

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

**STATE OF ALASKA  
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
DIVISION OF OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING  
BOARD OF REGISTRATION FOR ARCHITECTS,  
ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS**

10  
11  
12  
13

**MINUTES OF MEETING  
FEBRUARY 21-22, 2002**

14  
15  
16  
17  
18

*These draft minutes were prepared by the staff of the  
Division of Occupational Licensing.  
They have not been reviewed or approved by the Board.*

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

By authority of AS 08.01.070 (2) and in compliance with the provision of AS 44.62, Article 6, the Board of Registration for Architects, Engineers and Land Surveyors, (AELS) held a meeting February 21-22, 2002 at the State Office Building, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor Conference Room A, Juneau, Alaska 99801.

25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

**Agenda Item 1 – Call to Order and Roll Call**

32  
33  
34

Robert Miller, Chair, called the meeting to order at 9:20 a.m.

35  
36  
37  
38

Members present and constituting a quorum of the Board were:

39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

- Robert Miller, Chairperson, Civil Engineer
- Patrick Kalen, Land Surveyor
- Lance Mearig, Civil Engineer
- Kathleen Gardner, Vice-Chairperson, Mechanical Engineer
- Daphne Brown, Architect
- Patricia Peirsol, Architect

46  
47  
48  
49

Absent at Roll call but joining the meeting at plane time was:  
Ernie Siemoneit, Secretary, Mining Engineer

Absent were:

Donald J. Iverson, Electrical Engineer, on February 21, 2002  
Scott McLane, Land Surveyor, on February 21-22, 2002

Representing the Division of Occupational Licensing:

Nancy Hemenway, Executive Administrator  
Susan Frederick, Licensing Examiner

Joining a portion of the meeting, in person, on February 21, 2002 were:

Scott Sandlin, representing The American Institute of Architects (AIA) Alaska Chapter  
PO Box 242151, Anchorage, AK 99524

Ted Trueblood

1 PO Box 873644  
2 Wasilla, AK 99687

3  
4 Paul Whipple  
5 1042 E 6<sup>th</sup> Ave.  
6 Anchorage, AK 99501

7  
8 Bob Gilfilian  
9 2605 Denaili St., Suite 203  
10 Anchorage, AK 99503

11  
12 Dale Nelson  
13 409 W. 12<sup>th</sup> Ave.  
14 Anchorage, AK 99501

15  
16 James Bibb  
17 522 W. 10<sup>th</sup> St.  
18 Juneau, AK 99801

19  
20 Sean Boily  
21 1551 Sunset Drive  
22 Anchorage, AK 99508

23  
24 Terry Schoenthal  
25 3410 Korovin Bay Circle  
26 Anchorage, AK

27  
28 Jeff Wilson  
29 1405 W 33<sup>rd</sup> Ave.  
30 Anchorage, AK 99503

31  
32 Jessica Cederberg  
33 3424 Lee Street  
34 Anchorage, AK 99504

35  
36 Ray Plummer  
37 4040 "B" Street  
38 Anchorage, AK 99503

39  
40 **Agenda Item 2 – Review/Revise Agenda**

41  
42 The Chair asked for revisions to the agenda, and revisions were made as follows:

43  
44 Kalen asked to take up Alaska Land Surveying exam (AKLS) under Old Business #19.

45  
46 Items added under New Business:

47  
48 Brown asked to take up the matter of International Building Codes, if time permits, under New  
49 Business.

1  
2 Peirsol asked to take up the Associated General Contractor’s memo about a construction  
3 management degree, under New Business.

4  
5 **Agenda Item 3 – Ethics Report**

6  
7 The Chair noted there were no ethic reports by Board members.

8  
9 **Agenda Item 4 – Review/Approve Minutes**

10  
11 The Chair asked for any corrections or additions to the November 2001 AELS draft minutes.

12  
13 Brown suggested that page 37 be revised for clarity of action.

14  
15 Kalen suggested that Page 2, line 39, should read, “We will start agenda item 20, Continuing  
16 Education, on time”; and Page 3, Line 20, insert, “with an \$8,000 Budget.

17  
18 Cyra-Korsgaard suggested that Page 10, Line 11 should read, after DCED, “investigator”; Page  
19 10 line 13, should read, “Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Division of Parks” instead of  
20 “Park Service”; and on Page 10, Line 20, Cyra-Korsgaard returned.

21  
22 Peirsol noted there were a number of technical or editing corrections to the November 2002  
23 minutes, and she would provide staff with the corrections. She asked if P 29, Line 47, should  
24 read, “land surveyors” and Kalen noted it was “engineers”.

25  
26 **On a motion duly made by Kalen, seconded by Brown, and carried unanimously, it  
27 was**

28 **RESOLVED to approve the November 15-16, 2001 AELS Board meeting  
29 minutes, as corrected.**

30  
31 **Agenda Item 5 – Correspondence**

32  
33 The Chair noted that the first item was a letter from Mark D. Anderson, 9401 Buddy Werner Dr.,  
34 Anchorage, AK 99507, professional engineer, dated February 8, 2002, an item in the  
35 supplemental packet.

36  
37 The Board briefly discussed the letter and asked the Executive Administrator to forward a copy  
38 of the letter to Catherine Reardon, Director, Occupational Licensing, with a concern about the  
39 Division’s response time.

40  
41 The Chair brought up the next item, a letter from Brad Doggett, 4741 Jumar Ave, Anchorage,  
42 AK 99516, with a request for clarification of landscape architecture practice and overlap between  
43 civil engineering.

44  
45 Short discussion followed.

46  
47 The Chair noted that the definitions of civil engineering and landscape architecture stand alone  
48 for interpretation, and staff could refer him to AS 08.48.281 prohibited practice, for further  
49 clarification. The Board would discuss landscape architecture practice further, under Tab 21.

1  
2 Chair stated there were a number of other informational items on the list and in the packet.

3  
4 Discussion followed as to whether the miscellaneous correspondence should be included in  
5 Board packet. The consensus was to keep them in the public packet that is brought to each  
6 meeting and items could be copied as requested by individual board members.

7  
8 Break 10:15 a.m.

9 Reconvene 10:26 a.m.

10  
11 **Agenda Item 6 – Staff Reports**

12  
13 The Executive Administrator briefly reviewed the administrator’s report and tasks assigned and  
14 progress made on these items.

15  
16 The Executive Administrator briefly discussed the Executive Administrator report. She stated  
17 the primary focus right now has been processing renewals for the December 2001 renewal cycle.  
18 About 20% of registrants renewed online and referred members to her report for specific issues  
19 that were raised. Additionally, there were high levels of applicant files for review, over 200  
20 were here for the Board to review for the upcoming NCEES professional engineer, land surveyor  
21 PLS, Fundamentals of Land Surveying exams, AKLS exams and for Comity licenses.

22  
23 She noted there is a response to the Letter of Intent for SB 9, located at Tab 6, for the Board’s  
24 review.

25  
26 Cyra-Korsgaard asked if we could post a note on our website, in the forms section, and update in  
27 the next revision of the application forms that applicants must use the most current form, and that  
28 form is available on the AELS website.

29  
30 Siemoneit joined the meeting at 10:52 a.m.

31  
32 **AGENDA ITEM 7 – Continuing Education**

33  
34 The Chair brought up the next item, Continuing Education (CE) for discussion, and noted the  
35 Board also received some written comments, as well as part of the regulation comments under  
36 Tab 21.

37  
38 Kalen asked that the proposal under tab 7, for Voluntary CE, under purpose, have an additional  
39 sentence that would read, “and to provide for meeting comity requirements for states that do  
40 require Continuing Professional Development (CPD) currently.”

41  
42 Brown clarified that what Kalen refers to is a by-product of CE, not a purpose.

43  
44 Discussion followed.

