Verbiage was changed from distance to online for clearer understanding that online courses are acceptable for qualifying and continuing education.

12 AAC 70.220(d) verbiage edited to continue with online course and amount limits updated by striking "up to one half of hours" to continue with the Board's intent to allow all hours to be obtained through online education.

12 AAC 70.210 referenced and updated to continue same verbiage.

Mr. Kudryn noted to ensure FAQs include the AQB definitions of class delivery formats to clarify criteria for each type.

12 AAC 70.140 verbiage updated to continue with clarification of online instead of distance. Continuing education does not apply to this section, as this is for initial and trainee.

Within 12 AAC 70.115 Mr. Kudryn proposed instead of changing all the regulations mentioning
 classroom to update the definition of classroom to include online with synchronous and asynchronous.
 The Board is in agreement to have a consistent definition between all regulations. New definition for
 "Classroom" proposed under 12 AAC 70.990.

Ms. Hays asked when these proposed regulation edits will be put out for public comment; the Board's intent is to move forward with review timely as many licensees are nervous about not having guidance for online courses. Ms. Sather stated after working on the drafts today the edits can be cleaned up into a more formal draft for review at the April Board meeting at which time more edits could be made or a motion could be made to move forward with public comment. The Board expressed interest in taking time to complete due diligence of reviewing the cleaned up proposed edits before the April meeting. Staff will look into the possibility of this.

The Board would like to proceed with only written comments during the public comment period.

Mr. McKean asked if the vote would require everyone to vote in the positive or if it was a majority. Ms. Harris and Ms. Sather confirmed it was majority vote and clarified this next vote is a vote to go out to public comment.

Mr. Kudryn spoke towards FAQ #7 and #21 needing clarification/changes when written. The portion regarding half of hours completed online should be updated in part #7, #21 should reference 70.140 regarding core curriculum and striking half of education hours accepted online.

Ms. Harris and Ms. Sather clarified there is times to complete FAQs as these are once the regulations are adopted.

Ms. Sather explained that we have received updates from education providers and licensees with questions regarding online proctoring. Education providers have stated that online proctoring is now continuing to be used outside of COVID mitigations and are seeking Board clarification as to if the Board will accept this as a formal option of completing the exams. Ms. Hayes spoke to licensees having to travel to take tests and understands a need for this. Mr. Kudryn referenced 12 AAC 70.140, which speaks to exams approved if proctored by an official approved by the sponsoring organization, so as long as the education provider has approved the form of proctoring then it is approved by the Board.

Ms. Hayes suggested putting this on the FAQs to alleviate concerns of this being accepted. Board is in agreement with this. Mr. Kudryn spoke to seeking more information on online proctoring and how stringent they are. Mr. McKean asked if there are other providers outside of McKissock and Appraisal Institute. Mr. Kudryn and Ms. Harris stated there are others, but not as prevalent.

Agenda Item 3 – AMC Application

Ms. Sather explained in accordance with AS 08.87.135 and 12 AAC 70.160 the Board is to review and approve the application. The division is updating formatting on forms across all professions. Additionally, Ms. Harris and Ms. Sather have come across some additional information that is required during the ASC registration that is not provided on the current application, which requires additional communication to obtain. The intent is to update the form to collect all needed information at once. These proposed updates were reviewed in the proposed updated Part V and general information. The online application was also reviewed.

On a motion made duly by Mr. Kudryn, seconded by Mr. McKean, it was RESOLVED to approve the meeting agenda for March 9, 2022.

The motion passed unanimously.

Agenda Item 4- Application Review

No applications needing Board review during this teleconference.

Ms. Hayes asked if an appraiser has taken the 15 hour USPAP class instead of the regular 7 hour update that has been approved for continuing education and they then get audited and are found that was inappropriate because that class hadn't been approved for continuing education, do they get a disciplinary action? If so, what happens to appraisers who have taken the 15 hour instead of 7 hour course? Mr. Kudryn replied with clarification that preliminary actions are decided by the Board members in conjunction with reviewing their audit. You would look at precedent and whether or not you think it's appropriate.

Mr. Kudryn and Ms. Hayes discussed that they understand the 15 hour course may be more thorough, as it is for a longer time period, however, the intent of that course is to not only highlight the changes. They would assume they are teaching the current information, however, the reason for taking the 7 hour update is to focus directly on the new changes.

