

**STATE OF ALASKA  
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
DIVISION OF OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING  
BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST AND  
PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATE EXAMINERS**

**MINUTES OF MEETING  
June 16 – 17, 2005**

By authority of AS 08.01.070(2) and AS 08.86.030, and in compliance with the provisions of AS 44.62, Article 6, a scheduled meeting of the Board of Psychologist and Psychological Associate Examiners was held June 16 – 17, 2005, beginning at 10:00 a.m. The meeting was held in the Robert B. Atwood Building, 550 West 7<sup>th</sup> Ave., Suite 1860, Anchorage, Alaska.

**Thursday, June 16, 2005**

**Item 1      Call to Order/Roll Call**

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Miller, seconded by Dr. Bradbury, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to call the meeting to order, conduct roll call and elect new officers.**

The meeting was called to order by John Miller, Ph.D. at 10:00 a.m. There were present, constituting a quorum:

Lorin L. Bradbury, Psychologist  
John A. Miller, Psychologist  
Destiny S. Sargeant, Psychologist  
Cam Carlson, Public Member (joined the meeting an 10:10 a.m.)

The psychological associate position is vacant.

In attendance from the Department of Commerce, Community & Economic Development, Division of Occupational Licensing, was:

Cynthia Cintra, Licensing Examiner

In attendance from the Alaska State Board of Professional Counselors was Anne Henry, Chairperson, Professional Counselor

**Item 6      Board Elections**

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Bradbury, seconded by Dr. Sargeant, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to nominate and elect John Miller, Ph.D. as Chair of the Board of Psychologist and Psychological Associate Examiners.**

Dr. Miller accepted the election and thanked the board.

**Item 2**      **Greet New Board Members**

**Cam Carlson, Public member**  
**Destiny Sargeant, Psychologist**

Dr. Miller and Dr. Bradbury welcomed the new board members.

**Item 3**      **Review/Amend Agenda**

The following amendments were made to the agenda:

- Item 4A, Meeting with Anne Henry, Chairperson, Board of Professional Counselors (LPC) to discuss Senate Bill (SB) 177.
- Item 12G, Correspondence from Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) regarding Disciplinary Data Systems Questionnaire.
- Item 12H, Commissioners' Fraud Report from the Citizens Commission on Human Rights (CCHR)
- Item 12I, Correspondence from Charles Head, Ph.D. regarding licensure in Alaska
- Item 14B, Review of amended 12 AAC 60.160 Reexamination. Addition of (d), State Law and Ethics Re-Examination.
- Item 17, Senate Bill (SB) 177 and memo from Carey Edney, Ph.D. to Senator Dyson regarding SB 177
- Item 17A, Phil Baker, Ph.D., representing the Alaska Psychological Association (AKPA) to discuss Senate Bill (SB) 177.
- Item 18E, Carey Edney, Ph.D., former Chairperson of the Board of Psychologist and Psychological Associate Examiners. Dr. Edney will be returning board material and other items.
- Item 18F, Editing applications and other forms.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Bradbury, seconded by Mrs. Carlson, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to approve the agenda as amended.**

**Item 4**      **Ethics Disclosure**

There were no ethics violations to report.

A. Anne Henry, LPC Chairperson, discussion of SB 177

Ms. Henry introduced herself to the board and gave background information on the proposed legislation in SB 177. She went on to tell the board the bill has been several years in the making and that she was doing this on behalf of the State of Alaska, Mental Health Division. Ms. Henry also informed the board that Senator Dyson was the lead supporter of the bill.

The board expressed concern that they had not been consulted about the proposed legislation before it was introduced. The board stated that it also has concerns with the language of the proposed bill.

Ms. Henry assured the board that the LPC board would be more than happy to work with the Psychology board in order to make sure SB 177 would benefit both occupations. Ms. Henry also informed the board she had been in contact with Dr. Baker of AKPA and that when he joined the meeting tomorrow there would be a chance for more discussion of SB 177, and maybe at that time the board and Dr. Baker would be able to make suggestions for cleaning up the language, etc., in order to benefit all mental health professions.