45  
46 **On a motion duly made by Kalen, seconded by Davis, it was**

47  
48 **RESOLVED to ask the Department of Law if the Board needed the statutory authority in**  
49 **order to develop regulations for a Continuing Professional Competency (CPC) program.**

1  
2 Discussion followed.  
3  
4 The Board reviewed the current statutory authority under AS 08.48.231, which refers to AS  
5 08.01.100 and although that statute does not specifically address a program it makes reference to  
6 a program in subsection (c).

7  
8 **Kalen withdrew his motion.** The Board can first ask the Department of Law's attorney for  
9 clarification.

10  
11 The Board discussed the merits of possible incentives, such as having a field in the data base to  
12 show voluntary compliance, and that some states have offered a reduction in renewal fees.  
13 California architects did not find that there was a connection between CE and public safety  
14 enhancements. Most investigative complaints surround unlicensed practice not bad or  
15 incompetent practice. They discussed briefly that there could be considerable costs to implement  
16 CE program, in terms of staff time to audit, and to answer ongoing questions about CE.

17  
18 **On a motion duly made by Kalen, seconded by Davis, it was**  
19  
20 **RESOLVED to start a regulations project to develop regulations for a Continuing**  
21 **Education (CE) program to require mandatory continuing education for land surveyors**  
22 **once the Board has statutory authority to do so.**

23  
24 Discussion followed.  
25  
26 Kalen stated that the land surveying community has long supported CE, for about ten years, that  
27 land surveyors have not had a requirement for minimum education levels and CE may be viewed  
28 as a means of enhancing the profession. He added that there isn't a life safety issue involved  
29 with land surveying like there is for architects and engineers. Incompetence by land surveyors  
30 generally mean financial loss due to property line errors and that would fall more into welfare,  
31 not life safety issues.

32  
33 Siemoneit left at 11:30 a.m. and returned at 11:34 a.m.

34  
35 The Board noted that one option is to have the professional societies require mandatory CE  
36 rather than to have the agency provide it.

37  
38 The Chair asked the Executive Administrator to obtain a copy of the California Board of  
39 Registration for Architects report on Continuing Professional Competency, as well as updates on  
40 the number of states that have a requirement for mandatory continuing education for land  
41 surveyors.

42  
43 The Chair asked Kalen to restate the motion, and he restated the motion:

44  
45 **RESOLVED to start a regulations project to develop regulations for a Continuing**  
46 **Education (CE) program to require mandatory continuing education for land surveyors**  
47 **once the Board has statutory authority to do so.**

48  
49 **Roll Call vote on mandatory CE for land surveyors:**

<b>Board member:</b>	<b>Yeas</b>	<b>Nays</b>
<b>Brown</b>	<b>x</b>	
<b>Davis</b>	<b>x</b>	
<b>Gardner</b>	<b>x</b>	
<b>Kalen</b>	<b>x</b>	
<b>Mearig</b>	<b>x</b>	
<b>Miller</b>	<b>x</b>	
<b>Peirsol</b>	<b>x</b>	
<b>Siemoneit</b>		<b>x</b>

1  
2 **The Chair noted the vote carried 7-1.**

3  
4 Break for lunch at 11:50 a.m.  
5 Reconvene 1:15 p.m.

6  
7 All members are present except excused Board members Iverson and McLane.

8  
9 The Chair welcomed the visitors and opened the public comment on the Regulations previously  
10 public noticed.

11  
12 **AGENDA ITEM 9 – Public Comment**

13  
14 Proposed changes to regulations were re-noticed on January 17<sup>th</sup>, 2002. Written comment closed  
15 on February 20<sup>th</sup>, 2002. The Chair opened the hearing for oral comment at 1:15 p.m. Written  
16 comments from both public notice periods were in the Board packets.

17  
18 Dale Nelson, ASCE; James Bibb, AIA-Alaska; Terry Schoenthal; ASLA; Dean Boily, AIA; and  
19 Ray Plummer, ASPE, were present at the oral hearing and observed.

20  
21 Those commenting were:

22  
23 1. **Ted Trueblood**, representing the Alaska Professional Design Council (APDC). He noted  
24 that many registrants do not feel well informed about regulation changes and about the format  
25 that regulations are sent to registrants. He spoke to the proposed changes for engineering  
26 disciplines and stated that most of the Alaska Society for Civil Engineers (ASCE) members were  
27 opposed to adding “environmental engineers” to the disciplines currently offered. He felt that the  
28 reference to "Group I and II examinations" was vague, and needed clarification. He also  
29 indicated that it would be helpful to have an explanation for the regulation changes being  
30 considered not just the regulatory language. He requested that the Board hold over, at least for  
31 one more meeting, the proposed changes for engineering and landscape architecture.

32  
33 **Paul Whipple**, APDC, indicated that he is the incoming APDC Chair. He stated that he was  
34 also representing the Alaska Society of Professional Land Surveyors (ASPLS). ASPLS has  
35 been a strong proponent of continuing education, and he was encouraged that the Board is  
36 taking action on CE. He also noted that the Board relies heavily on its website to get  
37 information out to registrants and cautioned some people do not have access to computers,  
38 particularly in remote sites of Alaska.

1 **Bob Gilfilian**, NSPE's Professional Engineers in Private Practice (PEPP) past president, gave  
2 comment. He noted that "Group I or Group II" specialty examinations offered by NCEES was  
3 not clearly defined. He requested that the Board delay its actions on expanding engineering  
4 disciplines. He explained his practice is primarily environmental engineering and he had  
5 concerns about how these changes would affect his practice.

6  
7 **Scott Sandlin**, representing AIA-Alaska, Executive Committee, discussed the draft proposal  
8 for Architect by Comity regulation changes to 12 AAC 36.103. He suggested the Board clarify  
9 "accredited" degree programs; noted that the requirement for 10 years experience was too  
10 restrictive; and further indicated that there was some merit to require direct practical experience  
11 under an Alaskan architect; he indicated since these were comity applicants there was no need  
12 to require IDP. He further suggested the Board might want to develop a table of experience and  
13 education combinations that could ensure the combined total was met.

14  
15 **Jeff Wilson**, representing self, discussed the history and process of obtaining architectural  
16 licensing, particularly the NAAB accredited degree.

17  
18 **Jessica Cederberg**, AIA, representing self, suggested that the Board list the degrees, such as  
19 architectural engineering. She indicated the need to have strong components of education and  
20 experience requirements.

21  
22 The Chair noted that regulations projects would be taken up at 2:15 p.m. on Friday, February  
23 22, 2002 and thanked the public for participating. He noted there was no one else for public  
24 comment and that the Board would stand in recess but would come back in if anyone else came  
25 to comment during the hearing, which would end at 2:15 p.m.

26  
27 Break: 2:12 p.m.

28 Reconvene: 2:31 p.m.

29  
30 The Chair noted the oral hearing for the public comment on proposed regulations changes ended  
31 at 2:15 p.m.

### 32 33 **AGENDA ITEM 9 – Application Reviews**

34  
35 The Chair brought up the next item on the agenda, Application Reviews.

36  
37 **On a motion duly made by Kalen, seconded by Gardner, and unanimously adopted, it was**

38  
39 **RESOLVED to go into executive session for the purpose of reviewing applicant files at**  
40 **2:35 p.m.**

41  
42 The Board recessed at 6:40 p.m.

1 **Friday, February 22, 2002**

2  
3 **Agenda Item 10 – Convene/ Roll Call**

4  
5 Robert Miller, Chair, called the meeting to order at 8:39 a.m.