Ms. Hayes stated she has had appraisers come to her with the question of what the penalty would be if the incorrect USPAP course was taken and she informed the Board she honestly did not know what the penalty is. Ms. Hayes asked if the Board recalls anyone having a letter of advisement or if they got in trouble in any way for that mistake. Ms. Harris and Ms. Sather did not have any recollection of recent cases to provide example and can certainly research it and bring it back to the next meeting.

Mr. Kudryn asked for clarification regarding new applicant trainees and their work product review. He stated he has received emails requesting that he look online and select work product from an application that is in the approve or do not approve status on OnBoard. Ms. Sather clarified the Board Ballot was written for Board approval, or not, to sit for the exam and licensure if the exam is passed and work product approved. This would then require communication between Board member and Ms. Sather regarding the approval of work product. Mr. Kudryn clarified by asking, should he vote on OnBoard before reviewing work product or wait until after approved. The Board is in agreement to vote on OnBoard before work product review in regards to if the application and supporting documents are in order and approved. Then separate communication will occur regarding work product review and if it is approved.

<u>Adjourn</u>

On a motion duly made by Mr. Kudryn, seconded by Mr. McKean, it was

The motion passed unanimously.

RESOLVED to adjourn.

Meeting adjourned at 10:42 a.m.

195 Ashlee Stetson, Chair

Public Comment





Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development

DIVISION OF CORPORATIONS, BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL LICENSING

550 West Seventh Avenue, Suite 1500 Anchorage, AK 99501-3567 Main: 907.269.8160 Fax: 907.269.8156

MEMORANDUM

DATE: March 29, 2022

TO: Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers

THRU: Greg Francois, Chief Investigator

FROM: Anna Gabriel, Investigator Ag

RE: Investigative Report for the April 12, 2022 Meeting

The following information was compiled as an investigative report to the Board for the period of December 29, 2021 thru March 29, 2022; this report includes cases, complaints, and intake matters handled since the last report.

Matters opened by the Paralegals in Anchorage and Juneau, regarding continuing education audits and license action resulting from those matters are covered in this report.

OPEN - 6

Case Number	<u>Violation Type</u>	Case Status	Status Date
REAL ESTATE APPRAIS	SER		
2021-000853	Violation of licensing regulation	Complaint	10/14/2021
2021-001048	Real estate - other	Complaint	11/22/2021
2018-001318	Violation of licensing regulation	Investigation	03/29/2019
2018-001336	Incompetence	Investigation	08/02/2019
2019-001261	Violation of licensing regulation	Investigation	10/22/2020
2021-000930	Falsified application	Investigation	03/11/2022

Closed - 1

REAL ESTATE APPRAISER

2021-001171

END OF REPORT

Division Update

Summary of All Professional Licensing Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures

Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers	FY 16	FY 17	Biennium		FY 18	FY 19	Biennium		FY 20	FY 21	Biennium		FY 22 & 2nd QTF
Revenue_													
Revenue from License Fees	\$ 49,440	\$ 272,590	\$ 322,030	\$	76,010 \$	190,565	\$ 266,575	\$	80,550 \$	207,770	\$ 288,320	\$	11,06
General Fund Received									\$	-	-	\$	-
Allowable Third Party Reimbursements	-	5,827	5,827		1,534	4,314	5,848	\$	2,559 \$	-	2,559	\$	-
TOTAL REVENUE	\$ 49,440	\$ 278,417	\$ 327,857	\$	77,544 \$	194,879	\$ 272,423	\$	83,109 \$	207,770	\$ 290,879	\$	11,06
Expenditures													
Non Investigation Expenditures													
1000 - Personal Services	40,694	13,307	54,001		45,123	91,165	136,288		98,414	54,866	153,280		46,03
2000 - Travel	12,596	13,106	1	1 1	16,384	11,267	27,651		1,933	-	1,933		-
3000 - Services	3,008	5,288	8,296	1 1	7,445	10,666	18,111		30,418	13,957	44,375		ŗ
4000 - Commodities	22	13	35	1 1	7,443	161	877		602	-	602		_
5000 - Capital Outlay		13	-		-	101			-	_	_		_
Total Non-Investigation Expenditures	56,320	31,714	88,034		69,668	113,259	182,927		131,367	68,823	200,190		46,0
nucctigation Funanditures													
nvestigation Expenditures	2.464	10.045	22.400		25.012	10 202	42.206		20.240	10 727	F.C. 0.7.C		77
1000-Personal Services 2000 - Travel	3,464	19,945	23,409		25,013	18,383	43,396		38,249	18,727	56,976		7,7
					2.405	1,050	1,050		2,547	2.050	2,547		-
3023 - Expert Witness	-	-	-		3,485	1,050	4,535		4,050	2,850	6,900		-
3088 - Inter-Agency Legal	-	-	-		33	33	66		2,453	14,131	16,584		-
3094 - Inter-Agency Hearing/Mediation	-	-	-		217	-	217		-	65	65		-
3000 - Services other						633	633		111	22	133		-
4000 - Commodities	2.464	10.015	22.400	┨	20.740	-	-		- 47.440	-	-	<u> </u>	
Total Investigation Expenditures	3,464	19,945	23,409	┨┝	28,748	21,149	49,897	-	47,410	35,795	83,205		7,78
Total Direct Expenditures	59,784	51,659	111,443		98,416	134,408	232,824		178,777	104,618	283,395		53,88
ndirect Expenditures													
Internal Administrative Costs	9,900	9,222	19,122		15,708	20,705	36,413		21,754	15,657	37,411		7,82
Departmental Costs	8,446	7,009	15,455		13,293	21,286	34,579		17,090	10,445	27,535		5,22
Statewide Costs	3,280	2,319	5,599		7,826	11,964	19,790		18,005	10,101	28,106		5,05
Total Indirect Expenditures	21,626	18,550	40,176		36,827	53,955	90,782		56,849	36,203	93,052		18,10
OTAL EXPENDITURES	\$ 81,410	\$ 70,209	\$ 151,619	\$	135,243 \$	188,363	\$ 323,606	\$	235,626 \$	140,821	\$ 376,447	\$	71,98
Sumulative Sumulus (Deficit)													
Cumulative Surplus (Deficit)	4 400	A 455 = 5=			274704 4	247.227			222.606 4	474 004			222.5
Beginning Cumulative Surplus (Deficit)	\$ 198,553			\$	374,791 \$	317,092		\$	323,608 \$	171,091		\$	238,0
Annual Increase/(Decrease)	(31,970)	208,208	4		(57,699)	6,516		_	(152,517)	66,949			(60,92
Ending Cumulative Surplus (Deficit)	\$ 166,583	\$ 374,791		\$	317,092	323,608		\$	171,091 \$	238,040		\$	177,1
Statistical Information				$\parallel \parallel$									
Statistical Information Number of Licenses for Indirect calculation	287	346			342	298			345	370			

Additional information:

- Fee analysis required if the cumulative is less than zero; fee analysis recommended when the cumulative is less than current year expenditures; no fee increases needed if cumulative is over the current year expenses *
- Most recent fee change: Fee change FY19
- Annual license fee analysis will include consideration of other factors such as board and licensee input, potential investigation load, court cases, multiple license and fee types under one program, and program

Appropriation Name (Ex)	(All)
Sub Unit	(AII)
PL Task Code	APR1

Sum of Budgetary Expenditures	Object Type Name (Ex)			
Object Name (Ex)	1000 - Personal Services	3000 - Services	(Grand Total
1011 - Regular Compensation	28,514.67			28,514.67
1014 - Overtime	1,667.63			1,667.63
1023 - Leave Taken	3,424.18			3,424.18
1028 - Alaska Supplemental Benefit	2,072.87			2,072.87
1029 - Public Employee's Retirement System Defined Benefits	7,045.05			7,045.05
1030 - Public Employee's Retirement System Defined Contribution	551.33			551.33
1034 - Public Employee's Retirement System Defined Cont Health Reim	314.03			314.03
1035 - Public Employee's Retiremnt Sys Defined Cont Retiree Medical	111.03			111.03
1037 - Public Employee's Retiremnt Sys Defined Benefit Unfnd Liab	2,149.36			2,149.36
1039 - Unemployment Insurance	108.22			108.22
1040 - Group Health Insurance	5,887.78			5,887.78
1041 - Basic Life and Travel	10.61			10.61
1042 - Worker's Compensation Insurance	308.36			308.36
1047 - Leave Cash In Employer Charge	546.42			546.42
1048 - Terminal Leave Employer Charge	446.97			446.97
1053 - Medicare Tax	465.82			465.82
1077 - ASEA Legal Trust	11.26			11.26
1079 - ASEA Injury Leave Usage	1.01			1.01
1080 - SU Legal Trst	16.70			16.70
3046 - Advertising		į	59.10	59.10
1016 - Other Premium Pay	77.08			77.08
1012 - Additional Regular	96.75			96.75
Grand Total	53,827.13	Ţ	59.10	53,886.23