The board asked Ms. Cintra to provide them with contact information for Ms. Henry. Ms. Cintra said she would be happy to email that information to the board.

Ms. Henry thanked the board for their time and said she hoped the boards would be able to work together to make SB 177 beneficial for all parties concerned.

Anne Henry left the meeting at 10:50 A.M.

## **Item 5**      **Review/Approve Minutes**

After a review of the February 28 – March 1, 2005 minutes, the board made the following changes:

- Throughout the minutes, make all individual addresses consistent.
- Find other options for “said” and “told”.
- Page 4, Item 6C, first sentence, change to read, “Dr. Bradbury informed the board that he was checking the current Monitor on Psychology for more supervisor information.”
- Page 5, Item 6D, first paragraph should read, “The board said it feels that this is a very important issue for MOA supervisors. The board feels that supervisors must understand for whom they are working and they also must understand the difference between clinical and forensic supervision.”
- Page 5, Item 6D, fourth paragraph, Dr. Bradbury asked Ms. Cintra to check on the correct spelling of “why’s”.
- Page 6, Dr. Bradbury asked that paragraphs 7, 8 and 9 be summarized and he also asked that clarification be added regarding “good faith”. Paragraph 8, third sentence should read, “Dr. Edney informed the board that so far the system has been fine for

most individuals, however, there are those this does not work for, i.e., claiming credit for duplicated CE courses.”

After a brief discussion, the board decided not to summarize paragraphs 7, 8 and 9, but to make suggested changes and clean up the wording.

- Page 6, paragraph 9, was changed to read “Dr. Edney informed the board and Ms. Cintra that the licensing examiner will still process renewal applications however, any applications that were questionable the examiner would discuss with Dr. Edney, and if need be, the application(s) could be brought to the board for its consideration.”
- Page 7, remove the second paragraph.
- Page 7, paragraph 3, make the last sentence the beginning of paragraph 4.
- Page 7, paragraph 5 was changed to read, “Dr. Miller stated that there should be information provided in a syllabus, specifically stating ethics topics would be addressed and how much credit would be given.”
- Page 7, change paragraph 8, first sentence, “...agreed with Dr. Edney, however,” should be changed to read, “...pointed out...”. The second sentence should replace “conclusive” with “exclusive”.
- Page 9, first paragraph, last line, “psychology” needs to be replaced with “psychologist”
- Page 9, paragraphs 3 and 5, Dr. Bradbury asked that “psychologist licensure by credentials” be changed to read “licensure as a psychologist by credentials”.
- Page 9, change paragraph 5, to read, “RESOLVED to defer the application for licensure as a psychologist by credentials pending the receipt of a completed Professional Data form and a supervision plan satisfactory to the board, which would include face-to-face supervision time, for Jason L. Whipple.”
- Page 10, paragraph, Item 11, change paragraph 4, to read “The board requested Maggie McQuaid, Investigator, join the meeting for more discussion regarding the investigative report.”
- Page 10, Item 12A, remove duplicate regulation number.
- Page 12, Item C, add content.
- Page 12, Item 13A, provide more information.
- Page 13, Item 13E, change the current sentence to read, “The board decided this was a moot point, as they have no control deciding application fees.”

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Bradbury, seconded by Dr. Sargeant, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to approve the February 28 – March 1, 2005 minutes as amended.**

**Recess**      ***The board recessed at 11:25 a.m., reconvened at 11:40 a.m.***

**Item 7**      **Public Comment**

There were no individuals in attendance for public comment.

On an unrelated topic, Dr. Bradbury asked Ms. Cintra if there was an ethics video available for the board to view. Ms. Cintra said there was a video and she would check into either bringing it to the next meeting or getting copies made for the board. Dr. Miller expressed interest in having a copy of the ethics video for the board to have on hand.

Dr. Sargeant asked if it would be possible to get copies of Roberts' Rules, statutes and regulations for other mental health professions and any other relevant material. Ms. Cintra asked if other board members would be interested in this material as well. The board responded positively and asked that she include Title 47 as well. Ms. Cintra said she would put together packets and mail them to members.