6  
7 Members present and constituting a quorum of the Board were:

8  
9 Robert Miller, Chairperson, Civil Engineer  
10 Linda Cyra-Korsgaard, Landscape Architect, Temporary Board Member  
11 Donald J. Iverson, Electrical Engineer  
12 Patrick Kalen, Land Surveyor  
13 Lance Mearig, Civil Engineer  
14 Kathleen Gardner, Vice-Chairperson, Mechanical Engineer  
15 Daphne Brown, Architect  
16 Patricia Peirsol, Architect  
17 Ernie Siemoneit, Secretary, Mining Engineer

18  
19 Excused was:

20 Scott McLane, Land Surveyor

21  
22 Representing the Division of Occupational Licensing:

23  
24 Nancy Hemenway, Executive Administrator  
25 Susan Frederick, Licensing Examiner

26  
27 Joining a portion of the meeting, in person, were:

28  
29 Catherine Reardon, Director, Division of Occupational Licensing  
30 Brad Brinkman, Alaska Department of Law  
31 Kurt West, Regulations Specialist

32  
33 Scott Sandlin, representing AIA Alaska  
34 PO Box 242151, Anchorage, AK 99524

35  
36 James Bibb  
37 522 W. 10<sup>th</sup> St.  
38 Juneau, AK 99801

39  
40 Sean Boily  
41 1551 Sunset Drive  
42 Anchorage, AK 99508

43  
44 John C. Hess  
45 4920 Omega Circle  
46 Anchorage, AK 995087

47  
48 Evelyn Rousso  
49 PMB 255, 210 W. Willoughby Ave.

1 Juneau, AK 99801

2  
3 Neil Windsor  
4 Association of Professional Engineers, Geologists, and Geophysicists of Alberta  
5 (APEGGA)  
6 10060 Jasper Ave. Edmonton AB T5J 4A2  
7

8 Sue Evison  
9 Association of Professional Engineers, Geologists, and Geophysicists of Alberta  
10 (APEGGA)  
11 10060 Jasper Ave. Edmonton AB T5J 4A2  
12

13 Gary White  
14 Association of Professional Engineers of Yukon (APEY)  
15 4078 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue #10  
16 Whitehorse, Yukon  
17

18 Ross Dorward  
19 Association of Professional Engineers of Yukon (APEY)  
20 4078 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue #10  
21 Whitehorse, Yukon  
22

23 The Chair asked if there were any concerns about files that needed to be addressed and there  
24 were a number of files that still needed to be reviewed.  
25

26 **On a motion duly made by Kalen, seconded by Gardner, and unanimously adopted, it was**

27  
28 **RESOLVED to go back into executive session for the purpose of reviewing applicant files**  
29 **at 8:43 a.m.**  
30

31 The Board came out of Executive Session at 10:12 a.m. The Chair announced that Catherine  
32 Reardon, Director, Occupational Licensing was present, and that the Board would move to  
33 Agenda Item 16.  
34

35 **Agenda Item 16 - Director's Comments**

36  
37 Catherine Reardon, Director, Division of Occupational Licensing, joined the meeting at  
38 10:12 a.m.  
39

40 Reardon discussed reappointments to the AELS Board and the reappointment process. While lag  
41 times often happen in the appointment or reappointment process, the existing appointment  
42 frequently serves the additional time. So in this instance, if a new appointment is not made for  
43 Mearig's position until next year, he would continue to serve. Reardon indicated she would pass  
44 on the Board's desire to have the two members appointments made during this Governor's term.  
45

46 Kalen noted that the Legislature has been approached about introducing a bill that would alter  
47 term limits for the AELS Board.  
48

1 Brown mentioned the WCARB meeting would be held in Anchorage next spring and asked if  
2 additional travel funds would be available if the quarterly Board meeting were held before or  
3 after that meeting.

4  
5 Reardon responded that if the costs were \$2,000- \$3,000 that it would probably be fine.

6  
7 The Chair mentioned that the Board asked for a legislative request for changes to the exemptions  
8 for Specialty Contractors.

9  
10 Brown explained the Board's concern over the Specialty Contractor exemption and the broad use  
11 of this exemption that reads: AS 08.48.331(7) "a specialty contractor licensed under AS 08.18  
12 while engaged in the business of construction contracting or designing systems for work within  
13 the specialty to be performed or supervised by the specialty contractor, or a contractor preparing  
14 shop or field drawings for work that the specialty contractor has contracted to perform."

15  
16 Brown emphasized that the Board wanted to make sure that contractors could do their work but  
17 that if a specialty contractor was doing plumbing design, they would need to either have a  
18 registered engineer on staff or must contract it out. The Board wants to make it clear that we  
19 allow them to be construction contractors but not architects or engineers.

20  
21 Reardon noted that she forwarded the Board's request but that the Governor was not interested in  
22 introducing a bill because he is limiting his legislation in his final term. She suggested that the  
23 Board may wish to approach the Alaska Professional Design Council (APDC) to make the  
24 request for legislation.

25  
26 Miller noted he would discuss this with the APDC.

27  
28 The Board discussed the Budget Summary Report with Reardon and the future format for  
29 information that would give the Board a clearer comparison of allocation and expenditures.

30  
31 Mearig asked for a "roll forward tracking" from prior history, just one box, on the budget  
32 summary reports to better identify the surplus or deficit.

33  
34 **Agenda Item 15- Meet with Assistant Attorney General**

35  
36 Brad Brinkman, Assistant Attorney General, joined the Board at 11:00 a.m.

37  
38 Brinkman reiterated that the Board does not have specific statutory authority to write regulations  
39 for a CE program.

40  
41 Miller explained that the Board started a new regulations project and asked if they should hold it  
42 over to the next meeting and Brinkman responded they should do so.

43  
44 Brinkman advised the Board that if they move to accept Canadian engineering work experience  
45 for engineers as acceptable they would likely open the door to other countries as well, such as  
46 Mexico.

1 The Board discussed the Canadian engineering program and that the experience component of  
2 the Canadian system is better controlled than in the United States, and is similar to the Alaska  
3 mentoring program.

4  
5 Brinkman noted that the Board could consider the engineering experience, education and  
6 examination process in the Canadian model and compare it to the Alaska and U.S. standards but  
7 they would need to establish this on the record.

8  
9 Iverson noted that only the work experience factor compared because there is not a practical  
10 examination in Canada; that there are no outcomes testing in the Canadian system.

11  
12 The Chair thanked Brinkman, and brought up Canadian Engineering Reciprocity under the next  
13 agenda item, Old Business.

#### 14 **Agenda Item 18 – Old Business**

15  
16  
17 The Chair introduced Neil Windsor, and Sue Evison, Association of Professional Engineers,  
18 Geologists, and Geophysicists of Alberta (APEGGA). Windsor explained his program using a  
19 power point presentation handout.

20  
21 He gave an overview of the Canadian system, the organizations, mobility initiatives,  
22 comparisons & conclusions: Their system is comprised of 12 provincial/territorial associations  
23 and there are national associations, the Canadian Council of Professional Engineers (CCPE), and  
24 the Canadian Council of Professional Geoscientists (CCPG).

25  
26 The CCPE is a federation of the 12 engineering associations whose mandate is to coordinate the  
27 activities of licensing, professional practice and education. They have 4 major committees that  
28 oversee accreditation, qualifications, engineering resources, and international affairs. The  
29 Canadian Engineering Accreditation Board (CEAB) ensures that engineering programs meet or  
30 exceed the educational standards acceptable for professional engineering registration in Canada,  
31 ascertain equivalency of engineering accreditation systems and programs in other countries. The  
32 Canadian Engineering Qualifications Board (CEQB) provides guidelines for admission  
33 standards, practice standards, continuing competency, discipline and enforcement, as well as  
34 collect and analyze data on foreign engineering educational institutions. The Canadian  
35 Engineering Resources Board (CERB) was established to develop national guidelines, maintain a  
36 current profile of enrollment and degrees offered, and identify trends and issues within the  
37 engineering environment.

38  
39 Canadian and United States education standards for engineering is recognized in international  
40 accreditation agreements (through the CEAB or ABET accreditation). APEGGA's mission is to  
41 serve society and protect the public by regulating, enhancing, and providing leadership in the  
42 practice of the professions of engineering, geology, and geophysics.

43  
44 The Canadian Professional Associations work closely with the universities in setting the  
45 requirements for the engineering curriculum. In doing so, engineers are tested within the  
46 universities and there are not technical practice exams given in Canada as the are in the United  
47 States (no FE or PE exams). The Canadian program has a rigorous work experience program.