Regulation ProjectOnline Education Updates

Regulation Changes Questionnaire

Division/Board:	Meeting Date:
Regulation change l	being proposed: 12 AAC
General topic of the re	egulation:

This worksheet is designed to help the board think through an anticipated regulations project. Staff will provide this worksheet to the board at the time a regulations project is being approved for public notice. This information will be used to develop a FAQ to be posted on the board's web page to help the public understand the project. Staff will submit the completed worksheet with the draft board minutes to the Regulations Specialist within 10 days of the meeting and provide a copy to the supervisor. Appropriate staff will be assigned to complete this worksheet if a division regulation. NOTE: Use a separate worksheet for each section being proposed.

1. Is the new regulation needed to comply with new legislation or federal law?	Yes No
If yes, effective date of new statute/federal law:	
(If appropriate, ensure the new regulation is in line with federal requirements prior to initiating a regulation project.)	
2. Does the change add a new license type?	Yes No
If yes:	
Does it affect current licensees?	Yes 🔲 No 🗀
Do current licensees/non-licensees already perform the service for which the new license type is required?	Yes No No
Is there a grace period or date explicitly included in the regulation to allow for a transition period?	Yes No No
3. Does it change the qualifications or requirements of an existing license?	Yes No
If yes, does it affect current licensees?	Yes No No
4. Does it affect continuing education/competency requirements?	Yes No
If yes:	
Does it add additional requirements or hours?	Yes No
Does it clarify existing regulations?	Yes 🔲 No 🔲
Is there an effective date in the future to give licensees time to comply?	Yes No
5. Is it a fee change or does it create a new fee?	Yes No
If yes:	
Does it move fees in the centralized regulations to a new number, therefore affecting	
other program regulations?	Yes No
6. Does it make changes to the requirements of licensees?	Yes No
If yes:	
All licensees	Yes No
Certain licensees (List:)	Yes No
Initial licensees	Yes No No
7. In addition to interested parties, who should receive the public notice? (All licensees or certain license ty	pes?)

8. In addition to the 30-day minimum writ	ten notice, does the board request a	public hearing? If yes, when and where.
9. What will the regulation do?		
10 What is the demonstrated public read	- Cali	
10. What is the demonstrated public need	or purpose of this regulation?	
11. What is the known or estimated cost of Steps in the Regulation Process)?	f the new regulation to a private per	rson, another agency, or a municipality (see Step 3 of the .
MARANUM CONTRACTOR CON		
12. What <u>positive</u> consequences may this r	egulation have on public or private	people, businesses, or organizations?
13. What <u>negative</u> consequences may this r	egulation have on public or private	people, business, or organizations?
14. If any negative consequences, please ac	dress the reasons why the public of	eed for this change outweighs the negative impact.
15. List any additional questions or comme questions.	nts that may arise from the public o	during the comment period. Include a response to the
16. What type of notification outlining the	changes will be required once the re	egulation is adopted? Check appropriate boxes.
FAQ on website * Cost to board for mailing letter	Email to licensees	*Letter to licensees
Staff submitting this worksheet:	Date subm	itted to Regulations Specialist:

Regulation Changes Questionnaire	
Division/Board: Meeting Date:	
Regulation change being proposed: 12 AAC	
General topic of the regulation:	_
This worksheet is designed to help the board think through an anticipated regulations project. St to the board at the time a regulations project is being approved for public notice. This information FAQ to be posted on the board's web page to help the public understand the project. Staff will sworksheet with the draft board minutes to the Regulations Specialist within 10 days of the meeting supervisor. Appropriate staff will be assigned to complete this worksheet if a division regulation. worksheet for each section being proposed.	on will be used to develop a submit the completed ng and provide a copy to the
1. Is the new regulation needed to comply with new legislation or federal law?	Yes No
If yes, effective date of new statute/federal law:	
(If appropriate, ensure the new regulation is in line with federal requirements prior to initiating a regulation project.)	
2. Does the change add a new license type?	Yes No
If yes:	
Does it affect current licensees?	Yes 🔲 No 🔲
Do current licensees/non-licensees already perform the service for which the new license type is required?	Yes 🔲 No 🔲
Is there a grace period or date explicitly included in the regulation to allow for a transition period?	Yes No
3. Does it change the qualifications or requirements of an existing license?	Yes No
If yes, does it affect current licensees?	Yes 🔲 No 🔲
4. Does it affect continuing education/competency requirements?	Yes No
If yes:	
Does it add additional requirements or hours?	Yes No
Does it clarify existing regulations?	Yes No
Is there an effective date in the future to give licensees time to comply?	Yes No No
5. Is it a fee change or does it create a new fee?	Yes No
If yes:	
Does it move fees in the centralized regulations to a new number, therefore affecting other program regulations?	Yes No

Yes No

Yes No

Yes 🔲 No 🔲 Yes No No

7. In addition to interested parties, who should receive the public notice? (All licensees or certain license types?)

6. Does it make changes to the requirements of licensees?

Certain licensees (List:

If yes:

All licensees

Initial licensees

8. In addition to the 30-day minimum writ	ten notice, does the board request a	public hearing? If yes, when and where.
9. What will the regulation do?		
10 What is the demonstrated public read	- Cali	
10. What is the demonstrated public need	or purpose of this regulation?	
11. What is the known or estimated cost of Steps in the Regulation Process)?	f the new regulation to a private per	rson, another agency, or a municipality (see Step 3 of the .
MARANUM CONTRACTOR CON		
12. What <u>positive</u> consequences may this r	egulation have on public or private	people, businesses, or organizations?
13. What <u>negative</u> consequences may this r	egulation have on public or private	people, business, or organizations?
14. If any negative consequences, please ac	dress the reasons why the public of	eed for this change outweighs the negative impact.
15. List any additional questions or comme questions.	nts that may arise from the public o	during the comment period. Include a response to the
16. What type of notification outlining the	changes will be required once the re	egulation is adopted? Check appropriate boxes.
FAQ on website * Cost to board for mailing letter	Email to licensees	*Letter to licensees
Staff submitting this worksheet:	Date subm	itted to Regulations Specialist:

Regulation Changes Questionnaire

Division/Board:	Meeting Date:
Regulation change l	being proposed: 12 AAC
General topic of the re	egulation:

This worksheet is designed to help the board think through an anticipated regulations project. Staff will provide this worksheet to the board at the time a regulations project is being approved for public notice. This information will be used to develop a FAQ to be posted on the board's web page to help the public understand the project. Staff will submit the completed worksheet with the draft board minutes to the Regulations Specialist within 10 days of the meeting and provide a copy to the supervisor. Appropriate staff will be assigned to complete this worksheet if a division regulation. NOTE: Use a separate worksheet for each section being proposed.

1. Is the new regulation needed to comply with new legislation or federal law?	Yes No
If yes, effective date of new statute/federal law:	
(If appropriate, ensure the new regulation is in line with federal requirements prior to initiating a regulation project.)	
2. Does the change add a new license type?	Yes No
If yes:	
Does it affect current licensees?	Yes 🔲 No 🗀
Do current licensees/non-licensees already perform the service for which the new license type is required?	Yes No No
Is there a grace period or date explicitly included in the regulation to allow for a transition period?	Yes No No
3. Does it change the qualifications or requirements of an existing license?	Yes No
If yes, does it affect current licensees?	Yes No No
4. Does it affect continuing education/competency requirements?	Yes No
If yes:	
Does it add additional requirements or hours?	Yes No
Does it clarify existing regulations?	Yes 🔲 No 🔲
Is there an effective date in the future to give licensees time to comply?	Yes No
5. Is it a fee change or does it create a new fee?	Yes No
If yes:	
Does it move fees in the centralized regulations to a new number, therefore affecting	
other program regulations?	Yes No
6. Does it make changes to the requirements of licensees?	Yes No
If yes:	
All licensees	Yes No
Certain licensees (List:)	Yes No
Initial licensees	Yes No No
7. In addition to interested parties, who should receive the public notice? (All licensees or certain license ty	pes?)