Dr. Sargeant asked the board if setting meeting dates 12 months in advance would be feasible. Dr. Bradbury said he felt that there were too many variables that may occur. Dr. Miller stated that meeting dates were approximately every three months and specifics of upcoming meetings would be set at current meetings.

The board briefly reviewed and discussed travel procedures.

Ms. Viann Nations joined the meeting at 11:57 a.m. to give public comment.

Ms. Nations began her public comment wanting to discuss reports submitted on her behalf by Ronald Ohlson, Ph.D., dated March 24, 2005. Dr. Bradbury asked Dr. Miller if this information should be discussed in executive session, Dr. Miller agreed that the reports should not be discussed during public comment. Dr. Miller extended the offer for Ms. Nations to give "public comment" at this time.

**Recess**      ***The board recessed at 12:01 p.m. to wait for Maggie McQuaid, Investigator to join the meeting. The meeting reconvened at 12:13 p.m.***

Ms. McQuaid informed Ms. Nations that no discussion about her upcoming Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) would be allowed at this time. Ms. Nations felt this was unfair, however, Ms. McQuaid informed her those instructions had been relayed from the Attorney General's Office and her attorney.

Ms. Nations relayed to the board that she was unhappy with the MOA process and felt that a peer review should have been allowed before an MOA was issued. Ms. Nations said she also feels that the board should have been more involved before an MOA was issued. She went on to state that she felt the Division of Occupational Licensing controls all aspects of an MOA and the Division keeps all positive reports removed from her file.

Ms. Nations also stated that she feels an individual who will be signing an MOA should have more input in the process.

Dr. Miller responded to Ms. Nations that she had some very good points and that she should contact the legislature, as the board works with established statutes and cannot change them at will. Dr. Miller also asked that this subject be put on the next meeting agenda for further discussion.

After a brief discussion with Ms. McQuaid, Ms. Nations was invited to join the board during executive session to discuss reports submitted on Ms. Nations' behalf by Ronald Ohlson, Ph.D.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Bradbury, seconded by Mrs. Carlson, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to adjourn into executive session under the authority of AS 44.62.310(c)(2).**

The board adjourned into executive session at 12:22 p.m., and returned from executive session at 12:33 p.m.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Bradbury, seconded by Mrs. Carlson, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to adjourn for a working lunch.**

**Recess**      *The board recessed at 12:33 p.m., reconvened at 1:22 p.m. for a working lunch.*

**Item 8**      **Old Business**

A. Sunset/Legislative Audit

The board has been extended for five years. No action needed on this item.

Dr. Bradbury informed the board he had attended via teleconference the hearing and there was a very strong recommendation that the board remain in force.

B. Supervisor Resource List (Bradbury)

Dr. Bradbury stated he is still in the process of collecting information and had forgotten the list, however, he will have it for the next meeting.

C. Orientation Packet for Experts and MOA Supervisees (Bradbury)

Dr. Bradbury informed the board he needed more forensic law information and would hopefully have the information in time for the next meeting. He went on to say that most supervisors need that information as they are not working for an individual, they are working for the board.

The board had a brief discussion on “forensic” law.

D. FAQ Standard Language

As this list was something Dr. Edney was working on, Dr. Miller suggested the board ask her for information when she joins the meeting on day two.

E. Draft letter to MOA Supervisors

Ms. Cintra informed the board the draft is still in progress.

**Item 12**      **Correspondence Review**

Dr. Bradbury asked Ms. Cintra why there was so much correspondence in this meeting’s packet. Ms. Cintra informed Dr. Bradbury due to the fact that there are two new board members; it would be nice to give them an idea of the different correspondence they might be receiving and to answer any questions they might have.

12A. ASPPB contacts and calendar of events

The board reviewed the provided correspondence and asked Ms. Cintra to submit travel requests for Dr. Miller and Dr. Bradbury to attend the October 19-23, 2005 ASPPB meeting being held in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

After a brief discussion of annual meetings it was decided that Ms. Cintra should submit travel requests for all the members.

12A1. ASPPB Newsletter

The board reviewed provided correspondence.