1 Mr. Windsor felt that there should be mobility between Canada and the United States and  
2 APEGGA has been working at the international level with organizations such as NCEES, and  
3 PNWER to foster mutual recognition agreements for registration and licensure of professional  
4 engineers.

5  
6 Mr. Windsor noted that the APEGGA has been attending the NCEES meetings, The PNWER  
7 meetings, the NCEES Western Zone meetings, and has worked to achieve agreements with  
8 individual jurisdictions in terms of reciprocity. He indicated that Texas and Arizona are both  
9 very interested in working on mutual recognition agreements (MRD) with them, and they would  
10 like to add Alaska as another jurisdiction for the MRD. He also mentioned that they met with  
11 state legislators who were receptive to a reciprocal licensing agreement between APEGGA and  
12 Alaska.

13  
14 The Chair thanked Mr. Windsor for his presentation and interest in international comity.

15  
16 Break for lunch at 12: 45 p.m.

17 Reconvened: 1:40 p.m.

18  
19 Peirsol was absent.

20  
21 The Chair moved to take up the Investigator's report.

22  
23 **Agenda Item 13 and Item 14- Investigator Report and Investigator Discussion Items**

24  
25 The Chair noted that John Clark would not be joining the Board due to a death in his family.

26  
27 The Board discussed the Investigator's report, and noted that two accusations had been file  
28 during this period, and the improvements in the level of investigative activity over the past two  
29 years.

30  
31 The Chair brought up the next item on the agenda.

32  
33 **Agenda Item 17- Subgroups**

34  
35 The Chair indicated that due to the lengthy executive session, the large number of files the Board  
36 had to review, that they would not break into subgroups during this meeting. There was no  
37 objection.

38  
39 Mearig asked to have the modified Work experience verification forms in the next packet for  
40 discussion.

41  
42 The Chair moved to the next item on the agenda, under Old Business, Canadian Reciprocity.

43  
44 **Agenda Item 18 – Old Business**

45  
46 The Chair moved to take up Canadian Reciprocity for Engineers to see if the Board could reach  
47 any consensus on this item. He suggested that the education component (of the three segments,  
48 education, experience and examinations) was similar in that the group is accredited and accepted  
49 by the National Council for Examiners for Engineers and Land Surveyors (NCEES) and ABET.

1  
2 The Board discussed that comity and examination applicants should be treated equal. They  
3 agreed that the discussion was limited to engineers, and that the professional engineer  
4 examination was the one element that could not be waived. The Board felt it was possible to  
5 review the education and experience elements, and perhaps waive the fundamentals of  
6 engineering examination because of the Canadian mentoring program.

7  
8 Peirsol rejoined the meeting at 2:00 p.m.

9  
10 **On a motion duly made by Brown, seconded by Gardner, and unanimously approved, it**  
11 **was**

12  
13 **RESOLVED for the Canadian Comity subgroup to meet on the direction on comity for**  
14 **professional engineer registration and report back at the May 2002 meeting.**

15  
16 Short discussion followed. The Board discussed membership and Iverson, Davis, Miller and  
17 Gardner comprise the Canadian Comity subgroup.

18  
19 The Chair brought up under Old Business, **(a) Autonomy** and Gardner indicated that in the  
20 interest of time, it could be held over until the May 2002 meeting.

21  
22 The Chair brought up under Old Business, **(b) Legislation**, HB 227, for discussion.

23  
24 Kalen reported that Paul Whipple, APDC, met with Representative Harris's office and indicated  
25 that this issue surrounds mortgage surveys, that it was too big a project for the volunteers to  
26 handle during the interim, but that work would continue and that they would report back to the  
27 next Legislature. He noted this matter was done for now and he did not anticipate any further  
28 action this legislative session.

29  
30 The Chair brought up the House Finance letter of intent that was attached to the sunset audit bill,  
31 SB 9, last legislative session. The Chair indicated that he responded to the request for a report to  
32 the House Finance Committee on two issues: Board action on Architect by Comity, and  
33 Continuing Education.

34  
35 Brown noted two corrections to the letter in terms of the architectural degrees listed and  
36 requested that staff make these corrections in the update to the House Finance Committee.

37  
38 Kalen noted that he was willing to work with the legislature on term limits, and authority for  
39 continuing education.

40  
41 The Board discussed continuing education and the lack of specific responses from the Alaska  
42 Society of Professional Land Surveyors (ASPLS) regarding proposed regulation changes.

43  
44 Kalen indicated that Karen Tilton brought forth a proposal at the May 2001 meeting and that the  
45 ASPLS gave their support at that time.

46  
47 Mearig suggested that the Board respond to the House Finance Co-Chairs that they don't have  
48 the statutory authority for CE.

1 Siemoneit stated that he does not like the way the Board has been using Regulations projects to  
 2 float ideas and that he would prefer to develop Board intent and ask for comments prior to  
 3 embarking on regulations projects.

4  
 5 **On a motion by Peirsol, seconded by Mearig, it was**

6  
 7 **RESOLVED to reconsider the motion to start a regulations project for continuing**  
 8 **education for land surveyors.**

9  
 10 Short discussion followed. Some Board members felt uncertain that the ASPLS spoke for the  
 11 entire land surveying community.

12  
 13 Roll Call vote to reconsider CE for Land Surveyors:

Board Member	Yeas	Nays
Davis		x
Gardner		x
Iverson	<b>not present for original vote on 2/21, so abstained.</b>	
Kalen		x
Mearig	x	
Miller	x	
Peirsol	x	
Siemoneit	x	
Brown	x	

14  
 15  
 16 The Chair noted that the motion passed 5-3, and that this puts before us the motion on continuing  
 17 education from yesterday, as follows:

18  
 19 **On a motion duly made by Kalen, seconded by Davis, it was**

20  
 21 **RESOLVED to start a regulations project to develop regulations for a Continuing**  
 22 **Education (CE) program to require mandatory continuing education for land surveyors**  
 23 **once the Board has statutory authority to do so.**

24  
 25 **Siemoneit objected.**

26  
 27 **Roll Call vote on land surveyor CE:**

Board Member	Yeas	Nays
Gardner	x	
Iverson	x	
Kalen	x	
Mearig		x
Miller	x	
Siemoneit	x	
Brown	x	
Davis	x	
Peirsol		x

1  
2 The Chair noted that the motion passed, 7-2 in favor of developing the regulations project once  
3 legislative authority is granted.  
4

5 **On a motion duly made by Kalen, seconded by Davis, it was**

6  
7 **RESOLVED that the Board favors the adoption of a statute that would enable it to adopt**  
8 **regulations concerning requirements for continuing education.**  
9

10 Kalen indicated his willingness to forward a proposal for authority to have a permissive statute  
11 change for the Continuing Education program.  
12

13 Mearig suggested that the Board forward this information to the House Finance Committee  
14 Co-Chairs, and Iverson agreed that the written proposed language should be attached to the  
15 letter.  
16

17 Kalen asked to bring up term limits and referred to his handout, "Proposed Statute Change from  
18 the AELS Board," and he read:  
19

20 The Board has, in the past experienced problems deriving from an overly harsh provision of the  
21 term limit law, AS 08.01.035. Some vacancies have been difficult to fill, because of the short  
22 time remaining when a person does not complete a term. The other problem is that when a  
23 person does take a partial term, it counts as a full term, no matter how short the partial term may  
24 be. Thus, the Board may be deprived the value of continued service from someone who has  
25 become an asset to the Board by virtue of experience, and who might agree to serving a second  
26 full term.  
27

28 The Board favors amending the statutes so that for term limit purposes, the time that is  
29 considered to represent a full term on the board must be two or more years. The modification  
30 would only affect the Board of Registration for Architects, Engineers, Land Surveyors, and  
31 Landscape Architects if the following wording is added to AS 08.48.011 (or .21) (or .31):  
32

