By authority of AS 08.01.070(2) and AS 08.36.040 and in compliance with the provisions of Article 6 of AS 44.62, a scheduled meeting of the Board of Dental Examiners was held December 12, 2008, at the Atwood Bldg., 550 W. 7th Ave., Suite 1270, Anchorage, Alaska.

The meeting was called to order by Dr. David Eichler, Chairman at 8:35 a.m.

Roll Call

Those present, constituting a quorum of the board, were:

Dr. David Eichler, Chairman – Fairbanks
Dr. William Gerace, – Anchorage
Dr. Kevin Gottlieb – Anchorage
Cheryl Fellenberg – Dental Hygienist – Wasilla
Vicki Hauff – Dental Hygienist – Anchorage
Dr. Rebecca Neslund - Anchorage
Dr. Arne Pihl - Ketchikan
Dr. Newell Walther – Wasilla
Gregory Gursey – Public Member – Anchorage

In attendance from the Division of Corporations, Business & Professional Licensing, Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development were:

Brenda Donohue, Licensing Examiner – Juneau

Agenda Item 1 – Review Agenda

Dr. Eichler asked to review the Agenda. Ms. Donohue noted the additions to the Agenda as follows:
Item 5 – INVESTIGATION REPORT
- Consent Agreement – Case #1202-08-002
- Consent Agreement – Case #1202-08-003

Item 7 – REVIEW APPLICATIONS
- Mandatory CE Audits
  - Jeb Simpson – DH
  - Phillip Mabry – DDS
  - Jody Sessom – Dentist

Item 8 – PUBLIC COMMENT
- Frank Thomas-Mears – Remarks/Questions regarding dental specialists limiting practice

Item 9 – REGULATIONS
- Draft of Collaborative Agreement Requirements regulation
- Draft of 12 AAC 28.910 changes

**Agenda Item 2 – Review Minutes**

Following review of the Sept. 12, 2008 meeting minutes, Dr. Eichler asked for a motion to approve.

**Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Neslund, seconded by Dr. Pihl and approved unanimously, it was:**

**RESOLVED to adopt the Sept. 12, 2008 minutes as presented.**

**Agenda Item 3 – Ethics Report**

Dr. Eichler queried board members if anyone had any possible ethics violations to report. There was no response, indicating no ethics reports were necessary.

**Agenda Item 4 – Budget Review**

The board reviewed the budget presented as of November 26, 2008. For FY 2009, there has been $87.1 thousand in expenses, $41.1 thousand in revenues, resulting in a $46 thousand deficit. The current renewal cycle is just beginning, and will reflect as a large revenue item on the next report. The Board felt they were on track, and there were no questions regarding the budget.
As they were ahead of schedule, the board moved on to Agenda Item 6 – Miscellaneous Correspondence until the Investigator joins the meeting at 9:15 a.m.

**Agenda Item 6 – Miscellaneous Correspondence**

Dr. Eichler asked for discussion regarding a request to poll the Board to see if they would be interested in pursuing establishment of one uniform national clinical licensure examination to be administered by the various regional testing agencies. The request was from Lilli Reitz, Esq. administrator for the Ohio Dental Board, and the liaison member between AADA and the AADE. She wanted to take the results of the survey to the Dec. 12, 2008 AADE meeting in South Dakota.

Dr. Eichler noted that CRDTS has stopped administering the ADEX exam and that basically NERB is the controlling agency at this point. Dr. Neslund asked if CRDTS and WREB will be getting back together, and Dr. Eichler responded that he didn’t know.

Dr. Neslund then inquired how ADEX is doing, and Dr. Eichler responded “not very well”. He noted they have not picked up any more states, and that as of next June, only NERB will be using the exam. He further noted that CRDTS states are joining WREB.

Ms. Fellenberg noted that she understood the survey was simply asking if the Board is interested in pursuing a unified national exam. Dr. Eichler stated that due to the entity sponsoring the survey he felt the Board may not want to respond at all. Ms. Donohue stated she had not responded to the survey because of the disparity of opinions expressed when she polled the Board. She felt further discussion at a Board meeting was in order prior to any response.