12A2. ASPPB National Register Criteria

The board reviewed the provided correspondence and had a brief discussion of the uses of the National Register.

12A3. ASPPB Nominations for Board of Directors, Fellows and Awards

The board reviewed provided correspondence.

12A4. ASPPB Code of Conduct

The board reviewed provided correspondence.  
The board asked Ms. Cintra for copies of the Code.

12A5. ASPPB January 2005 Board of Directors Meeting minutes

The board reviewed provided correspondence.

12B. APA Revised G&P and Operating Procedures

The board reviewed provided correspondence.

Maggie McQuaid, Investigator, and Viann Nations, Psychological Associate, joined the meeting at 1:45 p.m.

**Item 9** **Investigative Report**

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Bradbury, seconded by Mrs. Carlson, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to adjourn into executive session under the authority of AS 44.62.310(c)(2).**

The board adjourned into executive session at 1:46 p.m., and returned from executive session at 2:30 p.m.

Ms. Nations left the meeting at 1:55 p.m.  
Ms. McQuaid left the meeting at 2:17 p.m.

**Item 12** **Correspondence Review cont.**

12C. Keith Youngblood regarding Red Cross of Alaska

The board reviewed provided correspondence.

12D. Peter Strisik Supervised Experience

The board reviewed provided correspondence and had a brief discussion regarding 12 AAC 60.080.

Dr. Bradbury reviewed the email with Dr. Phil Baker of AKPA and both felt the regulation should be more specific in that more time needs to be spent with clients. The board agreed with Dr. Bradbury and a regulation project will be initiated under Item 14.

There was no response necessary, as Dr. Bradbury had already responded to Mr. Strisik's email.

12E. Michael Christopher Postdoctoral Supervision

The board reviewed provided correspondence. This application will be reviewed under Item 10.

12F. University of Nebraska post-doctoral survey

The board reviewed provided correspondence and completed the survey. Ms. Cintra will forward the completed survey to the University of Nebraska.

12G. ASPPB Board of Directors Disciplinary Data Systems Questionnaire

The board reviewed provided correspondence and decided no response was necessary.

12H. Commissioners' Fraud Report by the Citizens Commission on Human Rights

The board reviewed provided correspondence.

12I. Charles Head, Ph.D. request for licensure without providing doctoral committee membership reference letter and without completing the doctoral course work sheet

The board reviewed provided correspondence and agreed that Dr. Head should apply by credentials. Once a completed application was received the board would consider it.

Dr. Miller asked Ms. Cintra to get the name of the attorney Dr. Head was working with in Alaska during their next conversation and then she could send the attorney contact information for individuals licensed in Alaska who might be able to provide child custody evaluations.

Dr. Bradbury discussed a letter that Ginger Morton, Licensing Supervisor, had sent to attorneys in Alaska containing licensing information, etc., and asked if Ms. Cintra would research this and re-mail the letter to attorneys in Alaska.

**Recess**      **The board recessed at 3:15 p.m., reconvened at 3:28 p.m.**

**Item 10**      **Application Review**

The board reviewed four applications; three for licensure by credentials and one for licensure by exam.

**Recess**      ***The board recessed at 4:15 p.m., reconvened at 4:18 p.m.***

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Bradbury, seconded by Dr. Sargeant, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to approve Michael S. Christopher's application for licensure as a psychologist by credentials pending passing the Alaska State Law and Ethics exam and the EPPP exam.**

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Miller, seconded by Dr. Sargeant, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to approve the application for licensure as a psychologist by credentials of Lois J. Veronen.**

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Bradbury, seconded by Dr. Sargeant, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to offer in lieu of a license denial the application for licensure as a psychologist by credentials, for Jason L. Whipple, the following options; complete one year of post-doctoral supervised experience that meets the**

**requirements of 12 AAC 60.020 and 60.080 OR submit a written request to withdraw his application.**

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Miller, seconded by Dr. Sargeant, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to approve Heather H. Gray's application for licensure as a psychologist by examination pending the receipt of the following original documents: reference letter from Dr. Chris Panyard, a correctly completed page two of the application, passing of the Alaska State Law and Ethics exam and the EPPP exam.**

**Item 11      Renewal Review (CE)**

The board reviewed several renewal applications and after a brief discussion decided that if the renewal applications were correctly completed and supplied the required Continuing Education (CE), Ms. Cintra should renew them.