33 Notwithstanding provisions of AS 08.01.035, a member of the board who has served all of two  
34 successive full terms may not be reappointed unless four years have elapsed since the person has  
35 last served on the board. For purposes of this section, a full term is considered to be for two  
36 years or more of a term.  
37

38 **On a motion duly made by Kalen, seconded by Iverson, and unanimously approved, it was**

39  
40 **RESOLVED to support a change to AELS term limits as follows: Amend AS 08.48.031 by**  
41 **adding the following words, "Notwithstanding provisions of AS 08.01.035, a member of the**  
42 **Board who has served all of two successive full terms may not be reappointed unless four**  
43 **years have elapsed since the person has last served on the Board. For purposes of this**  
44 **section, a full term is considered to be two years or more of a term."**  
45

46 The Chair noted that the Board has had a concern about Board members with regard to fractional  
47 and partial appointments counting as a full term and that this proposed action would address that  
48 concern. He noted that there were not any objections and the motion passed.  
49

1 **Agenda Item – 21 Proposed Regulations Changes**

2  
3 The Chair brought up the next item for discussion, Agenda Item – 21, Proposed Regulation  
4 Changes.

5  
6 **(a) Discussion and action on Architect by Comity**

7  
8 **On a motion by Davis, seconded by Kalen, it was**

9  
10 **Resolved to adopt the proposed regulation change for Architect by Comity (12 AAC**  
11 **36.103) public noticed in October, and re-noticed in January 2002.**

12  
13 **On an amendment by Davis, seconded by Brown, after ‘degree’, to add in (B)(i) “in any**  
14 **subject”; and to modify (B) (iv) after “jurisdiction;”to delete, “and”.**

15  
16 Kalen left at 3:00 p.m. and returned at 3:03 p.m.

17  
18 The Board discussed the requirement for the Intern Development Program (IDP) and noted that  
19 anyone seeking registration after 1990 must have IDP, and that any issues surrounding IDP could  
20 be discussed separately and were not part of this proposal.

21  
22 Brown indicated that this requirement for IDP is not for the council certification, but verification  
23 from NCARB that the applicant has met the 3-year training requirement IDP training  
24 requirements.

25  
26 The Board briefly discussed the suggestion that some work experience specifically be required in  
27 Alaska and they discussed the suggestion made by the public that the requirement for arctic  
28 course may not offer adequate protection.

29  
30 Break: 3:05 p.m.

31 Reconvene: 3:13 p.m.

32  
33 The Chair asked if there were any comments.

34  
35 Davis wanted to move forward and adopt the proposed regulation changes.

36  
37 Brown spoke in opposition because the proposal was outside the NCARB guidelines and she  
38 preferred to use the NCARB Broadly Experienced Architect process as the alternative, although  
39 she recognized it wasn't an easy process. She noted that the American Institute of Architects-  
40 Alaska (AIA-AK) does not represent all architects and that there were letters in the packet in  
41 opposition to this proposal.

42  
43 Iverson indicated he was leaning in favor of the proposed regulation changes because he thought  
44 the difference was the 10-year experience requirement in the state in which the applicant is  
45 licensed.

46  
47 Mearig stated he was opposed to the proposed regulation change because it is moving in the  
48 wrong direction. As the other professions are moving toward the requirement of a 4-year degree  
49 minimum standard, he felt that the tighter guidelines were in order. He recognized that the

1 particular individuals forwarding this proposal might be well-qualified architects, but that  
2 changing the regulations would also allow less qualified applicants to gain comity.

3  
4 Peirsol felt that the Board had heard thoughtful comments and that we should not penalize  
5 applicants because they are outside of the NCARB standards. She felt that the NCARB has been  
6 moving toward some additional alternative. She is adamantly opposed to only one method for  
7 entrance to licensure by comity, not just in Alaska. Peirsol advised that there was also a letter of  
8 support from APDC in the packet.

9  
10 Cyra-Korsgaard stated this proposal was moving backward and not toward the national norm,  
11 and if the Board felt that the standards were changing, we should wait until they were addressed  
12 at the national level.

13  
14 The Chair referenced, 12 AAC 36.103 (B)

15 (ii) at least ten years of responsible charge experience subsequent to initial  
16 licensure in another jurisdiction as verified by an architect registered at the time of  
17 providing the supervision;

18  
19 The Chair noted that the responsible charge experience referred to in 12 AAC 36.103 (B)(ii) is  
20 experience that could be acquired in any jurisdiction. The Board agreed that was the intent of this  
21 provision.

22  
23 Siemoneit expressed his view that this was an example of a regulations project that was a  
24 symptom of advancing ideas in the form of a regulations project that he suspected did not have  
25 majority favor among the Board.

26  
27  
28 **On a motion by Peirsol, seconded by Brown, it was**  
29 **RESOLVED to table the motion to adopt the proposed regulation change for Architect by**  
30 **Comity (12 AAC 36.103) public noticed in October, and re-noticed in January 2002.**

31  
32 The Chair noted the vote was:

33

Board Member	Yeas	Nays
Gardner		<b>x</b>
Iverson		<b>x</b>
Kalen		<b>x</b>
Mearig		<b>x</b>
Miller		<b>x</b>
Siemoneit		<b>x</b>
Brown	<b>x</b>	
Davis		<b>x</b>
Peirsol	<b>x</b>	

34  
35 The Chair noted that the motion to table failed 7-2.

36  
37 Gardner expressed support for the proposal as an alternative path for architect by comity and that  
38 it did not weaken or lessen our high standard or jeopardize public safety.

1 The Chair asked Gardner to Chair the meeting in order for him to speak on this proposal.

2  
3 Gardner assumed the Chair, and recognized Miller.

4  
5 Miller spoke in opposition to the proposal because he believes the proposal diminished  
6 educational requirement.

7  
8 Kalen asked for a roll call vote.

9  
10  
11 Roll call vote to adopt the proposed regulation change for Architect by Comity:

Board Member	Yeas	Nays
Gardner	x	
Iverson		x
Kalen	x	
Mearig		x
Siemoneit		x
Brown	x	
Davis		x
Peirsol	x	
Miller		x

12  
13 The Chair noted that the motion to adopt the proposed regulations change failed 5-4. There were  
14 no objections and the motion failed.

15  
16 Miller resumed as Chair of the meeting and he brought up the next item, under Agenda Item –  
17 21, Proposed Regulations, b) Discussion and action on Engineering Disciplines, and (i)  
18 definition for “environmental engineering.”

19  
20 **On a motion by Brown, seconded by Mearig, and unanimously adopted, it was**  
21 **RESOLVED to holdover the proposed regulation changes for**  
22 **engineering non-discipline specific licensing, and seals proposal at the May 2002 meeting.**

23  
24 Mearig commented that we created a solution for something that doesn’t seem to be a pressing  
25 problem.

26  
27 The Board held a short discussion about the comments received asking for more time to consider  
28 the proposal and the level of past interest to add other disciplines such as environmental  
29 engineering, fire protection and control systems.

30  
31 **On a motion duly made by Kalen, seconded by Brown, and unanimously adopted, it was**  
32 **RESOLVED to approve the definitions under 12 AAC 36.990 (35) for “aspect of landscape**  
33 **architecture”.**

34  
35  
36 Brown indicated the Board had adopted the definition at the last meeting.

37  
38 The Executive Administrator responded that while the Board had adopted the definition at the  
39 November meeting, at the request of the Board’s attorney the Board voted to re-notice the entire

1 project since the attorney felt that taking additional oral comment in November could taint the  
2 project.