**WREB** – Memo informing the Board of a change to the WREB Dental Exam scoring system. The WREB Board of Directors voted to change to a conjunctive scoring system effective in 2009. Information only, no response necessary.

**CODA** – Letter advising the Board that the University of AK-Fairbanks has indicated a willingness to have a representative of the Board participate in the Commission on Dental Accreditation’s 2009 on-site evaluation. Information only, no interested Board members.

**Dentist’s Advantage** - Survey to obtain information on individual state regulations of extra oral facial cosmetic procedures. The Board provided answers to the survey questions, and directed Ms. Donohue to transmit the form to Dentist’s Advantage.

**Novalar** – Letter requesting the Board confirm Novalr’s interpretation of AS 08.32.110(5)(6) as authorizing dental hygienists, already properly trained and qualified to administer local anesthesia, to perform the same procedure with OraVerse, a local
anesthetic reversal agent, under the same circumstances and level of supervision required for administration of local anesthesia. The Board directed Ms. Donohue to respond that the Board will remain neutral on this issue.

Ms. Donohue asked the Board’s interpretation regarding Branch Office Permits. She has received several calls from renewing licensees confused about if they should hold the Permit. The Board responded that all licensees who work in more than one location are to obtain a Branch Office Permit. This includes Coast Guard and Indian Health Service employees.

A list of correspondence reviewed will be attached as an integral part of the minutes.

**Agenda Item 5 – Investigative Report**

Susan Winton, Investigator, joined the meeting, at 9:15 a.m., to present the Investigative Report.

Ms. Winton asked if the board had any question regarding the Investigative Report. There being none, she noted the following updates to the report: there are eleven open investigations, seventeen open complaints, six closed complaints, and one license probation cases being monitored.

Ms. Winton then proceeded to report her efforts in pursuing complaints about teeth whitening kiosks in various locations around Anchorage. She supplied a copy of the notice she provides to establishments practicing teeth bleaching or whitening.

She has six (6) files so far. After delivery of the notice, 2 have complied, and are no longer in business; 2 are ongoing; and 2 have been served Cease and Desist Orders. In the event these cases appeal, and in preparation for that event, she asked the Board’s guidance in the following three areas:

- Would the products used make a difference in determining the practice of dentistry? Previously Board said “no”, but what if the product is available OTC and is just being provided for use at the business rather than home?

- Would the procedures make a difference in determining the practice of dentistry? Previously Board said “no”, but if the employee does not touch the customer or place the gel tray or position the activating lights.

- Is there a circumstance – for example, within certain parameters – the Board would consider this is not the practice of dentistry?
Does the Board wish to receive additional information, such as:

- Would the Board like to review what other jurisdictions have decided?
- Review specifically what products are used?
- Review the process – the employee’s interaction with the customer?

Following discussion:

Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Gerace, seconded by Ms. Hauff, it was:

**RESOLVED** to have the Investigator gather more information on all three of the questions she posed to the Board.

The vote was 5 Nays, 4 Yeas.

Upon a motion duly made by Mr. Gursey, seconded by Dr. Pihl and approved unanimously, it was:

**RESOLVED** to have the Investigator gather further information on question 3 – Review the process as it relates to employee’s interaction with the customer regarding bleaching and teeth whitening outside the office of a dentist.

Dr. Gerace then asked Ms. Winton if there are repeat malpractice offenders that she is aware of. She reminded the members there were two repeat cases among the cases on the Investigation Report. Dr. Gerace asked if she had any concerns of danger to the public from the repeat offenders. She stated she had no evidence that these cases had committed a current violation. In other words, the repeat offenses were not occurring currently. They were being reported 4 to 5 years after they occurred.

She explained that if she were to receive a complaint of a current standard of care issue concerning a repeat offender, that investigation would move to the top of the list. She has none of those.

Dr. Eichler appointed Dr. Gerace and Dr. Neslund to the Discipline Review Panel until the next meeting.