**On a motion duly made by Mrs. Carlson, seconded by Dr. Sargeant, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to recess the meeting until Friday, June 17, 2005 at 8:00 a.m.**

**Adjourn      *The board adjourned at 4:45 p.m.***

**Item 13      Call to Order/Roll Call**

The meeting was called to order by John A. Miller, Chairperson, at 8:03 a.m. There were present, constituting a quorum:

John A. Miller, Psychologist  
Lorin L. Bradbury, Psychologist  
Destiny S. Sargeant, Psychologist  
Cam Carlson, Public Member

The psychological associate position is vacant.

In attendance from the Department of Commerce, Community & Economic Development, Division of Occupational Licensing, was:

Cynthia Cintra, Licensing Examiner

**Item 14      Regulation Update**

A. Review and Approve changes to regulations: 12 AAC 60.010, 12 AAC 60.020, 12 AAC 60.080 and 12 AAC 60.990

Dr. Miller and Dr. Bradbury gave a brief overview of regulation changes made by the board and why changes are implemented.

The board reviewed changes to 12 AAC 60.010, 12 AAC 60.020, 12 AAC 60.080 and 12 AAC 60.990, provided by Jun Maiquis, Regulations Specialist.

Dr. Miller suggested making a change to 12 AAC 60.080 as was mentioned during Item 12D. The board had a brief discussion regarding how 12 AAC 60.080 should read.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Miller, seconded by Dr. Sargeant, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to adopt 12 AAC 60.010 Application Forms: Supporting Evidence, 12 AAC 60.020 Temporary License, to Practice Psychology Under**

**Supervision, 12 AAC 60.990 Definitions, and 12 AAC 60.080 Criteria For Evaluation of Experience.**

**The board also initiated a new regulation project to amend 12 AAC 60.080(a)(9) to read, “at least 50 percent of the supervised experience must be face-to-face direct service contact with patients.”**

Dr. Bradbury asked if an applicant does not want to take the EPPP (i.e., taken and passed in another State), what recourse does the applicant have. Ms. Cintra responded that the applicant could write a request to the board for exemption, providing they could provide proof of passing the exam.

14B. 12 AAC 60.160 review amended

The board reviewed the 6/9/2005 draft of 12 AAC 60.160(d) and asked that these proposed regulation changes be public noticed.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Miller, seconded by Dr. Bradbury, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to request that the Regulations Specialist public notice amendments to 12 AAC 60.160 as follows: (d) An applicant who fails the State Law and Ethics Examination and who wishes to be reexamined must submit a written request and the fee established in 12 AAC 02.330 to be received by the division at least 30 days prior to the next scheduled examination.**

**Item 15**

**State Law & Ethics Examination**

- Questions for September 14, 2005 exam.
- Questions for December 14, 2005 exam.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Bradbury, seconded by Mrs. Carlson, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to adjourn into executive session under the authority of AS 44.62.310 to discuss the State Law & Ethics Examination questions for the September 14, 2005 and December 14, 2005 exams.**

The board adjourned into executive session at 8:35 a.m., and returned from executive session at 9:07 a.m.

**Item 16      Goals and Objectives**

- FY05 Annual Report

The board reviewed the Goals and Objectives and had a brief discussion regarding the use of expert witnesses and memorandums of agreement (MOA).

The board agreed the only changes that need to be made to the current Goals and Objectives would be changing dates to reflect 2006 and in several places 2007.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Miller, seconded by Dr. Bradbury, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to amend the current Goals and Objectives as stated on the record.**

The board reviewed the FY04 Annual Report.

Ms. Cintra informed Dr. Miller that as board chair he would be responsible for writing the annual report narrative. Dr. Miller asked if the narrative written by Dr. Edney was available on disk, and if so would Ms. Cintra email the narrative to him. Ms. Cintra stated she would check on that however, when Dr. Edney joined the board that would also be a good question to ask her.