3  
4 The Chair brought up the next item on the agenda, under Proposed Regulation Changes:

5  
6 **(b) (i) Draft language for Site Adaptation (12 AAC 36.195).**

7  
8 **On a motion duly made by Davis, seconded by Brown, and unanimously adopted, it was**  
9  
10 **RESOLVED to public notice to interested parties the proposed regulation change to 12**  
11 **AAC 36.195, site adaptation to read:**

12  
13 **(3) independently performs all calculations and maintains them on file or affixes to the**  
14 **calculations to be site adapted the following sealed statement, “I certify that I have**  
15 **reviewed these calculations and they comply with all applicable codes and regulations.”**

16  
17 The Board held a short discussion about how to ensure that the public understood the intent of  
18 these changes.

19  
20 Kurt West, Regulations Specialist, noted that he could attach an explanation to the public notice.  
21 He stated that to public notice in the newspaper advertisement the cost would be approximately  
22 \$1100 - \$1200, and just mailing to interested parties alone (about 300 parties) would be  
23 approximately \$200. The attachment would not go on the on-line state page but could  
24 accompany the public notice.

25  
26 The Chair asked Iverson to develop the cover letter explaining the Board’s intent for the  
27 proposed regulation change.

28  
29 The Chair brought up the need to identify the budget allocation for the \$106,000 increment to  
30 identify the Board’s expenditures for investigator costs and the yellow page advertising. The  
31 Executive Administrator explained that the Director has asked the Board to keep its discretionary  
32 spending within the increment.

33  
34 Mearig indicated that the Board’s spending is fine and that there were cost savings because the  
35 2<sup>nd</sup> Licensing Examiner position has been vacant since November.

36  
37 The Chair thought that the personnel costs were in a different part of the budget.

38  
39 The Chair moved back to take up agenda item, 20, Goals and Objectives.

40  
41 **Agenda item –20, Goals and Objectives**

42  
43 The Chair asked to keep the Goals and Objectives as reviewed at the November 2001 meeting  
44 and to discuss them again at the May meeting. The Board goals and objectives as of the  
45 November 2001 meeting are:

46  
47 **Goal #1 – Increase Board’s work efficiency.**

<b>Objectives</b>	<b>Lead Responsibility</b>	<b>Target Date</b>
1) Establish an orientation program for new board members to assist in getting up to speed as quickly as possible. Provide Sample applicant files to new members.	Miller	Ongoing
2) Update and maintain goals and objectives.	Davis, & Ex. Adm.	Ongoing
3) Update and maintain clear record of board operating policies and procedures previously adopted by the Board. Date and track progress of all proposed changes to these policies and procedures.		
4) Automate AELS application and licensing process by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Distributing and receiving applications electronically</li> <li>• Structuring database so that it minimizes manual data entry</li> <li>• Structuring database so that it can answer queries easily.</li> </ul>	Staff oversee and track	Ongoing
5) Pursue training for Board and staff.	Board and Staff	Ongoing
6) Pursue strategic planning.	Brown, & Ex. Adm.	Ongoing
7) Provide letter of Board's intent and understanding relating to any proposed legislative changes; develop procedures for doing the same.	Board	Ongoing
8) Establish subcommittee work at each meeting.	Chair	Ongoing

1  
2  
3  
4

**Goal #2 – Increase Board's cost effectiveness.**

<b>Objectives</b>	<b>Lead Responsibility</b>	<b>Target Date</b>
1) All Board members or administrators who attend a regional or national professional function on behalf of board shall submit a written report to rest of board to share knowledge gained.	Attending Board member and/or Staff	Every board meeting; ongoing
2) Examine financial feasibility of Board autonomy.	Gardner	2/2002
3) Obtain and analyze board budget. annually and request audit of income or expenses as appropriate.	Mearig, & Ex. Administrator	Ongoing

4) Develop regulations that cover “minor importance” overlap between Engineers, Architects professional practice.	Davis, Miller	Ongoing 2/2002
---	---------------	-------------------

1  
2  
3  
4

**Goal #3 – Ensure that all individuals practicing within state are either registered or fall within appropriate exemptions to registration.**

<b>Objectives</b>	<b>Lead Responsibility</b>	<b>Target Date</b>
1) Determine what action, if any is necessary to encourage registration of University of Alaska architects, landscape architects, land surveyors and engineering faculty.	Miller	Ongoing
2) Advertise AS 08.48.295 provision for civil penalty for unregistered and unauthorized practice.	Siemoneit ; Ex. Administrator	

5  
6  
7  
8

**Goal #4 – Ensure all materials used to establish competency in the professions are appropriate for use within Alaska.**

<b>Objectives</b>	<b>Lead Responsibility</b>	<b>Target Date</b>
1) Review Arctic Course.	Miller	5/2002
2) Update AKLS Exam.	Kalen	Ongoing
3) Audit National Standards for exams and certification.	Board and Ex. Administrator	Ongoing

9  
10  
11  
12

**Goal #5 – Ensure that Alaska standards stay within the national norms, and its licensing systems are fair and applied uniformly.**

<b>Objectives</b>	<b>Lead Responsibility</b>	<b>Target Date</b>
1) Monitor and review latest federal regulations, state board decisions, and national organization policies relating to NAFTA.	Board and Ex. Administrator	Each board meeting; ongoing
2) Obtain adequate funding to send “discipline specific” board members/ licensing examiner to National, and Zone meetings to ensure Alaska stays informed on national issues and can influence policy issues affecting their professions.	Board and Ex. Administrator	Ongoing
3) Investigate drainage, soils analysis, and hydrographic surveying under the definition of land surveying.	Kalen and McLane	2/2002

4) Investigate GIS and photogrammetry.	Kalen and McLane	2/2002
5) Research CLARB council record.	Ex. Administrator, Mearig, Cyra-Korsgaard	Ongoing
6) Stay current on all competency and regulatory issues of other jurisdictions		Ongoing

1  
2 **Goal #6 – Improve communications with applicants and licensed**  
3 **professionals.**  
4

<b>Objectives</b>	<b>Lead Responsibility</b>	<b>Target Date</b>
1) Structure databases so that applicants can access application via internet and answer queries easily (for application checklist).	Cyra-Korsgaard and staff	2/2002
2) Update AELS Web Page, including postings of commonly asked questions (FAQs).	Licensing Examiner	Ongoing
3) Update Goals and Objectives.	Davis	Ongoing
4) Provide Experience Worksheet to Applicants to assist supervisors in documenting applicant's work experience.	Mearig	2/2002

5  
6 **Goal #7 – Improve communication with public about Licensing Benefit and**  
7 **Problem Resolution Process**  
8

<b>Objectives</b>	<b>Lead Responsibility</b>	<b>Target Date</b>
1) Issue Public Service Notice with contact information for complaints.	Executive Administrator	Ongoing/W ebsite
2) Letter to BBB/Ombudsman re: contact for complaints.	Executive Administrator	11/2003
3) Educate Public about Benefit of using Licensed Professionals (in Public Service Notices).	Mearig & Executive Administrator	Ongoing/W ebsite

9  
10 The Chair moved to the next item on the agenda, Item 22, New Business.  
11

12 **Agenda Item 22 – New Business**  
13

14 The Chair noted that there was a letter from Jeffrey Garness regarding wet seals.  
15

16 **On a motion duly made by Iverson, seconded by Gardner, and unanimously adopted,**  
17 **it was moved to table this item until the May 2002 Board meeting.**  
18

19 The Chair noted there were no objections and this item will be on the May agenda.

1  
2 Kalen briefly mentioned the AKLS workshop and that he would furnish details to the Executive  
3 Administrator.