Ms. Winton thanked the Board for their guidance, and left the meeting. She will be back for the Public Comment portion of the meeting.
Following review of two Consent Agreements presented to the Board for their consideration, the Board took the following action:

Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Gottlieb, seconded by Dr. Pihl and approved unanimously, it was:

RESOLVED to adopt the Consent Agreement in case number 1202-08-002.

It was noted for the record the license holder in case number 1202-08-002 is Jeb R. Simpson, holding Dental Hygiene license #804.

Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Gottlieb, seconded by Ms. Hauff and approved unanimously, it was:

RESOLVED to adopt the Consent Agreement in case number 1202-08-003.

It was noted for the record the license holder in case number 1202-08-003 is Catherine McQuigg, holding Dental Hygiene license #741.

Recess: 10:10 a.m.
Back from Recess: 10:30 a.m.

Agenda Item 7 – Application Assignments and Review

Assign Questions for Credential Candidates

Dr. Eichler assigned credential review interview questions to the board members.

Credential Application Review

The board reviewed the credential application of the following in preparation for the personal interview:

Kristi Linsenmayer, DDS  Reviewed by Dr. Gottlieb
Kenneth E. Steidley, DMD  Reviewed by Ms. Hauff

The applications appear to be in order for meeting the requirements for dental licensure by credentials.
Continuing Education Course Applications

Dr. Gerace reviewed the Continuing Education Approval application, and the Board took the following action:

Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Gerace, seconded by Dr. Gottlieb and approved unanimously, it was:

RESOLVED to approve the following continuing education course as meeting the requirements in accordance with 12 AAC 28.410:

30 Most Common Oral Lesions, sponsored by the Alaska State Dental Hygienists’ Association, for two (2) credit hours.

Agenda Item 8 – Public Comment

Present for Public Comment were Mark Williams, John Sparaga, dental specialists representing themselves, and Frank Thomas-Mears, representing Multiple Risk Managers. All were present to testify about concerns resulting from the Board’s Oct. 30, 2008 letter to holders of both a general dentist and a dental specialty license, reminding them that if they hold a specialty license they must limit their practice, even if they hold a general license. This is the first renewal where a general license is not required in order to hold a specialty license. The letter explains that this limitation is contained in the American Dental Association’s Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct, adopted by reference as the ethical standards for dentists in Alaska in accordance with 12 AAC 28.905.

Dr. Mark Williams testified he is representing several specialists with concerns about the Board’s letter of Oct. 30, outlining limitation of practice for specialists. His understanding is that the licensees can hold only a specialty or a general license. His other concern is there is no defined scope of practice for the recognized specialties.

Dr. Eichler explained that the main reason the Legislature removed the requirement to hold a general dental license in order to hold a dental specialty license is because of the complaints from specialty applicants about having to pass a regional clinical exam in order to obtain the specialty license.

Ms. Donohue pointed out that she is advising licensees to renew both the general and the specialty license if they wish, but that as long as they hold an active, current specialty license, they cannot practice general dentistry, outside the scope of their specialty. She also noted that the Board is working with Dept. of Law on this issue as it is not clear if
the Board has the authority to limit practice of a licensed practitioner holding a valid license.

The concerns are that if a practitioner holds both licenses they should be able to practice both their specialty and general.

Dr. Sparaga testified that his concerns were the same as those already expressed by Dr. Williams.

Mr. Thomas-Mears had no questions other than what had already been discussed.

The Board thanked them for their testimony, and advised this issue is still being researched.

**Agenda Item 9 – Regulations**

Gayle Horetski, Assistant Attorney General with Dept. of Law, joined the meeting telephonically.

Dr. Eichler requested Ms. Horetski to initiate the discussion on changes the Board has requested for 12 AAC 28.910 Denial of Licensure, and which has been withdrawn from the original project initiated by the Board. She started by pointing out the Board will want to separate the changes into two subsections, one each for dentists and dental hygienists, as the requirements for licensure are different for each. For the dentist subsection, the Board will want to refer to AS 08.36.110(1) C,D,E, and F, AS 08.36.234 (a)(1) E, F, G, I, and J, and AS 08.36.315. For the dental hygiene subsection, they will want to refer to AS 08.32.160, AS 08.32.020 and AS 08.32.030 (4), (5) and (8).