8. In addition to the 30-day minimum writ	ten notice, does the board request a	public hearing? If yes, when and where.
9. What will the regulation do?		
10 What is the demonstrated public read	- Cali	
10. What is the demonstrated public need	or purpose of this regulation?	
11. What is the known or estimated cost of Steps in the Regulation Process)?	f the new regulation to a private per	rson, another agency, or a municipality (see Step 3 of the .
MARANUM CONTRACTOR CON		
12. What <u>positive</u> consequences may this r	egulation have on public or private	people, businesses, or organizations?
13. What <u>negative</u> consequences may this r	egulation have on public or private	people, business, or organizations?
14. If any negative consequences, please ac	dress the reasons why the public of	eed for this change outweighs the negative impact.
15. List any additional questions or comme questions.	nts that may arise from the public o	during the comment period. Include a response to the
16. What type of notification outlining the	changes will be required once the re	egulation is adopted? Check appropriate boxes.
FAQ on website * Cost to board for mailing letter	Email to licensees	*Letter to licensees
Staff submitting this worksheet:	Date subm	itted to Regulations Specialist:

Regulation Changes Questionnaire

Division/Board:	Meeting Date:
Regulation change l	being proposed: 12 AAC
General topic of the re	egulation:

This worksheet is designed to help the board think through an anticipated regulations project. Staff will provide this worksheet to the board at the time a regulations project is being approved for public notice. This information will be used to develop a FAQ to be posted on the board's web page to help the public understand the project. Staff will submit the completed worksheet with the draft board minutes to the Regulations Specialist within 10 days of the meeting and provide a copy to the supervisor. Appropriate staff will be assigned to complete this worksheet if a division regulation. NOTE: Use a separate worksheet for each section being proposed.

1. Is the new regulation needed to comply with new legislation or federal law?	Yes No
If yes, effective date of new statute/federal law:	
(If appropriate, ensure the new regulation is in line with federal requirements prior to initiating a regulation project.)	
2. Does the change add a new license type?	Yes No
If yes:	
Does it affect current licensees?	Yes 🔲 No 🗀
Do current licensees/non-licensees already perform the service for which the new license type is required?	Yes No No
Is there a grace period or date explicitly included in the regulation to allow for a transition period?	Yes No No
3. Does it change the qualifications or requirements of an existing license?	Yes No
If yes, does it affect current licensees?	Yes No No
4. Does it affect continuing education/competency requirements?	Yes No
If yes:	
Does it add additional requirements or hours?	Yes No
Does it clarify existing regulations?	Yes 🔲 No 🔲
Is there an effective date in the future to give licensees time to comply?	Yes No
5. Is it a fee change or does it create a new fee?	Yes No
If yes:	
Does it move fees in the centralized regulations to a new number, therefore affecting	
other program regulations?	Yes No
6. Does it make changes to the requirements of licensees?	Yes No
If yes:	
All licensees	Yes No
Certain licensees (List:)	Yes No
Initial licensees	Yes No No
7. In addition to interested parties, who should receive the public notice? (All licensees or certain license ty	pes?)

8. In addition to the 30-day minimum writ	ten notice, does the board request a	public hearing? If yes, when and where.
9. What will the regulation do?		
10 What is the demonstrated public read	- Cali	
10. What is the demonstrated public need	or purpose of this regulation?	
11. What is the known or estimated cost of Steps in the Regulation Process)?	f the new regulation to a private per	rson, another agency, or a municipality (see Step 3 of the .
MARANUM CONTRACTOR CON		
12. What <u>positive</u> consequences may this r	egulation have on public or private	people, businesses, or organizations?
13. What <u>negative</u> consequences may this r	egulation have on public or private	people, business, or organizations?
14. If any negative consequences, please ac	dress the reasons why the public of	eed for this change outweighs the negative impact.
15. List any additional questions or comme questions.	nts that may arise from the public o	during the comment period. Include a response to the
16. What type of notification outlining the	changes will be required once the re	egulation is adopted? Check appropriate boxes.
FAQ on website * Cost to board for mailing letter	Email to licensees	*Letter to licensees
Staff submitting this worksheet:	Date subm	itted to Regulations Specialist:

Audit Training

Board Business