4  
5 The Chair moved to the next item on the agenda, Item 23, Read Applications into the Record.  
6

7 **Agenda Item 23- Read Applications into Record**

8  
9 **On a motion duly made by Kalen, seconded by Siemoneit , and carried**  
10 **unanimously, it was**

11 **RESOLVED to approve the following list of applications for comity and**  
12 **examination as read, with the stipulation that the information in the**  
13 **applicant’s file will take precedence over the information in the minutes:**  
14

15  
16 **Fundamentals of Engineering (FE) Examination:**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Action</b>
1) Cordova, Richard	Approved
2) Crapps, John	Approved
3) Huling, Lawrence	Approved
4) Millam, Jason	Incomplete – needs on additional year work verification or Masters degree
5) Umholtz, Adam	Approved
6) Albert, Jason	Approved
7) Armstrong, Rachel	Approved
8) Athanas, Aaron	Approved
9) Bacher, Augustino	Approved
10) Bishop, Sandra	Approved
11) Bradshaw, Donald	Approved
12) Brunson, Bradford	Approved
13) Byers, Michael	Approved
14) Calhoun, Matthew	Approved
15) Christensen Jr, , Gregory	Approved
16) Creelman, Richard	Approved
17) Darrow, Margaret	Approved
18) Dennison, Travis	Approved
19) Duncan, Thomas	Approved
20) Fischer, David	Approved
21) Grzybowski, Michelle	Approved

22) Haltaman, Joseph	Approved
23) Huntzinger, Rachel	Approved
24) Jamison, William	Approved
25) Jordan, Eliot	Approved
26) Kemp, Joseph	Approved
27) LaValley, Nathan	Approved
28) Lieb, Susan	Approved
29) Lovelace, Toby	Approved
30) Lyman, Domini	Approved
31) McCarty, Michael	Approved
32) Martin, Jonathan	Approved
33) Maniaci, Caroline	Approved
34) Martin, William	Approved
35) Meyring, Brett	Approved
36) Mumford, Sara	Approved
37) Nelson, Robin	Approved
38) Nguyen, Bao	Approved
39) Nixon, Michael	Approved
40) Prennace, Simon	Approved
41) Prince, Christopher	Approved
42) Read, Rhett	Approved
43) Rehm, Nichole	Approved
44) Rhower, Jason	Approved
45) Scarborough, Jimmy	Approved
46) Sean, Merle	Approved
47) Porhola, Stanley	Approved
48) Stephenson, Justin	Approved
49) Stoneking, Julie	Approved
50) Tadic, Daniel	Approved
51) Taylor, Anastasia	Approved
52) Traylor, Helen	Approved
53) Vaughan, Chester	Approved
54) Wessels III, John	Approved

55) White, Trevor	Approved
56) Wilson, Bryan	Approved
57) Zimmerman, Damon	Approved

**Architect by Comity:**

Name	Action
58) Baudo, David	Approved Pending Arctic engineering
59) Workley, John	Approved Pending Arctic engineering

**Landscape Architect by Exam:**

Name	Action
60) Evans, Willaim	Approved
61) Gillespie, Christopher	Incomplete, needs 9 additional months work experience

**Landscape Architect by Comity:**

Name	Action
62) Dietz, Duane A.	Approved

**Engineering by Comity – Chemical**

Name	Action
63) Lou, John Kuo Chiun	Approved
64) Murdaugh, Richard	Approved

**Engineering by Exam – Chemical**

Name	Action
65) Chartier, Kevin	Approved
66) Chowdhury, Naimul	Approved

**Engineer by Comity- Civil:**

Name	Action
67) Amundsen, James	Approved
68) Barbour, Refat	Approved
69) Cellucci, Frank	Approved pending Verification of current license
70) Chidester, Arthur	Approved
71) Dethlefs, Richard	Approved pending FE/PE verification; and verification of license in another jurisdiction
72) Dietrich, Jon	Approved
73) Erpelding, Christopher	Approved
74) Fohrell, William	Approved pending verification of current license

75) Graham, Danny	Approved pending proof of FE and arctic
76) Hagar, Lynn	Approved
77) Hooper, Barry L.	Approved pending Transcripts
78) Kelly, Laura	Approved
79) Kipper, John	Approved pending FE Verification
80) Leporini, Ernest	Approved
81) McVeigh, Henry	Approved Pending Arctic engineering
82) Riach, Ronald	Approved
83) Stringham, Stephen	Approved
84) Uyematsu, Scott	Approved pending degree transcript
85) Vekved, Daniel	Approved
86) Vinson III, Robert	Approved Pending Arctic engineering
87) Wallace, Benjamin	Approved
88) Wellenbrink, Bruce	Approved
89) Jacobs, Joseph	Approved
90) Guo, Ping	Incomplete pending arctic and US verification and two letters of reference US
91) Mucibabic, Neboisa	Approved

1  
2

**Engineer by Exam- Civil:**

<b>Name</b>	
92) Abrams, Julene	Approved
93) Adams, Michael	Incomplete – needs 42 months
94) Adler, Robert	Approved
95) Berglin, Thomas	Approved
96) Chapman, Kenneth	Conditional pending 3 <sup>rd</sup> engineering reference
97) Cinelli, Steven	Approved pending FE verification
98) Colburn, Richard	Approved
99) Cox, Michael	Approved Pending Arctic engineering
100) Denny, Hugh	Approved
101) Dunbar, James	Approved
102) Ellington, James	Approved
103) Ellis, Cindy	Approved
104) Fabrizzio, Jacqueline	Approved
105) Girouard Jr., Richard	Approved

106)	Graham, Ziba D.	Approved
107)	Hahn, Robert	Approved
108)	Hakala, Edward	Approved pending FE verification
109)	Hamilton, Kent	Approved Pending Arctic engineering
110)	Hansen, Timothy	Approved
111)	Hulse, Karl	Approved
112)	Hulse, Laurie	Approved
113)	Keppers, Craig	Approved
114)	Landry, James	Approved
115)	McMillin, Patrick	Approved
116)	Norford, Arsby	Approved Pending Arctic engineering
117)	Novy, David	Approved pending FE verification
118)	Nuss, Stephen	Approved
119)	Palmer, Heidi	Approved pending BS and MS degree verification
120)	Pekar, John	Approved
121)	Persson, Bradley	Approved
122)	Player, Roch	Approved Pending Arctic engineering and FE verification
123)	Putman, Jeffrey	Approved
124)	Ross, Travis	Approved pending FE verification
125)	Schoming, Micah	Approved
126)	Schroeder, Michael	Approved
127)	Shapiro, Judi	Approved
128)	Umholtz, Adam	Approved pending verification ABET degree, taking FE
129)	Velasco, Monica	Approved
130)	Wenger, Jason	Approved
131)	Wohlford, Cameron	Approved
132)	Wooton, John	Conditional pending 3 months' additional work experience
133)	Yi, Derrick	Approved
134)	Zinza, John	Approved

1  
2

**Engineering by Exam – Electrical**

<b>Name</b>		
135)	Hill, Kelly	Approved
136)	Hopkins, Donald	Approved

137)	LaValley, Nathan	Approved, To sit for FE
138)	Pelehowski, June	Approved
139)	Peters, Timothy	Approved
140)	Riggan, Brian	Approved

1  
2

**Engineering by Comity- Electrical:**

Name		
141)	Faust, Ronald	Approved
142)	McNeil, Bruce	Approved, Pending Arctic engineering course
143)	Netzel, John	Approved
144)	Nielsen, Thomas	Approved Pending Arctic engineering course
145)	Reed, Jason	Approved
146)	Thomson, William	Conditionally approved if has taken NCEES exam, denied if not
147)	Turkenburg, John	Approved

3  
4

**Engineering by Comity- Mechanical:**

Name		
148)	Backus, Samuel	Approved
149)	Coleman, Timothy	Approved
150)	Erwin, Peter	Approved
151)	Frichtl, Andrew	Approved Pending Arctic engineering
152)	Kaiser, Richard	Approved pending PE verification
153)	Peters, Richard	Approved
154)	Smoot, William	Approved Pending Arctic engineering

5  
6

**Engineer by Exam- Mechanical**

Name		
155)	Alonso, Joey	Approved
156)	Aughe, Gregory	Approved Pending Arctic engineering
157)	Bergan, Matthew	Approved
158)	Brady, Glenn	Approved pending transcript
159)	Dohmann, Brian	Approved
160)	Doubleday, Rolland	Approved
161)	Iverslie, Scott	Approved
162)	Kennedy, Shawn	Approved pending FE verification and transcript
163)	Koziura, Jaroslaw	Approved Pending Arctic engineering