Ms. Horetski noted the date of the original public notice for this project was 1/30/08, so it is still within a year. If the Board has time to re-draft and adopt the changes at this meeting, it will still be in the proper time-frame. If they wish to take more time, and review at their next meeting, a supplemental notice can be published advising the Board is still working on this project.

Dr. Eichler then asked for discussion on the issue of dentists holding both a specialty and general license, and the requirement to limit their practice to their specialty, if they also hold a general license.

Ms. Horetski explained that the Dental Board has only the powers granted to the Board by statute, and in addition, the state statute specifies a person who engages in the practice of dentistry must be licensed. State statutes also specify what the practice of dentistry is,
what some of the rights of dentists are, and what the scope of practice for dentists in Alaska includes. The Dental Board cannot, through regulation, conflict or change state statute. The statutes can be amended by the Legislature, but until amendments are made the scope of practice and the rights that a licensee has to practice their profession are specifically set out in state law, and the Board does not have the authority, through the adoption of regulation, to conflict with state law. If a regulation, inadvertently or through oversight, has in fact been adopted, that conflicts with state law, that regulation is invalid and may not be enforced. The way to remedy a conflict between a state statute and a regulation is to amend the regulation so that it complies with state law. Whether the regulation is amended or not the state law takes precedence.

The statutes the Board will want to look at are AS 08.36.100, License Required; 08.36.244-247, License to practice as a specialist required; 08.36.360 Practice of dentistry defined, and 08.36.365 Rights of Dentists. When the Legislature wrote these statutes, they included as “dentists”, those practitioners licensed as specialists as well as general.

When the Legislature made changes to AS 08.36.246 in 2005, it never, in any place in the log, indicated any intent to restrict scope of practice for either a dentist holding a general license or a dentist holding a specialty license. Ms. Horetski stated she cannot find any support whatsoever in existing state laws or in the Legislative history that would support an interpretation that would allow the Board to restrict the practice of a validly licensed dentist in the State of Alaska, whether that dentist holds a general or a specialty license.

Dr. Eichler asked Ms. Horetski, that in accordance with AS 08.36.110(3) meet the other qualifications for a license established by the board by regulation, does the board not have the authority to adopt the ADA’s Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct. She responded that the scope of practice for a dentist in the state of Alaska is set out in state statute and appears in AS 08.36.360. The Board has no authority to amend that state statute, or try to bury or conflict that state statute by regulation.

Dr. Eichler asked is she stating that AS 08.36.110(3) conflicts with AS 08.36.360. She clarified that her understanding is the board views the “Code of Conduct” noted in 12 AAC 28.905, as somehow restricting the scope of practice for dentists in Alaska. There is not support in state statute for that position.

She went on to explain that AS 08.36.110(3) allows the board to put in some additional qualifications, such as continuing education requirements for licensure. The board can adopt regulations to do so. But it doesn’t say that the board may narrow the scope of a license holders practice in a way that differs from AS 08.36.360. If the statute was silent
on the scope of practice then perhaps it would be within the Board’s authority to establish the scope of practice in regulation. However, the statute is not silent on the scope of practice; it is in fact extremely explicit.

The statute was originally adopted in 1955, and then amended in 1984 and again in 2001. It can certainly be amended again, but until it is, it applies to this board and to all licensees in the State of Alaska.

Dr. Eichler asked if Ms. Horetski’s suggestion would be that a clause in the specialty statute be recommended to the Legislature. Ms Horetski advised that if it is the Board’s public policy decision that a specialist should be prevented from providing general dentistry services to the public, then yes, the appropriate step would be to make a recommendation to the state Legislature to amend the statute to specifically provide a restriction on practice.

Dr. Walter stated he did not feel that was a benefit to the public. He stated that he does not see an ethical basis for the inclusion in the “Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct” that a specialist restrict his practice. He sees it as a professional relationship issue, such as how you would handle referrals. Inserting it in a document titled “Ethics” is the only relation to ethics in his opinion.