As the board was running ahead of schedule they moved on to Item 18 until Phil Baker, Ph.D. arrives.

**Item 18      Administrative Issues**

A. Budget Report.

The board reviewed the May 18, 2005 budget report provided by Jenny Strickler, Administrative Manager.

Dr Miller and Dr. Bradbury gave an overview of the report for the benefit of the new board members.

B. Expert Witness List (Bradbury)

Dr. Bradbury and Dr. Miller gave a brief overview of the Expert Witness list for the benefit of the new board members.

Dr. Bradbury asked that Dr. Stuart Greenberg be added to the expert witness list. Ms. Cintra said she would forward this information to Maggie MCQuaid, Investigator.

### C. Schedule Next Meeting

After a brief discussion, the board decided to tentatively schedule its next meeting for September 22 – 23, 2005 in Juneau. The board also tentatively scheduled the locations of the next three meetings; December in Anchorage, March in Fairbanks and June in Bethel.

Ms. Cintra said she would check flight schedules for meeting times for the September 2005 meeting. She told the board that after she receives approval for the September meeting she would email the information to board members along with information on Juneau hotels and contact information for Budget Rental Car.

**Recess**      ***The board recessed at 9:44 a.m., reconvened at 9:55 a.m.***

David D. Sandberg, Ph.D., representing AKPA, joined the meeting at 9:55 a.m.

### **Item 17**      **New Business**

#### A. Senate Bill 177, Dr. Phil Baker, AKPA

Dr. Sandberg informed the board that due to illness Dr. Baker was unable to attend the meeting.

Dr. Sandberg informed the board that Senator Dyson is supportive of the bill and believes if more time is needed to work out issues with the Professional Counselor board, then that should be done.

Dr. Sandberg then told the board that per Dr. Baker, Anne Henry, Chair of the Professional Counselor Board, has been uncooperative with AKPA and that this is not the first time Ms. Henry has submitted statutory changes for psychology without seeking the input of AKPA or the board.

Dr. Bradbury recounted the meeting with Ms. Henry on day one of the meeting. Dr. Bradbury stated that he felt Section 2 (b)(3) had been written incorrectly and proposed that the removal of some wording would not be beneficial to the board.

Dr. Sandberg said he was in agreement with Dr. Bradbury as some terms are archaic and other terms need to remain in place, i.e., psychotherapeutic and psychotherapy. Dr. Sandberg also related that he had spoken with Mike Sullivan of the National Association who shares the concerns of AKPA.

Dr. Miller suggested that changes to existing regulations might make SB 177 unnecessary. Dr. Bradbury pointed out that the board would be unable to have the state head this project as the changes needed to be made in statute.

Dr. Sandberg informed the board that AKPA could use its lobbyist to submit proposed legislation.

Dr. Miller then gave his views of the meeting with Ms. Henry. Dr. Miller said he felt the Professional Counselor board needed to discuss the changes with the Psychology

board and get its input before introduction of the bill. Dr. Miller also stated that he felt a letter should be written to Senator Dyson discussing the inappropriateness of a board submitting statutory changes for another board without any discussion and also to propose alternative suggestions for legislation.

Dr. Sandberg said he felt that a letter was a good idea and that AKPA would also send a letter.

Dr. Sandberg asked the board if there was still a clause in statute or regulation offering an exemption relating to the use of titles while employed by an agency. Dr. Miller stated that the exemption could be found in AS 08.86.180(b).

Dr. Bradbury pointed out that there are usually no licensed psychologists to provide supervision at the agencies referenced in AS 08.86.180. Dr. Miller agreed with Dr. Bradbury and also stated that the changes in SB 177 seemed to be in favor of the Professional Counselor board as those changes would allow a counselor to provide supervision to psychologists and psychological associates. Dr. Sargeant agreed with Dr. Miller.

Dr. Sandberg also stated that he felt that as the number of licensees has declined, he foresees political battles between the boards. Dr. Miller agreed with Dr. Sandberg.