164)	Mooney, John	Approved FE waiver granted
165)	Ross, Robert	Approved pending FE verification
166)	Winter, Daniel	Approved Pending Arctic engineering

1  
2  
3

**Fundamentals of Land Surveying Exam(FLS):**

Name		
167)	Hackleman, Kent	Incomplete pending work verification
168)	Bates, Harry	Approved
169)	Lamb, Charles	Approved
170)	Latimer, Thomas	Approved
171)	McNinch, Philip	Incomplete – needs 3 add. Years work experience verification for time before 4/02
172)	Madsen, Jason	Approved
173)	Melvin, Kermitt	Approved
174)	O’Hanley, Leonard	Incomplete pending wk verification
175)	Pederson, Brian	Approved
176)	Pierce, Grant	Approved
177)	Shavlik, Craig	Approved
178)	Sprout, Timothy	Approved
179)	Somers, Bret	Approved

4  
5

**Land Surveying by Comity (PLS/AKLS):**

Name		
180)	Westersund, Kristen (MR.)	Approved for PLS by comity pending passage of AKLS (approved to take AKLS)

6  
7

**Principles of Land Surveying by Exam: PLS/AKLS:**

Name		
181)	Allison, Richard	Approved
182)	Bates, Harry	Approved
183)	Button, Forest	Conditional pending letters from employers and application
184)	Cameron, Brian	Approved
185)	Crow, Matt	Approved
186)	Hackleman, Kent	Incomplete pending work verifications
187)	Harai, Susan	Approved
188)	Hoffman, Robert	Approved

189)	Kemp, Christopher	Conditional, needs 13 months additional work verified
190)	Lamb, Charles	Approved
191)	Latimer, Thomas	Approved
192)	Madsen, Jason	Approved
193)	O'Hanley, Leonard	Incomplete pending wk verification
194)	Pederson, Brian	Approved pending transcript and passage of FLS for licensing
195)	Raynes, Adam	Approved
196)	Reed, Timothy	Approved
197)	Smith, Jedd	Approved
198)	Sprout, Timothy	Approved, license pending passage of FLS exam
199)	Somers, Bret	Approved
200)	Spangler, Michael	Approved
201)	Stringer, Randy	Approved
202)	Batton, Desiree	Approved
203)	Morris, Samuel	Approved
204)	Farley, Mathew	Approved

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

The Chair asked if there were any objections and there were none. The Chair brought up the next item on the agenda.

**Agenda Item 24 – Board Member Reports**

The Chair noted that there were written reports that covered the meetings members had attended.

**Agenda Item 25 – Review Calendar of Events/Confirm Meeting Dates**

The Chair brought up the next item on the agenda, the tentative schedule for the quarterly AELS 2002/2003 Board Meetings and location change for February 2003, and August 2003 meetings:

May 16-17, 2002	Fairbanks
August 22-23, 2002	Anchorage
November 14-15, 2002	Anchorage
February 26-27, 2003	Anchorage
May 15-16, 2003	Fairbanks
August 21-22, 2003	Juneau
November 13-14, 2003	Anchorage

The Chair stated Board member delegates to CLARB Regional Meeting in March would be Mearig and Cyra-Korsgaard; Board member delegates to WCARB would be Peirsol , and Gardner, as well as Brown (as part of the Executive Committee budget). Board member delegates to Western Zone would be Gardner, Miller, and Kalen. Board delegates to NCARB

1 Annual meeting would be Peirsol. The Executive Administrator would attend WCARB, Western  
2 Zone and NCARB meetings.

3  
4 The Executive Administrator recapped the Board trips totaling 12 trips for FY02 as:

5  
6 NCEES Annual meeting, August 2001, Little Rock, AR

7 3 Board members attended: Iverson, Mearig, Kalen;

8  
9 APEGGA, December 2001, Edmonton, Canada:

10 1 Board member attended: Peirsol;

11  
12 CLARB regional meeting, March 2002, Cincinnati, OH

13 2 Board members would attend: Cyra-Korsgaard and Mearig;

14  
15 WCARB, regional meeting, March 2002, Portland, OR

16 2 Board members would attend: Gardner and Peirsol,  
17 as well as Brown (funded through the Executive Committee, not SOA);

18  
19 Western Zone, May 2002, Sun Valley, ID

20 2 Board members would attend: Gardner, and Kalen;

21  
22 and NCARB, June 2002, Boston, MS

23 2 Board members would attend: Peirsol and Miller .

24  
25 The Executive Administrator will attend WCARB, Western Zone, and NCARB meetings. The  
26 Executive Administrator attended NCARB MBA workshops in November 2001 (as well as the  
27 Licensing Examiner (Frederick), the Licensing Examiner (Morton) attended the NCEES annual  
28 meetings. Additional 3<sup>rd</sup> party travel covered the Executive Administrator travel to the NCEES  
29 MBA Forum, San Antonio, NCARB CCMS Task Force, Key West, FL, and Princeton, NJ.

30  
31 Brown discussed hosting the Western Conference of Architectural Registration Board  
32 (WCARB), Region 6, Meeting for 2003 and suggested that the Board meet for one day and then  
33 attend the WCARB meeting.

34  
35 The Chair announced that the NCEES Presidents' Assembly/MBA Forum would also be held in  
36 February 2003 at Girdwood, AK.

37  
38 **Item 25 – Review Task List**

39  
40 The Executive Administrator will compile task list from the minutes and send around to all  
41 Board Members.

42

Cyra-Korsgaard	Respond to correspondence to Mr. Doggett regarding landscape architectural overlap with civil engineering practice.
Davis	Serve on Canadian Comity Subgroup
Gardner	Serve on Canadian Comity Subgroup

Miller	Serve on Canadian Comity Subgroup
Kalen	Work on photogrammetry/GIS to report back in May; attend ACMS conference; forward statutory concerns to legislature
McLane	Work on photogrammetry/GIS
Siemoneit	Review yellow pages, work with Licensing Examiner on some letters to applicants
Executive Administrator	Assist Board members in responding to Correspondence
	Assist the Chair in providing the House Finance Committee with an update on Continuing Education, Architect by Comity, and term limits.
	Post disciplinary actions on website
	Public notice regulations projects: Site Adaptation 12 AAC 36.195
	Post landscape architect stamping to website as interim policy, and add to policy
	Request roll forward tracking from prior history on the budget report
	Research current land surveyor continuing professional competency requirements in other states and jurisdictions
	Forward concern to Catherine Reardon, Director, Occupational Licensing about delay in investigation (see Correspondence Tab 5)
	Obtain a copy of the California Board of Registration for Architects report on Continuing Professional Competency
Davis	Touch Bases with APDC on Industrial Exemption
Kalen	Lead on June 2002 AKLS workshop
	Lead on Fairbanks Examination Oct 2001 exams
Miller	Check on BS degree for Control Engineers
Peirsol	Provide History of 08.48
Siemoneit	Check again with Anchorage telephone directory to pursue unlicensed advertising
Catherine Reardon, Director, Occupational Licensing	Provide roll forward tracking on budget summary

1  
2  
3  
4  
5

**Item 26 – Housekeeping**

The Board members signed wall certificates and submitted travel reports as required.

1 **On a motion duly made by Kalen and seconded by Siemoneit, and carried**  
2 **unanimously, it was**

3  
4 **RESOLVED to adjourn the meeting at 4:35 p.m.**

5  
6 There were no objections and the meeting was adjourned.

7  
8  
9 Respectfully submitted:

10  
11  
12 \_\_\_\_\_  
13 Nancy Hemenway, Executive Administrator

14  
15  
16  
17 Approved:

18  
19  
20  
21 \_\_\_\_\_  
22 Robert Miller, Chair, Ph.D., P.E.  
23 Board of Registration for Architects, Engineers, and  
24 Land Surveyors