Dr. Neslund added that when she became a member of a specialty, she signed a limitation of practice agreement. Dr. Walther noted that may be, and that it is the organization’s jurisdiction to police that requirement in order to grant privileges of the organization. However, he doesn’t believe that is the state’s concern.

Dr. Eichler asked if there were any further questions for Ms. Horetski. There being none, he thanked her for her assistance, and she left the meeting.

Dr. Gottlieb stated that if he heard correctly, that state statute outlines one scope of practice for dentistry, whether you are a specialist or general dentist. The other members concurred.

Discussion followed regarding the merits of pursuing efforts to ask the Legislature to amend statute AS 08.36.360 to include limitation of practice to the specialty for which a dentist holds a specialty license, or amending regulation 12 AAC 28.905 to exclude the limitation of practice section of the “Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct” publication. Further discussion is needed.
Ms. Donohue asked that the Board go on the record if they want to send a second letter to dual license holders, advising they are welcome to renew the general as well as the specialty license. The Board did so, and indicated the sooner the better. Ms. Donohue will draft and send the letter as soon as she has time following the renewal cycle.

Dr. Eichler called for lunch break.

Recess for lunch 12:05 p.m.
Back from lunch 1:05 p.m.

**Agenda Item 10 – Personal Interview for Dental Applicants by Credentials**

Applicant Kenneth E. Steidley, DMD, joined the meeting in person for the personal interview.

Dr. Eichler welcomed the applicant to the meeting and explained the interview process.

**Kenneth E. Steidley, DMD**

Ms. Hauff reviewed the application of Dr. Steidley and the board proceeded in asking the standard interview questions.

Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Hauff, seconded by Dr. Gottlieb and approved unanimously, it was:

**RESOLVED to approve Dr. Kenneth E. Steidley for dental licensure by credentials.**

**Kristi Linsenmayer, DDS**

Dr. Linsenmayer joined the meeting via teleconference. Dr. Gottlieb reviewed the application of Dr. Linsenmayer, and the board proceeded in asking the standard interview questions.

Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Gottlieb, seconded by Ms. Fellenberg and approved unanimously, it was:

**RESOLVED to approve Dr. Kristi Linsenmayer for dental licensure by credentials.**
Agenda Item 7 – Application Assignments and Review (continued)

Parenteral Sedation Permit Application

Ms. Donohue noted that Dr. Kent Newell had provided the documentation to verify 20 hours of patient contact the Board had requested at their Sept. 12, 2008 meeting. Upon review of the material, the Board determined the documentation verified the 20 hours of patient contact.

Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Neslund, seconded by Dr. Pihl and approved unanimously, it was:

RESOLVED to approve Dr. Kent Newell’s Parenteral Sedation Permit application.

A discussion regarding the eleven (11) questions the board asks applicants applying for dental license by credentials ensued. Dr. Walther inquired why we ask #10, Have you read the American Dental Association’s “Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct”, with advisory opinion revised April 2002. There seems to be no consequence if the applicant has not, which seems to be a common response. Maybe this question can be reworded so it is specific about the importance of reading the document. Dr. Pihl said he’d work on it and bring a draft to the Feb. meeting.

Dr. Eichler requested members to review the list, and bring suggestions for changes to any question(s) a member feels needs to be clarified.

Ms. Donohue will email a copy of the letter sent to all applicants with the Jurisprudence Exam, which states the “Ethics” document is being provided, and will be needed to respond to questions on the exam.

Agenda Item 9 – Regulations (continued)

The Board returned to the discussion of redrafting and sending changes to 12 AAC 28.910. Ms. Hauff offered to redraft following Ms. Horetski’s direction, and send a copy to Ms. Donohue. Because the Board will want to review the new draft at the Feb. 2009 meeting, Dr. Eichler requested that a supplemental public notice be sent advising the Board is still working on this project.

The Committee working on the regulation project to implement HB319 presented a draft of the Collaborative Agreement regulations for the Board to consider.
Upon a motion duly made by Dr. Neslund, seconded by Ms. Hauff and approved unanimously, it was:

**RESOLVED to adopt a Regulation Project to draft regulations outlining the requirements for a dental hygienist to enter a Collaborative Agreement, as provided for in AS 08.32.115.**

Once the regulations have been finalized and become effective, the applications to enter Collaborative Agreements will come to regularly scheduled meetings, to be considered by the entire Board, the same as the continuing education course applications are currently being done. One member of the Board will be responsible to follow-up on the application, but the entire Board will be provided copies, and are welcome to do their own follow-up for discussion at the meeting.