Dr. Bradbury suggested that the board submit statutory changes that would preempt SB 177, and said the statutory changes would strengthen, from the board's perspective, protection of the public. Dr. Sandberg agreed with Dr. Bradbury's suggestion and stated that one of AKPA's main goals was to protect the public.

After a lengthy discussion, Dr. Miller stated the board needed to quickly write and introduce legislation that would either stop SB 177 or override/overrule the bill by amending AS 08.86.180(b), Practice of Psychology. The board agreed with Dr. Miller's suggestion.

Dr. Miller said that the board would put together a plan and contact AKPA with more information.

Dr. Edney, Ph.D., former board chair, joined the meeting at 10:54 a.m.

Dr. Edney gave the board a summary of her contact with Anne Henry relating to SB 177. Dr. Edney said she told Ms. Henry that the board needed to review the bill before any action was taken. She also stated that she had offered her services to AKPA in writing legislation for this project.

Dr. Sandberg thanked the board for the time and hard work it puts into the profession.

Dr. Sandberg left the meeting at 11:30 a.m.

**Recess**      ***The board recessed at 11:04 a.m., reconvened at 11:14 a.m.***

**Item 18**      **Administrative Issues cont.**

D. Sign Wall Certificates/Minutes/Regulations

The board signed several Wall Certificates.

E. Carey Edney, Ph.D., former chair

Dr. Edney gave the policy and procedure manual to Dr. Miller along with other board related materials. Dr. Edney gave the board a summary of the 20<sup>th</sup> Midyear ASPPB meeting. Dr. Edney told the board that the ASPPB has a wonderful new board member packet. The board asked Ms. Cintra to provide them with copies of the packet. Ms. Cintra said she would be happy to and would also email the board members ASPPB web site information as well.

Dr. Miller asked Dr. Edney if she had completed editing the State Law and Ethics exam questions. Dr. Edney said she was just about done with the questions and her portion of the supervisor reading list, and when she was done she would send them to Ms. Cintra.

Dr. Edney thanked the board for its time, energy and devotion to the profession. Dr. Edney said it had been a pleasure to serve with the board and this had been the most exciting and rewarding eight years of her career. She said she had learned quite a bit about the profession and it had been very pleasurable working with Ginger Morton, John Miller and Lorin Bradbury.

Dr. Miller asked Dr. Edney if she had the FY04 annual report narrative in electronic format. Dr. Edney said she would check and if she does have it on her computer she will email it to Dr. Miller. Ms. Cintra said she would also check to see if the Division has it in electronic format.

Dr. Edney informed the board that Dr. Sperbeck had written a recent (April 2005) letter or article regarding psychotherapy and counseling. Dr. Edney said that it was very well written and that it addressed some of the issues in SB 177. The board asked Ms. Cintra to locate a copy of the letter/article and send it to each board member.

Dr. Miller, Dr. Bradbury and Ms. Cintra thanked Dr. Edney for her time, energy and service to the board and profession.

Dr. Edney left the meeting at 11:50 a.m.

Dr. Bradbury suggested writing a letter to Governor Murkowski commending Dr. Edney's service on the board. Dr. Miller volunteered to write the letter and email it to Ms. Cintra to be put on letterhead. Ms. Cintra agreed and said that once the letter is received and put on letterhead she will mail it to the board members for signature. She said she would send the original letter to Governor Murkowski, with a copy to Dr. Edney.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Bradbury, seconded by Dr. Sargeant, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to write a letter to Governor Murkowski commending Dr. Edney's service to the psychology board.**

**Item 18      New Business**

The board asked that application and form review be added to New Business for the next meeting.

**On a motion duly made by Dr. Bradbury, seconded by Mrs. Carlson, and approved unanimously, it was**

**RESOLVED to adjourn the meeting.**

***Adjourn      The board adjourned at 12:04 p.m.***

Respectfully submitted:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Cynthia Cintra, Licensing Examiner

Approved:

\_\_\_\_\_  
John A. Miller, Ph.D., Chairperson  
Board of Psychologist and Psychological Associate Examiners

Date: \_\_\_\_\_