Further work is needed to develop the requirements for obtaining a Coronal Polishing and Expanded Function certificate, and will be presented at the Feb. 2009 meeting. These will be adopted as a separate regulation project at that time.

Following discussion and review of the regulations:

Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Fellenberg, seconded by Dr. Walther and approved unanimously, it was:

**RESOLVED to adopt a Regulation Project to delete the words “active general” in 12 AAC 28.400(a), 12 AAC 28.405(a) and 12 AAC 28.420(a); the word “active” in 12 AAC 400(b), 12 AAC 28.405(b) and 12 AAC 28.420(a); amend 12 AAC 28.937 by adding a clause to read “In addition to the requirements of this section, an applicant for dental hygiene license by credentials must document completion of the jurisprudence questionnaire prepared by the board, covering the provisions of AS 08.32, AS 08.36 and this chapter”.

**Agenda Item 12 – Goals & Objectives**

Dr. Eichler reviewed the Goals & Objectives. There were no changes or additions to the list.
Agenda Item 13 – Office Business

A. Travel Authorizations

Ms. Donohue collected signed TAs and travel receipts.

B. Meeting Dates for 2009

The board confirmed the following scheduled meeting dates:

   February 19-20, 2009 in Juneau
   June 26, 2009 in Anchorage

   The dates for the September 2009 meeting in Fairbanks will be confirmed at the June meeting.

C. Sign Wall Certificates

   The Chairman and Secretary signed wall certificates.

Agenda Item 14 – New/Old Business

Mr. Gursey requested parking instruction for Anchorage meetings. Ms. Donohue advised she will check with the Atwood Bldg. management prior to the next Anchorage meeting, and relay parking instructions to the Board members.

Dr. Walther reported that teeth whitening was the main topic of discussion at the AADE Annual Meeting, held in San Antonio, TX., Oct. 15-16, 2008. He remarked that after hearing what other states are doing he is very comfortable with the direction the Alaska Board is taking. Response to this issue is very much in flux as states attempt to get a handle on the practice.

Another topic of discussion at the meeting was “Fitness for Duty” guidelines, not only psychiatric evaluations but substance addiction and abuse evaluations. Anytime there is a psychiatric or substance abuse issue, or the Board feels there is an issue, on a case that comes before them, it is wise to have a forensic psychiatrist or addictive psychiatrist involved, as someone who is actually qualified in that realm of psychiatry, to do the evaluation. Definitely never use the defendant’s personal psychiatrist, therapist, or counselor as a guideline to whether that person is fit to practice. The personal care provider has a conflict of interest.
Dr. Walther reported that the Joint Commission’s move to Pass/Fail grading of the National Exam was an interesting discussion. The main reason they have changed to the Pass/Fail system is because the grading system was being misused to rank students by various entities, i.e., state licensing boards, residency programs. Because of the ranking, students were taking the exam even after they had passed it in an attempt to obtain a higher score. The Joint Commission is concerned about the integrity of the exam score for students taking it once they have passed. Dr. Walther said it was a very informative presentation.

Dr. Eichler explained that there is no exam for entrance to residency programs, so currently the National Exam is the only tool available to assure an adequate level of skills proficiency. An exam for entrance to residency programs needs to be developed.

The Annual Meeting dates for the 2009 AADA and AADE meetings are: AADE, Sept. 30-Oct. 1, 2009; the AADA, Oct. 2-3, 2009 in Honolulu, HI.

Following discussion, and receiving Dr. Walther’s consent, the Board nominated Dr. Walther to attend the AADE Mid-Year Meeting in Chicago in March.

Upon a motion by Dr. Pihl, seconded by Ms. Fellenberg and approved unanimously, it was:

RESOLVED to approve Dr. Walther to attend the AADE Mid-Year Meeting in Chicago in April.

Dr. Eichler called for further discussion regarding if licensees must provide a copy of their current CPR certification at time of renewal.

Upon a motion by Dr. Neslund, seconded by Dr. Pihl and approved unanimously, it was:

RESOLVED to change the current practice of having licensees provide a copy of their current CPR certification with their license renewal. Instead, as part of the renewal form, the applicant must attest they hold a current CPR certification. At time of continuing education audit, a copy of the current certification will be required.
Agenda Item 7 – Review Applications (continued)

Mandatory CE Audit Review

The following were reviewed pursuant to Mandatory Audit of continuing education prior to license renewal:

Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Fellenberg, seconded by Ms. Hauff and approved unanimously, it was:

RESOLVED to approve the continuing education provided by Jody Sessom, holding Dental License #865, in compliance with Mandatory Audit prior to license renewal.

Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Fellenberg, seconded by Dr. Walther and approved unanimously, it was:

RESOLVED to approve the continuing education provided by Phillip Mabry, holding Dental License #377, in compliance with Mandatory Audit prior to license renewal.

Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Fellenberg, seconded by Dr. Pihl and approved unanimously, it was:

RESOLVED to approve the continuing education provided by Jeb Simpson, holding Dental Hygiene License #804, in compliance with Mandatory Audit prior to license renewal.

Upon a motion duly made by Ms. Fellenberg, seconded by Ms. Hauff and approved unanimously, it was:

RESOLVED to approve the continuing education provided by Catherine McQuigg, holding Dental Hygiene License #741, in compliance with Mandatory Audit prior to license renewal.
Agenda Item 15 - Adjourn

There being no further business Dr. Eichler called for a motion to adjourn.

Upon a motion by Mr. Gursey, seconded by Dr. Neslund and approved unanimously, it was:

RESOLVED to adjourn the meeting of the Board of Dental Examiners.

The board adjourned the meeting at 3:05 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted:

_______________________________
Brenda Donohue, Licensing Examiner

APPROVED:

_______________________________
David Eichler, DMD
Chairman
Board of Dental Examiners

Date: __________________________
Ms. Fellenberg

- Review list of questions asked of dental credentials applicants and bring suggestions to the Feb. 2009 meeting.

Dr. Gerace

- Review Course Approvals for continuing education.
- Continue working on draft of proposed regulations to implement coronal polishing certificate and restorative certificate for dental assistants; and restorative function license endorsement and collaborative agreement requirements for dental hygienists.
- Review list of questions asked of dental credentials applicants and bring suggestions to the Feb. 2009 meeting.

Dr. Eichler

- Review list of questions asked of dental credentials applicants and bring suggestions to the Feb. 2009 meeting.

Dr. Arne Pihl

- Review list of questions asked of dental credentials applicants and bring suggestions to the Feb. 2009 meeting.

Dr. Kevin Gottlieb

- Review list of questions asked of dental credentials applicants and bring suggestions to the Feb. 2009 meeting.

Vicki Hauff

- Backup person for reviewing Course Approvals
- Continue working on draft of proposed regulations to implement coronal polishing certificate and restorative certificate for dental assistants; and restorative function license endorsement and collaborative agreement requirements for dental hygienists.
- Draft changes to 12 AAC 28.910, Denial of Licensure.
**Gregory Gursey**

- Review list of questions asked of dental credentials applicants and bring suggestions to the Feb. 2009 meeting.

**Dr. Neslund**

- Review list of questions asked of dental credentials applicants and bring suggestions to the Feb. 2009 meeting.

**Dr. Walther**

- Continue working on draft of proposed regulations to implement coronal polishing certificate and restorative certificate for dental assistants; and restorative function license endorsement and collaborative agreement requirements for dental hygienists.
- Review list of questions asked of dental credentials applicants and bring suggestions to the Feb. 2009 meeting.

**Licensing Examiner**

- Work on board’s web site – updating/adding information
- CE Course approval letters to applicants
- Letter to dual license holders that they are welcome to renew both general and specialty license
- Obtain parking instructions for the Anchorage meetings and include in Board Packet